

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 9

2015

No. 10

**On the normalized arithmetic  
Hilbert function**

Mounir Hajli



# On the normalized arithmetic Hilbert function

Mounir Hajli

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  be a subvariety of dimension  $n$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; \cdot)$  be the normalized arithmetic Hilbert function of  $X$  introduced by Philippon and Sombra. We show that this function admits the asymptotic expansion

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \frac{\hat{h}(X)}{(n+1)!} D^{n+1} + o(D^{n+1}), \quad \forall D \gg 1,$$

where  $\hat{h}(X)$  is the normalized height of  $X$ . This gives a positive answer to a question raised by Philippon and Sombra.

## 1. Introduction

In [Philippon and Sombra 2008], the authors introduce an arithmetic Hilbert function defined for any subvariety in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ , the projective space of dimension  $N$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . This function measures the binary complexity of the subvariety. In the case of toric subvarieties, a result of Philippon and Sombra shows that the asymptotic behavior of the associated normalized arithmetic Hilbert function is related to the normalized height of the subvariety considered; see [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Proposition 0.4]. This result is an important step toward the proof of the main theorem of the same paper, which is an explicit formula for the normalized height of projective translated toric varieties; see [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Théorème 0.1].

In [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Question 2.2], the authors ask if the normalized arithmetic Hilbert function admits an asymptotic expansion similar to the toric case. More precisely, given  $X$  a subvariety of dimension  $n$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ , the projective space of dimension  $N$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , can we find a real  $c(X) \geq 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \frac{c(X)}{(n+1)!} D^{n+1} + o(D^{n+1})?$$

If so, do we have  $c(X) = \hat{h}(X)$ , where  $\hat{h}(X)$  is the normalized height of  $X$ ?

In this article, we give an affirmative answer to this question.

*MSC2010:* primary 14G40; secondary 11G50, 11G35.

*Keywords:* arithmetic Hilbert function, height.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a subvariety of dimension  $n$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ . Then the normalized arithmetic Hilbert function associated to  $X$  admits the asymptotic expansion*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \frac{\hat{h}(X)}{(n + 1)!} D^{n+1} + o(D^{n+1}), \quad \forall D \gg 1.$$

The notion of normalized height plays an important role in the diophantine approximation on tori, particularly in Bogomolov’s and generalized Lehmer’s problems; see [David and Philippon 1999; Amoroso and David 2003]. A result of Zhang [1992] shows that a subvariety  $X$  with a vanishing normalized height is necessarily a union of toric subvarieties.

Gillet and Soulé [1992] proved an arithmetic Hilbert–Samuel formula as a consequence of the arithmetic Riemann–Roch theorem. Roughly speaking, this formula describes the asymptotic behavior of the arithmetic degree of a hermitian module defined by the global sections of the tensorial power of a positive hermitian line bundle on an arithmetic variety. Moreover, the leading term is given by the arithmetic degree of the hermitian line bundle. Later Abbès and Bouche [1995] gave a new proof for this result without using the arithmetic Riemann–Roch theorem. Randriambololona [2006] extended the result Gillet and Soulé to the case of coherent sheaf provided as a subquotient of a metrized vector bundle on an arithmetic variety.

**Notation.** Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers,  $\mathbb{Z}$  the ring of integers,  $K$  a number field and  $\mathcal{O}_K$  its ring of integers. For  $N$  and  $D$  two integers in  $\mathbb{N}$  we define  $\mathbb{N}_D^{N+1} := \{a \in \mathbb{N}^{N+1} : a_0 + \dots + a_N = D\}$ , and we let  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$  (resp.  $K[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$ ) denote the complex vector space (resp.  $K$ -vector space) of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]$  (resp. in  $K[x_0, \dots, x_N]$ ).

For any prime number  $p$  we denote by  $|\cdot|_p$  the  $p$ -adic absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$  and by  $|\cdot|_\infty$ , or simply  $|\cdot|$ , the standard absolute value. Let  $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the set of these absolute values. We denote by  $M_K$  the set of absolute values of  $K$  extending the absolute values of  $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and by  $M_K^\infty$  the subset in  $M_K$  of archimedean absolute values.

We denote by  $\mathbb{P}^N$  the projective space over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of dimension  $N$ . A variety is assumed reduced and irreducible.

