

On compact hyperbolic manifolds of Euler characteristic two

VINCENT EMERY

We prove that for $n > 4$ there is no compact arithmetic hyperbolic n -manifold whose Euler characteristic has absolute value equal to 2. In particular, this shows the nonexistence of arithmetically defined hyperbolic rational homology n -spheres with n even and different than 4.

22E40; 55C35, 51M25

Dedicated to the memory of Colin Maclachlan

1 Main result and discussion

1.1 Smallest hyperbolic manifolds

Let \mathbb{H}^n be the hyperbolic n -space. By a *hyperbolic n -manifold* we mean an orientable manifold $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$, where Γ is a torsion free discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$. The set of volumes of hyperbolic n -manifolds being well ordered, it is natural to try to determine for each dimension n the hyperbolic manifolds of smallest volume. For $n = 3$ this problem has recently been solved by Gabai, Meyerhoff and Milley in [15], the smallest volume being achieved by a unique compact manifold, the Weeks manifold. When n is even the volume is proportional to the Euler characteristic, and this allows us to formulate the problem in terms of finding the hyperbolic manifolds M with smallest $|\chi(M)|$. In particular this observation solves the problem in the case of surfaces. For $n > 3$, noncompact hyperbolic n -manifolds M with $|\chi(M)| = 1$ have been found for $n = 4, 6$; see Everitt, Ratcliffe and Tschantz [13].

In the present paper we consider the case of compact manifolds of even dimension. In particular, such manifolds have even Euler characteristic (see Kellerhals and Zehrt [17, Theorem 1.2]). We restrict ourselves to the case of *arithmetic* manifolds, where Prasad's formula [20] can be used to study volumes. We complete the proof of the following result.

Theorem 1 *Let $n > 5$. There is no compact arithmetic manifold $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ with $|\chi(M)| = 2$.*

The result for $n > 10$ already follows from the work of Belolipetsky [2; 3], also based on Prasad's volume formula. More precisely, Belolipetsky determined the smallest Euler characteristic $|\chi(\Gamma)|$ for arithmetic orbifold quotients $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ (n even). This smallest value grows fast with the dimension n , and for compact quotients we have $|\chi(\Gamma)| > 2$ for $n > 10$. That the result of nonexistence holds for n high enough is already a consequence of Borel and Prasad's general finiteness result [7], which was the first application of Prasad's formula. The proof of [Theorem 1](#) for $n = 6, 8, 10$ requires a more precise analysis of the Euler characteristic of arithmetic subgroups $\Gamma \subset \text{PO}(n, 1)$, and in particular of the special values of Dedekind zeta functions that appear as factors of $\chi(\Gamma)$.

For $n = 4$, the corresponding problem is not solved, but there is the following result [3].

Theorem 2 (Belolipetsky) *If $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^4$ is a compact arithmetic manifold with $\chi(M) \leq 16$, then Γ arises as a (torsion free) subgroup of the following hyperbolic Coxeter group:*

$$(1) \quad W_1 = \bullet \overset{5}{\text{---}} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet$$

An arithmetic (orientable) hyperbolic 4-manifold of Euler characteristic 16 was first constructed by Conder and Maclachlan in [11], using the presentation of W_1 to obtain a torsion free subgroup with the help of a computer. Further examples with $\chi(M) = 16$ have been obtained by Long in [18] by considering a homomorphism from W_1 onto the finite simple group $\text{PSp}_4(4)$.

1.2 Hyperbolic homology spheres

Our original motivation for [Theorem 1](#) was the problem of existence of hyperbolic homology spheres. A *homology n -sphere* (resp. *rational homology n -sphere*) is a n -manifold M that possesses the same integral (resp. rational) homology as the n -sphere S^n . This forces M to be compact and orientable.

Rational homology n -spheres M have $\chi(M) = 2$ if n is even. On the other hand, for $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ with $n = 4k + 2$ we have $\chi(M) < 0$ (cf Serre [25, Proposition 23]), and this excludes the possibility of hyperbolic rational homology spheres for those dimensions. For n even, Wang's finiteness theorem [28] implies that there is only a finite number of hyperbolic rational homology n -spheres. [Theorem 1](#) shows the nonexistence of arithmetic rational homology spheres for $n > 5$ even.

For odd dimensions, $\chi(M) = 0$ and *a priori* the volume is not a limitation for the existence of hyperbolic (rational) homology spheres. In fact, an infinite tower of covers by hyperbolic integral homology 3–spheres has been constructed by Baker, Boileau and Wang in [1]. In [8] Calegari and Dunfield constructed an infinite tower of hyperbolic rational homology 3–spheres that are arithmetic and obtained by congruence subgroups. Note that a recent conjecture of Bergeron and Venkatesh predicts a lot of torsion in the homology groups of such a “congruence tower” of arithmetic n –manifolds with n odd [5].

