

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6

2012

No. 3

**On unit root formulas for toric
exponential sums**

Alan Adolphson and Steven Sperber



mathematical sciences publishers

On unit root formulas for toric exponential sums

Alan Adolphson and Steven Sperber

Starting from a classical generating series for Bessel functions due to Schlömilch, we use Dwork's relative dual theory to broadly generalize unit-root results of Dwork on Kloosterman sums and Sperber on hyperkloosterman sums. In particular, we express the (unique) p -adic unit root of an arbitrary exponential sum on the torus \mathbb{T}^n in terms of special values of the p -adic analytic continuation of a ratio of A -hypergeometric functions. In contrast with the earlier works, we use noncohomological methods and obtain results that are valid for arbitrary exponential sums without any hypothesis of nondegeneracy.

1. Introduction

The starting point for this work is the classical generating series

$$\exp \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda X - \Lambda/X) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} J_i(\Lambda) X^i$$

for the Bessel functions $\{J_i(\Lambda)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ due to Schlömilch [1857], which was the foundation for his treatment of Bessel functions (see [Watson 1944, page 14]). Suitably normalized, it also played a fundamental role in Dwork's construction [1974] of p -adic cohomology for $J_0(\Lambda)$. Our realization that the series itself (suitably normalized) could be viewed as a distinguished element in Dwork's relative dual complex led us to the present generalization (which also generalizes unit-root results of Sperber [1975] on hyperkloosterman sums).

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset that spans \mathbb{R}^n as real vector space and set

$$f_\Lambda(X) = \sum_{a \in A} \Lambda_a X^a \in \mathbb{Z}[\{\Lambda_a\}_{a \in A}][X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}],$$

where the Λ_a and the X_i are indeterminates and where $X^a = X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_n^{a_n}$ for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^\epsilon$ elements, p a prime, and let

MSC2010: 11T23.

Keywords: exponential sums, A -hypergeometric functions.

$\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ be its algebraic closure. For each $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_a)_{a \in A} \in (\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)^{|A|}$, let

$$f_{\bar{\lambda}}(X) = \sum_{a \in A} \bar{\lambda}_a X^a \in \mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda})[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}],$$

a regular function on the n -torus \mathbb{T}^n over $\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda})$. Fix a nontrivial additive character $\Theta : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ and let $\Theta_{\bar{\lambda}}$ be the additive character $\Theta_{\bar{\lambda}} = \Theta \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda})/\mathbb{F}_q}$ of the field $\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda})$. For each positive integer l , let $\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda}, l)$ denote the extension of degree l of $\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda})$ and define an exponential sum

$$S_l = S_l(f_{\bar{\lambda}}, \Theta_{\bar{\lambda}}, \mathbb{T}^n) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda}, l))} \Theta_{\bar{\lambda}} \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda}, l)/\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda})}(f_{\bar{\lambda}}(x)).$$

The associated L -function is

$$L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T) = L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}, \Theta_{\bar{\lambda}}, \mathbb{T}^n; T) = \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} S_l \frac{T^l}{l}\right).$$

It is well-known that $L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)(T)$ and that its reciprocal zeros and poles are algebraic integers. We note that among these reciprocal zeros and poles there must be at least one p -adic unit: if $\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda})$ has cardinality q^k , then S_l is the sum of $(q^{kl} - 1)^n$ p -th roots of unity, so S_l itself is a p -adic unit for every l . On the other hand, a simple consequence of the Dwork trace formula will imply (see Section 3) that there is at most a single unit root, and it must occur amongst the reciprocal zeros (as opposed to the reciprocal poles) of $L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T)^{(-1)^{n+1}}$. We denote this unit root by $u(\bar{\lambda})$. It is the goal of this work to exhibit an explicit p -adic analytic formula for $u(\bar{\lambda})$ in terms of certain A -hypergeometric functions.

Consider the series

$$\exp f_{\Lambda}(X) = \prod_{a \in A} \exp(\Lambda_a X^a) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^n} F_i(\Lambda) X^i \tag{1.1}$$

where the $F_i(\Lambda)$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}[[\Lambda]]$. Explicitly, one has

$$F_i(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{u=(u_a)_{a \in A} \\ \sum_{a \in A} u_a a = i}} \frac{\Lambda^u}{\prod_{a \in A} (u_a!)}. \tag{1.2}$$

The A -hypergeometric system with parameter $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex numbers) is the system of partial differential equations consisting of the operators

$$\square_{\ell} = \prod_{\ell_a > 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_a}\right)^{\ell_a} - \prod_{\ell_a < 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_a}\right)^{-\ell_a}$$

for all $\ell = (\ell_a)_{a \in A} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|A|}$ satisfying $\sum_{a \in A} \ell_a a = 0$ and the operators

$$Z_j = \sum_{a \in A} a_j \Lambda_a \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_a} - \alpha_j$$

for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Using Equations (1.1) and (1.2), it is straightforward to check that for $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $F_i(\Lambda)$ satisfies the A -hypergeometric system with parameter i .

