

A Milnor–Wood inequality for complex hyperbolic lattices in quaternionic space

OSCAR GARCÍA-PRADA
DOMINGO TOLEDO

We prove a Milnor–Wood inequality for representations of the fundamental group of a compact complex hyperbolic manifold in the group of isometries of quaternionic hyperbolic space. Of special interest is the case of equality, and its application to rigidity. We show that equality can only be achieved for totally geodesic representations, thereby establishing a global rigidity theorem for totally geodesic representations.

22E40; 53C26

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove a Milnor–Wood inequality for representations of the fundamental group of a compact complex hyperbolic manifold in the group of isometries of quaternionic hyperbolic space. Of special interest is the case of equality, and its application to rigidity. We show that equality can only be achieved for totally geodesic representations, thereby establishing a global rigidity theorem for totally geodesic representations. We point out the recent papers of Kim, Pansu and Klingler [16] and Klingler [17], where closely related local rigidity theorems for totally geodesic representations are proved.

To explain our results, let $m \geq 2$ and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SU}(m, 1)$ be a cocompact, torsion-free lattice. Then $X = \Gamma \backslash B^m$ is a compact complex manifold covered by the unit ball $B^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. (We will write either B^m or $H_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ for the complex hyperbolic m -space, which is the symmetric space of $\mathrm{SU}(m, 1)$.) The space of invariant two forms on B^m is one-dimensional. Choose a generator $\omega = \omega_{B^m}$ to represent the Chern class of the tautological line sub-bundle. This is a Kähler form for B^m and descends to a Kähler form ω_X on X . Let

$$v(X) = \int_X \omega_X^m.$$

Then $v(X)$ is a positive integer, proportional to the volume of X . In terms of characteristic numbers and other invariants of X , $v(X) = (-1)^m \mathcal{T}(X)$ where $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is

the Todd genus of X , in other words, by the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem, $v(X) = (-1)^m \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Let $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ be the quaternionic hyperbolic space of quaternionic dimension n , with group of isometries $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$. The space of invariant four-forms on $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ is one-dimensional. We choose a nonzero form α in this space, and normalize it by requiring that its restriction to a totally geodesic complex hyperbolic subspace $H_{\mathbb{C}}^m = B^m$ is the form $\omega_{B^m}^2$, where ω_{B^m} is as above.

Let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ be a representation. Using the above definitions, we can assign to it a characteristic number $c(\rho)$ as follows. Let $f: B^m \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ be a smooth equivariant map (which exists and is unique up to equivariant homotopy). Then $f^*\alpha$ is an invariant form on B^m , so it descends to a form on X . We then define $c(\rho)$ by

$$c(\rho) = \int_X \omega_X^{m-2} \wedge f^*\alpha.$$

Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (1) $|c(\rho)| \leq v(X)$.

(2) *If equality holds, ρ is a totally geodesic representation.*

By a *totally geodesic representation* we mean that there is a totally geodesic $H_{\mathbb{C}}^m \subset H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ so that the image of the representation lies in the subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ that preserves this $H_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ and that the equivariant map f , which can be assumed to have image in this $H_{\mathbb{C}}^m$, is a totally geodesic isometric embedding.

In group-theoretic terms, note that the subgroup G of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ that leaves $B^m \subset H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ invariant is of the form $G_1 \times G_2$ where G_1 is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(m, 1)$ and G_2 is isomorphic to the compact group $U(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n - m)$, the centralizer of G_1 in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$. To say that ρ is a totally geodesic representation is the same as saying that the image of ρ lies in such a subgroup $G_1 \times G_2$, and, splitting $\rho = \rho_1 \times \rho_2$, that ρ_1 is conjugate to the inclusion $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SU}(m, 1)$. In particular, a totally geodesic representation is faithful.

Since the characteristic number $c(\rho)$ is a topological invariant, therefore invariant under deformation, we obtain the following local rigidity theorem:

Corollary 1.2 *Let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ be a totally geodesic representation. Then any deformation ρ_t of ρ is a totally geodesic representation.*

For convenience, we have stated our results in terms of the groups $\mathrm{SU}(m, 1)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ that do not act effectively on the corresponding symmetric spaces. We could easily modify our statements in terms of the quotient groups that act effectively.

