

*Algebraic & Geometric Topology*

Volume 5 (2005) 713–724

Published: 5 July 2005

Corrected: 18 April 2006



## *H*-space structure on pointed mapping spaces

YVES FÉLIX  
DANIEL TANRÉ

**Abstract** We investigate the existence of an  $H$ -space structure on the function space,  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ , of based maps in the component of the trivial map between two pointed connected CW-complexes  $X$  and  $Y$ . For that, we introduce the notion of  $H(n)$ -space and prove that we have an  $H$ -space structure on  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  if  $Y$  is an  $H(n)$ -space and  $X$  is of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category less than or equal to  $n$ . When we consider the rational homotopy type of nilpotent finite type CW-complexes, the existence of an  $H(n)$ -space structure can be easily detected on the minimal model and coincides with the differential length considered by Y. Kotani. When  $X$  is finite, using the Haefliger's model for function spaces, we can prove that the rational cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  is free commutative if the rational Toomer invariant of  $X$  is strictly less than the differential length of  $Y$ , extending a recent result of Y. Kotani.

**AMS Classification** 55R80, 55P62, 55T99

**Keywords** Mapping spaces, Haefliger model, Lusternik-Schnirelmann category

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be pointed connected CW-complexes. We study the occurrence of an  $H$ -space structure on the function space,  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ , of based maps in the component of the trivial map. Of course when  $X$  is a co- $H$ -space or  $Y$  is an  $H$ -space this mapping space is an  $H$ -space. Here, we are considering weaker conditions, both on  $X$  and  $Y$ , which guarantee the existence of an  $H$ -space structure on the function space. In Definition 3, we introduce the notion of  $H(n)$ -space designed for this purpose and prove:

**Proposition 1** *Let  $Y$  be an  $H(n)$ -space and  $X$  be a space of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category less than or equal to  $n$ . Then the space  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  is an  $H$ -space.*

The existence of an  $H(n)$ -structure and the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category (LS-category in short) are hard to determine. We first study some properties of  $H(n)$ -spaces and give some examples. Concerning the second hypothesis, we are interested in replacing  $\text{cat}(X) \leq n$  by an upper bound on an approximation of the LS-category (see [5, Chapter 2]). We succeed in Proposition 7 with an hypothesis on the dimension of  $X$  but the most interesting replacement is obtained in the rational setting which constitutes the second part of this paper.

We use Sullivan minimal models for which we refer to [6]. We recall here that each finite type nilpotent CW-complex  $X$  has a unique minimal model  $(\wedge V, d)$  that characterises all the rational homotopy type of  $X$ . We first prove that the existence of an  $H(n)$ -structure on a rational space  $X_0$  can be easily detected from its minimal model. It corresponds to a valuation of the differential of this model, introduced by Y. Kotani in [11]: The differential  $d$  of the minimal model  $(\wedge V, d)$  can be written as  $d = d_1 + d_2 + \dots$  where  $d_i$  increases the word length by  $i$ . The *differential length* of  $(\wedge V, d)$ , denoted  $\text{dl}(X)$ , is the least integer  $n$  such that  $d_{n-1}$  is non zero. As a minimal model of  $X$  is defined up to isomorphism, the differential length is a rational homotopy type invariant of  $X$ , see [11, Theorem 1.1]. Proposition 8 establishes a relation between  $\text{dl}(X)$  and the existence of an  $H(n)$ -structure on the rationalisation of  $X$ .

Finally, recall that *the rational cup-length*  $\text{cup}_0(X)$  of  $X$  is the maximal length of a nonzero product in  $H^{>0}(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and that *the rational Toomer invariant*  $e_0(X)$  of  $X$  can be defined as follows: if  $(\wedge V, d)$  denotes the minimal model of  $X$ , then  $e_0(X)$  is the least integer  $r$  such that the projection  $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>r} V, \bar{d})$  is injective in cohomology. In [11], by using the rational cup-length of  $X$  and the differential length of  $Y$ , Y. Kotani gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the rational cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  to be free commutative when  $X$  is a rational formal space and when the dimension of  $X$  is less than the connectivity of  $Y$ . We show here that a large part of the Kotani criterium remains valid, without hypothesis of formality and dimension. We prove:

**Theorem 2** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nilpotent finite type CW-complexes, with  $X$  finite.*

- (1) *If  $e_0(X) < \text{dl}(Y)$ , then the cohomology algebra  $H^*(\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *); \mathbb{Q})$  is free commutative.*
- (2) *If  $\dim(X) \leq \text{conn}(Y)$  and if the cohomology algebra  $H^*(\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *); \mathbb{Q})$  is free commutative, then  $\text{cup}_0(X) < \text{dl}(Y)$ .*

As an application, we describe in Theorem 12 the Postnikov tower of the rationalisation of  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  where  $X$  is a finite nilpotent space and  $Y$  a finite type CW-complex whose connectivity is greater than the dimension of  $X$ . Our description implies the solvability of the rational Pontrjagin algebra of  $\Omega(\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *))$ .

