

Algebraic & Geometric Topology

Volume 5 (2005) 713–724

Published: 5 July 2005

Corrected: 18 April 2006



H-space structure on pointed mapping spaces

YVES FÉLIX
DANIEL TANRÉ

Abstract We investigate the existence of an H -space structure on the function space, $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$, of based maps in the component of the trivial map between two pointed connected CW-complexes X and Y . For that, we introduce the notion of $H(n)$ -space and prove that we have an H -space structure on $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ if Y is an $H(n)$ -space and X is of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category less than or equal to n . When we consider the rational homotopy type of nilpotent finite type CW-complexes, the existence of an $H(n)$ -space structure can be easily detected on the minimal model and coincides with the differential length considered by Y. Kotani. When X is finite, using the Haefliger's model for function spaces, we can prove that the rational cohomology of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ is free commutative if the rational Toomer invariant of X is strictly less than the differential length of Y , extending a recent result of Y. Kotani.

AMS Classification 55R80, 55P62, 55T99

Keywords Mapping spaces, Haefliger model, Lusternik-Schnirelmann category

1 Introduction

Let X and Y be pointed connected CW-complexes. We study the occurrence of an H -space structure on the function space, $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$, of based maps in the component of the trivial map. Of course when X is a co- H -space or Y is an H -space this mapping space is an H -space. Here, we are considering weaker conditions, both on X and Y , which guarantee the existence of an H -space structure on the function space. In Definition 3, we introduce the notion of $H(n)$ -space designed for this purpose and prove:

Proposition 1 *Let Y be an $H(n)$ -space and X be a space of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category less than or equal to n . Then the space $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ is an H -space.*

The existence of an $H(n)$ -structure and the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category (LS-category in short) are hard to determine. We first study some properties of $H(n)$ -spaces and give some examples. Concerning the second hypothesis, we are interested in replacing $\text{cat}(X) \leq n$ by an upper bound on an approximation of the LS-category (see [5, Chapter 2]). We succeed in Proposition 7 with an hypothesis on the dimension of X but the most interesting replacement is obtained in the rational setting which constitutes the second part of this paper.

We use Sullivan minimal models for which we refer to [6]. We recall here that each finite type nilpotent CW-complex X has a unique minimal model $(\wedge V, d)$ that characterises all the rational homotopy type of X . We first prove that the existence of an $H(n)$ -structure on a rational space X_0 can be easily detected from its minimal model. It corresponds to a valuation of the differential of this model, introduced by Y. Kotani in [11]:

The differential d of the minimal model $(\wedge V, d)$ can be written as $d = d_1 + d_2 + \dots$ where d_i increases the word length by i . The *differential length* of $(\wedge V, d)$, denoted $\text{dl}(X)$, is the least integer n such that d_{n-1} is non zero.

As a minimal model of X is defined up to isomorphism, the differential length is a rational homotopy type invariant of X , see [11, Theorem 1.1]. Proposition 8 establishes a relation between $\text{dl}(X)$ and the existence of an $H(n)$ -structure on the rationalisation of X .

Finally, recall that *the rational cup-length* $\text{cup}_0(X)$ of X is the maximal length of a nonzero product in $H^{>0}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and that *the rational Toomer invariant* $e_0(X)$ of X can be defined as follows: if $(\wedge V, d)$ denotes the minimal model of X , then $e_0(X)$ is the least integer r such that the projection $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>r} V, \bar{d})$ is injective in cohomology. In [11], by using the rational cup-length of X and the differential length of Y , Y. Kotani gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the rational cohomology of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ to be free commutative when X is a rational formal space and when the dimension of X is less than the connectivity of Y . We show here that a large part of the Kotani criterium remains valid, without hypothesis of formality and dimension. We prove:

Theorem 2 *Let X and Y be nilpotent finite type CW-complexes, with X finite.*

- (1) *If $e_0(X) < \text{dl}(Y)$, then the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *); \mathbb{Q})$ is free commutative.*
- (2) *If $\dim(X) \leq \text{conn}(Y)$ and if the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *); \mathbb{Q})$ is free commutative, then $\text{cup}_0(X) < \text{dl}(Y)$.*

As an application, we describe in Theorem 12 the Postnikov tower of the rationalisation of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ where X is a finite nilpotent space and Y a finite type CW-complex whose connectivity is greater than the dimension of X . Our description implies the solvability of the rational Pontrjagin algebra of $\Omega(\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *))$.

