On Kauffman bracket skein modules at roots of unity

THANG T Q LÊ

We reprove and expand results of Bonahon and Wong on central elements of the Kauffman bracket skein modules at roots of 1 and on the existence of the Chebyshev homomorphism, using elementary skein methods.

57N10; 57M25

0 Introduction

0.1 Kauffman bracket skein modules

Let us recall the definition of the Kauffman bracket skein module, which was introduced by J Przytycki [15] and V Turaev [18]. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. A *framed link* in an oriented 3-manifold M is a disjoint union of smoothly embedded circles, equipped with a nonzero normal vector field. The empty set is also considered a framed link. The Kauffman bracket skein module S(M) is the R-module spanned by isotopy classes of framed links in M subject to the relations

(1)
$$L = tL_+ + t^{-1}L_-,$$

(2)
$$L \sqcup U = -(t^2 + t^{-2})L,$$

where in the first identity, L, L_+, L_- are identical except in a ball in which they look like they do in Figure 1, and in the second identity, the left-hand side stands for the union of a link L and the trivial framed knot U in a ball disjoint from L. If $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ then $S(\mathbb{R}^3) = R$. The value of a framed link L in $S(\mathbb{R}^3) = R = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is a version of the Jones polynomial; see Kauffman [10].



Figure 1: The links L, L_+ and L_-

For a nonzero complex number ξ , let $S_{\xi}(M)$ be the quotient $S(M)/(t-\xi)$, which is a \mathbb{C} -vector space.

Published: 22 April 2015

Thang T Q Lê

For an oriented surface Σ , possibly with boundary, we define $S(\Sigma) := S(M)$, where $M = \Sigma \times [-1, 1]$ is the cylinder over Σ . The skein module $S(\Sigma)$ has an algebra structure induced by the operation of gluing one cylinder on top of the other.

For a framed knot K in M and a polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_j z^j \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, then we define p(K) by

$$p(K) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_j K^{(j)} \in \mathcal{S}(M),$$

where $K^{(j)}$ is the link consisting of j parallels of K (using the framing of K) in a small neighborhood of K. When L is a link, define p(L) by applying p to each component of L. More precisely, for a framed link $L \subset M$ with m components L_1, \ldots, L_m , define

$$p(L) = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_m=0}^d \left(\prod_{k=1}^m a_{j_k}\right) \left(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^m L_k^{(j_k)}\right).$$

Here $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{m} L_k^{(j_k)}$ is the link which is the union, over $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, of j_k parallels of L_k .

Remark 0.1 Suppose $K \subset \Sigma$ is a simple closed curve on the surface Σ . Consider K as a framed knot in $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$ by identifying $\Sigma = \Sigma \times 0$ and equipping K with the vertical framing, ie the framing where the normal vector is perpendicular to Σ and has direction from -1 to 1. Then $K^{(j)} = K^j$, where K^j is the power in the algebra $S(\Sigma)$. Thus, p(K) has the usual meaning of applying a polynomial to an element of an algebra.

But if K is a knot in $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$, our p(K) in general is not the result of applying the polynomial p to the element K using the algebra structure of $S(\Sigma)$, ie $p(K) \neq \sum a_j K^j$.

0.2 Bonahon and Wong's results

Definition 1 A polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is called *central* at $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ if for any oriented surface Σ and any framed link L in $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$, p(L) is central in the algebra $S_{\xi}(\Sigma)$.

Bonahon and Wong [2] showed that if ξ is a root of unity of order 2N, then $T_N(z)$ is central, where $T_N(z)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of type 1 defined recursively by

$$T_0(z) = 2$$
, $T_1(z) = 1$, $T_n(z) = zT_{n-1}(z) - T_{n-2}(z)$,

for all $n \ge 2$. We will prove a stronger version, using a different method.

Theorem 1 A nonconstant polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is central at $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ if and only if

- (i) ξ is a root of unity,
- (ii) $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[T_N(z)]$, if p is a \mathbb{C} -polynomial in $T_N(z)$, where N is the order of ξ^2 .

Remark 0.2 We also find a version of "skew-centrality" when $\xi^{2N} = -1$ (see Section 2), which will be useful in this paper and elsewhere.

Remark 0.3 Let us call a polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ weakly central at $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ if for any oriented surface Σ and any simple closed curve K on Σ , p(K) is central in the algebra $S_{\xi}(\Sigma)$. Then our proof will also show that Theorem 1 holds true if one replaces "central" by "weakly central". It follows that being central is equivalent to being weakly central.

A remarkable result of Bonahon and Wong is the following.

Theorem 2 (Bonahon–Wong [2]) Let M be an oriented 3–manifold, possibly with boundary. Suppose ξ^4 is a root of unity of order N. Let $\varepsilon = \xi^{N^2}$. There is a unique \mathbb{C} -linear map Ch: $S_{\varepsilon}(M) \to S_{\xi}(M)$ such that for any framed link $L \subset M$, Ch $(L) = T_n(L)$.

If $M = \Sigma \times [-1, 1]$, then the map **Ch** is an algebra homomorphism. Actually Bonahon and Wong only consider the case of $S(\Sigma)$, but their proof works also in the case of skein modules of 3-manifolds. In their proof, Bonahon and Wong used the theory of quantum Teichmüller space of Chekhov and Fock [7] and Kashaev [9], and the quantum trace homomorphism developed in their earlier work [3]. Bonahon and Wong asked for a proof using elementary skein theory. We will present one here. The main idea is to use central properties (in a more general setting) and several operators and filtrations on the skein modules defined by arcs.

In general, the calculation of S(M) is difficult. For some results on knot and link complements in S^3 , see the author [11], the author and Tran [12] and Marché [14]. Note that if $\xi^{4N} = 1$, then $\varepsilon = \xi^{N^2}$ is a 4th root of 1. In this case the $S_{\varepsilon}(M)$ is well known and is related to character varieties of M. This makes Theorem 2 interesting. At t = -1, $S_{-1}(M)$ has an algebra structure and, modulo its nilradical, is equal to the ring of regular functions on the SL₂(\mathbb{C})-character variety of M; see Bullock [4], Przytycki and Sikora [16] and Bullock, Frohman and Kania-Bartoszyńska [5]. For the case when ε is a primitive 4th root of 1, see Sikora [17].

0.3 Plan of the paper

Section 1 is preliminaries on Chebyshev polynomials and relative skein modules. Section 2 contains the proof of Theorem 1. Section 3 introduces the filtrations and operators on skein modules, and Sections 4 and 5 contain some calculations which are used in Section 6, where the main technical lemma about the skein module of the twice-punctured torus is proved. Theorem 2 is proved in Section 7.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank F Bonahon, whose talk at the conference "Geometric Topology" at Columbia University (August 12–16, 2013) prompted the author to work on this project. The author also thanks C Frohman, A Sikora and H Wong for helpful discussions. The work is supported in part by the NSF.

1 Ground ring, Chebyshev polynomials and relative skein modules

1.1 Ground ring

Let $R = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$, which is a principal ideal domain. For an *R*-module and a nonzero complex number $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ let V_{ξ} be the *R*-module $V/(t - \xi)$. Then $R_{\xi} \cong \mathbb{C}$ as \mathbb{C} -modules, and V_{ξ} has a natural structure of an R_{ξ} -module.