Fix π satisfying $\pi^{p-1} = -p$ and $\Theta(1) \equiv \pi \pmod{\pi^2}$. It follows from (1.2) that the $F_i(\pi \Lambda)$ converge p -adically for all Λ satisfying $|\Lambda_a| < 1$ for all $a \in A$. Let

$$\mathfrak{F}(\Lambda) = F_0(\pi \Lambda) / F_0(\pi \Lambda^p).$$

The main result of this paper is the following statement. Note that we make no restriction (such as nondegeneracy) on the choice of $\bar{\lambda} \in (\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)^{|A|}$.

Theorem 1.3. *The series $\mathfrak{F}(\Lambda)$ converges p -adically for $|\Lambda_a| \leq 1$ for all $a \in A$ and the unit root of $L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T)$ is given by*

$$u(\bar{\lambda}) = \mathfrak{F}(\lambda) \mathfrak{F}(\lambda^p) \mathfrak{F}(\lambda^{p^2}) \dots \mathfrak{F}(\lambda^{p^{e d(\bar{\lambda})-1}}),$$

where λ denotes the Teichmüller lifting of $\bar{\lambda}$ and $d(\bar{\lambda}) = [\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda}) : \mathbb{F}_q]$.

Remark. Historically, expressing the unit root of a zeta- or L -function in terms of special values of p -adic hypergeometric functions has been accomplished by studying the action of Frobenius on the associated p -adic cohomology. Hypergeometric functions arise because the variation of p -adic cohomology of a parametrized family of varieties or of exponential sums is described by (p -adic) hypergeometric differential equations. A systematic listing of the correspondence between such parametrized families and classical hypergeometric equations is given in the appendix to [Dwork and Loeser 1993].

The first result of this type was Dwork’s formula [1969] for the unit root of a nonsupersingular elliptic curve $y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \bar{\lambda})$ in terms of the Gaussian hypergeometric function $F(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; \lambda)$. Later he established the corresponding result for the unit root of the family of Kloosterman sums $x + \bar{\lambda}/x$ using the p -adic Bessel function [Dwork 1974]. Since then, a number of authors have proved similar results.

We have systematically avoided the use of cohomology in this article. The cohomology spaces associated to the exponential sums $S_l(f_{\bar{\lambda}}, \Theta_{\bar{\lambda}}, \mathbb{T}^n)$ may not be well behaved for all $\bar{\lambda}$. In any case, it would require substantially more work to describe the action of Frobenius on cohomology (although, of course, this would give information about more than just the unit root).

2. Analytic continuation

We begin by proving the analytic continuation of the function \mathcal{F} defined in the introduction.

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the real cone generated by the elements of A and let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the convex hull of the set $A \cup \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$. Put $M = C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. For $\nu \in M$, define the *weight* of ν , $w(\nu)$, to be the least nonnegative real (hence rational) number such that $\nu \in w(\nu)\Delta$. There exists $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $w(\nu) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cap \mathbb{Z}[1/D]$. The weight function w is easily seen to have the following properties:

- (i) $w(\nu) \geq 0$, and $w(\nu) = 0$ if and only if $\nu = 0$.
- (ii) $w(c\nu) = cw(\nu)$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- (iii) $w(\nu + \mu) \leq w(\nu) + w(\mu)$, with equality holding if and only if ν and μ are cofacial, that is, lie in a cone over the same closed face of Δ .
- (iv) If $\dim \Delta = n$, let $\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be linear forms such that the codimension-one faces of Δ not containing the origin lie in the hyperplanes $\{\ell_i = 1\}_{i=1}^N$. Then

$$w(\nu) = \max\{\ell_i(\nu)\}_{i=1}^N.$$

Let Ω be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing π and an element $\tilde{\pi}$ satisfying $\text{ord } \tilde{\pi} = (p - 1)/p^2$ (we always normalize the valuation so that $\text{ord } p = 1$). Put

$$R = \left\{ \xi(\Lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{|A|}} c_\nu \Lambda^\nu \mid c_\nu \in \Omega \text{ and } \{|c_\nu|\}_\nu \text{ is bounded} \right\},$$

$$R' = \left\{ \xi(\Lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{|A|}} c_\nu \Lambda^\nu \mid c_\nu \in \Omega \text{ and } c_\nu \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \nu \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

Equivalently, R is the ring of formal power series in $\{\Lambda_a\}_{a \in A}$ that converge on the open unit polydisk in $\Omega^{|A|}$, and R' the ring of those that converge on the closed unit polydisk. Define a norm on R by setting $|\xi(\Lambda)| = \sup_\nu \{|c_\nu|\}$. Both R and R' are complete in this norm. Note that (1.2) implies that the coefficients $F_i(\pi \Lambda)$ of $\exp \pi f_\Lambda(X)$ belong to R .