## 2. The proof of Theorem 1.1

We keep the same notation as in [Philippon and Sombra 2008]. Let  $\omega$  be the Fubini–Study form on  $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we denote by  $h_k$  the hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  given by

$$h_k(\cdot, \cdot) = \frac{|\cdot|^2}{(|x_0|^{2k} + \dots + |x_N|^{2k})^{1/2k}} \quad \text{and} \quad h_\infty(\cdot, \cdot) = \frac{|\cdot|^2}{\max(|x_0|, \dots, |x_N|)^2},$$

and we let  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}_k := (\mathcal{O}(1), h_k)$  and  $\omega_k := c_1(\mathcal{O}(1), h_k)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Note that  $\omega_k = (1/k)[k]^*\omega$ , where  $[k] : \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $[x_0 : \dots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^k : \dots : x_N^k]$ . Observe that the sequence  $(\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}}$  converges weakly to the current  $\omega_\infty$ . We consider the normalized volume form

$$\Omega_k := \omega_k^{\wedge N}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ , the metrics of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}_k$  and  $\Omega_k$  define a scalar product  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$  denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  and given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_k := \int_{\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})} h_k^{\otimes D}(f, g)\Omega_k, \tag{1}$$

for any  $f = \sum_a f_a x^a$ ,  $g = \sum_a g_a x^a$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$  with  $f_a, g_a \in \mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $\|\cdot\|_k$  the associated norm for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Note that  $\langle f, g \rangle_\infty = \sum_a f_a \bar{g}_a$  and  $\|x^a\|_\infty = 1$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}$  and  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a subvariety defined over a number field  $K$ . Define an embedding  $\sigma_v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $v \in M_K^\infty$ . For any  $p_1, \dots, p_l \in K[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$ , we set

$$\|p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_l\|_{k,v} := \|\sigma_v(p_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_v(p_l)\|_k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Define  $\mathcal{O}(D) := \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes D}$ . We let  $M := \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma)$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module of global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the Zariski closure of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N$ . For any  $v \in M_K^\infty$ , we set  $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma)_{\sigma_v} := \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma) \otimes_{\sigma_v} \mathbb{C}$ . We consider the following restriction map:

$$\pi : \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D))_{\sigma_v} \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma)_{\sigma_v} \rightarrow 0.$$

The space  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D))_{\sigma_v}$  is identified canonically to  $K_\sigma[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ , this space can be endowed by the scalar product induced by  $\Omega_k$  and  $h_k$  and denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{k,v}$ , where

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{k,v} = \langle \sigma_v(f), \sigma_v(g) \rangle_k$$

for any  $f, g \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D))_{\sigma_v}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  is ample, there exists a  $D_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any  $D \geq D_0$ , the restriction map is surjective. Let  $D \geq D_0$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{k,v,\text{quot}}$  the quotient norm induced by  $\pi$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{k,v}$ . Following [Philippon and Sombra 2008, p. 348], we endow  $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma)_{\sigma_v}$  with  $\|\cdot\|_{k,v,\text{quot}}$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ . By this construction,  $M$  can be equipped with a structure of a hermitian  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module, denoted by  $\bar{M}_k$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in M$ , is a  $K$ -basis for  $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{deg}}(\bar{M}_k) &= \widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma)_k}) \\ &:= \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \left( \log \text{Card}(\wedge^s M / (f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_s)) - \sum_{v:K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \log \|f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_s\|_{k,v} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**The normalized arithmetic Hilbert function.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a subvariety defined over a number field  $K$  and let  $I := I(X) \subset K[x_0, \dots, x_N]$  be its ideal of definition. We set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D) := \dim_K(K[x_0, \dots, x_N]/I)_D = \binom{D+N}{N} - \dim_K(I_D).$$

The function  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; \cdot)$  is known as *the classical geometric Hilbert function*. Philippon and Sombra [2008] introduced an arithmetic analogue of this function. Define  $m := \mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D)$ ,  $l := \dim_K(I_D)$  and let

$$\bigwedge^l K[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$$

be the  $l$ -th exterior power product of  $K[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$ . For  $f \in \bigwedge^l K[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$  and  $v \in M_K$  we denote by  $|f|_v$  the sup-norm of the coefficients of  $f$  at the place  $v$ , with respect to the standard basis of  $\bigwedge^l K[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D$ .