Section 2 contains the topological setting and the proof of Proposition 1. The link with rational models is done in Section 3. Our proof of Theorem 2 uses the Haefliger model for mapping spaces. In order to be self-contained, we recall briefly Haefliger’s construction in Section 4. The proof of Theorem 2 is contained in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 is devoted to the description of the Postnikov tower.

In this text, all spaces are supposed of the homotopy type of connected pointed CW-complexes and we will use cdga for *commutative differential graded algebra*. A *quasi-isomorphism* is a morphism of cdga’s which induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

We thank Katsuhiko Kuribayashi for pointing out an error in a previous version of this work. The error concerns the statement and the proof of part of Theorem 2 and Example 10. This corrected version was published 18 April 2006.

2 Structure of $H(n)$ -space

First we recall the construction of Ganea fibrations, $p_n^X: G_n(X) \rightarrow X$.

- Let $F_0(X) \xrightarrow{i_0} G_0(X) \xrightarrow{p_0^X} X$ denote the path fibration on X , $\Omega X \rightarrow PX \rightarrow X$.
- Suppose a fibration $F_n(X) \xrightarrow{i_n} G_n(X) \xrightarrow{p_n^X} X$ has been constructed. We extend p_n^X to a map $q_n: G_n(X) \cup C(F_n(X)) \rightarrow X$, defined on the mapping cone of i_n , by setting $q_n(x) = p_n^X(x)$ for $x \in G_n(X)$ and $q_n([y, t]) = *$ for $[y, t] \in C(F_n(X))$.
- Now convert q_n into a fibration $p_{n+1}^X: G_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow X$.

This construction is functorial and the space $G_n(X)$ has the homotopy type of the n^{th} -classifying space of Milnor [12]. We quote also from [8] that the direct limit $G_\infty(X)$ of the maps $G_n(X) \rightarrow G_{n+1}(X)$ has the homotopy type of X . As spaces are pointed, one has two canonical applications $\iota_n^l: G_n(X) \rightarrow G_n(X \times X)$ and $\iota_n^r: G_n(X) \rightarrow G_n(X \times X)$ obtained from maps $X \rightarrow X \times X$ defined respectively by $x \mapsto (x, *)$ and $x \mapsto (*, x)$.

Definition 3 A space X is an $H(n)$ -space if there exists a map $\mu_n : G_n(X \times X) \rightarrow X$ such that $\mu_n \circ \iota_n^l = \mu_n \circ \iota_n^r = p_n^X : G_n(X) \rightarrow X$.

Directly from the definition, we see that an $H(\infty)$ -space is an H -space and that any space is a $H(1)$ -space. Recall also that any co- H -space is of LS-category 1. Then, Proposition 1 contains the trivial cases of a co- H -space X and of an H -space Y .

Proof of Proposition 1 From the hypothesis, we have a section $\sigma : X \rightarrow G_n(X)$ of the Ganea fibration p_n^X and a map $\mu_n : G_n(Y \times Y) \rightarrow Y$ extending the Ganea fibration p_n^Y , as in Definition 3. If f and g are elements of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$, we set $f \bullet g = \mu_n \circ G_n(f \times g) \circ G_n(\Delta_X) \circ \sigma$, where Δ_X denotes the diagonal map of X . One checks easily that $f \bullet * \simeq * \bullet f \simeq f$. □

In the rest of this section, we are interested in the existence of $H(n)$ -structures on a given space. For the detection of an $H(n)$ -space structure, one may replace the Ganea fibrations p_n^X by any functorial construction of fibrations $\hat{p}_n : \hat{G}_n(X) \rightarrow X$ such that one has a functorial commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G}_n(X) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} & G_n(X) \\ & \searrow \hat{p}_n \quad \swarrow p_n^X & \\ & X & \end{array}$$