We will often use the constants

(3)
$$\lambda_k := -(t^{2k+2} + t^{-2k-2}) \in R.$$

For example, λ_0 is the value of the unknot U as a skein element.

1.2 Chebyshev polynomials

Recall that the Chebyshev polynomials of type 1 $T_n(z)$ and type 2 $S_n(z)$ are given by

$$T_0 = 2, \quad T_1(z) = z, \quad T_n(z) = z T_{n-1}(z) - T_{n-2}(z),$$

$$S_0 = 1, \quad S_1(z) = z, \quad S_n(z) = z S_{n-1}(z) - S_{n-2}(z).$$

Here are some well-known facts. We drop the easy proofs.

Lemma 1.1 (i) One has

(4)
$$T_n(u+u^{-1}) = u^n + u^{-n},$$

$$(5) T_n = S_n - S_{n-2}.$$

(ii) For a fixed positive integer N, the \mathbb{C} -span of $\{T_{Nj} \mid j \ge 0\}$ is $\mathbb{C}[T_N(z)]$, the ring of all \mathbb{C} -polynomials in $T_N(z)$.

Since $T_n(z)$ has leading term z^n , $\{T_n(z) \mid n \ge 0\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of $\mathbb{C}[z]$.

1.3 Skein module of a surface

Suppose Σ is a compact connected orientable 2-dimensional manifold with boundary. A knot in Σ is *trivial* if it bounds a disk in Σ . Recall that $S(\Sigma)$ is the skein module $S(\Sigma \times [-1, 1])$. If $\partial \Sigma \neq \emptyset$, then $S(\Sigma)$ is a free *R*-module with basis the set of all links in Σ without trivial components, including the empty link; see [16]. Here a link in Σ is considered as a framed link in $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$ by identifying Σ with $\Sigma \times 0$, and the framing at every point $P \in \Sigma \times 0$ is vertical, ie given by the unit positive tangent vector of $P \times [-1, 1] \subset \Sigma \times [-1, 1]$.

The *R*-module $S(\Sigma)$ has a natural *R*-algebra structure, where L_1L_2 is obtained by placing L_1 on top of L_2 .

It might happen that $\Sigma_1 \times [-1, 1] \cong \Sigma_2 \times [-1, 1]$ with $\Sigma_1 \not\cong \Sigma_2$. In that case, $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_2)$ are the same as *R*-modules, but the algebra structures may be different.

1.4 Example: The annulus

Let $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the annulus $\mathbb{A} = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 1 \le |\vec{x}| \le 2\}$. Let $z \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A})$ be the core of the annulus, $z = \{\vec{x}, |\vec{x}| = \frac{3}{2}\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}) = R[z]$.

1.5 Relative skein modules

A marked surface (Σ, \mathcal{P}) is a surface Σ together with a finite set \mathcal{P} of points on its boundary $\partial \Sigma$. For such a marked surface, a *relative framed link* is a 1-dimensional compact framed submanifold X in $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$ such that $\partial X = \mathcal{P} = X \cap \partial(\Sigma \times [-1, 1])$, Xis perpendicular to $\partial(\Sigma \times [-1, 1])$ and the framing at each point $P \in \mathcal{P} = \partial X$ is vertical. The relative skein module $S(\Sigma, \mathcal{P})$ is defined as the R-module spanned by the isotopy class of relative framed links modulo the same skein relations (1) and (2). We will use the following fact.

Proposition 1.2 [16, Theorem 5.2] The *R*-module $S(\Sigma, P)$ is free with basis the set of isotopy classes of relative links embedded in Σ without trivial components.

2 Annulus with two marked points and central elements

2.1 Marked annulus

Recall that $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the annulus $\mathbb{A} = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 1 \le |\vec{x}| \le 2\}$. Let \mathbb{A}_{io} be the marked surface $(\mathbb{A}, \{P_1, P_2\})$, with two marked points $P_1 = (0, 1), P_2 = (0, 2)$, which are on different boundary components. See Figure 2, which also depicts the arcs e, \mathfrak{u} , \mathfrak{u}^{-1} .



Figure 2: The marked annulus \mathbb{A}_{io} and the arcs e, \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{u}^{-1}

For $L_1, L_2 \in S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ define the product L_1L_2 by placing L_1 inside L_2 . Formally this means we first shrink $\mathbb{A}_{io} \supset L_1$ by $\frac{1}{2}$, we get $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_{io}) \supset (\frac{1}{2}L_1)$, where $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_{io}$ is an annulus on the plane whose outer circle is the inner circle of \mathbb{A}_{io} . Then L_1L_2 is $(\frac{1}{2}L_1) \cup L_2 \subset (\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_{io}) \cup \mathbb{A}_{io}$. The identity of $S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ is presented by e, and $\mathfrak{u}^{-1}\mathfrak{u} = e = \mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{u}^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.1 The Kauffman bracket skein modules of \mathbb{A}_{io} are $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_{io}) = R[\mathfrak{u}^{\pm 1}]$, the ring of Laurent *R*-polynomials in one variable \mathfrak{u} . In particular, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ is commutative.

Proof Using Proposition 1.2 one can easily show that the set $\{\mathfrak{u}^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a free *R*-basis of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_{io})$.

2.2 Passing through T_k

Recall that $S(\mathbb{A}) = R[z]$. One defines a left action and a right action of $S(\mathbb{A})$ on $S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ as follows. For $L \in S(\mathbb{A})$, $K \in S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ let $L \bullet K$ be the element in $S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ obtained by placing L above K, and $K \bullet L \in S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ be the element in $S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ obtained by placing K above L. For example,

$$e \bullet z = (\bigcirc), \quad z \bullet e = (\bigcirc)$$

Proposition 2.2 One has

(6)
$$T_k(z) \bullet e = t^k \mathfrak{u}^k + t^{-k} \mathfrak{u}^{-k},$$

(7)
$$e \bullet T_k(z) = t^k \mathfrak{u}^{-k} + t^{-k} \mathfrak{u}^k,$$

(8)
$$T_k(z) \bullet e - e \bullet T_k(z) = (t^k - t^{-k})(\mathfrak{u}^k - \mathfrak{u}^{-k}).$$

Proof It is important to note that the map $f: S(\mathbb{A}) \to S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ given by $f(L) = L \bullet e$ is an algebra homomorphism.

Resolve the only crossing point, we have

$$z \bullet e = (\bigcirc) = t (\bigcirc) + t^{-1} (\bigcirc) = t\mathfrak{u} + t^{-1}\mathfrak{u}^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$T_k(z) \bullet e = T_k(t\mathfrak{u} + t^{-1}\mathfrak{u}^{-1}) \qquad \text{(because } f \text{ is an algebra homomorphism)}$$
$$= t^k \mathfrak{u}^k + t^{-k}\mathfrak{u}^{-k} \qquad \text{(by (4)).}$$

This proves (6). The proof of (7) is similar, while (8) follows from (6) and (7). \Box

Corollary 2.3 Suppose $\xi^{2N} = 1$. Then $T_N(z)$ is central at ξ .

Proof We have $\xi^N = \xi^{-N}$ since $\xi^{2N} = 1$. Then (8) shows that $T_N(z) \bullet e = e \bullet T_N(z)$, which easily implies the centrality of $T_N(z)$.