**Definition 2.1** [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Définition 2.1]. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_l$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $I_D$ . We set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log |p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_m|_v.$$

By the product formula, this definition does not depend on the choice of the basis; also it is invariant under finite extensions of  $K$ . We call  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; \cdot)$  the normalized arithmetic Hilbert function of  $X$ .

Following Philippon and Sombra, this arithmetic Hilbert function measures, for any  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ , the binary complexity of the  $K$ -vector space of forms of degree  $D$  in  $K[x_0, \dots, x_N]$  modulo  $I$ . As pointed out by Philippon and Sombra [2008, Proposition 0.4], when  $X$  is a toric variety, the asymptotic behavior of its associated normalized arithmetic Hilbert function is related to  $\hat{h}(X)$ , the normalized height of  $X$ . The authors ask the following question:

Given  $X$  a subvariety in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  of dimension  $n$ , can we find a real  $c(X) \geq 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \frac{c(X)}{(n+1)!} D^{n+1} + o(D^{n+1})?$$

If so, do we have  $c(X) = \hat{h}(X)$ ?

We recall the following proposition, which gives a dual formulation for  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $q_1, \dots, q_m \in K[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D^\vee$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $\text{Ann}(I_D)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log |q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m|_v.$$

*Proof.* See [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Proposition 2.3]. □

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we consider the arithmetic function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; D, k) &:= \sum_{v \in M_K^\infty} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \|p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_l\|_{k,v} \\ &\quad + \sum_{v \in M_K \setminus M_K^\infty} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log |p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_l|_v + \frac{1}{2} \log(\gamma(N, D, k)), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $p_1, \dots, p_l$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $I_D$  and

$$\gamma(N; D, k) := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}} \langle a, a \rangle_k^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

For  $k = 1$ , notice that  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; \cdot, 1)$  corresponds, up to a constant, to the arithmetic function  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; \cdot)$  considered in [Philippon and Sombra 2008, p. 346].

Similarly to  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}$ , the function  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}$  admits a dual formulation. The scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  induces the following linear isomorphism:

$$\eta_k : \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]^\vee, \quad f \mapsto \langle \cdot, f \rangle_k.$$

Thus  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]^\vee$  can be endowed with the dual scalar product, given by

$$\langle \eta_k(f), \eta_k(g) \rangle_k := \langle f, g \rangle_k, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]_D.$$

We can check easily that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we have

$$\|\theta\|'_k := \sup_{g \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N] \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\theta(g)|}{\|g\|_k} = \|f\|_k,$$

where  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]$  is such that  $\theta = \eta_k(f)$ . Then  $\|\theta\|'_k{}^2 = \langle \theta, \theta \rangle_k$  for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]^\vee$ . It follows that

$$\langle \theta, \zeta \rangle_k = \sum_b \langle x^b, x^b \rangle_k^{-1} \theta_b \bar{\zeta}_b. \tag{4}$$

This product extends to  $\wedge^m(\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]^\vee_D)$  as follows:

$$\langle \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_m, \zeta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \zeta_m \rangle_k := \det(\langle \theta_i, \zeta_j \rangle_k)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $q_1, \dots, q_m \in K[x_0, \dots, x_N]^\vee_D$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $\text{Ann}(I_D)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; D, k) &= \sum_{v \in M_K^\infty} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \|q_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge q_m\|_{k,v}^\vee + \sum_{v \in M_K \setminus M_K^\infty} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log |q_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge q_m|_v. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Proposition 2.5].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists a  $D_1$  such that, for any  $D \geq D_1$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; D, k) = \widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma})}_k) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D) \log \binom{D+N}{N}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Lemme 2.6]. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal sheaf of  $\Sigma$  and let  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}(D))$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module of global sections of  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}(D)$ , endowed with the scalar products induced by the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$ . We claim that there exists an integer  $D_1$ , which does not depend on  $k$ , such that, for any  $D \geq D_1$ , we have

$$\widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma})}_k) = \widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D))}_k) - \widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}(D))}_k).$$

Indeed, we can find a  $D_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $D \geq D_1$ , the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then by [Randriambololona 2001, Lemme 2.3.6], the sequence of hermitian  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma})}_k \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D))}_k \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma})}_k \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where the metrics of  $\overline{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma})}_k$  and  $\overline{\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma})}_k$  are induced by the metric of  $\overline{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D))}_k$ .