Such maps \hat{p}_n are called fibrations à la Ganea in [13] and substitutes to Ganea fibrations here. Moreover, as we are interested in product spaces, the following filtration of the space $G_\infty(X) \times G_\infty(Y)$ plays an important role:

$$(G(X) \times G(Y))_n = \cup_{i+j=n} G_i(X) \times G_j(Y).$$

In [10], N. Iwase proved the existence of a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G(X) \times G(Y))_n & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} & G_n(X \times Y) \\ & \searrow \cup(p_i^X \times p_j^Y) \quad \swarrow p_n^{X \times Y} & \\ & X \times Y & \end{array}$$

and used it to settle a counter-example to the Ganea conjecture. Therefore, in Definition 3, we are allowed to replace the Ganea space $G_n(X \times X)$ by $(G(X) \times G(X))_n$. Moreover, if $\hat{p}_n : \hat{G}_n(X) \rightarrow X$ are substitutes to Ganea fibrations as above, we may also replace $G_n(X \times X)$ by

$$(\hat{G}(X) \times \hat{G}(Y))_n = \cup_{i+j=n} \hat{G}_i(X) \times \hat{G}_j(Y).$$

We will use this possibility in the rational setting.

In the case $n = 2$, we have a cofibration sequence,

$$\Sigma(G_1(X) \wedge G_1(X)) \xrightarrow{Wh} G_1(X) \vee G_1(X) \longrightarrow G_1(X) \times G_1(X),$$

coming from the Arkowitz generalisation of a Whitehead bracket, [2]. Therefore, the existence of an $H(2)$ -structure on a space X is equivalent to the triviality of $(p_1^X \vee p_1^X) \circ Wh$. As the loop Ωp_1^X of the Ganea fibration $p_1^X: G_1(X) \rightarrow X$ admits a section, we get the following *necessary condition*:

– if there is an $H(2)$ -structure on X , then the homotopy Lie algebra of X is abelian, i.e. all Whitehead products vanish.

Example 4 In the case X is a sphere S^n , the existence of an $H(2)$ structure on S^n implies $n = 1, 3$ or 7 , [1]. Therefore, only the spheres which are already H -spaces endow a structure of $H(2)$ space. One can also observe that, in general, if a space X is both of category n and an $H(2n)$ -space, then it is an H -space. The law is given by $X \times X \xrightarrow{\sigma} G_{2n}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\mu_{2n}} X$, where the existence of the section σ to $p_{2n}^{X \times X}$ comes from $\text{cat}(X \times X) \leq 2 \text{cat}(X)$.

Example 5 If we restrict to spaces whose loop space is a product of spheres or of loop spaces on a sphere, the previous necessary condition becomes a criterion. For instance, it is proved in [3] that all Whitehead products are zero in the complex projective 3-space. This implies that $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is an $H(2)$ -space. (Observe that $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is not an H -space.) From [3], we know also that the homotopy Lie algebra of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is not abelian. Therefore $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is not an $H(2)$ -space.

The following example shows that we can find $H(n)$ -spaces, for any $n > 1$.

Example 6 Denote by $\varphi_r: K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 2r)$ the map corresponding to the class $x^r \in H^{2r}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2); \mathbb{Z})$, where x is the generator of $H^2(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2); \mathbb{Z})$. Let E be the homotopy fibre of φ_r . We prove below that E is an $H(r - 1)$ -space.

First we derive, from the homotopy long exact sequence associated to the map φ_r , that ΩE has the homotopy type of $S^1 \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2r - 2)$. Therefore, the only obstruction to extend $G_{r-1}(E) \vee G_{r-1}(E) \rightarrow E$ to $(G(E) \times G(E))_{r-1} = \cup_{i+j=r-1} G_i(E) \times G_j(E)$ lies in $\text{Hom}(H_{2r}((G(E) \times G(E))_{r-1}; \mathbb{Z}), \pi_{2r-2}(E))$.