Let S be the set

$$S = \left\{ \xi(\Lambda, X) = \sum_{\mu \in M} \xi_\mu(\Lambda) \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\mu)} X^{-\mu} \mid \xi_\mu(\Lambda) \in R \text{ and } \{|\xi_\mu(\Lambda)|\}_\mu \text{ is bounded} \right\}.$$

Let S' be defined analogously with R replaced by R' . Define a norm on S by setting

$$|\xi(\Lambda, X)| = \sup_\mu \{|\xi_\mu(\Lambda)|\}.$$

Both S and S' are complete under this norm.

Define $\theta(t) = \exp(\pi(t - t^p)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i$. By [Dwork 1962, Section 4a],

$$\text{ord } b_i \geq \frac{i(p-1)}{p^2}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let

$$F(\Lambda, X) = \prod_{a \in A} \theta(\Lambda_a X^a) = \sum_{\mu \in M} B_\mu(\Lambda) X^\mu.$$

Lemma 2.2. *One has $B_\mu(\Lambda) \in R'$ and $|B_\mu(\Lambda)| \leq |\tilde{\pi}|^{w(\mu)}$.*

Proof. From the definition,

$$B_\mu(\Lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{|A|}} B_\nu^{(\mu)} \Lambda^\nu,$$

where

$$B_\nu^{(\mu)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{a \in A} b_{v_a} & \text{if } \sum_{a \in A} v_a a = \mu, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{a \in A} v_a a \neq \mu. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (2.1) that $B_\nu^{(\mu)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, which shows that $B_\mu(\Lambda) \in R'$. We have

$$\text{ord } B_\nu^{(\mu)} \geq \sum_{a \in A} \text{ord } b_{v_a} \geq \sum_{a \in A} \frac{v_a(p-1)}{p^2} \geq w(\mu) \frac{p-1}{p^2},$$

which implies $|B_\mu(\Lambda)| \leq |\tilde{\pi}|^{w(\mu)}$. □

By the proof of Lemma 2.2, we may write $B_\nu^{(\mu)} = \tilde{\pi}^{w(\mu)} \tilde{B}_\nu^{(\mu)}$ with $|\tilde{B}_\nu^{(\mu)}| \leq 1$. We may then write $B_\mu(\Lambda) = \tilde{\pi}^{w(\mu)} \tilde{B}_\mu(\Lambda)$ with $\tilde{B}_\mu(\Lambda) = \sum_\nu \tilde{B}_\nu^{(\mu)} \Lambda^\nu$ and $|\tilde{B}_\mu(\Lambda)| \leq 1$. Let

$$\xi(\Lambda, X) = \sum_{\nu \in M} \xi_\nu(\Lambda) \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\nu)} X^{-\nu} \in S.$$

We claim that the product $F(\Lambda, X)\xi(\Lambda^p, X^p)$ is well-defined. Formally we have

$$F(\Lambda, X)\xi(\Lambda^p, X^p) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \zeta_\rho(\Lambda) X^{-\rho},$$

where

$$\zeta_\rho(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\mu, \nu \in M \\ \mu - p\nu = -\rho}} \tilde{\pi}^{w(\mu) - w(\nu)} \tilde{B}_\mu(\Lambda) \xi_\nu(\Lambda^p). \tag{2.3}$$

To prove convergence of this series, we need to show that $w(\mu) - w(\nu) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. By property (iv) of the weight function, for a given $\nu \in M$ we may choose

a linear form ℓ (depending on v) for which $w(v) = \ell(v)$ while $w(\mu) \geq \ell(\mu)$. Since $\mu = pv - \rho$, we get

$$w(\mu) - w(v) \geq \ell(\mu - v) = \ell((p - 1)v) - \ell(\rho) = (p - 1)w(v) - \ell(\rho). \tag{2.4}$$

As $v \rightarrow \infty$, $(p - 1)w(v) \rightarrow \infty$ while $\ell(\rho)$ takes values in a finite set of rational numbers (there are only finitely many possibilities for ℓ). This gives the desired result.

