

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 11

2017

No. 4

**Correction to the article  
Frobenius and valuation rings**

Rankeya Datta and Karen E. Smith





# Correction to the article Frobenius and valuation rings

Rankeya Datta and Karen E. Smith

Volume **10**:5 (2016), 1057–1090

Theorem 5.1 is corrected in the paper *Frobenius and valuation rings*.

The characterization of  $F$ -finite valuation rings of function fields (Theorem 5.1) is incorrect. The corrected characterization is as follows:

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $K$  be a finitely generated extension of an  $F$ -finite ground field  $k$ . Let  $V$  be any nontrivial valuation ring of  $K/k$ . Then  $V$  is  $F$ -finite if and only if  $V$  is divisorial.*

A proof of this corrected theorem follows a complete accounting of affected statements in the paper. Notation and theorem and page numbers are as in [Datta and Smith 2016].

## 1. List of affected statements

The source of the error is Proposition 2.2.1 (page 1061), which is misquoted from the original source [Bourbaki 1998]. Specifically, the last sentence in the statement of Proposition 2.2.1 should read: *Furthermore, equality holds if the integral closure of  $R_v$  in  $L$  is a finitely generated  $R_v$ -module*, not *if and only if*. The statement is correct, however, under the additional assumption that the valuation ring  $R_v$  is *Noetherian* [Bourbaki 1998, VI, §8.5, Remark (1)].

This error has consequences in the following statements from the paper.

THEOREM 4.3.1 should read: *Let  $V$  be a valuation ring of an  $F$ -finite field  $K$  of prime characteristic  $p$ . If  $V$  is  $F$ -finite, then*

$$[\Gamma : p\Gamma][\kappa : \kappa^p] = [K : K^p],$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the value group and  $\kappa$  is the residue field of  $V$ .

Datta was partially supported by the Juha Heinonen Memorial Graduate Fellowship at the University of Michigan. Smith was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1501625.

MSC2010: primary 13A35; secondary 13F30, 14B05.

Keywords:  $F$ -finite valuation rings, divisorial valuations in prime characteristic.

The paper had incorrectly stated the converse, which *does* hold if the valuation ring is Noetherian [Bourbaki 1998, VI, §8.5, Remark (1)].

COROLLARY 4.3.2 should read: *With hypotheses as in Theorem 4.3.1, if  $[K : K^p] = [\kappa : \kappa^p]$ , then  $V$  is  $F$ -finite.* See Section 2 below for the proof. The original statement holds as stated if  $V$  is Noetherian.

EXAMPLES 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 (page 1069): The computations here are correct but the conclusions are not. Rather, Theorem 0.1 ensures these valuation rings are *not*  $F$ -finite.

EXAMPLE 4.5.1 (page 1070) is correct as stated, as it follows from the correct implication of Theorem 4.3.1.

PROPOSITION 4.6.1 (page 1071): This statement is correct. However, the proof of (iii) is not, because it cited the incorrect implication of Proposition 2.2.1. The corrected proof is in Section 3 below.

THEOREM 5.1 (page 1072): The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is correct, and both imply (i). Under the additional assumption that the valuation is discrete, also (i) implies (ii) and (iii). The proof of Theorem 5.1 in the paper proves:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K$  be a finitely generated field extension of an  $F$ -finite ground field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . The following are equivalent for a valuation  $v$  on  $K/k$ :*

- (i) *The valuation  $v$  is Abhyankar.*
- (ii)  *$[\Gamma : p\Gamma][\kappa : \kappa^p] = [K : K^p]$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the value group, and  $\kappa$  is the residue field of  $v$ .*

COROLLARY 5.2 (page 1072) is correct as stated; it is a consequence of Theorem 0.1.

COROLLARY 6.6.3 (page 1086) is correct as stated; the proof should invoke Theorem 0.1 instead of Theorem 5.1.

The remaining statements in Section 5 (Remark 5.3, Proposition 5.4, Lemma 5.5, Proposition 5.6, Lemma 5.7, Lemma 5.8) and Section 6 are correct, because they do not rely on Proposition 2.2.1 or its consequences.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 0.1

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(V, \mathfrak{m})$  be a valuation ring of prime characteristic  $p$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not principal, then*