Remark 2.4 The corollary was first proved by Bonahon and Wong [2] using another method.

2.3 Transparent elements

We say that $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is *transparent* at ξ if for any 3 disjoint framed knots K, K_1, K_2 in any oriented 3-manifold M, $p(K) \cup K_1 = p(K) \cup K_2$ in $S_{\xi}(M)$, provided that K_1 and K_2 are isotopic in M. Note that in general, K_1 and K_2 are not isotopic in $M \setminus K$.

Proposition 2.5 The following are equivalent.

- (i) $p(z) \bullet e = e \bullet p(z)$ in $S_{\xi}(\mathbb{A}_{io})$.
- (ii) p(z) is transparent at ξ .
- (iii) p(z) is central at ξ .

Proof It is clear that (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let us prove (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

By gluing a 1-handle to \mathbb{A} we get a punctured torus \mathbb{T}_{punc} as in Figure 3. Here the base of the 1-handle is glued to a small neighborhood of $\{P_1 \cup P_2\}$ in $\partial \mathbb{A}$, and the core of the 1-handle is an arc β connecting P_1 and P_2 . Let $\iota: S(\mathbb{A}_{io}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{T}_{punc})$ be the *R*-map which is the closure by β , ie $\iota(K) = K \cup \beta$. Then $\iota(\mathfrak{u}^k)$ is a knot in \mathbb{T}_{punc} for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\iota(\mathfrak{u}^k)$ is not isotopic to $\iota(\mathfrak{u}^l)$ if $k \neq l$. Since $\{\mathfrak{u}^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an *R*-basis of $S(\mathbb{A}_{io})$ and the isotopy classes of links in \mathbb{T}_{punc} form an *R*-basis of $S(\mathbb{T}_{punc})$, ι is injective.

Assume (iii). Then $p(z)\iota(e) = \iota(e)p(z)$, or $\iota(p(z) \bullet e) = \iota(e \bullet p(z))$. Since ι is injective, we have $p(z) \bullet e = e \bullet p(z)$.



Figure 3: The core β connects P_1 and P_2 in \mathbb{T}_{punc} .

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1

The "if" part has been proved; see Corollary 2.3. Let us prove the "only if" part. Assume that p(z) is central at ξ and has degree $k \ge 1$. Since $\{T_j(z) \mid j \ge 0\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[z]$, we can write

(9)
$$p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} c_j T_j(z), \quad c_j \in \mathbb{C}, c_k \neq 0.$$

By Proposition 2.5, $p(z) \bullet e - e \bullet p(z) = 0$. Using expression (9) for p(z) and (8), we get

$$0 = p(z) \bullet e - e \bullet p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} c_j (\xi^j - \xi^{-j}) (\mathfrak{u}^j - \mathfrak{u}^{-j}).$$

Because $\{\mathfrak{u}^j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{S}_{\xi}(\mathbb{A}_{io})$, the coefficient of each \mathfrak{u}^j on the right-hand side is 0. This means

(10)
$$c_j = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \xi^{2j} = 1 \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Since $c_k \neq 0$, we have $\xi^{2k} = 1$. Since $k \ge 1$, this shows ξ^2 is a root of unity of some order N. Then (10) shows that $c_j = 0$ unless N|j. Thus, p(z) is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of T_i with N|j. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

2.5 Skew transparency

One more consequence of Proposition 2.2 is the following.

Corollary 2.6 Suppose $\xi^{2N} = -1$. Then in $S_{\xi}(\mathbb{A}_{io})$,

$$T_N(z) \bullet e = -e \bullet T_N(z).$$

This means every time we pass $T_N(K)$ through a component of a link L, the value of the skein gets multiplied by -1. Following is a precise statement.

Suppose K_1 and K_2 are knots in a 3-manifold M. Recall that an isotopy between K_1 and K_2 is a smooth map $H: S^1 \times [1, 2] \to M$ such that for each $t \in [1, 2]$, the map $H_t: S^1 \to M$ is an embedding, and the image of H_i is K_i for i = 1, 2. Here $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$. For a knot $K \subset M$ let $I_2(H, K)$ be the mod 2 intersection number of H and K. Thus, if H is transversal to K then $I_2(H, K)$ is the number of points in the finite set $H^{-1}(K)$ modulo 2.

Definition 2 Suppose $\mu = \pm 1$. A polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is called μ -transparent at $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ if for any 3 disjoint framed knots K, K_1, K_2 in any oriented 3-manifold M, with K_1 and K_2 connected by an isotopy H, one has the following equality in $S_{\xi}(M)$:

$$p(K) \cup K_1 = \mu^{I_2(H,K)}[p(K) \cup K_2].$$

From Corollary 2.6 we have:

Corollary 2.7 Assume $\xi^{4N} = 1$. Then $\mu := \xi^{2N} = \pm 1$, and $T_N(z)$ is μ -transparent.

A special case is the following. Suppose $D \subset M$ is a disk in M with $\partial D = K$, and a framed link $L \subset M$ is disjoint from K. Then, if $\xi^{2N} = \mu = \pm 1$, one has

(11)
$$K \cup T_N(L) = \mu^{I_2(D,L)} \lambda_0 T_N(L) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{\xi}(M).$$

Here $\lambda_0 = -(\xi^2 + \xi^{-2})$ is the value of trivial knot in $\mathcal{S}_{\xi}(M)$.

3 Filtrations of skein modules

Suppose Φ is a link in ∂M . We define an *R*-map $\Phi: S(M) \to S(M)$ by $\Phi(L) = \Phi \cup L$.

3.1 Filtration by an arc

Suppose α is an arc properly embedded in a marked surface (Σ, \mathcal{P}) with $\partial \Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Assume the two boundary points of α , which are on the boundary of Σ , are disjoint from the marked points. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} := \alpha \times [-1, 1]$ is a disk properly embedded in $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$, with boundary $\Phi_{\alpha} = \partial(\alpha \times [-1, 1]) = (\alpha \times \{-1, 1\}) \cup (\partial \alpha \times [-1, 1])$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_k^{\alpha} = \mathcal{F}_k^{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}(\Sigma))$ be the *R*-submodule of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ spanned by all relative links which intersect with \mathcal{D}_{α} at less than or equal to *k* points. For $L \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$, we define $\operatorname{fil}_{\alpha}(L) = k$ if $L \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\alpha} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{\alpha}$. The filtration is compatible with the algebra structure, ie

$$\operatorname{fil}_{\alpha}(L_1L_2) \leq \operatorname{fil}_{\alpha}(L_1) + \operatorname{fil}_{\alpha}(L_2).$$

Remark 3.1 A similar filtration was used in [14] to calculate the skein module of torus knot complements.

A convenient way to count the number of intersection points of a link L with \mathcal{D}_{α} is to count the intersection points of the diagram of L with α . Let D be the vertical projection of L onto Σ . In general position D has only singular points of type double points, and we assume further that D is transversal to α . In that case, the number of intersection points of L with \mathcal{D}_{α} is equal to the number of intersections of D with α , where each intersection point of α and D at a double point of D is counted twice.

Recall that $\Phi_{\alpha}(L) = L \cup \Phi_{\alpha}$, where Φ_{α} is the boundary of the disk $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} = \alpha \times [-1, 1]$. It is clear that \mathcal{F}_{k}^{α} is Φ_{α} -invariant, ie $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\alpha}$. It turns out that the action of Φ_{α} on the quotient $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\alpha}/\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{\alpha}$ is very simple. Recall that $\lambda_{k} = -(t^{2k+2} + t^{-2k-2})$.