For a formal series $\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \zeta_\rho(\Lambda)X^{-\rho}$ with $\zeta_\rho(\Lambda) \in \Omega[\![\Lambda]\!]$, define

$$\gamma' \left(\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \zeta_\rho(\Lambda)X^{-\rho} \right) = \sum_{\rho \in M} \zeta_\rho(\Lambda)X^{-\rho}$$

and define for $\xi(\Lambda, X) \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*(\xi(\Lambda, X)) &= \gamma'(F(\Lambda, X)\xi(\Lambda^P, X^P)) \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in M} \zeta_\rho(\Lambda)X^{-\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\rho \in M$ put $\eta_\rho(\Lambda) = \tilde{\pi}^{w(\rho)}\zeta_\rho(\Lambda)$, so that

$$\alpha^*(\xi(\Lambda, X)) = \sum_{\rho \in M} \eta_\rho(\Lambda)\tilde{\pi}^{-w(\rho)}X^{-\rho} \tag{2.5}$$

with

$$\eta_\rho(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\mu, v \in M \\ \mu - pv = \rho}} \tilde{\pi}^{w(\rho) + w(\mu) - w(v)} \tilde{B}_\mu(\Lambda)\xi_v(\Lambda^P). \tag{2.6}$$

Since $w(\rho) \geq \ell(\rho)$ for $\rho \in M$, (2.4) implies that

$$w(\rho) + w(\mu) - w(v) \geq (p - 1)w(v), \tag{2.7}$$

so by (2.6), $|\eta_\rho(\Lambda)| \leq |\xi(\Lambda, X)|$ for all $\rho \in M$. This shows $\alpha^*(\xi(\Lambda, X)) \in S$ and

$$|\alpha^*(\xi(\Lambda, X))| \leq |\xi(\Lambda, X)|.$$

Furthermore, this argument also shows that $\alpha^*(S') \subseteq S'$.

Lemma 2.8. *If $\xi_0(\Lambda) = 0$, then $|\alpha^*(\xi(\Lambda, X))| \leq |\tilde{\pi}|^{(p-1)/D} |\xi(\Lambda, X)|$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from (2.6) and (2.7) since $w(v) \geq 1/D$ for $v \neq 0$. □

From (2.6), we have

$$\eta_0(\Lambda) = \sum_{v \in M} \tilde{B}_{pv}(\Lambda)\xi_v(\Lambda^P)\tilde{\pi}^{(p-1)w(v)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Note that $\tilde{B}_0(\Lambda) = B_0(\Lambda) \equiv 1 \pmod{\tilde{\pi}}$ since $\text{ord } b_i > 0$ for all $i > 0$ implies $\text{ord } B_\nu^{(0)} > 0$ for all $\nu \neq 0$. Thus $B_0(\Lambda)$ is an invertible element of R' . The following lemma is then immediate from (2.9).

Lemma 2.10. *If $\xi_0(\Lambda)$ is an invertible element of R (resp. R'), then so is $\eta_0(\Lambda)$.*

Put

$$T = \{\xi(\Lambda, X) \in S \mid |\xi(\Lambda, X)| \leq 1 \text{ and } \xi_0(\Lambda) = 1\}$$

and put $T' = T \cap S'$. Using the notation of (2.5), define $\beta : T \rightarrow T$ by

$$\beta(\xi(\Lambda, X)) = \frac{\alpha^*(\xi(\Lambda, X))}{\eta_0(\Lambda)}.$$

Note that $\beta(T') \subseteq T'$.

Proposition 2.11. *The operator β is a contraction mapping on the complete metric space T . More precisely, if $\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X), \xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X) \in T$, then*

$$|\beta(\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X)) - \beta(\xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X))| \leq |\tilde{\pi}|^{(p-1)/D} |\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X) - \xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X)|.$$

Proof. We have (in the obvious notation)

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X)) - \beta(\xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X)) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^*(\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X))}{\eta_0^{(1)}(\Lambda)} - \frac{\alpha^*(\xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X))}{\eta_0^{(2)}(\Lambda)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^*(\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X) - \xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X))}{\eta_0^{(1)}(\Lambda)} - \alpha^*(\xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X)) \frac{\eta_0^{(1)}(\Lambda) - \eta_0^{(2)}(\Lambda)}{\eta_0^{(1)}(\Lambda)\eta_0^{(2)}(\Lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta_0^{(1)}(\Lambda) - \eta_0^{(2)}(\Lambda)$ is the coefficient of X^0 in $\alpha^*(\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X) - \xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X))$, we have

$$|\eta_0^{(1)}(\Lambda) - \eta_0^{(2)}(\Lambda)| \leq |\alpha^*(\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X) - \xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X))|.$$

And since the coefficient of X^0 in $\xi^{(1)}(\Lambda, X) - \xi^{(2)}(\Lambda, X)$ equals 0, the proposition follows from Lemma 2.8. □

Remark. Proposition 2.11 implies that β has a unique fixed point in T . And since β is stable on T' , that fixed point must lie in T' . Let $\xi(\Lambda, X) \in T'$ be the unique fixed point of β . The equation $\beta(\xi(\Lambda, X)) = \xi(\Lambda, X)$ is equivalent to the equation

$$\alpha^*(\xi(\Lambda, X)) = \eta_0(\Lambda)\xi(\Lambda, X).$$

Since α^* is stable on S' , it follows that

$$\eta_0(\Lambda)\xi_\mu(\Lambda) \in R' \quad \text{for all } \mu \in M. \tag{2.12}$$

In particular, since $\xi_0(\Lambda) = 1$, we have $\eta_0(\Lambda) \in R'$.