Section 2 contains the topological setting and the proof of Proposition 1. The link with rational models is done in Section 3. Our proof of Theorem 2 uses the Haefliger model for mapping spaces. In order to be self-contained, we recall briefly Haefliger’s construction in Section 4. The proof of Theorem 2 is contained in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 is devoted to the description of the Postnikov tower.

In this text, all spaces are supposed of the homotopy type of connected pointed CW-complexes and we will use cdga for *commutative differential graded algebra*. A *quasi-isomorphism* is a morphism of cdga’s which induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

We thank Katsuhiko Kuribayashi for pointing out an error in a previous version of this work. The error concerns the statement and the proof of part of Theorem 2 and Example 10. This corrected version was published 18 April 2006.

## 2 Structure of $H(n)$ -space

First we recall the construction of Ganea fibrations,  $p_n^X: G_n(X) \rightarrow X$ .

- Let  $F_0(X) \xrightarrow{i_0} G_0(X) \xrightarrow{p_0^X} X$  denote the path fibration on  $X$ ,  $\Omega X \rightarrow PX \rightarrow X$ .
- Suppose a fibration  $F_n(X) \xrightarrow{i_n} G_n(X) \xrightarrow{p_n^X} X$  has been constructed. We extend  $p_n^X$  to a map  $q_n: G_n(X) \cup C(F_n(X)) \rightarrow X$ , defined on the mapping cone of  $i_n$ , by setting  $q_n(x) = p_n^X(x)$  for  $x \in G_n(X)$  and  $q_n([y, t]) = *$  for  $[y, t] \in C(F_n(X))$ .
- Now convert  $q_n$  into a fibration  $p_{n+1}^X: G_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow X$ .

This construction is functorial and the space  $G_n(X)$  has the homotopy type of the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -classifying space of Milnor [12]. We quote also from [8] that the direct limit  $G_\infty(X)$  of the maps  $G_n(X) \rightarrow G_{n+1}(X)$  has the homotopy type of  $X$ . As spaces are pointed, one has two canonical applications  $\iota_n^l: G_n(X) \rightarrow G_n(X \times X)$  and  $\iota_n^r: G_n(X) \rightarrow G_n(X \times X)$  obtained from maps  $X \rightarrow X \times X$  defined respectively by  $x \mapsto (x, *)$  and  $x \mapsto (*, x)$ .

**Definition 3** A space  $X$  is an  $H(n)$ -space if there exists a map  $\mu_n : G_n(X \times X) \rightarrow X$  such that  $\mu_n \circ \iota_n^l = \mu_n \circ \iota_n^r = p_n^X : G_n(X) \rightarrow X$ .

Directly from the definition, we see that an  $H(\infty)$ -space is an  $H$ -space and that any space is a  $H(1)$ -space. Recall also that any co- $H$ -space is of LS-category 1. Then, Proposition 1 contains the trivial cases of a co- $H$ -space  $X$  and of an  $H$ -space  $Y$ .

**Proof of Proposition 1** From the hypothesis, we have a section  $\sigma : X \rightarrow G_n(X)$  of the Ganea fibration  $p_n^X$  and a map  $\mu_n : G_n(Y \times Y) \rightarrow Y$  extending the Ganea fibration  $p_n^Y$ , as in Definition 3. If  $f$  and  $g$  are elements of  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ , we set  $f \bullet g = \mu_n \circ G_n(f \times g) \circ G_n(\Delta_X) \circ \sigma$ , where  $\Delta_X$  denotes the diagonal map of  $X$ . One checks easily that  $f \bullet * \simeq * \bullet f \simeq f$ .  $\square$