Proposition 3.2 For $k \ge 0$, the action of Φ_{α} on $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\alpha}/\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{\alpha}$ is λ_{k} times the identity.

This is a consequence of Proposition 3.3, proved in the next subsection.

3.2 The Temperley–Lieb algebra and the operator Φ

The well-known Temperley–Lieb algebra TL_k is the skein module of the disk with 2k marked points on the boundary. We will present the disk as the square $Sq = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ on the standard plane, with k marked points on the top side and k marked points on the bottom side. The product L_1L_2 in TL_k is defined as the result of placing T_1 on top of T_2 . The unit \tilde{e}_k of TL_k is presented by k vertical straight arcs; see Figure 4.



Figure 4: The unit \tilde{e}_k , the arc α and $\Phi_{\alpha}(\tilde{e}_k)$: here k = 4

Let $\alpha \subset \text{Sq}$ be the horizontal arc $[0, 1] \times \frac{1}{2}$. The element $\Phi_{\alpha}(\tilde{e}_k)$ is depicted in Figure 4. In general, $\Phi_{\alpha}(L)$ is L encircled by one simple closed curve.

Proposition 3.3 With the above notation, one has

(12)
$$\Phi_{\alpha}(\tilde{e}_k) = \lambda_k \tilde{e}_k \pmod{\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{\alpha}}.$$

Proof A direct proof can be carried out as follows. Using the skein relation (1) one resolves all the crossings of the diagram of $\Phi_{\alpha}(\tilde{e}_k)$, and finds that only a few terms are not in $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{\alpha}$, and the sum of these terms is equal to $\lambda_k \tilde{e}_k$. This is a good exercise for the dedicated reader.

Here is another proof using more advanced knowledge of the Temperley–Lieb algebra. First we extend the ground ring to the field of fractions $\mathbb{C}(t)$. Then the Temperley–Lieb algebra contains a special element called the Jones–Wenzl idempotent f_k (see eg Lickorish [13, Chapter 13]). We have $f_k = \tilde{e}_k \pmod{\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{\alpha}}$, and f_k is an eigenvector of Φ_{α} with eigenvalue λ_k . Hence, we have (12).

4 Another annulus with two marked points

4.1 Annulus with two marked points on the same boundary

Let \mathbb{A}_{oo} be the annulus A with two marked points Q_1, Q_2 on the outer boundary as in Figure 5. Let u_0, u_1 be arcs connecting Q_1 and Q_2 in \mathbb{A}_{oo} as in Figure 5.



Figure 5: The marked annulus A_{oo} and arcs u_0, u_1

Define a left $S(\mathbb{A})$ -module and a right $S(\mathbb{A})$ -module on $S(\mathbb{A}_{oo})$ as follows. For $K \in S(\mathbb{A}_{oo})$ and $L \in S(\mathbb{A})$ let KL be the skein in $S(\mathbb{A}_{oo})$ obtained by placing K on top of L, and $LK \in S(\mathbb{A}_{oo})$ obtained by placing L on top of K. It is easy to see that KL = LK. Recall that $S(\mathbb{A}) = R[z]$.

Proposition 4.1 The module $S(\mathbb{A}_{oo})$ is a free $S(\mathbb{A})$ -module with basis $\{u_0, u_1\}$:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_{oo}) = R[z]u_0 \oplus R[z]u_1.$$

Proof Any relative link in \mathbb{A}_{oo} is of the form $u_i z^m$ with i = 0, 1 and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The proposition now follows from Proposition 1.2.

4.2 Framing change and the unknot

Recall that S_k is the k^{th} Chebyshev polynomial of type 2. The values of the unknot colored by S_k and the framing change are well known (see eg Blanchet, Habegger, Masbaum and Vogel [1]): in S(M), where M is an oriented 3-manifold, one has

(13)
$$L \sqcup S_k(U) = (-1)^k \frac{t^{2k+2} - t^{-2k-2}}{t^2 - t^{-2}} L,$$

(14)
$$S_k\left(\left| \bigcirc \right) = (-1)^k t^{k^2 + 2k} S_k\left(\left| \right| \right).$$

Here in (13), U is the trivial knot lying in a ball disjoint from L.

4.3 Some elements of $S(\mathbb{A}_{oo})$

Let $u_k, k \ge 0$ are arcs in \mathbb{A}_{oo} depicted in Figure 6. The elements u_1 and u_0 are the same as the ones defined in Figure 5. Let $v_0 = u_0$ and $v_k, k \ge 1$ be arcs in \mathbb{A}_{oo} depicted in Figure 6.



Figure 6: The arcs u_k and v_k , with k = 3

Proposition 4.2 One has

(15)
$$u_k = t^{k-1} S_{k-1}(z) u_1 + t^{k-3} S_{k-2}(z) u_0,$$

(16)
$$v_k = t^{2-k} S_{k-1}(z) u_1 + t^{-k} S_k(z) u_0,$$

for all $k \ge 1$, for all $k \ge 0$, respectively.

Proof Suppose $k \ge 3$. Applying the skein relation to the innermost crossing of u_k , we get

$$u_k = (\bigcirc) = t (\bigcirc) + t^{-1} (\bigcirc)$$

which, after an isotopy and removing a framing crossing, is

$$u_k = t u_{k-1} z - t^2 u_{k-2},$$

from which one can easily prove (15) by induction.

Similarly, using the skein relation to resolve the innermost crossing point of v_k , we get

$$v_k = t^{-1} v_{k-1} z - t^{-2} v_{k-2}$$
 for $k \ge 2$,

from which one can prove (16) by induction.

Remark 4.3 Identity (15) does not hold for k = 0. This is due to a framing change.

4.4 Operator Ψ

Let Ψ be the arc in $\partial \mathbb{A} \times [-1, 1]$ beginning at Q_1 and ending at Q_2 , as depicted in Figure 7. Here we draw $\mathbb{A} \times [-1, 1]$ as a handlebody. For any element $\alpha \in S(\mathbb{A})$ let



Figure 7: Arc Ψ connecting Q_1 and Q_2 and $\Psi(z^3)$

 $\Psi(\alpha) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_{oo})$ be the skein $\Psi \cup \alpha$. For example, $\Psi(z^3)$ is given in Figure 7.

Proposition 4.4 For $k \ge 1$, one has

(17) $\Psi(T_k(z)) = u_1[t^2(t^{-2k} - t^{2k})S_{k-1}(z)] + u_0[t^{-2k}S_k(z) - t^{2k}S_{k-2}(z)].$

Proof Applying Proposition 2.2 to the part in the left rectangle box, we get



The positive framing crossing in the first term gives a factor $-t^3$. Thus

$$\Psi(T_k(z)) = -t^{k+3}u_k + t^{-k}v_k.$$

Plugging in the values of u_k , v_k given by Proposition 4.2, we get the result.

Remark 4.5 One can use Proposition 4.4 to establish product-to-sum formulas similar to the ones in Frohman and Gelca [8].