We have

$$\widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N, \mathcal{O}(D))}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \log(\gamma(N; D, k)) + \frac{1}{2} \binom{D+N}{N} \log \binom{N+D}{N}. \tag{5}$$

As in the proof of [Philippon and Sombra 2008, Lemme 2.6], and keeping the same notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Sigma})}_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log(\gamma(N; D, k)) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D) \log \binom{N+D}{N} \\ & \quad + \sum_{v \in M_K^\infty} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \|p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_l\|_{k,v}^\vee \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \text{Card}(\wedge^l(I_{\mathcal{O}_K}) / (p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_l)). \tag{6} \end{aligned}$$

The last term in (6) does not depend on the metric. It is computed in [Philippon and Sombra 2008, p. 349]; we have

$$\frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \text{Card}(\wedge^l (I_{\mathcal{O}_K}) / (p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_l)) = - \sum_{v \in M_K \setminus M_K^\infty} \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \log |p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_l|_v. \quad \square$$

By [Randriambololona 2006, Théorème A], we have

$$\widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(D)|_\Sigma)_k}) = \frac{h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}}(X)}{(n+1)!} D^{n+1} + o(D^{n+1}), \quad \forall D \gg 1, \quad (7)$$

where  $h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}}(X)$  denotes the height of the Zariski closure of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^N$  with respect to  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}$ . Since  $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D) \log \binom{D+N}{N} = o(D^{n+1})$  for  $D \gg 1$ , by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; D, k) = \frac{h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}}(X)}{(n+1)!} D^{n+1} + o(D^{n+1}), \quad \forall D \gg 1. \quad (8)$$

Let  $q_1, \dots, q_m \in K[x_0, \dots, x_N]^\vee$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $\text{Ann}(I_D)$ . For any finite subset  $M$  in  $\mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}$  of cardinal  $m$ , we set  $q_M := (q_{jb})_{1 \leq j \leq m, b \in M} \in K^{m \times m}$  where the  $q_{jb}$  are such that  $q_j = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}} q_{jb} (x^b)^\vee$ . For any  $v \in M_K^\infty$ , we have

$$|q_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge q_m|_v = \max\{|\det(q_M)|_v : M \subset \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}, \text{Card}(M) = m\} \\ \leq \left( \sum_{M: \text{Card}(M)=m} \left( \prod_{b \in M} \langle b, b \rangle_{v,k}^{-1} \right) |\det(q_M)|_v^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (9)$$

(We use the inequality  $\langle x^a, x^a \rangle_k = \int_{\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})} h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)_k}}(x^a, x^a) \Omega_k \leq 1$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}$ , which follows from  $h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)_k}}(x^a, x^a) \leq h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)_\infty}}(x^a, x^a) \leq 1$  on  $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$  and the facts that  $\Omega_k > 0$  on  $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})} \Omega_k = 1$ .)

Then

$$|q_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge q_m|_v \leq \|q_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge q_m\|_{k,v}^\vee, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (10)$$

By Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, we get

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; D, k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (11)$$

By (8), the previous inequality gives

$$\limsup_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{D^{n+1}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) \leq h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}}(X), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$



We know that  $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to  $h_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ . Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Then there exists a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any  $k \geq k_0$ , we have