In the rest of this section, we are interested in the existence of  $H(n)$ -structures on a given space. For the detection of an  $H(n)$ -space structure, one may replace the Ganea fibrations  $p_n^X$  by any functorial construction of fibrations  $\hat{p}_n : \hat{G}_n(X) \rightarrow X$  such that one has a functorial commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G}_n(X) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & G_n(X) \\ & \searrow \hat{p}_n & \swarrow p_n^X \\ & X & \end{array}$$

Such maps  $\hat{p}_n$  are called fibrations à la Ganea in [13] and substitutes to Ganea fibrations here. Moreover, as we are interested in product spaces, the following filtration of the space  $G_\infty(X) \times G_\infty(Y)$  plays an important role:

$$(G(X) \times G(Y))_n = \cup_{i+j=n} G_i(X) \times G_j(Y).$$

In [10], N. Iwase proved the existence of a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G(X) \times G(Y))_n & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & G_n(X \times Y) \\ & \searrow \cup(p_i^X \times p_j^Y) & \swarrow p_n^{X \times Y} \\ & X \times Y & \end{array}$$

and used it to settle a counter-example to the Ganea conjecture. Therefore, in Definition 3, we are allowed to replace the Ganea space  $G_n(X \times X)$  by  $(G(X) \times G(X))_n$ . Moreover, if  $\hat{p}_n : \hat{G}_n(X) \rightarrow X$  are substitutes to Ganea fibrations as above, we may also replace  $G_n(X \times X)$  by

$$(\hat{G}(X) \times \hat{G}(Y))_n = \cup_{i+j=n} \hat{G}_i(X) \times \hat{G}_j(Y).$$

We will use this possibility in the rational setting.

In the case  $n = 2$ , we have a cofibration sequence,

$$\Sigma(G_1(X) \wedge G_1(X)) \xrightarrow{Wh} G_1(X) \vee G_1(X) \longrightarrow G_1(X) \times G_1(X),$$

coming from the Arkowitz generalisation of a Whitehead bracket, [2]. Therefore, the existence of an  $H(2)$ -structure on a space  $X$  is equivalent to the triviality of  $(p_1^X \vee p_1^X) \circ Wh$ . As the loop  $\Omega p_1^X$  of the Ganea fibration  $p_1^X: G_1(X) \rightarrow X$  admits a section, we get the following *necessary condition*:

– if there is an  $H(2)$ -structure on  $X$ , then the homotopy Lie algebra of  $X$  is abelian, i.e. all Whitehead products vanish.

**Example 4** In the case  $X$  is a sphere  $S^n$ , the existence of an  $H(2)$  structure on  $S^n$  implies  $n = 1, 3$  or  $7$ , [1]. Therefore, only the spheres which are already  $H$ -spaces endow a structure of  $H(2)$  space. One can also observe that, in general, if a space  $X$  is both of category  $n$  and an  $H(2n)$ -space, then it is an  $H$ -space. The law is given by  $X \times X \xrightarrow{\sigma} G_{2n}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\mu_{2n}} X$ , where the existence of the section  $\sigma$  to  $p_{2n}^{X \times X}$  comes from  $\text{cat}(X \times X) \leq 2 \text{cat}(X)$ .

**Example 5** If we restrict to spaces whose loop space is a product of spheres or of loop spaces on a sphere, the previous necessary condition becomes a criterion. For instance, it is proved in [3] that all Whitehead products are zero in the complex projective 3-space. This implies that  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  is an  $H(2)$ -space. (Observe that  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  is not an  $H$ -space.) From [3], we know also that the homotopy Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is not abelian. Therefore  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is not an  $H(2)$ -space.

The following example shows that we can find  $H(n)$ -spaces, for any  $n > 1$ .

**Example 6** Denote by  $\varphi_r: K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 2r)$  the map corresponding to the class  $x^r \in H^{2r}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2); \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $x$  is the generator of  $H^2(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2); \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $E$  be the homotopy fibre of  $\varphi_r$ . We prove below that  $E$  is an  $H(r - 1)$ -space.

First we derive, from the homotopy long exact sequence associated to the map  $\varphi_r$ , that  $\Omega E$  has the homotopy type of  $S^1 \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2r - 2)$ . Therefore, the only obstruction to extend  $G_{r-1}(E) \vee G_{r-1}(E) \rightarrow E$  to  $(G(E) \times G(E))_{r-1} = \cup_{i+j=r-1} G_i(E) \times G_j(E)$  lies in  $\text{Hom}(H_{2r}((G(E) \times G(E))_{r-1}; \mathbb{Z}), \pi_{2r-2}(E))$ .

