Erratum for the paper 'On the chain-level intersection pairing for PL manifolds'

JE MCCLURE

Greg Friedman has pointed out that there are sign errors in [3], and in particular Lemma 10.5(b) (which is a key step in the proof of the main theorem) is not correct as stated.

The purpose of this note is to provide a correction.

18D50; 57Q65

In Section 5 of [3], the umkehr map

$$H_p(A, B) \to H_{p+n-m}(A', B')$$

.

should have a sign $(-1)^{(m-p)(n-m)}$ as in [1, pages 314–315] (see [2] for an explanation of where this sign comes from). Also, it's convenient to let the symbol $f_!$ stand for the desuspension

 $\Sigma^{-m}H_*(A,B) \to \Sigma^{-n}H_*(A',B').$

Note that this map preserves degrees.

With these changes, Lemma 5.1 says that the diagram

commutes.

In Section 8, observe that if C_* and D_* are chain complexes and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $(\Sigma^{-m}C_*) \otimes (\Sigma^{-n}D_*)$ and $\Sigma^{-(m+n)}(C_* \otimes D_*)$ will be *different* chain complexes when n is odd: they are the same as graded abelian groups but have different differentials. However, there is an isomorphism

$$\Theta: (\Sigma^{-m}C_*) \otimes (\Sigma^{-n}D_*) \to \Sigma^{-(m+n)}(C_* \otimes D_*)$$

which takes $\Sigma^{-m} x \otimes \Sigma^{-n} y$ to $(-1)^{n|x|} \Sigma^{-(m+n)} (x \otimes y)$, and similarly for any number of tensor factors.

Published: 12 March 2009

DOI: 10.2140/gt.2009.13.1775

Given manifolds M_1 and M_2 of dimensions m_1, m_2 , define

$$\overline{\varepsilon}: (\Sigma^{-m_1}C_*M_1) \otimes (\Sigma^{-m_2}C_*M_2) \to \Sigma^{-(m_1+m_2)}C_*(M_1 \times M_2)$$

to be

$$(-1)^{m_1m_2}(\Sigma^{-(m_1+m_2)}\varepsilon)\circ\Theta$$

The motivation for this is that $\overline{\varepsilon}$ is Poincaré dual to the exterior product in cohomology (see [2] for details). Similarly, given M_1, \ldots, M_k define

$$\overline{\varepsilon}: \bigotimes \Sigma^{-m_i} C_* M_i \to \Sigma^{-\sum m_i} C_* (\prod M_i)$$

to be

$$(-1)^{e_2(m_1,\ldots,m_k)}(\Sigma^{-\sum m_i}\varepsilon)\circ\Theta$$

where e_2 is the second elementary symmetric function (so that, for example, $e_2(m_1, m_2, m_3) = m_1m_2 + m_1m_3 + m_2m_3$).

Now define

$$G_2 \subset (\Sigma^{-m} C_* M) \otimes (\Sigma^{-m} C_* M)$$

to be $\overline{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\Sigma^{-2m}C^{\Delta}_*(M \times M))$ and define μ_2 to be $\Delta_! \circ \overline{\varepsilon}$.

With these changes, Remark 8.1 becomes correct (it wasn't before); see [2] for the proof.

In Section 10, Definition 10.1 should be restated: define G_k to be the subcomplex of $(\Sigma^{-m}C_*M)^{\otimes k}$ consisting of elements x for which both $\Sigma^{mk}\overline{\varepsilon}_k(x)$ and $\Sigma^{mk}\overline{\varepsilon}_k(\partial x)$ are in general position with respect to all generalized diagonal maps.

The diagram in Lemma 10.5(b) should be replaced by

At the end of Section 10, the definition of G_R should be

$$\overline{\varepsilon_{k'}}^1 \circ (R^*)_! \circ \overline{\varepsilon}_k.$$

In Section 11, Lemma 11.1 should say that the inclusion

$$G_{k+l} \hookrightarrow (\Sigma^{-m}C_*M)^{k+l} \cong (\Sigma^{-m}C_*M)^k \otimes (\Sigma^{-m}C_*M)^l$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 13 (2009)

has its image in $G_k \otimes G_l$. Now define

$$\xi_{k,l}: G_{k+l} \to G_k \otimes G_l$$

to be the inclusion provided by Lemma 11.1.

References

- [1] **A Dold**, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, Grundlehrenseries 200, Springer, New York (1972) MR0415602
- [2] **G Friedman**, On the chain-level intersection pairing for PL manifolds, to appear arXiv:0808.1749
- [3] **J E McClure**, *On the chain-level intersection pairing for PL manifolds*, Geom. Topol. 10 (2006) 1391–1424 MR2255502

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University 150 N University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, USA

mcclure@math.purdue.edu

Received: 18 July 2007