Question It is natural to ask for examples of representations ρ where $0 < c(\rho) < v(X)$. In the case $m = 2$ we can construct such examples by taking two ball quotients X, Y with a surjective holomorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $0 < \deg(f) < v(X)/v(Y)$ (these exist; see the second author’s paper [24]) and a totally geodesic representation $\rho': \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$. Then the composition $\rho' \circ f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ satisfies the desired inequality. But these representations do not give satisfactory examples, because, even though the representation is not totally geodesic, its image still leaves invariant a totally geodesic $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \subset H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$. Thus the real question is: are there representations that satisfy $0 < c(\rho) < v(X)$ and whose image does not leave any geodesic $H_{\mathbb{C}}^m \subset H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ invariant?

We recall that the classical Milnor–Wood inequality concerns representations of surface groups in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ from Milnor [22] or $\mathrm{Top}(S^1)$ from Wood [25]. It has been generalized to representations of surface groups in groups of isometries of Hermitian symmetric spaces, and the case of equality has received much attention; see Bradlow, Garcá-Prada and Gothen [3; 4], Burger, Iozzi and Wienhard [6] and Garcá-Prada, Gothen and Mundet i Riera [12]. It is harder to prove such inequalities for representations of fundamental groups of higher dimensional manifolds. For representations of fundamental groups of our Γ ’s into $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$ there is the rigidity result of Corlette [10], on which our result is modeled. The global rigidity result proved in this paper is related to the cited local rigidity results of Kim, Pansu and Klingler as Corlette’s rigidity result is related to the local rigidity theorem of Goldman and Millson [13]. For some recent work on higher dimensional domains, see Besson, Courtois and Gallot [2], Bucher and Gelandar [5], Koziarz and Maubon [20; 21] and references in these papers.

Question A very natural question is whether there is a Milnor–Wood inequality for representations of lattices in $\mathrm{Sp}(1, 1)$, (equivalently, representations of fundamental groups of constant curvature four-manifolds), in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$, $n > 1$. It is conjectured that, in analogy with the second author’s paper [23], a sharp inequality exists, and that the case of equality characterizes the totally geodesic representations. A suggestive local rigidity result compatible with this conjecture has been proved by Kim and Pansu [15].

Acknowledgements The first author was partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain) Grant MTM2007-67623. The second author was supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-0600816.

2 Quaternionic hyperbolic space and its twistor space

Let us write \mathbb{H} for the quaternions and \mathbb{H}^{n+1} for a right - quaternionic vector space of quaternionic dimension $n + 1$. We will use the quaternionic hermitian forms

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) &= \bar{x}_1 y_1 + \cdots + \bar{x}_n y_n + \bar{x}_{n+1} y_{n+1}, \\ h^{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) &= \bar{x}_1 y_1 + \cdots + \bar{x}_n y_n - \bar{x}_{n+1} y_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

on \mathbb{H}^{n+1} , where $X = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$, $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. The quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}P^n$ is the manifold of right quaternionic lines in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} . The group $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ of right quaternionic linear isometries of $h_0^{\mathbb{H}}$ acts transitively on it (by left multiplication by suitable quaternionic matrices) and the line $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ has isotropy group $\mathrm{Sp}(n) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, thus $\mathbb{H}P^n = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1) / \mathrm{Sp}(n) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$.

The quaternionic hyperbolic space $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ is the open subset of $\mathbb{H}P^n$ consisting of those right-quaternionic lines L on which the form $h^{\mathbb{H}}$ is negative: $h^{\mathbb{H}}(X, X) < 0$ for all $X \in L$. It is a homogeneous space for the group $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ of right quaternionic linear maps that preserve the form $h^{\mathbb{H}}$, and the isotropy group of the line $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is again $\mathrm{Sp}(n) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. We will write $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ for the quaternionic hyperbolic space. Thus $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n = \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1) / \mathrm{Sp}(n) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$.

The algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ -invariant differential forms on $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ is isomorphic to the algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant differential forms on $\mathbb{H}P^n$, thus to the cohomology of $\mathbb{H}P^n$, which is a truncated polynomial algebra on a single generator α of dimension 4. Our normalization for α makes it correspond to an integral generator for the cohomology of $\mathbb{H}P^n$ with suitable positivity properties.