If A and B are CW-complexes, we denote by $A \sim_n B$ the fact that A and B have the same n -skeleton. If we look at the Ganea total spaces and fibres, we get $\Sigma \Omega E \sim_{2r} S^2 \vee S^{2r-1} \vee S^{2r}$, $F_1(E) = \Omega E * \Omega E \sim_{2r} S^3 \vee S^{2r} \vee S^{2r}$, and

more generally, $F_s(E) \sim_{2r} S^{2s+1}$, for any s , $2 \leq s \leq r - 1$. Observe also that $H_{2r}(F_2(E); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{2r}(G_1(E); \mathbb{Z})$ is onto. (As we have only spherical classes in this degree, this comes from the homotopy long exact sequence.)

As a conclusion, we have no cell in degree $2r$ in $(G(E) \times G(E))_{r-1}$ and E is an $H(r - 1)$ -space.

We end this section with a reduction to a more computable invariant than the LS-category. Consider $\rho_n^X: X \rightarrow G_{[n]}(X)$ the homotopy cofibre of the Ganea fibration p_n^X . Recall that, by definition, $\text{wcat}_G(X) \leq n$ if the map ρ_n^X is homotopically trivial. Observe that we always have $\text{wcat}_G(X) \leq \text{cat}(X)$, see [5, Section 2.6] for more details on this invariant.

Proposition 7 *Let X be a CW-complex of dimension k and Y be a CW-complex $(c - 1)$ -connected with $k \leq c - 1$. If Y is an $H(n)$ -space such that $\text{wcat}_G(X) \leq n$, then $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ is an H -space.*

Proof Let f and g be elements of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$. Denote by $\tilde{t}_n^X: \tilde{F}_n(X) \rightarrow X$ the homotopy fibre of $\rho_n^X: X \rightarrow G_{[n]}(X)$. This construction is functorial and the map $(f, g): X \rightarrow Y \times Y$ induces a map $\tilde{F}_n(f, g): \tilde{F}_n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)$ such that $\tilde{t}_n^{Y \times Y} \circ \tilde{F}_n(f, g) = (f, g) \circ \tilde{t}_n^X$.

By hypothesis, we have a homotopy section $\tilde{\sigma}: X \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(X)$ of \tilde{t}_n^X . Therefore, one gets a map $X \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)$ as $\tilde{F}_n(f, g) \circ \tilde{\sigma}$.

Recall now that, if $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is a cofibration with A $(a - 1)$ -connected and C $(c - 1)$ -connected, then the canonical map $A \rightarrow F$ in the homotopy fibre of $B \rightarrow C$ is an $(a + c - 2)$ -equivalence. We apply it in the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_n(Y \times Y) & \xrightarrow{p_n^{Y \times Y}} & Y \times Y \xrightarrow{\rho_n^{Y \times Y}} G_{[n]}(Y \times Y) \\
 j_n^{Y \times Y} \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{t}_n^{Y \times Y} & \\
 \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y) & &
 \end{array}$$

The space $G_n(Y \times Y)$ is $(c - 1)$ -connected and $G_{[n]}(Y \times Y)$ is c -connected. Therefore the map $j_n^{Y \times Y}$ is $(2c - 1)$ -connected. From the hypothesis, we get $k \leq c - 1 < 2c - 1$ and the map $j_n^{Y \times Y}$ induces a bijection

$$[X, G_n(Y \times Y)] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)].$$

Denote by $g_n: X \rightarrow G_n(Y \times Y)$ the unique lifting of $\tilde{F}_n(f, g) \circ \tilde{\sigma}$. The composition $g \bullet f$ is defined as $\mu_n \circ g_n$ where μ_n is the $H(n)$ -structure on Y .