If  $A$  and  $B$  are CW-complexes, we denote by  $A \sim_n B$  the fact that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same  $n$ -skeleton. If we look at the Ganea total spaces and fibres, we get  $\Sigma \Omega E \sim_{2r} S^2 \vee S^{2r-1} \vee S^{2r}$ ,  $F_1(E) = \Omega E * \Omega E \sim_{2r} S^3 \vee S^{2r} \vee S^{2r}$ , and

more generally,  $F_s(E) \sim_{2r} S^{2s+1}$ , for any  $s$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq r - 1$ . Observe also that  $H_{2r}(F_2(E); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{2r}(G_1(E); \mathbb{Z})$  is onto. (As we have only spherical classes in this degree, this comes from the homotopy long exact sequence.)

As a conclusion, we have no cell in degree  $2r$  in  $(G(E) \times G(E))_{r-1}$  and  $E$  is an  $H(r - 1)$ -space.

We end this section with a reduction to a more computable invariant than the LS-category. Consider  $\rho_n^X: X \rightarrow G_{[n]}(X)$  the homotopy cofibre of the Ganea fibration  $p_n^X$ . Recall that, by definition,  $\text{wcat}_G(X) \leq n$  if the map  $\rho_n^X$  is homotopically trivial. Observe that we always have  $\text{wcat}_G(X) \leq \text{cat}(X)$ , see [5, Section 2.6] for more details on this invariant.

**Proposition 7** *Let  $X$  be a CW-complex of dimension  $k$  and  $Y$  be a CW-complex  $(c - 1)$ -connected with  $k \leq c - 1$ . If  $Y$  is an  $H(n)$ -space such that  $\text{wcat}_G(X) \leq n$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  is an  $H$ -space.*

**Proof** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be elements of  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ . Denote by  $\tilde{i}_n^X: \tilde{F}_n(X) \rightarrow X$  the homotopy fibre of  $\rho_n^X: X \rightarrow G_{[n]}(X)$ . This construction is functorial and the map  $(f, g): X \rightarrow Y \times Y$  induces a map  $\tilde{F}_n(f, g): \tilde{F}_n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)$  such that  $\tilde{i}_n^{Y \times Y} \circ \tilde{F}_n(f, g) = (f, g) \circ \tilde{i}_n^X$ .

By hypothesis, we have a homotopy section  $\tilde{\sigma}: X \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(X)$  of  $\tilde{i}_n^X$ . Therefore, one gets a map  $X \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)$  as  $\tilde{F}_n(f, g) \circ \tilde{\sigma}$ .

Recall now that, if  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  is a cofibration with  $A$   $(a - 1)$ -connected and  $C$   $(c - 1)$ -connected, then the canonical map  $A \rightarrow F$  in the homotopy fibre of  $B \rightarrow C$  is an  $(a + c - 2)$ -equivalence. We apply it in the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_n(Y \times Y) & \xrightarrow{p_n^{Y \times Y}} & Y \times Y \xrightarrow{\rho_n^{Y \times Y}} G_{[n]}(Y \times Y) \\
 j_n^{Y \times Y} \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{i}_n^{Y \times Y} & \\
 \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y) & & 
 \end{array}$$

The space  $G_n(Y \times Y)$  is  $(c - 1)$ -connected and  $G_{[n]}(Y \times Y)$  is  $c$ -connected. Therefore the map  $j_n^{Y \times Y}$  is  $(2c - 1)$ -connected. From the hypothesis, we get  $k \leq c - 1 < 2c - 1$  and the map  $j_n^{Y \times Y}$  induces a bijection

$$[X, G_n(Y \times Y)] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)].$$

Denote by  $g_n: X \rightarrow G_n(Y \times Y)$  the unique lifting of  $\tilde{F}_n(f, g) \circ \tilde{\sigma}$ . The composition  $g \bullet f$  is defined as  $\mu_n \circ g_n$  where  $\mu_n$  is the  $H(n)$ -structure on  $Y$ .