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 15 (2015)

5 Twice-punctured disk

5.1 Skein module of twice-punctured disk

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the disk of radius 4 centered at the origin, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ the disk of radius 1 centered at (-2, 0), and \mathcal{D}_2 the disk of radius 1 centered at (2, 0). We define \mathbb{D} to



Figure 8: The twice-punctured disk \mathbb{D} and the arcs $\alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$

be \mathcal{D} with the interiors of \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 removed. The horizontal axis intersects \mathbb{D} at 3 arcs denoted from left to right by $\alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2$; see Figure 8. The vertical axis of \mathbb{R}^2 intersects \mathbb{D} at an arc denoted by α_3 . The corresponding curve Φ_{α_i} on $\partial \mathbb{D} \times [-1, 1]$ will be denoted simply by Φ_i for i = 0, 1, 2, 3. If $\mathbb{D} \times [-1, 1]$ is presented as the handlebody \mathcal{H} , which is a thickening of \mathbb{D} in \mathbb{R}^3 , then the curves $\Phi_1, \Phi_0, \Phi_2, \Phi_3$ are shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9: The curves $\Phi_1, \Phi_0, \Phi_2, \Phi_3$ on the boundary of the handlebody

Let x_1, x_2 , and y be the closed curves in \mathbb{D} :



It is known that we have the equality $S(\mathbb{D}) = R[x_1, x_2, y]$, the *R*-polynomial in the variables x_1, x_2, y ; see Bullock and Przytycki [6]. In particular, $S(\mathbb{D})$ is commutative.

Let σ be the rotation about the origin of \mathbb{R}^2 by 180°. Then $\sigma(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}$. Hence σ induces an automorphism of $S(\mathbb{D}) = R[x_1, x_2, y]$, which is an algebra automorphism. One has $\sigma(y) = y, \sigma(x_1) = x_2, \sigma(x_2) = x_1$.

5.2 Degrees on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}) = R[x_1, x_2, y]$

Define the left degree, right degree and double degree on $R[x_1, y, x_2]$ as follows. For a monomial $\mathfrak{m} = x_1^{a_1} y^b x_2^{a_2}$ define its left degree $\deg_l(\mathfrak{m}) = a_1 + b$, right degree

 $\deg_r(\mathfrak{m}) = a_2 + b$, double degree $\deg_{lr}(\mathfrak{m}) = \deg_l(\mathfrak{m}) + \deg_r(\mathfrak{m}) = a_1 + a_2 + 2b$. One readily finds that

$$\deg_l(\mathfrak{m}) = \operatorname{fil}_{\alpha_1}(\mathfrak{m}), \quad \deg_r(\mathfrak{m}) = \operatorname{fil}_{\alpha_2}(\mathfrak{m}),$$

where fil_{α} is defined in Section 3.1. Using the definition of fil_{α} involving the numbers of intersection points we get the following.

Lemma 5.1 Suppose *L* is an embedded link in \mathbb{D} and *L* intersects transversally the arc α_i at k_i points for i = 1, 2, 3. Then, as an element of $S(\mathbb{D})$, $L = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y^b$, where $2b \le k_3$ and

$$\deg_l(L) \le k_1, \quad \deg_l(L) \equiv k_1 \pmod{2},$$
$$\deg_r(L) \le k_2, \quad \deg_r(L) \equiv k_2 \pmod{2}.$$

Consequently, $\deg_{lr}(L) \le k_1 + k_2$ and $\deg_{lr}(L) \equiv k_1 + k_2 \pmod{2}$.

Proof If $L = L_1 \sqcup L_2$ is the union of 2 disjoint sublinks, and the statement holds for each of L_i , then it holds for L. Hence we assume L has one component, ie L is an embedded loop in $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Then L is isotopic to either a trivial loop, x_1, x_2 or y. In each case, the statement can be verified easily. For example, suppose $L = x_1$. For the mod 2 intersection numbers, $I_2(L, \alpha_1) = I_2(x_1, \alpha_1) = 1$. Hence k_1 , the geometric intersection number between L and α_1 , must be odd and bigger than or equal to 1. Hence, we have $\deg_l(L) \leq k_1$ and $\deg_l(L) \equiv k_1 \pmod{2}$.

Corollary 5.2 Suppose *L* is a link diagram on \mathbb{D} which intersects transversally the arc α_i at k_i points for i = 1, 2, 3. Then, as an element in $S(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\deg_l(L) \le k_1, \quad \deg_r(L) \le k_2, \quad 2\deg_v(L) \le k_3,$$

and L is a linear R-combination of monomials whose double degrees are equal to $k_1 + k_2$ modulo 2.

5.3 The *R*-module V_n and the skein γ

Let γ and $\overline{\gamma}$ be the following link diagrams on \mathbb{D} :

(18)
$$\gamma = \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc , \quad \overline{\gamma} = \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc .$$

Let

$$V_n = \{ p \in R[x_1, x_2, y] \mid \deg_l(p) \le n, \deg_r(p) \le n, \deg_{lr}(p) \text{ even} \}$$

In other words, $V_n \subset R[x_1, x_2, y]$ is the *R*-submodule spanned by $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y^b$, with $a_i + b \le n$ for i = 1, 2 and $a_1 + a_2$ even.

Lemma 5.3 One has $T_n(\gamma), T_n(\overline{\gamma}) \in V_n$.

Proof The diagram γ^k has k intersection points with each of α_1 and α_2 . By Corollary 5.2, we have $\deg_l(\gamma^k) \leq k, \deg_r(\gamma^k) \leq k$, and each monomial of γ^k has double degree $\equiv k + k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. This means $\gamma^k \in V_k$ for every $k \geq 0$. Because $T_n(\gamma)$ is \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of γ^k with $k \leq n$, we have $T_n(\gamma) \in V_n$. The proof for $\overline{\gamma}$ is similar.

Remark 5.4 It is an easy exercise to show that $T_N(\bar{\gamma}) = T_N(\gamma)|_{t \to t^{-1}}$.

6 Skein module of twice-punctured disk at root of 1

Recall that γ and $\overline{\gamma}$ are knot diagrams on \mathbb{D} defined by (18). The following was proved by Bonahon and Wong, using quantum Teichmüller algebras and their representations.

Proposition 6.1 Suppose ξ^4 is a root of 1 of order N. Then in $S_{\xi}(\mathbb{D})$ one has

(19)
$$T_N(\gamma) = \xi^{-N^2} T_N(\gamma) + \xi^{N^2} T_N(x_1) T_N(x_2),$$

(20)
$$T_N(\bar{\gamma}) = \xi^{N^2} T_N(\gamma) + \xi^{-N^2} T_N(x_1) T_N(x_2).$$

As mentioned above, there was an urge to find a proof using elementary skein theory; one such proof is presented here. Our proof roughly goes as follows. Using the transparent property of $T_N(\gamma)$, we show that $T_N(\gamma)$ is a common eigenvector of several operators. We then prove that the space of common eigenvectors has dimension at most 3, with a simple basis. We then fix coefficients of $T_N(\gamma)$ in this basis using calculations in highest order. Then the result turns out to be the right-hand side of (19).

Throughout this section we fix a complex number ξ such that ξ^4 is a root of unity of order N. Define $\varepsilon = \xi^{N^2}$. We will write $V_{N,\xi}$ simply by V_N and λ_k for $\lambda_k(\xi)$. Thus, in the whole section,

$$\lambda_k = -(\xi^{2k+2} + \xi^{-2k-2}).$$

6.1 Properties of ξ and λ_k

Recall that ξ^4 is a root of 1 of order N.