If we set $g = *$, then $\tilde{F}_n(f, g)$ is obtained as the composite of $\tilde{F}_n(f)$ with the map $\tilde{F}_n(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)$ induced by $y \mapsto (y, *)$. As before, one has an isomorphism $[X, G_n(Y)] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \tilde{F}_n(Y)]$. A chase in the following diagram shows that $f \bullet * = f$ as expected,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & G_n(Y \times Y) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{F}_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_n(f)} & \tilde{F}_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y) \\
 \uparrow \tilde{\sigma} & & \downarrow \tilde{t}_n^X & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \square$$

3 Rational characterisation of $H(n)$ -spaces

Define $m_H(X)$ as the greatest integer n such that X admits an $H(n)$ -structure and denote by X_0 the rationalisation of a nilpotent finite type CW-complex X . Recall that $\text{dl}(X)$ is the valuation of the differential of the minimal model of X , already defined in the introduction.

Proposition 8 *Let X be a nilpotent finite type CW-complex of rationalisation X_0 . Then we have $m_H(X_0) + 1 = \text{dl}(X)$.*

Proof Let $(\wedge V, d)$ be the minimal model of X . Recall from [7] that a model of the Ganea fibration p_n^X is given by the following composition,

$$(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>n} V, \bar{d}) \hookrightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>n} V, \bar{d}) \oplus S,$$

where the first map is the natural projection and the second one the canonical injection together with $S \cdot S = S \cdot V = 0$ and $d(S) = 0$. As the first map is functorial and the second one admits a left inverse over $(\wedge V, d)$, we may use the realisation of $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>n} V, \bar{d})$ as substitute for the Ganea fibration.

Suppose $\text{dl}(X) = r$. We consider the cdga $(\wedge V', d') \otimes (\wedge V'', d'') / I_r$ where $(\wedge V', d')$ and $(\wedge V'', d'')$ are copies of $(\wedge V, d)$ and where I_r is the ideal $I_r = \bigoplus_{i+j \geq r} \wedge^i V' \otimes \wedge^j V''$. Observe that this cdga has a zero differential and that the morphism

$$\varphi : (\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V', d') \otimes (\wedge V'', d'') / I_r$$

defined by $\varphi(v) = v' + v''$ satisfies $\varphi(dv) = 0$. Therefore φ is a morphism of cdga's and its realisation induces an $H(n)$ -structure on the rationalisation X_0 . That shows: $m_H(X_0) + 1 \geq \text{dl}(X)$.

Suppose now that $m_H(X_0) + 1 > \text{dl}(X) = r$. By hypothesis, we have a morphism of cdga's

$$\varphi : (\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V', d') \otimes (\wedge V'', d'') / I_{r+1} .$$

By construction, in this quotient, a cocycle of wedge degree r cannot be a coboundary. Since the composition of φ with the projection on the two factors is the natural projection, we have $\varphi(v) - v' - v'' \in \wedge^+ V' \otimes \wedge^+ V''$. Now let $v \in V$, of lowest degree with $d_r(v) \neq 0$. From $d_r(v) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_r}$, we get

$$\varphi(dv) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} (v'_{i_1} + v''_{i_1}) \cdot (v'_{i_2} + v''_{i_2}) \cdot \dots \cdot (v'_{i_r} + v''_{i_r}) .$$

This expression cannot be a coboundary and the equation $d\varphi(x) = \varphi(dx)$ is impossible. We get a contradiction, therefore one has $m_H(X_0) + 1 = \text{dl}(X)$. \square

4 The Haefliger model

Let X and Y be finite type nilpotent CW-complexes with X of finite dimension. Let $(\wedge V, d)$ be the minimal model of Y and (A, d_A) be a finite dimensional model for X , which means that (A, d_A) is a finite dimensional cdga equipped with a quasi-isomorphism ψ from the minimal model of X into (A, d_A) . Denote by A^\vee the dual vector space of A , graded by

$$(A^\vee)^{-n} = \text{Hom}(A^n, \mathbb{Q}) .$$

We set $A^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty A^i$, and we fix an homogeneous basis (a_1, \dots, a_p) of A^+ . The dual basis $(a^s)_{1 \leq s \leq p}$ is a basis of $B = (A^+)^{\vee}$ defined by $\langle a^s; a_t \rangle = \delta_{st}$.