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^{2D} \leq \frac{(\max(|x_0|_v, \dots, |x_N|_v))^{2D}}{(|x_0|_v^{2k} + \dots + |x_N|_v^{2k})^{D/k}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{2D}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}), \forall D \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, for any  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}$ , we get

$$\langle x^a, x^a \rangle_k \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{2D} \int_{\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})} h_\infty^{\otimes D}(x^a, x^a) \omega_k^N. \tag{13}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})} h_\infty^{\otimes D}(x^a, x^a) \omega_k^N \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} \frac{|z^{2a}|}{\max(1, |z_1|, \dots, |z_N|)^{2D}} \frac{k^N \prod_{i=1}^N |z_i|^{2(k-1)} \prod_{i=1}^N dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N |z_i|^{2k})^{N+1}} \\ &= 2^N \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^N} \frac{k^N r^{a+k-1}}{\max(1, r_1, \dots, r_N)^{2D}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N dr_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^k)^{N+1}} \\ &= 2^N \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^N} \frac{r^{a/k}}{\max_i(1, r_1, \dots, r_N)^{D/k}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N dr_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^k)^{N+1}} \\ &= 2^N \sum_{j=0}^N \int_{E_j} \frac{r^{a/k}}{\max_i(1, r_1, \dots, r_N)^{D/k}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N dr_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i)^{N+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_j := \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^N : x_j \geq 1, x_l \leq x_j \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, N\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and  $E := \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^N : x_l \leq 1, \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, N\}$ . Using the function

$$(\mathbb{R}^{*+})^N \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{*+})^N, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto \left( \frac{x_1}{x_j}, \dots, \frac{x_{j-1}}{x_j}, \frac{1}{x_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_j} \right),$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , we can show that there exists a  $b^{(j)} = (b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_N^{(j)}) \in \mathbb{N}^N$  such that

$$\int_{E_j} \frac{r^{a/k}}{\max_i(1, r_1, \dots, r_N)^{D/k}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N dr_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i)^{N+1}} = \int_E r^{b^{(j)}/k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N dr_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i)^{N+1}}. \tag{14}$$

We set  $b^{(0)} := a$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})} h_\infty^D(x^a, x^a) \omega_k^N = 2^N \sum_{j=0}^N \int_E r^{b^{(j)}/k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N dr_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i)^{N+1}}. \tag{15}$$

Let  $0 < \delta < 1$ , and set  $E_\delta := \{x \in E : x_l \geq \delta \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, N\}$ . From (13) and (15), we obtain

$$\langle x^a, x^a \rangle_k \geq (1-\varepsilon)^{2D} 2^N \sum_{j=0}^N \int_{E_\delta} r^{b^{(j)}/k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N dr_i}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i)^{N+1}} \geq (1-\varepsilon)^{2D} 2^N (N+1) \delta^{D/k} \mu_\delta,$$

where  $\mu_\delta := \int_{E_\delta} \prod_{i=1}^N dr_i / (1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_i)^{N+1}$ .

Thus,

$$\langle x^a, x^a \rangle_k^{-1} \leq (1-\varepsilon)^{-2D} \delta^{-D/k} \mu_\delta^{-1}, \quad \forall k \geq k_0, \forall D \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \forall a \in \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}. \quad (16)$$

Then, for any  $k \geq k_0$  and  $D \geq D_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m\|_{k,v}^\vee &\leq \left( \sum_{M: \text{Card}(M)=m} \left( \prod_{b \in M} \langle b, b \rangle_{v,k}^{-1} \right) \right)^{1/2} |q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m|_v \\ &\leq \text{Card}\{M \subset \mathbb{N}_D^{N+1} : \text{Card}(M) = m\}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (1-\varepsilon)^{-mD} \delta^{-mD/k} \mu_\delta^{-m} |q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m|_v \\ &\leq \text{Card}(\mathbb{N}_D^{N+1}) (1-\varepsilon)^{-mD} \delta^{-mD/k} \mu_\delta^{-m} |q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m|_v \\ &= \binom{N+D}{N}^{1/2} (1-\varepsilon)^{-mD} \delta^{-mD/k} \mu_\delta^{-m} |q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m|_v, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the second line follows by (16).

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{arith}}(X; D, k) &\leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) + \frac{1}{2} \log \binom{N+D}{N} - D \mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D) \log(1-\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - \frac{D \mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D)}{k} \log \delta - \mathcal{H}_{\text{geom}}(X; D) \log \mu_\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By (8), we obtain that

$$h_{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}(X) \leq \liminf_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{D^{n+1}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) + O(\varepsilon) + \frac{\log \delta}{k} O(1), \quad \forall k \geq k_0. \quad (19)$$