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^{[p]}.$$

*Proof.* Take any  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ . It suffices to show that there exists  $y \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $y^p \mid x$ . Note that since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not principal, the value group  $\Gamma$  of the corresponding valuation  $v$  does not have a smallest element  $> 0$ . This means we can choose  $\beta_0 \in \Gamma$  such

that  $0 < \beta_0 < v(x)$ , and then also choose  $\beta_1 \in \Gamma$  such that

$$0 < \beta_1 < \min\{\beta_0, v(x) - \beta_0\}.$$

Let  $y_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$  be such that  $v(y_1) = \beta_1$ . Then

$$v(y_1^2) = 2\beta_1 < \beta_0 + (v(x) - \beta_0) = v(x).$$

Thus,  $y_1^2 \mid x$ . Repeating this argument inductively, we can find  $y_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $y_n^2 \mid y_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $n > \log_2 p$ , we have that  $y_n^{2^n}$ , and hence  $y_n^p$ , divides  $x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(V, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$  be a valuation ring of characteristic  $p$ . Then the dimension of  $V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]}$  over  $\kappa^p$  is*

- (a)  $[\kappa : \kappa^p]$  if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not finitely generated.
- (b)  $p[\kappa : \kappa^p]$  if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is finitely generated.

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence of  $\kappa^p$ -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]} \rightarrow V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]} \rightarrow \kappa \rightarrow 0. \tag{1}$$

If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not finitely generated, then Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]} = 0$ , and (a) follows. Otherwise,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal, so  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p]} = \mathfrak{m}^p$  and we have a filtration

$$\mathfrak{m} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{p-1} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[p]} = \mathfrak{m}^p.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} \cong \kappa$ , we see that  $\dim_{\kappa^p}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]}) = (p-1)[\kappa : \kappa^p]$ . From the short exact sequence (1),  $\dim_{\kappa^p}(V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]}) = p[\kappa : \kappa^p]$ , proving (b).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 0.1.* If  $V$  is divisorial, it is a localization of a finitely generated algebra over the F-finite ground field  $k$ , hence it is F-finite.

For the converse, let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal and  $\kappa$  the residue field of  $V$ . Since  $V$  is F-finite, by (the corrected) Theorem 4.3.1,

$$[\Gamma : p\Gamma][\kappa : \kappa^p] = [K : K^p], \tag{2}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the value group of  $V$ . Thus, the valuation is Abhyankar by Theorem 1.1 above. To show it is divisorial, we need to show it is discrete.

Because the value group of an Abhyankar valuation is finitely generated,  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s}$  for some integer  $s \geq 1$ . Hence it suffices to show that  $s = 1$ .

Since  $V$  is F-finite, we know  $V$  is free over  $V^p$  of rank  $[K : K^p]$ . Tensoring with the residue field  $\kappa^p$  of  $V^p$ , we have that  $V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]}$  is also a free  $\kappa^p$ -module of rank  $[K : K^p]$ . Thus, from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\kappa^p} V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]} &= [K : K^p] = [\Gamma : p\Gamma][\kappa : \kappa^p] \\ &= |\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s}/p\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s}|[\kappa : \kappa^p] = p^s[\kappa : \kappa^p]. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

But now, since  $p^s \neq 1$ , Lemma 2.2 forces  $s = 1$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Theorem 0.1 does not hold without *some* assumption on the field  $K$ . For instance, if  $K$  is perfect, Frobenius is an isomorphism (hence a finite map) for *any* valuation ring of  $K$ . The proof of Theorem 0.1 does show that an F-finite valuation ring with finitely generated value group must be Noetherian (hence discrete), without any restriction on its fraction field. Furthermore, the proof also shows that a valuation ring cannot be F-finite if its value group  $\Gamma$  satisfies  $[\Gamma : p\Gamma] > p$ .

*Proof of revised COROLLARY 4.3.2.* In general,  $[\Gamma : p\Gamma][\kappa : \kappa^p] \leq [K : K^p]$  by [Bourbaki 1998, VI, §8.1, Lemma 2]. Hence our hypothesis forces  $[\Gamma : p\Gamma] = 1$ . Thus  $\Gamma = p\Gamma$ , so that  $\Gamma$  cannot have a smallest positive element, which means that the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $V$  is not finitely generated. Lemma 2.2(a) now ensures  $\dim_{\kappa^p}(V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]}) = [\kappa : \kappa^p] = [K : K^p]$ . Then  $V$  is F-finite by [Bourbaki 1998, VI, §8.5, Theorem 2(c)].  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Proposition 4.6.1(iii)

We recall PROPOSITION 4.6.1(iii): *Let  $K \hookrightarrow L$  be a finite extension of F-finite fields of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $w$  be a valuation on  $L$  and  $v$  its restriction to  $K$ . Then the valuation ring of  $v$  is F-finite if and only if the valuation ring of  $w$  is F-finite.*

**Lemma 3.1.** *With notation as in Proposition 4.6.1(iii), the maximal ideal of the valuation ring of  $v$  is finitely generated if and only if the maximal ideal of the valuation ring of  $w$  is finitely generated.*

*Proof.* For ideals in a valuation ring, finite generation is the same as being principal. Principality of the maximal ideal is equivalent to the value group having a smallest element  $> 0$ . Thus, it suffices to show that the value group  $\Gamma_v$  of  $v$  has this property if and only if  $\Gamma_w$  does.