We construct now a morphism of algebras $\varphi : \wedge V \rightarrow A \otimes \wedge(B \otimes V)$ by

$$\varphi(v) = \sum_{s=1}^p a_s \otimes (a^s \otimes v) .$$

In [9] Haefliger proves that there is a unique differential D on $\wedge(B \otimes V)$ such that φ is a morphism of cdga's, i.e. $(d_A \otimes D) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ d$.

In general, the cdga $(\wedge(B \otimes V), D)$ is not positively graded. Denote by $D_0 : B \otimes V \rightarrow B \otimes V$ the linear part of the differential D . We define a cdga $(\wedge Z, D)$ by constructing Z as the quotient of $B \otimes V$ by $\bigoplus_{j \leq 0} (B \otimes V)^j$ and their image by D_0 . Haefliger proves:

Theorem 9 [9] *The commutative differential graded algebra $(\wedge Z, D)$ is a model of the mapping space $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$.*

5 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof We start with an explicit description of the Haefliger model, keeping the notation of Section 4. The cdga $(\wedge V, d)$ is a minimal model of Y and we choose for V a basis (v_k) , indexed by a well-ordered set and satisfying $d(v_k) \in \wedge(v_r)_{r < k}$ for all k . As homogeneous basis $(a_s)_{1 \leq s \leq p}$ of A , we choose elements h_i, e_j and b_j such that:

- the elements h_i are cocycles and their classes $[h_i]$ form a linear basis of the reduced cohomology of A ;
- the elements e_j form a linear basis of a supplement of the vector space of cocycles in A , and $b_j = d_A(e_j)$.

We denote by h^i, e^j and b^j the corresponding elements of the basis of $B = (A^+)^{\vee}$. By developing $D_0(\sum_s a_s \otimes (a^s \otimes v)) = 0$, we get a direct description of the linear part D_0 of the differential D of the Haefliger model:

$$D_0(b^j \otimes v) = -(-1)^{|b^j|} e^j \otimes v \text{ and } D_0(h^i \otimes v) = 0, \text{ for each } v \in V.$$

A linear basis of the graded vector space Z is therefore given by the elements:

$$\begin{cases} b^j \otimes v_k, & \text{with } |b^j \otimes v_k| \geq 1, \\ e^j \otimes v_k, & \text{with } |e^j \otimes v_k| \geq 2, \\ h^i \otimes v_k, & \text{with } |h^i \otimes v_k| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, from $\varphi(dv) = (D - D_0)\varphi(v)$ and $d(v) = \sum c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_r}$, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} (D - D_0)(a^s \otimes v) = \\ \pm \sum c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} \sum_{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_r}} \langle a^s; a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r} \rangle (a_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_1}) \cdot (a_{i_2} \otimes v_{i_2}) \dots (a_{i_r} \otimes v_{i_r}) \end{aligned}$$

where, as usual, the sign \pm is entirely determined by a strict application of the Koszul rule for a permutation of graded objects.

Let (A, d_A) be a finite dimensional model of X , obtained as the quotient of the minimal model $(\wedge W, d)$ of X by the ideal $(\wedge W)^{>N} \oplus S$ where N is greater than the dimension of X and S is a supplement of the cocycles in degree N . Denote by J_q the ideal of A generated by the products of q elements in A^+ . Then the Toomer invariant $e_0(X)$ is equal to the minimum q such that the quotient map $(A, d_A) \rightarrow (A/J_q, \bar{d}_A)$ is injective in cohomology.

Suppose first that $e_0(X) < dl(Y)$. This inequality allows the choice of a basis $(h_j), (e_j), (b_j)$ such that $\langle h^j; \alpha \rangle = 0$ for any $\alpha \in J_q$ with $q = e_0(X)$. The ideal I generated by the elements $b^j \otimes v_s$ and $D(b^j \otimes v_s)$ is a differential acyclic ideal. In the quotient $(\wedge Z, D)/I$, the elements $b^j \otimes v_s$ disappear and the $e^j \otimes v_s$ are

replaced by decomposable elements of the form $h^j \otimes v_s$. By the above remark and the Haefliger definition, the differential D is zero on $(\wedge Z, D)/I$.