If we set  $g = *$ , then  $\tilde{F}_n(f, g)$  is obtained as the composite of  $\tilde{F}_n(f)$  with the map  $\tilde{F}_n(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y)$  induced by  $y \mapsto (y, *)$ . As before, one has an isomorphism  $[X, G_n(Y)] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \tilde{F}_n(Y)]$ . A chase in the following diagram shows that  $f \bullet * = f$  as expected,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & G_n(Y \times Y) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{F}_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_n(f)} & \tilde{F}_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_n(Y \times Y) \\
 \tilde{\sigma} \uparrow & & \downarrow i_n^Y & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & 
 \end{array}
 \quad \square$$

### 3 Rational characterisation of $H(n)$ -spaces

Define  $m_H(X)$  as the greatest integer  $n$  such that  $X$  admits an  $H(n)$ -structure and denote by  $X_0$  the rationalisation of a nilpotent finite type CW-complex  $X$ . Recall that  $\text{dl}(X)$  is the valuation of the differential of the minimal model of  $X$ , already defined in the introduction.

**Proposition 8** *Let  $X$  be a nilpotent finite type CW-complex of rationalisation  $X_0$ . Then we have  $m_H(X_0) + 1 = \text{dl}(X)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $(\wedge V, d)$  be the minimal model of  $X$ . Recall from [7] that a model of the Ganea fibration  $p_n^X$  is given by the following composition,

$$(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>n} V, \bar{d}) \hookrightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>n} V, \bar{d}) \oplus S,$$

where the first map is the natural projection and the second one the canonical injection together with  $S \cdot S = S \cdot V = 0$  and  $d(S) = 0$ . As the first map is functorial and the second one admits a left inverse over  $(\wedge V, d)$ , we may use the realisation of  $(\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V / \wedge^{>n} V, \bar{d})$  as substitute for the Ganea fibration.

Suppose  $\text{dl}(X) = r$ . We consider the cdga  $(\wedge V', d') \otimes (\wedge V'', d'') / I_r$  where  $(\wedge V', d')$  and  $(\wedge V'', d'')$  are copies of  $(\wedge V, d)$  and where  $I_r = \bigoplus_{i+j \geq r} \wedge^i V' \otimes \wedge^j V''$ . Observe that this cdga has a zero differential and that the morphism

$$\varphi : (\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V', d') \otimes (\wedge V'', d'') / I_r$$

defined by  $\varphi(v) = v' + v''$  satisfies  $\varphi(dv) = 0$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is a morphism of cdga's and its realisation induces an  $H(n)$ -structure on the rationalisation  $X_0$ . That shows:  $m_H(X_0) + 1 \geq \text{dl}(X)$ .

Suppose now that  $m_H(X_0) + 1 > \text{dl}(X) = r$ . By hypothesis, we have a morphism of cdga's

$$\varphi : (\wedge V, d) \rightarrow (\wedge V', d') \otimes (\wedge V'', d'') / I_{r+1}.$$

By construction, in this quotient, a cocycle of wedge degree  $r$  cannot be a coboundary. Since the composition of  $\varphi$  with the projection on the two factors is the natural projection, we have  $\varphi(v) - v' - v'' \in \wedge^+ V' \otimes \wedge^+ V''$ . Now let  $v \in V$ , of lowest degree with  $d_r(v) \neq 0$ . From  $d_r(v) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_r}$ , we get

$$\varphi(dv) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} (v'_{i_1} + v''_{i_1}) \cdot (v'_{i_2} + v''_{i_2}) \cdots (v'_{i_r} + v''_{i_r}).$$

This expression cannot be a coboundary and the equation  $d\varphi(x) = \varphi(dx)$  is impossible. We get a contradiction, therefore one has  $m_H(X_0) + 1 = \text{dl}(X)$ .  $\square$

## 4 The Haefliger model

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be finite type nilpotent CW-complexes with  $X$  of finite dimension. Let  $(\wedge V, d)$  be the minimal model of  $Y$  and  $(A, d_A)$  be a finite dimensional model for  $X$ , which means that  $(A, d_A)$  is a finite dimensional cdga equipped with a quasi-isomorphism  $\psi$  from the minimal model of  $X$  into  $(A, d_A)$ . Denote by  $A^\vee$  the dual vector space of  $A$ , graded by

$$(A^\vee)^{-n} = \text{Hom}(A^n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We set  $A^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A^i$ , and we fix an homogeneous basis  $(a_1, \dots, a_p)$  of  $A^+$ . The dual basis  $(a^s)_{1 \leq s \leq p}$  is a basis of  $B = (A^+)^{\vee}$  defined by  $\langle a^s; a_t \rangle = \delta_{st}$ .