Put $C_0 = C \cap (-C)$, the largest subspace of \mathbb{R}^n contained in C , and put $M_0 = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap C_0$, a subgroup of M . For a formal series $\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\mu(\Lambda) X^\mu$ with $c_\mu(\Lambda) \in \Omega[[\Lambda]]$ we define

$$\gamma\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\mu(\Lambda) X^\mu\right) = \sum_{\mu \in M_0} c_\mu(\Lambda) X^\mu$$

and set

$$\zeta(\Lambda, X) = \gamma(\exp(\pi f_\Lambda(X))).$$

Of course, when the origin is an interior point of Δ , then $M_0 = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\zeta(\Lambda, X) = \exp(\pi f_\Lambda(X))$. In any case, the coefficients of $\zeta(\Lambda, X)$ belong to R .

Since $\exp(\pi f_\Lambda(X)) = \prod_{a \in A} \exp(\pi \Lambda_a X^a)$, we can expand this product to get

$$\zeta(\Lambda, X) = \gamma\left(\prod_{a \in A} \sum_{v_a=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\pi \Lambda_a X^a)^{v_a}}{v_a!}\right) = \sum_{\mu \in M_0} G_\mu(\Lambda) \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\mu)} X^{-\mu},$$

where

$$G_\mu(\Lambda) = \sum_{v \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{|A|}} G_v^{(\mu)} \Lambda^v,$$

with

$$G_v^{(\mu)} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\pi}^{w(\mu)} \prod_{a \in A} \frac{\pi^{v_a}}{v_a!} & \text{if } \sum_{a \in A} v_a a = -\mu, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{a \in A} v_a a \neq -\mu. \end{cases}$$

Since $\text{ord } \pi^i/i! > 0$ for all $i > 0$, it follows that $G_\mu(\Lambda) \in R$, $|G_\mu(\Lambda)| \leq |\tilde{\pi}|^{w(\mu)}$, and $G_0(\Lambda)$ is invertible in R . This implies that $\zeta(\Lambda, X)/G_0(\Lambda) \in T$. Note also that since $F(\Lambda, X) = \exp(\pi f_\Lambda(X))/\exp(\pi f_{\Lambda^p}(X^p))$, it is straightforward to check that

$$\gamma'(F(\Lambda, X)) = \gamma(F(\Lambda, X)) = \gamma\left(\frac{\exp \pi f_\Lambda(X)}{\exp \pi f_{\Lambda^p}(X^p)}\right) = \frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{\zeta(\Lambda^p, X^p)}.$$

It follows that if $\xi(\Lambda, X)$ is a series satisfying $\gamma(\xi(\Lambda, X)) \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*(\gamma(\xi(\Lambda, X))) &= \gamma'(F(\Lambda, X)\gamma(\xi(\Lambda^p, X^p))) = \gamma(F(\Lambda, X))\gamma(\xi(\Lambda^p, X^p)) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)\gamma(\xi(\Lambda^p, X^p))}{\zeta(\Lambda^p, X^p)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Remark. In terms of the A -hypergeometric functions $\{F_i(\Lambda)\}_{i \in M}$ defined in (1.1), we have $\exp(\pi f_\Lambda(X)) = \sum_{i \in M} F_i(\pi \Lambda) X^i$, so for $i \in M_0$ we have the relation

$$F_i(\pi \Lambda) = \tilde{\pi}^{-w(-i)} G_{-i}(\Lambda). \tag{2.14}$$

Proposition 2.15. *The unique fixed point of β is $\zeta(\Lambda, X)/G_0(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. By (2.13), we have

$$\alpha^* \left(\frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \right) = \frac{G_0(\Lambda)}{G_0(\Lambda^p)} \frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)}, \tag{2.16}$$

which is equivalent to the assertion of the proposition. □

By the remark following Proposition 2.11, $\zeta(\Lambda, X)/G_0(\Lambda) \in T'$. This gives the following result.

Corollary 2.17. *For all $\mu \in M_0$, $G_\mu(\Lambda)/G_0(\Lambda) \in R'$.*

In the notation of the remark following Proposition 2.11, one has $\xi(\Lambda, X) = \zeta(\Lambda, X)/G_0(\Lambda)$ and $\eta_0(\Lambda) = G_0(\Lambda)/G_0(\Lambda^p)$, so (2.12) implies the following result.

Corollary 2.18. *For all $\mu \in M_0$, $G_\mu(\Lambda)/G_0(\Lambda^p) \in R'$.*

In view of (2.14), this implies that the function $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda) = F_0(\pi \Lambda)/F_0(\pi \Lambda^p)$ converges on the closed unit polydisk, which was the first assertion of Theorem 1.3.