1.3 Locally symmetric homology spheres

Instead of considering hyperbolic homology spheres, one can more generally look for homology spheres that are locally isometric to a given symmetric space of nonpositive nonflat sectional curvature. Such a symmetric space X is said to be of noncompact type, and it is classical that X can be written as G/K , where G is a connected real semisimple Lie group with trivial center with $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup. Moreover, G identifies as a finite index subgroup in the group of isometries of X (of index two if G is simple).

Let us explain why the case $X = \mathbb{H}^n$ is the main source of locally symmetric rational homology spheres (among X of noncompact type). Let M be a compact orientable manifold locally isometric to X . Then M can be written as $\Gamma \backslash X$, where $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$ is a discrete subgroup of isometries of X . We will suppose that $\Gamma \subset G$, for G as above. Let X_u be the compact dual of X . We have the following general result (see Borel [6, Sections 3.2 and 10.2]).

Proposition 3 *There is an injective homomorphism $H^j(X_u, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^j(\Gamma \backslash X, \mathbb{C})$, for each j .*

In particular, if $\Gamma \backslash X$ is a rational homology sphere, then so is X_u . Note that the compact dual of $X = \mathbb{H}^n$ is the genuine sphere S^n . By looking at the classification of compact symmetric spaces, Johnson showed the following in [16, Theorem 7].

Corollary 4 *If $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ is a rational homology n –sphere with $\Gamma \subset G$, then X is either the hyperbolic n –space \mathbb{H}^n (with $n \neq 4k + 2$), or $X = \mathrm{PSL}_3(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{PSO}(3)$ (which has dimension 5).*

Proposition 3 shows that the correct problem to look at—rather than homology spheres—is the existence of locally symmetric spaces $\Gamma \backslash X$ with the same (rational) homology as the compact dual X_u . When X is the complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, the

compact dual is the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, and the quotients $\Gamma \backslash X$ are compact complex surfaces called *fake projective planes*. Their classification was recently obtained by the work of Prasad and Yeung [21], together with Cartwright and Steger [9] who performed the necessary computer search. Later, Prasad and Yeung also considered the problem of the existence of more general arithmetic fake Hermitian spaces [22; 23].

The present paper uses the same methodology as in Prasad and Yeung's work, the main ingredient being the volume formula.

Acknowledgements It is a pleasure to thank Gopal Prasad, who suggested this research project. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, project number PA00P2-139672.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $G = \mathrm{PO}(n, 1)^{\circ} \cong \mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and consider the universal covering $\phi: \mathrm{Spin}(n, 1) \rightarrow G$. For our purpose it will be easier to work with lattices in $\mathrm{Spin}(n, 1)$. A lattice $\bar{\Gamma} \subset G$ is arithmetic exactly when $\Gamma = \phi^{-1}(\bar{\Gamma})$ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(n, 1)$. Since the covering ϕ is twofold, we have $\chi(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(\bar{\Gamma})$, where χ is the Euler characteristic in the sense of Wall. In particular, if $M = \bar{\Gamma} \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ is a manifold with $|\chi(M)| = 2$, then $|\chi(\Gamma)| = 1$. Thus, Theorem 1 is an obvious consequence of the following proposition. The proof relies on the description of arithmetic subgroups with the help of Bruhat–Tits theory, as done for instance in [7; 20]. An introduction can be found in the author's work [12]. We also refer to [27] for the needed facts from Bruhat–Tits theory.

Proposition 5 *Let $n > 4$. There is no cocompact arithmetic lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Spin}(n, 1)$ such that $\chi(\Gamma)$ is a reciprocal integer, ie, such that $\chi(\Gamma) = 1/q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof We can assume that n is even. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Spin}(n, 1)$ be a cocompact lattice. Clearly, it suffices to prove the proposition for Γ maximal. In this case, Γ can be written as the normalizer $\Gamma = N_{\mathrm{Spin}(n, 1)}(\Lambda)$ of some *principal* arithmetic subgroup Λ (see [7, Proposition 1.4]). By definition, there exists a number field $k \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a k -group \mathbf{G} with $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{Spin}(n, 1)$ such that $\Lambda = \mathbf{G}(k) \cap \prod_{v \in V_f} P_v$, for some coherent collection $(P_v)_{v \in V_f}$ of parahoric subgroups $P_v \subset \mathbf{G}(k_v)$ (indexed by the set V_f of finite places of k). It follows from the classification of algebraic groups (cf Tits [26]) that \mathbf{G} is of type \mathbf{B}_r with $r = n/2$ (> 2), the field k is totally real, and (using Godement's criterion) $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Let us denote by d the degree $[k : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Let $T \subset V_f$ be the set of places where P_v is not hyperspecial. By Prasad’s volume formula (see [20] and [7, Section 4.2]), we have