Lemma 6.2 Suppose $1 \le k \le N - 1$. Then:

- (i) $\lambda_{2k} = \lambda_0$ if and only if k = N 1.
- (ii) $\lambda_k = \xi^{2N} \lambda_0$ implies that k = N 2.

- (iii) If N is even then $\xi^{2N} = -1$.
- (iv) One has

(21)
$$\xi^{2N^2+2N} = (-1)^{N+1}$$

Proof (i) With $\lambda_k = -(\xi^{2k+2} + \xi^{-2k-2})$, we have $\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_0 = -\xi^{-2-4k}(\xi^{4k} - 1)(\xi^{4k+4} - 1).$

Hence, $\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_0 = 0$ if and only if either $N \mid k$ or $N \mid (k+1)$. With $1 \le k \le N-1$, this is equivalent to k = N - 1.

(ii) We have

$$\lambda_k - \xi^{2N} \lambda_0 = -\xi^{-2N-2} (\xi^{2N-2k} - 1) (\xi^{2N+2k+4} - 1).$$

Either $\xi^{2N-2k} = 1$ or $\xi^{2N+2k+4} = 1$. Taking the squares of both identities, we see that either $N \mid (N-k)$ or $N \mid (k+2)$. With $1 \le k \le N-1$, we conclude that k = N-2.

(iii) Suppose N is even. Since ξ^4 has order N, one has $(\xi^4)^{N/2} = -1$. Then $\xi^{2N} = (\xi^4)^{N/2} = -1$.

(iv) The proof is left for the reader.

6.2 Operators Φ_i and the vector space W

Recall that $\Phi_i := \Phi_{\alpha_i}$, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, is defined in Section 5.1. Then $\Phi_i(V_N) \subset V_N$ for i = 0, 1, 2, 3.

Let Φ_4 be the curve on $\partial \mathbb{D} \times [-1, 1]$ depicted in Figure 10. Here we draw $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{D} \times [-1, 1]$ as a handlebody. We also depict $\Phi_4(x_2^3)$.



Figure 10: The curve Φ_4 and $\Phi_4(x_2^3)$

We do not have $\Phi_4(V_N) \subset V_N$, since Φ_4 in general increases the double degree. By counting the intersection points with α_1 and α_2 , we have, for every $E \in S_{\xi}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, y]$,

(22)
$$\deg_{lr}(\Phi_4(E)) \le \deg_{lr}(E) + 1.$$

Proposition 6.3 If E is one of $\{T_N(\gamma), T_N(\overline{\gamma}), T_N(y), T_N(x_1)T_N(x_2)\}$, then

(23)
$$\sigma(E) = E,$$

(24)
$$\Phi_1(E) = \xi^{2N} \lambda_0 E,$$

(25)
$$\Phi_i(E) = \lambda_0 E \quad \text{for } i = 0, 3,$$

(26)
$$\Phi_4(E) = \xi^{2N} x_1 E.$$

Proof The first identity follows from the fact that each of γ , $\overline{\gamma}$, y, $x_1 \cup x_2$ is invariant under σ . The remaining identities follow from the ξ^{2N} -transparent property of $T_N(z)$, Corollary 2.7.

Remark 6.4 Note that Φ_4 is $\mathbb{C}[x_1]$ -linear and (26) says *E* is a $\xi^{2N}x_1$ -eigenvector of Φ_4 .

Let W be the subspace of V_N consisting of elements satisfying (23)–(26). This means $W \subset V_N$ consists of elements which are at the same time 1–eigenvector of σ , $\xi^{2N}\lambda_0$ –eigenvector of Φ_1 , λ_0 –eigenvector of Φ_0 and Φ_3 , and $\xi^{2N}x_1$ –eigenvector of Φ_4 .

We will show that W is spanned by $T_N(y)$, $T_N(x_1)T_N(x_2)$, and possibly 1.

6.3 Action of Φ_3 , Φ_0 and Φ_1

For an element $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, y]$ and a monomial $\mathfrak{m} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} y^b$ let $\operatorname{coeff}(F, \mathfrak{m})$ be the coefficient of \mathfrak{m} in F.

Lemma 6.5 Suppose $E \in W$ and $\operatorname{coeff}(E, y^N) = 0$. Then $E \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$.

Proof Let k be the y-degree of E. Since $E \in W$ and $\operatorname{coeff}(E, y^N) = 0$, one has $k \leq N - 1$.

We need to show that k = 0. Suppose to the contrary that $1 \le k$. Then $1 \le k \le N - 1$.

First we will prove k = N - 1, using the fact that E is a λ_0 -eigenvector of Φ_3 by (25).

Recall that $\operatorname{fil}_{\alpha_3}$ is twice the *y*-degree. One has $\operatorname{fil}_{\alpha_3}(E) = 2k$. Thus $E \neq 0 \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}^{\alpha_3}/\mathcal{F}_{2k-1}^{\alpha_3}$. By Proposition 3.2, any nonzero element in $\mathcal{F}_{2k}^{\alpha_3}/\mathcal{F}_{2k-1}^{\alpha_3}$ is an eigenvector of Φ_3 with eigenvalue λ_{2k} . But *E* is an eigenvector of Φ_3 with eigenvalue λ_{0} . It follows that $\lambda_{2k} = \lambda_0$. By Lemma 6.2, we have k = N - 1.

Because $\deg_{lr}(E)$ is even and less than or equal to 2N, we must have

$$E = y^{N-1}(c_1x_1x_2 + c_2) + O(y^{N-2}), \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We will prove $c_1 = 0$ by showing that otherwise, Φ_0 will increase the *y*-degree. Note that Φ_0 can increase the *y*-degree by at most 1, and Φ_0 is $\mathbb{C}[y]$ -linear. We have

(27)
$$\Phi_0(E) = y^{N-1}(c_1\Phi_0(x_1x_2) + c_2\Phi_0(1)) + O(y^{N-1}).$$

The diagram of $\Phi_0(x_1x_2)$ has 4 crossings; see Figure 11.



Figure 11: The diagram of $\Phi_0(x_1x_2)$

A simple calculation shows

$$\Phi_0(x_1x_2) = (1-t^4)(1-t^{-4})y + O(y^0).$$

Plugging this value in (27), with $\Phi_0(1) = \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$,

(28)
$$\Phi_0(E) = y^N c_1 (1 - t^4) (1 - t^{-4}) + O(y^{N-1}).$$

If $c_1 \neq 0$, then the *y*-degree of $\Phi_0(E)$ is *N*, strictly bigger than that of *E* and *E* cannot be an eigenvector of Φ_0 . Thus $c_1 = 0$.

One has now

(29)
$$E = c_2 y^{N-1} + O(y^{N-2}).$$

Since the y-degree of E is N-1, one must have $c_2 \neq 0$. By counting the intersections with α_3 , we see that Φ_1 does not increase the y-degree. We have

$$\Phi_1(E) = c_2 \Phi_1(y^{N-1}) + O(y^{N-2})$$

= $c_2 \lambda_{N-1} y^{N-1} + O(y^{N-2})$ by Proposition 3.2.