We construct now a morphism of algebras  $\varphi : \wedge V \rightarrow A \otimes \wedge(B \otimes V)$  by

$$\varphi(v) = \sum_{s=1}^p a_s \otimes (a^s \otimes v).$$

In [9] Haefliger proves that there is a unique differential  $D$  on  $\wedge(B \otimes V)$  such that  $\varphi$  is a morphism of cdga's, i.e.  $(d_A \otimes D) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ d$ .

In general, the cdga  $(\wedge(B \otimes V), D)$  is not positively graded. Denote by  $D_0 : B \otimes V \rightarrow B \otimes V$  the linear part of the differential  $D$ . We define a cdga  $(\wedge Z, D)$  by constructing  $Z$  as the quotient of  $B \otimes V$  by  $\bigoplus_{j \leq 0} (B \otimes V)^j$  and their image by  $D_0$ . Haefliger proves:

**Theorem 9** [9] *The commutative differential graded algebra  $(\wedge Z, D)$  is a model of the mapping space  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ .*

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2

**Proof** We start with an explicit description of the Haefliger model, keeping the notation of Section 4. The cdga  $(\wedge V, d)$  is a minimal model of  $Y$  and we choose for  $V$  a basis  $(v_k)$ , indexed by a well-ordered set and satisfying  $d(v_k) \in \wedge(v_r)_{r < k}$  for all  $k$ . As homogeneous basis  $(a_s)_{1 \leq s \leq p}$  of  $A$ , we choose elements  $h_i, e_j$  and  $b_j$  such that:

- the elements  $h_i$  are cocycles and their classes  $[h_i]$  form a linear basis of the reduced cohomology of  $A$ ;
- the elements  $e_j$  form a linear basis of a supplement of the vector space of cocycles in  $A$ , and  $b_j = d_A(e_j)$ .

We denote by  $h^i, e^j$  and  $b^j$  the corresponding elements of the basis of  $B = (A^+)^V$ . By developing  $D_0(\sum_s a_s \otimes (a^s \otimes v)) = 0$ , we get a direct description of the linear part  $D_0$  of the differential  $D$  of the Haefliger model:

$$D_0(b^j \otimes v) = -(-1)^{|b^j|} e^j \otimes v \text{ and } D_0(h^i \otimes v) = 0, \text{ for each } v \in V.$$

A linear basis of the graded vector space  $Z$  is therefore given by the elements:

$$\begin{cases} b^j \otimes v_k, & \text{with } |b^j \otimes v_k| \geq 1, \\ e^j \otimes v_k, & \text{with } |e^j \otimes v_k| \geq 2, \\ h^i \otimes v_k, & \text{with } |h^i \otimes v_k| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, from  $\varphi(dv) = (D - D_0)\varphi(v)$  and  $d(v) = \sum c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_r}$ , we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} & (D - D_0)(a^s \otimes v) = \\ & \pm \sum c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} \sum_{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_r}} \langle a^s; a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_r} \rangle (a_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_1}) \cdot (a_{i_2} \otimes v_{i_2}) \dots (a_{i_r} \otimes v_{i_r}) \end{aligned}$$

where, as usual, the sign  $\pm$  is entirely determined by a strict application of the Koszul rule for a permutation of graded objects.

Let  $(A, d_A)$  be a finite dimensional model of  $X$ , obtained as the quotient of the minimal model  $(\wedge W, d)$  of  $X$  by the ideal  $(\wedge W)^{>N} \oplus S$  where  $N$  is greater than the dimension of  $X$  and  $S$  is a supplement of the cocycles in degree  $N$ . Denote by  $J_q$  the ideal of  $A$  generated by the products of  $q$  elements in  $A^+$ . Then the Toomer invariant  $e_0(X)$  is equal to the minimum  $q$  such that the quotient map  $(A, d_A) \rightarrow (A/J_q, \bar{d}_A)$  is injective in cohomology.

Suppose first that  $e_0(X) < dl(Y)$ . This inequality allows the choice of a basis  $(h_j), (e_j), (b_j)$  such that  $\langle h^j; \alpha \rangle = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in J_q$  with  $q = e_0(X)$ . The ideal  $I$  generated by the elements  $b^j \otimes v_s$  and  $D(b^j \otimes v_s)$  is a differential acyclic ideal. In the quotient  $(\wedge Z, D)/I$ , the elements  $b^j \otimes v_s$  disappear and the  $e^j \otimes v_s$  are

replaced by decomposable elements of the form  $h^j \otimes v_s$ . By the above remark and the Haefliger definition, the differential  $D$  is zero on  $(\wedge Z, D)/I$ .