$$(2) \quad |\chi(\Lambda)| = 2|D_k|^{r^2+r/2} C(r)^d \prod_{j=1}^r \zeta_k(2j) \prod_{v \in T} \lambda_v,$$

with D_k (resp. ζ_k) the discriminant (resp. Dedekind zeta function) of k ; the constant $C(r)$ is given by

$$(3) \quad C(r) = \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{(2j-1)!}{(2\pi)^{2j}},$$

and each λ_v is given by the formula

$$(4) \quad \lambda_v = \frac{1}{(q_v)^{(\dim \mathcal{M}_v - \dim \mathbf{M}_v)/2}} \frac{|\mathcal{M}(f_v)|}{|\mathbf{M}_v(f_v)|},$$

where f_v is the residue field of k_v , of size q_v , and the reductive f_v -groups \mathbf{M}_v and \mathcal{M}_v associated with P_v are those described in [20]. By definition \mathcal{M}_v is semisimple of type \mathbf{B}_r .

\mathbf{G}/k_v	isogeny type of \mathbf{M}_v	λ_v
split	$\mathbf{B}_{r-1} \times (\text{split } \mathbf{GL}_1)$	$\frac{q^{2r}-1}{q-1}$
	$\mathbf{D}_i \times \mathbf{B}_{r-i} \ (i = 2, \dots, r-1)$	$\frac{(q^i+1) \prod_{k=i+1}^r (q^{2k}-1)}{\prod_{k=1}^{r-i} (q^{2k}-1)}$
	${}^1\mathbf{D}_r$	$q^r + 1$
nonsplit	$\mathbf{B}_{r-1} \times (\text{nonsplit } \mathbf{GL}_1)$	$\frac{q^{2r}-1}{q+1}$
	${}^2\mathbf{D}_{i+1} \times \mathbf{B}_{r-i-1} \ (i = 1, \dots, r-2)$	$\frac{(q^{i+1}-1) \prod_{k=i+2}^r (q^{2k}-1)}{\prod_{k=1}^{r-i-1} (q^{2k}-1)}$
	${}^2\mathbf{D}_r$	$q^r - 1$

Table 1: λ_v for P_v of maximal type

A necessary condition for $\Gamma = N_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})}(\Lambda)$ to be maximal is that each P_v defining Λ has maximal type in the sense of Ryzhkov and Chernousov [24]. We list in Table 1 the factors λ_v corresponding to parahoric subgroups P_v of maximal types (to improve the readability we set $q_v = q$ in the formulas). This list of maximal type and the formulas for λ_v are essentially the same as in [2, Table 1]: the only difference is a factor of 2 in the denominator of some λ_v , which can be explained from the fact that Belolipetsky did not work with \mathbf{G} simply connected.

From [7, Section 5] (cf also [12, Chapter 12]) we can deduce that the index $[\Gamma : \Lambda]$ of Λ in its normalizer has the following property:

$$(5) \quad [\Gamma : \Lambda] \text{ divides } h_k 2^d 4^{\#T}$$

Moreover, a case by case analysis of the possible factor λ_v shows that $\lambda_v > 4$, so that $4^{-\#T} \prod_{v \in T} \lambda_v \geq 1$ (with equality exactly when T is empty). We thus have the following lower bound for the Euler characteristic of any maximal arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Spin}(n, 1)$:

$$(6) \quad |\chi(\Gamma)| \geq \frac{2}{h_k} \left(\frac{C(r)}{2} \right)^d |D_k|^{r^2+r/2} \zeta_k(2) \cdots \zeta_k(2r)$$

We make use of the following upper bound for the class number (see for instance Belolipetsky and the author [4, Section 7.2]):

$$(7) \quad h_k \leq 16 \left(\frac{\pi}{12} \right)^d |D_k|$$

which together with the basic inequality $\zeta_k(2j) > 1$ transforms (6) into

$$(8) \quad |\chi(\Gamma)| > \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{6 \cdot C(r)}{\pi} \right)^d |D_k|^{r^2+r/2-1}.$$