3. *p*-adic theory

Fix $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_a)_{a \in A} \in (\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)^{|A|}$ and let $\lambda = (\lambda_a)_{a \in A} \in (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)^{|A|}$, where λ_a is the Teichmüller lifting of $\bar{\lambda}_a$. We recall Dwork's description of $L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T)$. Let $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{Q}_p(\lambda, \zeta_p, \tilde{\pi})$ ($= \mathbb{Q}_p(\lambda, \pi, \tilde{\pi})$) and let \mathbb{O}_0 be the ring of integers of Ω_0 .

We consider certain spaces of functions with support in M . We will assume that Ω_0 has been extended by a finite totally ramified extension so that there is an element $\tilde{\pi}_0$ in Ω_0 satisfying $\tilde{\pi}_0^D = \tilde{\pi}$. We shall write $\tilde{\pi}^{w(v)}$ and mean by it $\tilde{\pi}_0^{Dw(v)}$ for $v \in M$. Using this convention to simplify notation, we define

$$B = \left\{ \sum_{v \in M} A_v \tilde{\pi}^{w(v)} X^v \mid A_v \in \Omega_0, A_v \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } v \rightarrow \infty \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then B is an Ω_0 -algebra which is complete under the norm

$$\left| \sum_{v \in M} A_v \tilde{\pi}^{w(v)} X^v \right| = \sup_{v \in M} |A_v|.$$

We construct a Frobenius map with arithmetic import in the usual way. Let

$$F(\lambda, X) = \prod_{a \in A} \theta(\lambda_a X^a) = \sum_{\mu \in M} B_\mu(\lambda) X^\mu,$$

i.e., $F(\lambda, X)$ is the specialization of $F(\Lambda, X)$ at $\Lambda = \lambda$, which is permissible by

Lemma 2.2. Note also that Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\text{ord } B_\mu(\lambda) \geq \frac{w(\mu)(p-1)}{p^2},$$

so we may write $B_\mu(\lambda) = \tilde{\pi}^{w(\mu)} \tilde{B}_\mu(\lambda)$ with $\tilde{B}_\mu(\lambda)$ p -integral.

Let

$$\Psi(X^\mu) = \begin{cases} X^{\mu/p} & \text{if } p \mid \mu_i \text{ for all } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We show that $\Psi \circ F(\lambda, X)$ acts on B . If $\xi = \sum_{v \in M} A_v \tilde{\pi}^{w(v)} X^v \in B$, then

$$\Psi \left(\left(\sum_{\mu \in M} \tilde{\pi}^{w(\mu)} \tilde{B}_\mu(\lambda) X^\mu \right) \left(\sum_{v \in M} A_v \tilde{\pi}^{w(v)} X^v \right) \right) = \sum_{\omega \in M} C_\omega(\lambda) \tilde{\pi}^{w(\omega)} X^\omega$$

where

$$C_\omega(\lambda) = \sum_v \tilde{\pi}^{w(p\omega-v)+w(v)-w(\omega)} \tilde{B}_{p\omega-v}(\lambda) A_v.$$

For any positive constant K there are only finitely many values of v for which $w(v)$ and $w(p\omega - v)$ are $< K$. This implies that the series $C_\omega(\lambda)$ converges.

We have $p w(\omega) = w(p\omega) \leq w(p\omega - v) + w(v)$, so that

$$\text{ord } C_\omega(\lambda) \geq \inf_v \{ \text{ord } \tilde{\pi}^{(p-1)w(\omega)} A_v \} = \frac{(p-1)^2 w(\omega)}{p^2} + \inf_v \{ \text{ord } A_v \}. \tag{3.2}$$

This implies that $\Psi(F(\lambda, X)\xi) \in B$.

Let $d(\bar{\lambda}) = [\mathbb{F}_q(\bar{\lambda}) : \mathbb{F}_q]$, so that $\lambda^{p^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})}} = \lambda$. Put

$$\alpha_\lambda = \Psi^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})} \circ \left(\prod_{i=0}^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})-1} F(\lambda^{p^i}, X^{p^i}) \right).$$

For any power series $P(T)$ in the variable T with constant term 1, define

$$P(T)^{\delta_{\bar{\lambda}}} = P(T) / P(p^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})} T).$$

Then α_λ is a completely continuous operator on B and the Dwork trace formula [Dwork 1962; Serre 1962] gives

$$L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}, \Theta_{\bar{\lambda}}, \mathbb{T}^n; T)^{(-1)^{n+1}} = \det(I - T\alpha_\lambda | B)^{\delta_{\bar{\lambda}}}. \tag{3.3}$$

By Equation (3.2), the (ω, ν) -entry of the matrix of α_λ [Serre 1962, Section 2] has $\text{ord} > 0$ unless $\omega = \nu = 0$. The formula for $\det(I - T\alpha_\lambda)$ [Serre 1962, Proposition 7a)] then shows that this Fredholm determinant can have at most a single unit root. Since $L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T)$ has at least one unit root (Section 1), it follows from (3.3) that $L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T)$ has exactly one unit root.