We consider now the case  $\text{cup}_0(X) \geq \text{dl}(Y)$  with  $\dim(X) \leq \text{conn}(Y)$ . We choose linearly independent cocycles  $z_1, \dots, z_l$  of  $A$ , such that the cohomology class of the product  $\omega = z_1^{q_1} \cdots z_l^{q_l}$  is not zero with  $m = \sum_i q_i$ . We choose the basis  $(h_j)$  such that it contains all the elements  $z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_l^{n_l}$  with  $n_i \leq q_i$ . We choose also an element  $v \in V$  that satisfies  $dv = d_{r-1}v + \cdots$ , with  $d_{r-1}(v) \neq 0$  and  $r \leq m$ . As above we can kill all the elements  $b^j \otimes v_s$  and  $D(b^j \otimes v_s)$  and keep a quasi-isomorphism  $\rho: (\wedge Z, D) \rightarrow (\wedge T, \bar{D}) := (\wedge Z/I, \bar{D})$ . If the differential  $\bar{D}$  is nonzero then the theorem is proved.

We give a weight at each variable  $v_i \in V$  and denote by  $\mu v_1 \cdots v_r$  the monomial of highest weight in  $d_{r-1}(v)$ . Let now  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  be  $r$  elements in the family  $(h_i)$  such that  $\omega = h_1 \cdots h_r$ . Let  $\omega' \in A^\vee$  such that  $\langle \omega', \omega \rangle = 1$ . Two permutations  $\sigma$  and  $\tau \in \Sigma_r$  are said equivalent if  $h_{\sigma(i)} = h_{\tau(i)}$  for all  $i$ . We denote by  $T \subset \Sigma_r$  a set of representatives of the equivalences classes and by  $T' \subset T$ , the set of  $\sigma$  such that  $v_{\sigma(i)} = v_i$  for each  $i$ . Then the component of  $(h^1 \otimes v_1) \cdots (h^r \otimes v_r)$  in  $\bar{D}_{r-1}(\omega' \otimes v)$  is  $|T'| \cdot \mu \neq 0$ . This shows that the differential  $\bar{D}$  is nonzero.  $\square$

**Example 10** In assertion (1) of Theorem 2, we cannot replace  $e_0(X)$  by  $\text{cup}_0(X)$ . Consider for instance the space

$$X = S_a^2 \vee S_b^2 \cup_\omega e^5, \quad \text{with } \omega = [a, [a, b]].$$

A finite dimensional model for  $X$  is given by the differential graded algebra

$$(A, d) = (\wedge(a, b, c)/(a^2, b^2, bc), d)$$

with  $|a| = |b| = 2$ ,  $|c| = 3$ ,  $d(a) = d(b) = 0$ ,  $d(c) = ab$ . A linear basis for  $A$  is given by the elements  $1, a, b, c, ab, ca$ , and a linear basis for  $A^\vee$  is given by  $1^*, a^*, b^*, c^*, (ab)^*, (ca)^*$ . Observe that  $\text{cup}_0(X) = 1$ ,  $\text{dl}(X) = e_0(X) = 2$ . Let now  $Y$  be the wedge  $S^7 \vee S^7$  whose minimal model is  $(\wedge V, d)$  with  $V = (v, w, z, u, t, \dots)$ ,  $|v| = |w| = 7$ ,  $|z| = 13$ ,  $|u| = |t| = 19$ , the other generators having degrees  $\geq 20$ . The differential of the first generators satisfies  $dv = dw = 0$ ,  $dz = vw$ ,  $du = zv$ ,  $dt = zw$ . In the Haefliger model for  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ , if we take the quotient by the acyclic ideal  $I$  generated by the elements  $b^j \otimes v_s$  and  $D(b^j \otimes v_s)$ , we get a nonzero differential. In particular,

$$D((ca)^* \otimes u) = \pm(b^* \otimes v)(a^* \otimes w)(a^* \otimes v).$$

This implies that the cohomology of the mapping space is not free.

**Example 11** When the dimension of  $X$  is greater than the connectivity of  $Y$ , the degrees of the elements have some importance. The cohomology can

be commutative free even if  $\text{cup}_0(X) \geq \text{dl}(Y)$ . For instance, consider  $X = S^5 \times S^{11}$  and  $Y = S^8$ . One has  $\text{cup}_0(X) = \text{dl}(Y) = 2$  and the function space  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  is a rational  $H$ -space with the rational homotopy type of  $K(\mathbb{Q}, 3) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 4) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 10)$ , as a direct computation with the Haefliger model shows.