Moreover, according to Odlyzko [19, Table 4], we have that for a degree $d \geq 5$ the discriminant of k is larger than $(6.5)^d$. With this estimates we can check that for $r \geq 3$ and $d \geq 5$ we have $|\chi(\Gamma)| > 1$. For the lower degrees, if we suppose that $|\chi(\Gamma)| \leq 1$, we obtain upper bounds for $|D_k|$ from Equation (8). This upper bounds exclude the existence of such a Γ for $r \geq 6$ (which is already clear from the work of Belolipetsky [2]). For $r = 3$ (where the bounds are the worst) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d = 2 : |D_k| &\leq 28 \\ d = 3 : |D_k| &\leq 134 \\ d = 4 : |D_k| &\leq 640 \end{aligned}$$

From the existing tables of number fields (eg, [10; 14]) we can list the possibilities this leaves us for k . We find that no field with $d = 4$ can appear, and for $d = 2, 3$ all possibilities have class number $h_k = 1$. Using Equation (7) with $h_k = 1$ we then improve the upper bounds for $|D_k|$ and thus shorten the list of possible fields. For $r = 5$ only $|D_k| = 5$ arises, and for $r = 4$ we have $|D_k| \leq 11$ (the possibility $d = 3$ is excluded here). For $r = 3$, we are left with $|D_k| \leq 20$ when $d = 2$, and $|D_k| = 49$ or 81 when $d = 3$.

With $h_k = 1$, using the functional equation for ζ_k and the property (5) for the index $[\Gamma : \Lambda]$, we can express the Euler characteristic of Γ as

$$(9) \quad |\chi(\Gamma)| = \frac{1}{2^a} \prod_{v \in T} \lambda_v \prod_{j=1}^r |\zeta_k(1 - 2j)|$$

for some integer a . The special values $\zeta_k(1 - 2j)$, which are rational by the Klingen–Siegel theorem, can be computed with the software Pari/GP (cf Remark 6). We list in Table 2 the values we need. We check that for every field k under consideration a prime factor greater than 2 appear in the numerator of the product $\prod_{j=1}^r |\zeta_k(1 - 2j)|$. A direct computation for $r = 3, 4, 5$ shows that the formula in Table 1 for each factor λ_v is actually given by a polynomial in q (this seems to hold for any r). In particular, we always have $\lambda_v \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we conclude from (9) that $|\chi(\Gamma)|$ cannot be a reciprocal integer.

degree	$ D_k $	$\zeta_k(-1)$	$\zeta_k(-3)$	$\zeta_k(-5)$	$\zeta_k(-7)$	$\zeta_k(-9)$
$d = 2$	5	1/30	1/60	67/630	361/120	412751/1650
	8	1/12	11/120	361/252	24611/240	
	12	1/6	23/60	1681/126		
	13	1/6	29/60	33463/1638		
	17	1/3	41/30	5791/63		
$d = 3$	49	-1/21	79/210	-7393/63		
	81	-1/9	199/90	-50353/27		

Table 2: Special values of ζ_k

This completes the proof. □

Remark 6 The function zetak in Pari/GP allows us to obtain approximate values for $\zeta_k(1 - 2j)$. On the other hand the size of the denominator of the product $\prod_{j=1}^m \zeta_k(1 - 2j)$ can be bounded by the method described in [25, Section 3.7]. By recursion on m , this allows to ascertain that the values $\zeta_k(1 - 2j)$ correspond exactly to the fractions given in Table 2.

Remark 7 The fact that for $|D_k| = 5$ the value $\zeta_k(-1)\zeta_k(-3)$ has trivial numerator explains why the proof fails for $n = 4$ (ie, $r = 2$). And indeed there is a principal arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Spin}(4, 1)$ with $|\chi(\Gamma)| = \frac{1}{14400}$ and whose image in $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^4)$ is contained as an index-2 subgroup of the Coxeter group W_1 . On the other hand, for