Assume  $\Gamma_w$  has a smallest element  $g > 0$ . We claim that for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , the only positive elements of  $\Gamma_w$  less than  $tg$  are  $g, 2g, \dots, (t-1)g$ . Indeed, suppose  $0 < h < tg$ . Since  $g$  is smallest,  $g \leq h < tg$ , whence  $0 \leq h - g < (t-1)g$ . So by induction,  $h - g = ig$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-2\}$ , and hence  $h$  is among  $g, 2g, \dots, (t-1)g$ .

Now, because  $[\Gamma_w : \Gamma_v] \leq [L : K] < \infty$  by [Bourbaki 1998, VI, §8.1, Lemma 2], every element of  $\Gamma_w/\Gamma_v$  is torsion. Let  $n$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $ng \in \Gamma_v$ . We claim that  $ng$  is the smallest positive element of  $\Gamma_v$ . Indeed, the only positive elements smaller than  $ng$  in  $\Gamma_w$  are  $g, 2g, \dots, (n-1)g$ , and none of these are in  $\Gamma_v$  by our choice of  $n$ .

Conversely, if  $\Gamma_v$  has a smallest element  $h > 0$ , then the set

$$S := \{g \in \Gamma_w : 0 < g < h\}$$

is finite because for distinct  $g_1, g_2$  in this set, their classes in  $\Gamma_w/\Gamma_v$  are also distinct, while  $\Gamma_w/\Gamma_v$  is a finite group. Then the smallest positive element of  $\Gamma_w$  is the smallest element of  $S$ , or  $h$  if  $S$  is empty.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.6.1(iii).* A necessary and sufficient condition for the F-finiteness of a valuation ring  $(V, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$  with F-finite fraction field  $K$  is, by [Bourbaki 1998, VI, §8.5, Theorem 2(c)], that

$$\dim_{\kappa^p}(V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]}) = [K : K^p]. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2.2 gives a formula for  $\dim_{\kappa^p}(V/\mathfrak{m}^{[p]})$  in terms of  $[\kappa : \kappa^p]$  that depends on whether the maximal ideal is finitely generated, which is the same for  $v$  and  $w$  by Lemma 3.1. Proposition 4.6.1(i) and (ii) tell us that both  $[K : K^p] = [L : L^p]$  and  $[\kappa_v : \kappa_v^p] = [\kappa_w : \kappa_w^p]$ . Thus Lemma 2.2 and (4) guarantee that the valuation ring of  $v$  is F-finite if and only if the valuation ring of  $w$  is F-finite.  $\square$

## References

- [Bourbaki 1998] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra, Chapters 1–7*, Springer, Berlin, 1998. MR Zbl  
 [Datta and Smith 2016] R. Datta and K. E. Smith, “Frobenius and valuation rings”, *Algebra Number Theory* **10**:5 (2016), 1057–1090. MR Zbl

Communicated by János Kollár

Received 2016-12-03      Accepted 2017-02-03

rankeya@umich.edu

*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan,  
 2074 East Hall, 530 Church Street,  
 Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, United States*

kesmith@umich.edu

*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan,  
 2074 East Hall, 530 Church Street,  
 Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, United States*





# Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Samit Dasgupta	University of California, Santa Cruz, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA

## PRODUCTION

production@msp.org  
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$325/year for the electronic version, and \$520/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.


---

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 11 No. 4 2017

---

Cup products of line bundles on homogeneous varieties and generalized PRV components of multiplicity one IVAN DIMITROV and MIKE ROTH	767
Mass formulas for local Galois representations and quotient singularities II: Dualities and resolution of singularities MELANIE MATCHETT WOOD and TAKEHIKO YASUDA	817
A new equivariant in nonarchimedean dynamics ROBERT RUMELY	841
On pairs of $p$ -adic $L$ -functions for weight-two modular forms FLORIAN SPRUNG	885
On Hilbert's 17th problem in low degree OLIVIER BENOIST	929
Gowers norms of multiplicative functions in progressions on average XUANCHENG SHAO	961
The degree of the Gauss map of the theta divisor GIULIO CODOGNI, SAMUEL GRUSHEVSKY and EDOARDO SERNESI	983
Correction to the article <i>Frobenius and valuation rings</i> ( <b>10:5</b> (2016), 1057–1090) RANKEYA DATTA and KAREN E. SMITH	1003



1937-0652(2017)11:4;1-H