## 6 Rationalisation of $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$ for $\text{dim}(X) \leq \text{conn}(Y)$

Let  $X$  be a finite nilpotent space with rational LS-category equal to  $m - 1$  and let  $Y$  be a finite type nilpotent CW-complex whose connectivity  $c$  is greater than the dimension of  $X$ . We set  $r = \text{dl}(Y)$  and denote by  $s$  the maximal integer such that  $m/r^s \geq 1$ , i.e.  $s$  is the integral part of  $\log_r m$ .

**Theorem 12** *There is a sequence of rational fibrations  $K_k \rightarrow F_k \rightarrow F_{k-1}$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, s$ , with  $F_0 = *$ ,  $F_s$  is the rationalisation of  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  and each space  $K_k$  is a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. In particular, the rational loop space homology of  $\mathcal{F}_*(X, Y, *)$  is solvable with solvable index less than or equal to  $s$ .*

**Proof** By a result of Cornea [4], the space  $X$  admits a finite dimensional model  $A$  such that  $m$  is the maximal length of a nonzero product of elements of positive degree. We denote by  $(\wedge V, d)$  the minimal model of  $Y$ .

We consider the ideals  $I_k = A^{>m/r^k}$ , and the short exact sequences of  $\text{cdga}$ 's

$$I_k/I_{k-1} \rightarrow A/I_{k-1} \rightarrow A/I_k.$$

These short exact sequences realise into cofibrations  $T_k \rightarrow T_{k-1} \rightarrow Z_k$  and the sequences

$$(\wedge((A^+/I_k)^\vee \otimes V), D) \rightarrow (\wedge((A^+/I_{k-1})^\vee \otimes V), D) \rightarrow (\wedge((I_k/I_{k-1})^\vee \otimes V), D)$$

are relative Sullivan models for the fibrations

$$\mathcal{F}_*(Z_k, Y, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_*(T_{k-1}, Y, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_*(T_k, Y, *).$$

Now since the cup length of the space  $Z_k$  is strictly less than  $r$ , the function spaces  $\mathcal{F}_*(Z_k, Y, *)$  are rational  $H$ -spaces, and this proves Theorem 12.  $\square$

## References

- [1] **J Frank Adams**, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960) 20–104 [MathReview](#)
- [2] **Martin Arkowitz**, *The generalized Whitehead product*, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962) 7–23 [MathReview](#)
- [3] **Michael Barratt, Ioan James, Norman Stein**, *Whitehead products and projective spaces*, J. Math. Mech. 9 (1960) 813–819 [MathReview](#)
- [4] **Octavian Cornea**, *Cone-length and Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Topology 33 (1994) 95–111 [MathReview](#)
- [5] **Octavian Cornea, Gregory Lupton, John Oprea, Daniel Tanré**, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, volume 103 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2003) [MathReview](#)
- [6] **Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, Jean-Claude Thomas**, *Rational homotopy theory*, volume 205 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2001) [MathReview](#)
- [7] **Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin**, *Rational LS-category and its applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982) 1–37 [MathReview](#)
- [8] **Tudor Ganea**, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and strong category*, Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967) 417–427 [MathReview](#)
- [9] **André Haefliger**, *Rational homotopy of the space of sections of a nilpotent bundle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982) 609–620 [MathReview](#)
- [10] **Norio Iwase**, *Ganea’s conjecture on Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 30 (1998) 623–634 [MathReview](#)
- [11] **Yasusuke Kotani**, *Note on the rational cohomology of the function space of based maps*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 6 (2004) 341–350 [MathReview](#)
- [12] **John Milnor**, *Construction of universal bundles. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) 63 (1956) 272–284 [MathReview](#)
- [13] **Hans Scheerer, Daniel Tanré**, *Fibrations à la Ganea*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 4 (1997) 333–353 [MathReview](#)

*Département de Mathématiques, Université Catholique de Louvain  
2, Chemin du Cyclotron, 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium*

and

*Département de Mathématiques, UMR 8524, Université de Lille 1  
59655 Villeneuve d’Ascq Cedex, France*

Email: felix@math.ucl.ac.be, Daniel.Tanre@univ-lille1.fr

Received: 13 February 2005