4. Dual theory

It will be important to consider the trace formula in the dual theory as well. The basis for this construction goes back to [Dwork 1964] and [Serre 1962]. We define

$$B^* = \left\{ \xi^* = \sum_{\mu \in M} A_\mu^* \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\mu)} X^{-\mu} \mid \{A_\mu^*\}_{\mu \in M} \text{ is a bounded subset of } \Omega_0 \right\},$$

a p -adic Banach space with the norm $|\xi^*| = \sup_{\mu \in M} \{|A_\mu^*|\}$. We define a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : B^* \times B \rightarrow \Omega_0$: if $\xi = \sum_{\mu \in M} A_\mu \tilde{\pi}^{w(\mu)} X^\mu$, $\xi^* = \sum_{\mu \in M} A_\mu^* \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\mu)} X^{-\mu}$, set

$$\langle \xi^*, \xi \rangle = \sum_{\mu \in M} A_\mu A_\mu^* \in \Omega_0.$$

The series on the right converges since $A_\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{A_\mu^*\}_{\mu \in M}$ is bounded. This pairing identifies B^* with the dual space of B , i.e., the space of continuous linear mappings from B to Ω_0 [Serre 1962, Proposition 3].

Let Φ be the endomorphism of the space of formal series defined by

$$\Phi \left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\mu X^{-\mu} \right) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\mu X^{-p\mu},$$

and let γ' be the endomorphism

$$\gamma' \left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\mu X^{-\mu} \right) = \sum_{\mu \in M} c_\mu X^{-\mu}.$$

Consider the formal composition $\alpha_\lambda^* = \gamma' \circ \left(\prod_{i=0}^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})-1} F(\lambda^{p^i}, X^{p^i}) \right) \circ \Phi^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The operator α_λ^* is an endomorphism of B^* which is adjoint to $\alpha_\lambda : B \rightarrow B$.*

Proof. As α_λ^* is the composition of the operators $\gamma' \circ F(\lambda^{p^i}, X) \circ \Phi$ and α_λ is the composition of the operators $\Psi \circ F(\lambda^{p^i}, X)$, $i = 0, \dots, \epsilon d(\bar{\lambda}) - 1$, it suffices to check that $\gamma' \circ F(\lambda, X) \circ \Phi$ is an endomorphism of B^* adjoint to $\Psi \circ F(\lambda, X) : B \rightarrow B$. Let $\xi^*(X) = \sum_{\mu \in M} A_\mu^* \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\mu)} X^{-\mu} \in B^*$. The proof that the product $F(\lambda, X)\xi^*(X^p)$ is well-defined is analogous to the proof of convergence of the series (2.3). We have

$$\gamma'(F(\lambda, X)\xi^*(X^p)) = \sum_{\omega \in M} C_\omega(\lambda) \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\omega)} X^{-\omega},$$

where

$$C_\omega(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu - p\nu = -\omega} \tilde{B}_\mu(\lambda) A_\nu^* \tilde{\pi}^{w(\omega) + w(\mu) - w(\nu)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that

$$pw(\nu) = w(p\nu) \leq w(\omega) + w(\mu)$$

since $p\nu = \omega + \mu$. Thus

$$(p - 1)w(\nu) \leq w(\omega) + w(\mu) - w(\nu),$$

which implies that the series on the right-hand side of (4.2) converges and that $|C_\omega(\lambda)| \leq |\xi^*|$ for all $\omega \in M$. It follows that $\gamma'(F(\lambda, X)\xi^*(X^p)) \in B^*$. It is straightforward to check that $\langle \Phi(X^{-\mu}), X^\nu \rangle = \langle X^{-\mu}, \Psi(X^\nu) \rangle$ and that

$$\langle \gamma'(F(\lambda, X)X^{-\mu}), X^\nu \rangle = \langle X^{-\mu}, F(\lambda, X)X^\nu \rangle$$

for all $\mu, \nu \in M$, which implies the maps are adjoint. □

By [Serre 1962, Proposition 15] we have $\det(I - T\alpha_\lambda^* | B^*) = \det(I - T\alpha_\lambda | B)$, so (3.3) implies

$$L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}, \Theta_{\bar{\lambda}}, \mathbb{T}^n; T)^{(-1)^{n+1}} = \det(I - T\alpha_\lambda^* | B^*)^{\delta_{\bar{\lambda}}^n}. \tag{4.3}$$

From Equations (2.14) and (2.16), we have

$$\alpha^* \left(\frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \right) = \mathcal{F}(\Lambda) \frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)}.$$