We have an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \cong \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ obtained by letting $i \in \mathbb{C}$ act on \mathbb{H}^{n+1} by right multiplication by the quaternion i . Explicitly, the complex coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}, w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}$ on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} are related to the quaternionic coordinates x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} by $x_l = z_l + j w_l$ for $l = 1, \dots, n+1$. In terms of these complex coordinates the quaternionic Hermitian forms decompose as

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) &= h_0^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y) + j a_0^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y), \\ h^{\mathbb{H}}(X, Y) &= h^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y) + j a^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ are complex Hermitian forms (conjugate linear in the first argument, complex linear in the second) and $a_0^{\mathbb{C}}, a^{\mathbb{C}}$ are complex bilinear alternating forms, in fact, complex symplectic forms, on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} . Explicitly, in terms of $x_l = z_l + j w_l$ and

$y_l = u_l + jv_l$, we have

$$(1) \quad h_0^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y) = \sum_1^{n+1} (\bar{z}_l u_l + \bar{w}_l v_l) \quad \text{and} \quad a_0^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y) = \sum_1^{n+1} (z_l v_l - w_l u_l).$$

The forms $h^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y)$ and $a^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y)$ are obtained by changing the sign of the $(n+1)$ -st summand in the above formulas to the opposite sign, so

$$(2) \quad h^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y) = \sum_1^n (\bar{z}_l u_l + \bar{w}_l v_l) - (\bar{z}_{n+1} u_{n+1} + \bar{w}_{n+1} v_{n+1}),$$

$$(3) \quad a^{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y) = \sum_1^n (z_l v_l - w_l u_l) - (z_{n+1} v_{n+1} - w_{n+1} u_{n+1}).$$

We obtain a natural map $\pi: \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^n$ by assigning to a complex line l the right quaternionic line L it generates. This map is a fibration with fiber the complex projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1$. We let $D^n = \pi^{-1}(H_{\mathbb{H}}^n)$. This is the open subset of $\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$ consisting of complex lines in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \cong \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ on which the form $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is negative. This inclusion gives D^n the structure of a complex manifold, that fibers over the real manifold $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ with fiber $\mathbb{C}P^1$, and is called the *twistor space* of $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$. These fibers are complex submanifolds of D^n . A complex line $l \in \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$ on the fiber over a quaternionic line $L \in H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ (thus $l \subset L$) is the same as a right \mathbb{H} -linear and $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ isometric complex structure J_L on L , namely the complex structure that is right multiplication by i on l and right multiplication by $-i$ on the $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ -orthogonal complement of l .

As homogenous spaces we have $D^n = \text{Sp}(n, 1) / \text{Sp}(n) \times U(1)$ while

$$\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} = \text{Sp}(n + 1) / \text{Sp}(n) \times U(1).$$

We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^n & \subset & \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ H_{\mathbb{H}}^n & \subset & \mathbb{H}P^n \end{array}$$

The *vertical bundle* $\mathcal{V} = \ker(d\pi) \subset TD^n$ tangent to the fibers of the projection is a C^∞ sub-bundle of TD^n . It has a unique $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ -invariant complement \mathcal{H} , called the *horizontal bundle*, which is a holomorphic sub-bundle of TD^n . In terms of the canonical description of $T\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$ as $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} / S)$, where S is the tautological line sub-bundle of the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$, the sub-bundle \mathcal{H} is the restriction to D^n of $\text{Hom}(S, S^\perp)$, where S^\perp is the orthogonal complement

of S with respect to the complex symplectic form $a^{\mathbb{C}}$ of (3). Note that $\text{Hom}(S, S^{\perp})$ is a holomorphic contact structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$, invariant under the group $\text{Sp}(a^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Definition 2.1 Given $x \in D^n$, a linear subspace $W \subset \mathcal{H}_x$ is called an *integral element* if for all $X, Y \in W$, the vertical component $[X, Y]^{\mathcal{V}} = 0$.