Comparing the above identity with (29) and using the fact that E is a $\xi^{2N}\lambda_0$ -eigenvector of Φ_1 , we have

$$\lambda_{N-1} = \xi^{2N} \lambda_0,$$

which is impossible since Lemma 6.2 says that $\lambda_k = \xi^{2N} \lambda_0$ only when k = N - 2. This completes the proof of the lemma.

6.4 Action of Φ_4

Recall that Φ_4 is the curve on the boundary of the handlebody \mathcal{H} (see Figure 10) which acts on $\mathcal{S}_{\xi}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, y]$. The action of Φ_4 is $\mathbb{C}[x_1]$ -linear, and every element of W is a $\xi^{2N}x_1$ -eigenvector of Φ_4 .

Recall that deg_r = fil_{α_2} and deg_r $(x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}y^b) = a_2 + b$. Note that for $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, $\deg_r(F)$ is exactly the x_2 -degree of F. By looking at the intersection with α_2 , we see that Φ_4 preserves the α_2 -filtration, ie deg_r $\Phi_4(F) \leq \deg_r(F)$. We will study actions of Φ_4 on the associated graded spaces.

We will use the notation $F + \deg_r - \operatorname{lot}$ to mean $F + F_1$, where $\deg_r(F_1) < \deg_r(F)$.

Lemma 6.6 Suppose $1 \le k \le N - 1$. One has

(30)
$$\Phi_4(a(x_1)T_N(x_2)) = \xi^{2N} x_1[a(x_1)T_N(x_2)],$$

(31)
$$\Phi_4(T_k(x_2)) = y[\xi^2(\xi^{-2k} - \xi^{2k})x_2^{k-1}] + \deg_r - \operatorname{lot} (\operatorname{mod} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]).$$

Proof Identity (30) follows from the ξ^{2N} -transparency of $T_N(z)$.

Let us prove (31). Applying identity (17) to the dashed box below, we have

$$\Phi_4(T_k(x_2)) = \underbrace{\nabla \mathcal{F}_k}_{= y[\xi^2(\xi^{-2k} - \xi^{2k})S_{k-1}(x_2)] + x_1[\xi^{-2k}S_k(x_2) - \xi^{2k}S_{k-2}(x_2)]}_{\text{sich implies (31).}}$$

which implies (31).

6.5 The space $W \cap \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$

Lemma 6.7 Suppose $E \in W \cap \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ and the coefficient of $x_1^N x_2^N$ in E is 0. Then $E \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof Since $T_k(x_2)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_2]$, we can write E uniquely as

$$E = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(x_1) T_k(x_2), \quad a_k(x_1) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1].$$

Let j be the x_2 -degree of $E' := E - a_N(x_1)T_N(x_2)$. Then $j \leq N - 1$.

First we will show j = 0. Assume to the contrary that $j \ge 1$. Thus $1 \le j \le j$ N-1. Note that E, by assumption, and $a_N(x_1)T_N(x_2)$, by (30), are eigenvectors of Φ_4 with eigenvalue $\xi^{2N} x_1$. It follows that E' is also an eigenvector of Φ_4 with eigenvalue $\xi^{2N} x_1$. We have

$$E' = \sum_{k=0}^{j} a_k(x_1) \Phi_4(T_k(x_2)) = a_j(x_1)T_j(x_2) + \deg_r - \text{lot.}$$

Using (31) and the fact that Φ_4 does not increase deg_r, we have

$$\Phi_4(E') = y[a_j(x_1)\xi^2(\xi^{-2k} - \xi^{2k})x_2^{k-1}] + \deg_r - \operatorname{lot} \ (\operatorname{mod} \ \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]).$$

When $1 \le j \le n-1$, the coefficient of y, which is the element in the square bracket, is nonzero. Thus $\Phi_4(E') \notin \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, while $E' \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$. This means E' cannot be an eigenvector of Φ_4 , a contradiction. This proves j = 0.

So we have

$$E = a_N(x_1)T_N(x_2) + a_0(x_1).$$

Because $\deg_{lr}(E) < 2N$, the x_1 -degree of $a_N(x_1)$ is less than n. Using the invariance under σ , one sees that E must be of the form

(32)
$$E = c_1(T_N(x_1) + T_N(x_2)) + c_2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

To finish the proof of the lemma, we need to show that $c_1 = 0$. Assume that $c_1 \neq 0$. Since *E* has even double degree, *N* is even. By Lemma 6.2(iii), $\xi^{2N} = -1$.

Recall that E is a λ_0 -eigenvector of Φ_0 . Applying Φ_0 to (32),

$$\lambda_0[c_1(T_N(x_1) + T_N(x_2)) + c_2] = \Phi_0(c_1(T_N(x_1) + T_N(x_2)) + c_2).$$

Both $T_N(x_1)$ and $T_N(x_2)$ are eigenvectors of Φ_0 with eigenvalues $\xi^{2N}\lambda_0 = -\lambda_0$, while $\Phi_0(1) = \lambda_0$. Hence we have

$$\lambda_0[c_1(T_N(x_1) + T_N(x_2)) + c_2] = \lambda_0[-c_1(T_N(x_1) - T_N(x_2)) + c_2]$$

which is impossible since $c_1 \lambda_0 \neq 0$. Hence, we have $c_1 = 0$ and $E \in \mathbb{C}$.

6.6 Some maximal degree parts of $T_N(\gamma)$

Lemma 6.8 One has

(33)
$$\operatorname{coeff}(T_N(\gamma), y^N) = \xi^{-N^2},$$

(34)
$$\operatorname{coeff}(T_N(\gamma), x_1^N x_2^N) = \xi^{N^2}.$$

Proof Since $T_N(\gamma) = \gamma^N + \deg_{lr} - \operatorname{lot}$, we have

 $\operatorname{coeff}(T_N(\gamma), y^N) = \operatorname{coeff}(\gamma^N, y^N), \quad \operatorname{coeff}(T_N(\gamma), x_1^N x_2^N) = \operatorname{coeff}(\gamma^N, x_1^N x_2^N).$

There are N^2 crossing points in the diagram of γ^N . Each crossing can be smoothed in two ways. The positive smoothing acquires a factor t in the skein relation, and the negative smoothing acquires a factor t^{-1} . The are 2^{N^2} smoothings of γ^N . Each smoothing s of all the N^2 crossings gives rise to a link L_s embedded in \mathbb{D} . Then γ^N is a linear combination of all L_s . We will show that the only s for which $L_s = \gamma^N$ is the all negative smoothing.

Consider a crossing point *C* of γ^N . The vertical line passing through *C* intersects \mathbb{D} in an interval α'_3 which is isotopic to α_3 , and $\operatorname{fil}_{\alpha_3} = \operatorname{fil}_{\alpha'_3}$. For an embedded link *L* in \mathbb{D} ,

as an element of $S(\mathbb{D}) = R[x_1, x_2, y]$, *L* is a monomial whose *y*-degree is bounded above by half the number of intersection points of *L* with α'_3 . The diagram γ^N has exactly 2*N* intersection points with α'_3 , with *C* contributing two (of the 2*N* intersection points). If we positively smooth γ^N at *C*, the result is a link diagram with 2N - 2 intersection points with α'_3 , and no matter how we smooth other crossings, the resulting link will have less than or equal to 2N - 2 intersection points with α'_3 . Thus we cannot get γ^N if any of the crossing is smoothed positively. The only smoothing which results in γ^N is the all negative smoothing. The coefficient of this smoothing is ξ^{-N^2} .