It follows by iteration that for $m \geq 0$,

$$(\alpha^*)^m \left(\frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \right) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{F}(\Lambda^{p^i}) \right) \frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)}. \tag{4.4}$$

We have

$$\frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)} = \sum_{\mu \in M_0} \frac{G_\mu(\Lambda)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\mu)} X^{-\mu},$$

so by Corollary 2.17 we may evaluate at $\Lambda = \lambda$ to get an element of B^* :

$$\frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \Big|_{\Lambda=\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \in M_0} \frac{G_\mu(\Lambda)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \Big|_{\Lambda=\lambda} \tilde{\pi}^{-w(\mu)} X^{-\mu} \in B^*.$$

It is straightforward to check that the specialization of the left-hand side of (4.4) with $m = \epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})$ at $\Lambda = \lambda$ is exactly $\alpha_\lambda^*((\zeta(\Lambda, X)/G_0(\Lambda))|_{\Lambda=\lambda})$, so specializing (4.4) with $m = \epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})$ at $\Lambda = \lambda$ gives

$$\alpha_\lambda^* \left(\frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \Big|_{\Lambda=\lambda} \right) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})-1} \mathcal{F}(\lambda^{p^i}) \right) \frac{\zeta(\Lambda, X)}{G_0(\Lambda)} \Big|_{\Lambda=\lambda}. \tag{4.5}$$

Equation (4.5) shows that $\prod_{i=0}^{\epsilon d(\bar{\lambda})-1} \mathcal{F}(\lambda^{p^i})$ is a (unit) eigenvalue of α_λ^* , hence by (4.3) it is the unique unit eigenvalue of $L(f_{\bar{\lambda}}; T)$.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to D. Wan, whose interest in unit root questions encouraged us to write up our ideas on this topic.

References

- [Dwork 1962] B. Dwork, “On the zeta function of a hypersurface”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **12** (1962), 5–68. MR 28 #3039 Zbl 0173.48601
- [Dwork 1964] B. Dwork, “On the zeta function of a hypersurface, II”, *Ann. of Math.*,(2) **80** (1964), 227–299. MR 32 #5654 Zbl 0173.48601
- [Dwork 1969] B. Dwork, “ p -adic cycles”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **37** (1969), 27–115. MR 45 #3415 Zbl 0284.14008
- [Dwork 1974] B. Dwork, “Bessel functions as p -adic functions of the argument”, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 711–738. MR 52 #8124 Zbl 0302.14008
- [Dwork and Loeser 1993] B. Dwork and F. Loeser, “Hypergeometric series”, *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)* **19**:1 (1993), 81–129. MR 95f:33013 Zbl 0796.12005
- [Schlömlich 1857] O. Schlömlich, “Ueber die Bessel’sche Funktion”, *Zeitschrift für Math. und Phys.* **2** (1857), 137–165.
- [Serre 1962] J.-P. Serre, “Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adiques”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **12** (1962), 69–85. MR 26 #1733 Zbl 0104.33601
- [Sperber 1975] S. I. Sperber, *p -adic hypergeometric functions and their cohomology*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1975. MR 2625626
- [Watson 1944] G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1944. MR 0010746 Zbl 0063.08184

Communicated by Hendrik W. Lenstra

Received 2010-12-07 Revised 2011-03-28 Accepted 2011-05-08

adolphs@math.okstate.edu *Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University,
Stillwater, OK 74078, United States*

sperber@math.umn.edu *School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota,
Minneapolis, MN 55455, United States*

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.berkeley.edu/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or www.jant.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$175/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$40 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6 No. 3 2012

The image of complex conjugation in l -adic representations associated to automorphic forms	405
RICHARD TAYLOR	
Betti numbers of graded modules and the multiplicity conjecture in the non-Cohen–Macaulay case	437
MATS BOIJ and JONAS SÖDERBERG	
\mathcal{L} -invariants and Shimura curves	455
SAMIT DASGUPTA and MATTHEW GREENBERG	
On the weak Lefschetz property for powers of linear forms	487
JUAN C. MIGLIORE, ROSA M. MIRÓ-ROIG and UWE NAGEL	
Resonance equals reducibility for A -hypergeometric systems	527
MATHIAS SCHULZE and ULI WALTHER	
The Chow ring of double EPW sextics	539
ANDREA FERRETTI	
A finiteness property of graded sequences of ideals	561
MATTIAS JONSSON and MIRCEA MUSTĂŢĂ	
On unit root formulas for toric exponential sums	573
ALAN ADOLPHSON and STEVEN SPERBER	
Symmetries of the transfer operator for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and a character deformation of the Selberg zeta function for $\Gamma_0(4)$	587
MARKUS FRACZEK and DIETER MAYER	



1937-0652(2012)6:3;1-C