We consider now the case $\text{cup}_0(X) \geq \text{dl}(Y)$ with $\dim(X) \leq \text{conn}(Y)$. We choose linearly independent cocycles z_1, \dots, z_l of A , such that the cohomology class of the product $\omega = z_1^{q_1} \cdots z_l^{q_l}$ is not zero with $m = \sum_i q_i$. We choose the basis (h_j) such that it contains all the elements $z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_l^{n_l}$ with $n_i \leq q_i$. We choose also an element $v \in V$ that satisfies $dv = d_{r-1}v + \cdots$, with $d_{r-1}(v) \neq 0$ and $r \leq m$. As above we can kill all the elements $b^j \otimes v_s$ and $D(b^j \otimes v_s)$ and keep a quasi-isomorphism $\rho: (\wedge Z, D) \rightarrow (\wedge T, \bar{D}) := (\wedge Z/I, \bar{D})$. If the differential \bar{D} is nonzero then the theorem is proved.

We give a weight at each variable $v_i \in V$ and denote by $\mu v_1 \cdots v_r$ the monomial of highest weight in $d_{r-1}(v)$. Let now h_1, \dots, h_r be r elements in the family (h_i) such that $\omega = h_1 \cdots h_r$. Let $\omega' \in A^\vee$ such that $\langle \omega', \omega \rangle = 1$. Two permutations σ and $\tau \in \Sigma_r$ are said equivalent if $h_{\sigma(i)} = h_{\tau(i)}$ for all i . We denote by $T \subset \Sigma_r$ a set of representatives of the equivalences classes and by $T' \subset T$, the set of σ such that $v_{\sigma(i)} = v_i$ for each i . Then the component of $(h^1 \otimes v_1) \cdots (h^r \otimes v_r)$ in $\bar{D}_{r-1}(\omega' \otimes v)$ is $|T'| \cdot \mu \neq 0$. This shows that the differential \bar{D} is nonzero. \square

Example 10 In assertion (1) of Theorem 2, we cannot replace $e_0(X)$ by $\text{cup}_0(X)$. Consider for instance the space

$$X = S_a^2 \vee S_b^2 \cup_\omega e^5, \quad \text{with } \omega = [a, [a, b]].$$

A finite dimensional model for X is given by the differential graded algebra

$$(A, d) = (\wedge(a, b, c)/(a^2, b^2, bc), d)$$

with $|a| = |b| = 2$, $|c| = 3$, $d(a) = d(b) = 0$, $d(c) = ab$. A linear basis for A is given by the elements $1, a, b, c, ab, ca$, and a linear basis for A^\vee is given by $1^*, a^*, b^*, c^*, (ab)^*, (ca)^*$. Observe that $\text{cup}_0(X) = 1$, $\text{dl}(X) = e_0(X) = 2$. Let now Y be the wedge $S^7 \vee S^7$ whose minimal model is $(\wedge V, d)$ with $V = (v, w, z, u, t, \dots)$, $|v| = |w| = 7$, $|z| = 13$, $|u| = |t| = 19$, the other generators having degrees ≥ 20 . The differential of the first generators satisfies $dv = dw = 0$, $dz = vw$, $du = zv$, $dt = zw$. In the Haefliger model for $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$, if we take the quotient by the acyclic ideal I generated by the elements $b^j \otimes v_s$ and $D(b^j \otimes v_s)$, we get a nonzero differential. In particular,

$$D((ca)^* \otimes u) = \pm(b^* \otimes v)(a^* \otimes w)(a^* \otimes v).$$

This implies that the cohomology of the mapping space is not free.

Example 11 When the dimension of X is greater than the connectivity of Y , the degrees of the elements have some importance. The cohomology can

be commutative free even if $\text{cup}_0(X) \geq \text{dl}(Y)$. For instance, consider $X = S^5 \times S^{11}$ and $Y = S^8$. One has $\text{cup}_0(X) = \text{dl}(Y) = 2$ and the function space $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ is a rational H -space with the rational homotopy type of $K(\mathbb{Q}, 3) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 4) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 10)$, as a direct computation with the Haefliger model shows.