Gathering (12) and (19), we conclude that, for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{D^{n+1}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) &\leq h_{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}(X) \\ &\leq \liminf_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{D^{n+1}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) + O(\varepsilon) + \frac{\log \delta}{k} O(1), \quad \forall k \geq k_0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mathcal{O}(1)_k}(\overline{X}) = h_{\mathcal{O}(1)_\infty}(\overline{X})$  (see for instance [Zhang 1995]) and since  $h_{\mathcal{O}(1)_\infty}(\overline{X}) = \hat{h}(X)$  (see [Philippon and Sombra 2008, p. 342]), we get

$$\liminf_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{D^{n+1}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \limsup_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{D^{n+1}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{norm}}(X; D) = \hat{h}(X). \quad (21)$$

Thus, we have proved [Theorem 1.1](#). □

### Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to Martín Sombra for his helpful conversations and encouragement during the preparation of this paper. I would like to thank Vincent Maillot for his useful discussions.

### References

- [Abbes and Bouche 1995] A. Abbes and T. Bouche, “Théorème de Hilbert–Samuel ‘arithmétique’”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **45**:2 (1995), 375–401. [MR 96e:14024](#) [Zbl 0818.14011](#)
- [Amoroso and David 2003] F. Amoroso and S. David, “Minoration de la hauteur normalisée dans un tore”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **2**:3 (2003), 335–381. [MR 2004m:11101](#) [Zbl 1041.11048](#)
- [David and Philippon 1999] S. David and P. Philippon, “Minorations des hauteurs normalisées des sous-variétés des tores”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) **28**:3 (1999), 489–543. [MR 2001a:11109](#) [Zbl 1002.11055](#)
- [Gillet and Soulé 1992] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, “An arithmetic Riemann–Roch theorem”, *Invent. Math.* **110**:3 (1992), 473–543. [MR 94f:14019](#) [Zbl 0777.14008](#)
- [Philippon and Sombra 2008] P. Philippon and M. Sombra, “Hauteur normalisée des variétés toriques projectives”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **7**:2 (2008), 327–373. [MR 2010a:11125](#) [Zbl 1147.11033](#)
- [Randriambololona 2001] H. Randriambololona, *Hauteurs pour les sous-schémas et exemples d’utilisation de méthodes arakeloviennes en théorie de l’approximation diophantienne*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris-Sud, 2001, available at <http://www.infres.enst.fr/~randriam/maths/these.pdf>.
- [Randriambololona 2006] H. Randriambololona, “Métriques de sous-quotient et théorème de Hilbert–Samuel arithmétique pour les faisceaux cohérents”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **590** (2006), 67–88. [MR 2007d:14053](#) [Zbl 1097.14020](#)
- [Zhang 1992] S. Zhang, “Positive line bundles on arithmetic surfaces”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **136**:3 (1992), 569–587. [MR 93j:14024](#) [Zbl 0788.14017](#)
- [Zhang 1995] S. Zhang, “Small points and adelic metrics”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **4**:2 (1995), 281–300. [MR 96e:14025](#) [Zbl 0861.14019](#)

Communicated by Joseph Silverman

Received 2014-11-19

Revised 2015-09-10

Accepted 2015-10-15

[hajli@math.sinica.edu.tw](mailto:hajli@math.sinica.edu.tw)

*Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica,  
6F, Astronomy-Mathematics Building,  
No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan*

# Algebra & Number Theory

[msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant)

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne		

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$255/year for the electronic version, and \$440/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.


---

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 9    No. 10    2015

---

<a href="#">Equivariant torsion and base change</a>	2197
MICHAEL LIPNOWSKI	
<a href="#">Induction parabolique et <math>(\varphi, \Gamma)</math>-modules</a>	2241
CHRISTOPHE BREUIL	
<a href="#">On the normalized arithmetic Hilbert function</a>	2293
MOUNIR HAJLI	
<a href="#">The abelian monoid of fusion-stable finite sets is free</a>	2303
SUNE PRECHT REEH	
<a href="#">Polynomial values modulo primes on average and sharpness of the larger sieve</a>	2325
XUANCHENG SHAO	
<a href="#">Bounds for Serre's open image theorem for elliptic curves over number fields</a>	2347
DAVIDE LOMBARDO	
<a href="#">On 0-cycles with modulus</a>	2397
AMALENDU KRISHNA	