Similarly, one can prove that the only smoothing which results in $x_1^N x_2^N$ is the all positive smoothing, whose coefficient is ξ^{N^2} .

6.7 Proof of Proposition 6.1

Let

$$E = T_N(\gamma) - \xi^{N^2} T_N(x_1) T_N(x_2) - \xi^{-N^2} T_N(y).$$

Then $E \in W$. Lemma 6.8 shows that $\operatorname{coeff}(E, y^N) = 0 = \operatorname{coeff}(E, x_1^N x_2^N)$. By Lemma 6.5, $E \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$. Then by Lemma 6.7, we have $E \in \mathbb{C}$, if E is a constant.

We will show that E = 0. This is done by using the inclusion of \mathcal{H} into \mathbb{R}^3 , which gives a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\iota: S_{\xi}(\mathbb{D}) \to S_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \mathbb{C}$. Under ι , we have

(35)
$$E = \iota(T_N(\gamma)) - \iota(\xi^{N^2} T_N(x_1) T_N(x_2)) - \iota(\xi^{-N^2} T_N(y)).$$

The right-hand side involves the trivial knot and the trivial knot with framing 1, and can be calculated explicitly as follows. Note that $\iota(\gamma)$ is the unknot with framing 1, while $\iota(x_1) = \iota(x_2) = \iota(y) = U$, the trivial knot. With $T_N = S_N - S_{N-2}$, and the framing change given by (14), we find

(36)
$$T_N\left(\left| \bigcirc \right) = (-1)^N \xi^{N^2 + 2N} T_N\left(\left| \right| \right) = -\xi^{-N^2} T_N\left(\left| \right| \right),$$

where the second identity follows from (21). Similarly, using (13), we have

(37)
$$T_N(L \sqcup U) = 2(-1)^N \xi^{2N} T_N(L) = -(\xi^{2N^2} + \xi^{-2N^2}) T_N(L).$$

From (36) and (37), we calculate the right-hand side of (35), and find that E = 0. This proves (19).

The proof of (20) is similar. Alternatively, one can get (20) from (19) by noticing that the mirror image map on $R[x_1, x_2, y]$ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra map sending t to t^{-1} , leaving each of x_1, x_2, y fixed.

This completes the proof of Proposition 6.1.

7 Proof of Theorem 2

Recall that $\varepsilon = \xi^{N^2}$, where ξ^4 is a root of 1 of order N. Then $\varepsilon^4 = 1$. The map $S_{\varepsilon}(M) \to S_{\xi}(M)$, defined for framed links by $L \to T_N(L)$, is well defined if and only if it preserves the skein relations (1) and (2), ie in $S_{\xi}(M)$,

(38)
$$T_N(L) = \varepsilon T_N(L_+) + \varepsilon^{-1} T_N(L_-),$$

(39)
$$T_N(L \sqcup U) = -(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{-2})T_N(L).$$

Here, in (38), L, L_+, L_- are links appearing in the original skein relation (1), they are identical everywhere, except in a ball B, where they appear as in Figure 12.



Figure 12: The links L, L_+ and L_-

Identity (39) follows from (37). Let us prove (38).

Case 1 The two strands of L in the ball B belong to the same component. Then (38) follows from Proposition 6.1, applied to the handlebody which is the union of B and a tubular neighborhood of L.

Case 2 The two strands of L in B belong to different components. Then the two strands of L_+ belong to the same component, and we can apply (38) to the case when the left-hand side is L_+ . We have

(40)
$$T_{N}(L_{+}) = T_{N}(\bigcirc) = T_{N}(\bigcirc)$$
$$= \varepsilon^{-1}T_{N}(\bigcirc) + \varepsilon T_{N}(\bigcirc)$$

(41)
$$= \varepsilon^{-1} T_N \Big(\sum \Big) + \varepsilon(-\varepsilon) T_N \Big(\sum \Big)$$

(42)
$$=\varepsilon^{-1}T_N(L)-\varepsilon^2T_N(L_-),$$

where (40) follows from Case 1 and (41) follows from the framing factor formula (36). Multiplying (42) by ε and using $\varepsilon^3 = \varepsilon^{-1}$, we get (38) in this case. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 7.1 In [2], in order to prove Theorem 2, the authors proved in addition to Proposition 6.1 a similar statement for links in the cylinder over a punctured torus.

Here we bypass this extra statement by reducing the extra statement to Proposition 6.1. Essentially this is due to the fact that the cylinder over a punctured torus is the same as the cylinder over a twice-punctured disk.

References

- [1] C Blanchet, N Habegger, G Masbaum, P Vogel, *Three-manifold invariants derived* from the Kauffman bracket, Topology 31 (1992) 685–699 MR1191373
- [2] **F Bonahon**, **H Wong**, *Representations of the Kauffman skein algebra I: Invariants and miraculous cancellations* arXiv:1206.1638
- F Bonahon, H Wong, Quantum traces for representations of surface groups in SL₂(C), Geom. Topol. 15 (2011) 1569–1615 MR2851072
- [4] D Bullock, Rings of SL₂(ℂ)-characters and the Kauffman bracket skein module, Comment. Math. Helv. 72 (1997) 521–542 MR1600138
- [5] D Bullock, C Frohman, J Kania-Bartoszyńska, Understanding the Kauffman bracket skein module, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 8 (1999) 265–277 MR1691437
- [6] D Bullock, J H Przytycki, Multiplicative structure of Kauffman bracket skein module quantizations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000) 923–931 MR1625701
- [7] VV Fok, LO Chekhov, *Quantum Teichmüller spaces*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 120 (1999) 511–528 MR1737362 In Russian; translated in Theoret. and Math. Phys. 110 (1999) 1245–1259
- [8] C Frohman, R Gelca, Skein modules and the noncommutative torus, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000) 4877–4888 MR1675190
- [9] RM Kashaev, Quantization of Teichmüller spaces and the quantum dilogarithm, Lett. Math. Phys. 43 (1998) 105–115 MR1607296
- [10] L H Kauffman, State models and the Jones polynomial, Topology 26 (1987) 395–407 MR899057
- [11] TTQLê, The colored Jones polynomial and the A-polynomial of knots, Adv. Math. 207 (2006) 782–804 MR2271986
- [12] TTQLê, AT Tran, The Kauffman bracket skein module of two-bridge links, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014) 1045–1056 MR3148538
- [13] W B R Lickorish, An introduction to knot theory, Graduate Texts in Math. 175, Springer (1997) MR1472978
- [14] J Marché, The skein module of torus knots, Quantum Topol. 1 (2010) 413–421 MR2733247
- [15] JH Przytycki, Fundamentals of Kauffman bracket skein modules, Kobe J. Math. 16 (1999) 45–66 MR1723531

- [16] JH Przytycki, AS Sikora, On skein algebras and SL₂(ℂ)-character varieties, Topology 39 (2000) 115–148 MR1710996
- [17] A S Sikora, Skein modules at the 4th roots of unity, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 13 (2004) 571–585 MR2080123
- [18] V G Turaev, Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 24 (1991) 635–704 MR1142906

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology 686 Cherry Street, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

letu@math.gatech.edu

Received: 24 May 2014 Revised: 1 September 2014