6 Rationalisation of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ for $\dim(X) \leq \text{conn}(Y)$

Let X be a finite nilpotent space with rational LS-category equal to $m - 1$ and let Y be a finite type nilpotent CW-complex whose connectivity c is greater than the dimension of X . We set $r = \text{dl}(Y)$ and denote by s the maximal integer such that $m/r^s \geq 1$, i.e. s is the integral part of $\log_r m$.

Theorem 12 *There is a sequence of rational fibrations $K_k \rightarrow F_k \rightarrow F_{k-1}$, for $k = 1, \dots, s$, with $F_0 = *$, F_s is the rationalisation of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ and each space K_k is a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. In particular, the rational loop space homology of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ is solvable with solvable index less than or equal to s .*

Proof By a result of Cornea [4], the space X admits a finite dimensional model A such that m is the maximal length of a nonzero product of elements of positive degree. We denote by $(\wedge V, d)$ the minimal model of Y .

We consider the ideals $I_k = A^{>m/r^k}$, and the short exact sequences of cdga's

$$I_k/I_{k-1} \rightarrow A/I_{k-1} \rightarrow A/I_k.$$

These short exact sequences realise into cofibrations $T_k \rightarrow T_{k-1} \rightarrow Z_k$ and the sequences

$$(\wedge((A^+/I_k)^\vee \otimes V), D) \rightarrow (\wedge((A^+/I_{k-1})^\vee \otimes V), D) \rightarrow (\wedge((I_k/I_{k-1})^\vee \otimes V), D)$$

are relative Sullivan models for the fibrations

$$\mathcal{F}_*(Z_k, Y, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_*(T_{k-1}, Y, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_*(T_k, Y, *).$$

Now since the cup length of the space Z_k is strictly less than r , the function spaces $\mathcal{F}_*(Z_k, Y, *)$ are rational H -spaces, and this proves Theorem 12. \square

References

- [1] **J Frank Adams**, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960) 20–104 MR0141119
- [2] **Martin Arkowitz**, *The generalized Whitehead product*, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962) 7–23 MR0155328
- [3] **Michael Barratt, Ioan James, Norman Stein**, *Whitehead products and projective spaces*, J. Math. Mech. 9 (1960) 813–819 MR0116331
- [4] **Octavian Cornea**, *Cone-length and Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Topology 33 (1994) 95–111 MR1259517
- [5] **Octavian Cornea, Gregory Lupton, John Oprea, Daniel Tanré**, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, volume 103 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2003) MR1990857
- [6] **Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, Jean-Claude Thomas**, *Rational homotopy theory*, volume 205 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2001) MR1802847
- [7] **Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin**, *Rational LS-category and its applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982) 1–37 MR0664027
- [8] **Tudor Ganea**, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and strong category*, Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967) 417–427 MR0229240
- [9] **André Haefliger**, *Rational homotopy of the space of sections of a nilpotent bundle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982) 609–620 MR0667163
- [10] **Norio Iwase**, *Ganea’s conjecture on Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 30 (1998) 623–634 MR1642747
- [11] **Yasusuke Kotani**, *Note on the rational cohomology of the function space of based maps*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 6 (2004) 341–350 MR2084591
- [12] **John Milnor**, *Construction of universal bundles. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) 63 (1956) 272–284 MR0077122
- [13] **Hans Scheerer, Daniel Tanré**, *Fibrations à la Ganea*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 4 (1997) 333–353 MR1457074

Département de Mathématiques, Université Catholique de Louvain
2, Chemin du Cyclotron, 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium
and

Département de Mathématiques, UMR 8524, Université de Lille 1
59655 Villeneuve d’Ascq Cedex, France

Email: felix@math.ucl.ac.be, Daniel.Tanre@univ-lille1.fr

Received: 13 February 2005