Observe that this definition makes sense because, given any two vector fields X, Y in \mathcal{H} , the value of $[X, Y]^{\mathcal{V}}$ at x depends only on the values of X and Y at x . The geometric meaning of integral element is that, if $M \subset D^n$ is a horizontal submanifold, in other words, an integral submanifold of \mathcal{H} , and $x \in M$, then $T_x M \subset \mathcal{H}_x$ is an integral element.

By a *pseudo-Hermitian metric* on a complex manifold M with complex structure J we mean a nondegenerate inner product (not necessarily positive definite) on each tangent space that is invariant under J . By a *pseudo-Kähler metric* we mean a pseudo-Hermitian metric whose associated $(1, 1)$ form is closed.

Lemma 2.2 (1) *The space D^n has an indefinite pseudo-Kähler metric g which is $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ -invariant and which is negative definite on \mathcal{V} , is positive definite on \mathcal{H} , and \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} are g -orthogonal.*

(2) *Let ω_{D^n} be the $(1, 1)$ form associated to g . Then $\pi^* \alpha = \omega_{D^n}^2 + d\beta$ for some $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ -invariant 3-form β .*

Proof To prove the first part, note that $-h^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a positive Hermitian metric on the tautological sub-bundle S over D^n . The form $(i/(2\pi))\partial\bar{\partial}\log(-h^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the pullback of a form ω_{D^n} on D^n , the Chern form of S . It is a closed, $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ -invariant $(1, 1)$ -form on D^n which is easily checked to be negative on \mathcal{V} and positive on \mathcal{H} . (Alternatively, since the canonical bundle of D^n is a positive multiple of S (as $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ -homogeneous bundles on D^n), one can quote (4.23) of Griffiths and Schmid [14] for the signature of this form.)

The form ω_{D^n} is then the $(1, 1)$ form of an indefinite Kähler metric g on D^n that has all the asserted properties. This proves the first assertion.

Now we prove the second assertion. Whenever a group G acts on a space A , let us write A^G for the subspace of G -invariant elements. If A denotes the algebra of differential forms, then the cohomology of invariant forms on D^n , $H^*(A(D^n)^{\text{Sp}(n, 1)}, d)$ (where d is the usual exterior derivative) is the same as the relative Lie-algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{sp}(n, 1), \mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1), \mathbb{R})$. Similarly, the cohomology $H^*(A(\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1})^{\text{Sp}(n+1)}, d)$ of $\text{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant forms on $\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$ is the same as the relative Lie algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{sp}(n+1), \mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1), \mathbb{R})$.

With our choice of definitions for $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(n + 1)$, we get that the complexification of $\mathfrak{sp}(n, 1)$ is the algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(a^{\mathbb{C}})$ of infinitesimal isometries of the symplectic form $a^{\mathbb{C}}$ of (3) on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} , while the complexification of $\mathfrak{sp}(n + 1)$ is the similarly defined algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(a_0^{\mathbb{C}})$ of (1). These two algebras do not coincide, but are conjugate in $\mathfrak{sl}(2n + 2, \mathbb{C})$, say by conjugating by the linear isomorphism of \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} that reverses the sign of the last coordinate and is the identity on all the others. This isomorphism is the identity on the complexified subalgebras denoted $\mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$, hence induces an isomorphism between the relative Lie algebra cohomologies $H^*(\mathfrak{sp}(a^{\mathbb{C}}), (\mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1))^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$ and $H^*(\mathfrak{sp}(a_0^{\mathbb{C}}), (\mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1))^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$, hence an isomorphism of the complexifications $H^*(A(D^n)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $H^*(A(\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1})^{\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. By compactness, the second computes the cohomology $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}, \mathbb{C})$. It follows that the real vector space $H^4(A(D^n)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)})$ is one-dimensional, hence $\pi^*\alpha = c \omega_{D^n}^2 + d\beta$ for some real constant c and some invariant 3-form β . Restricting to a totally geodesic B^n and recalling the normalization of α , we see that $c = 1$, and the lemma is proved. \square