$|D_k| > 5$ the appearance of a nontrivial numerator in $\zeta_k(-3)$ shows — at least for the fields considered in Table 2 — the impossibility of a Γ defined over k with $\chi(\Gamma)$ a reciprocal integer. This is the first step in Belolipetsky’s proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] **M Baker, M Boileau, S Wang**, *Towers of covers of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 32 (2001) 35–43 [MR1893391](#) Dedicated to the memory of Marco Reni
- [2] **M Belolipetsky**, *On volumes of arithmetic quotients of $SO(1, n)$* , Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 3 (2004) 749–770 [MR2124587](#)
- [3] **M Belolipetsky**, *Addendum to: “On volumes of arithmetic quotients of $SO(1, n)$ ”*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 6 (2007) 263–268 [MR2352518](#)
- [4] **M Belolipetsky, V Emery**, *On volumes of arithmetic quotients of $PO(n, 1)^\circ$, n odd*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 105 (2012) 541–570 [MR2974199](#)
- [5] **N Bergeron, A Venkatesh**, *The asymptotic growth of torsion homology for arithmetic groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 12 (2013) 391–447 [MR3028790](#)
- [6] **A Borel**, *Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 7 (1974) 235–272 [MR0387496](#)
- [7] **A Borel, G Prasad**, *Finiteness theorems for discrete subgroups of bounded covolume in semi-simple groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1989) 119–171 [MR1019963](#)
- [8] **F Calegari, NM Dunfield**, *Automorphic forms and rational homology 3-spheres*, Geom. Topol. 10 (2006) 295–329 [MR2224458](#)
- [9] **DI Cartwright, T Steger**, *Enumeration of the 50 fake projective planes*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 348 (2010) 11–13 [MR2586735](#)
- [10] **H Cohen**, et al., *The Bordeaux database* Available at megrez.math.u-bordeaux.fr
- [11] **M Conder, C Maclachlan**, *Compact hyperbolic 4-manifolds of small volume*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005) 2469–2476 [MR2138890](#)
- [12] **V Emery**, *Du volume des quotients arithmétiques de l’espace hyperbolique*, PhD thesis, University of Fribourg (2009) Available at <http://homeweb1.unifr.ch/kellerha/pub/DissVEmery-final-09.pdf>
- [13] **B Everitt, JG Ratcliffe, ST Tschantz**, *Right-angled Coxeter polytopes, hyperbolic six-manifolds, and a problem of Siegel*, Math. Ann. 354 (2012) 871–905 [MR2983072](#)
- [14] **S Freundt**, *QaoS online database* Available at <http://qaos.math.tu-berlin.de>
- [15] **D Gabai, R Meyerhoff, P Milley**, *Minimum volume cusped hyperbolic three-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009) 1157–1215 [MR2525782](#)

- [16] **F E A Johnson**, *Locally symmetric homology spheres and an application of Matsuhashima's formula*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 91 (1982) 459–466 [MR654091](#)
- [17] **R Kellerhals, T Zehrt**, *The Gauss–Bonnet formula for hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume*, Geom. Dedicata 84 (2001) 49–62 [MR1825344](#)
- [18] **C Long**, *Small volume closed hyperbolic 4–manifolds*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 40 (2008) 913–916 [MR2439657](#)
- [19] **A M Odlyzko**, *Bounds for discriminants and related estimates for class numbers, regulators and zeros of zeta functions: A survey of recent results*, Sémin. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 2 (1990) 119–141 [MR1061762](#)
- [20] **G Prasad**, *Volumes of S –arithmetic quotients of semi-simple groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1989) 91–117 [MR1019962](#) With an appendix by Moshe Jarden and the author
- [21] **G Prasad, S-K Yeung**, *Fake projective planes*, Invent. Math. 168 (2007) 321–370 [MR2289867](#)
- [22] **G Prasad, S-K Yeung**, *Arithmetic fake projective spaces and arithmetic fake Grassmannians*, Amer. J. Math. 131 (2009) 379–407 [MR2503987](#)
- [23] **G Prasad, S-K Yeung**, *Nonexistence of arithmetic fake compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of type other than A_n ($n \leq 4$)*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 64 (2012) 683–731 [MR2965425](#)
- [24] **A A Ryzhkov, V I Chernousov**, *On the classification of maximal arithmetic subgroups of simply connected groups*, Mat. Sb. 188 (1997) 127–156 [MR1481667](#) In Russian; translated in Mat. Sb. 188 (1997) 1385–1413
- [25] **J-P Serre**, *Cohomologie des groupes discrets*, from: “Prospects in mathematics”, Princeton Univ. Press (1971) 77–169 [MR0385006](#)
- [26] **J Tits**, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, from: “Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups”, Amer. Math. Soc. (1966) 33–62 [MR0224710](#)
- [27] **J Tits**, *Reductive groups over local fields*, from: “Automorphic forms, representations and L –functions, Part 1”, (A Borel, W Casselman, editors), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 33, Amer. Math. Soc. (1979) 29–69 [MR546588](#)
- [28] **H C Wang**, *Topics on totally discontinuous groups*, from: “Symmetric spaces”, (W M Boothby, G L Weiss, editors), Dekker, New York (1972) 459–487 [MR0414787](#)

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305, USA

vincent.emery@gmail.com

Received: 15 May 2013