Remark Observe that the space $A^2(D^n)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)}$ is two-dimensional, and its subspace of closed forms is one-dimensional, spanned by the form ω_{D^n} . This can easily be checked by fixing $x \in D^n$ and using the isomorphism

$$A^*(D^n)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)} \rightarrow \Lambda^*(T_x D^n)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n) \times U(1)} \cong \Lambda^*(\mathcal{V}_x \oplus \mathcal{H}_x)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n) \times U(1)},$$

where the first map is given by restriction. It is not hard to see that

$$\Lambda^2(\mathcal{V}_x \oplus \mathcal{H}_x)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n) \times U(1)} \cong \Lambda^2(\mathcal{V}_x)^{U(1)} \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathcal{H}_x)^{\mathrm{Sp}(n) \times U(1)},$$

and that each summand is one-dimensional. Since there are no invariant one-forms and the second cohomology is one-dimensional, it follows that the space of closed forms is one dimensional, and necessarily spanned by ω_{D^n} of Lemma 2.2. Equivalently, the space of invariant Hermitian metrics on D^n is two-dimensional, and the space of invariant pseudo-Kähler metrics is one-dimensional, consisting of nonzero multiples of the metric g of Lemma 2.2.

Next, we need to describe the totally geodesic embeddings of complex hyperbolic space $B^m = H_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ in quaternionic hyperbolic space $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$. See Besse [1] (for $\mathbb{H}P^n$, with similar results for $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$), the thorough treatment of geodesic subspaces in Chen and Greenberg [9], or the discussion in Carlson and Toledo [8] for details. The result is that any totally geodesic B^m in $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ for $m \geq 2$ is obtained as follows: First $m \leq n$ and there exists an $(m + 1)$ -dimensional right quaternionic subspace $V \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ so that the restriction of $Re(h^{\mathbb{H}})$ to V has signature $(4m, 4)$, and there exists a right quaternionic linear complex structure J on V which preserves $h^{\mathbb{H}}$ and so that the embedding of B^m in $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ consists of those right quaternionic lines in V that are invariant under J .

(If $m = 1$ this construction produces totally geodesic B^1 's, but not all, because $H_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ is the same as real hyperbolic 4-space and B^1 is the same as the real hyperbolic plane. Real hyperbolic 4-space has many more totally geodesic real hyperbolic planes than the ones arising in this way.)

These totally geodesic B^m 's in $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ have very canonical horizontal lifts to D^n that we now describe. First, consider the case $m = n$. Given a totally geodesic $B^n \subset H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$, there is a right \mathbb{H} -linear complex structure J on \mathbb{H}^{n+1} preserving $h^{\mathbb{H}}$ so that B^n consists of all the J -invariant lines. Consider the isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$ as before, with i acting by right quaternion multiplication. Taking account of the Hermitian forms, it is more accurate to use the notation $\mathbb{H}^{(n,1)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{(2n,2)}$, which we use from now on. Let V denote the i -eigenspace of J acting on $\mathbb{C}^{(2n,2)}$. It is easy to check that Vj is the $-i$ -eigenspace of J , that we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{C}^{(2n,2)} = V \oplus Vj$ into $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ -orthogonal and $a^{\mathbb{C}}$ -isotropic subspaces so that the restriction of $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ to each subspace has signature $(n, 1)$. Passing to complex projectivization of negative lines, we obtain two holomorphic embeddings of B^n in D^n , each projecting (under right quaternionic projectivization) to the original geodesic embedding of B^n in $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ (each projection being holomorphic with respect to each of two conjugate complex structures). Moreover, since each of the eigenspaces V, Vj is $a^{\mathbb{C}}$ -isotropic, it follows easily that each of these embeddings of B^n in D^n is horizontal. In fact, each of these two B^n 's is a Legendrian submanifold (integral element of maximum dimension) of the contact structure (horizontal sub-bundle \mathcal{H}) of D^n .

Note that each of these two embeddings is fixed by the element of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ that is right multiplication by i on V and by $-i$ on Vj . It follows (and we explain in more detail below) that each is a totally geodesic submanifold of D^n in the $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler metric g defined in Lemma 2.2. Note also that the restriction of ω_{D^n} to each B^n coincides with the Kähler form ω_{B^n} .

Finally, if $m < n$, we use the same construction with a suitable $\mathbb{H}^{(m,1)} \subset \mathbb{H}^{(n,1)}$. As remarked above, in case $m = 1$ we do not obtain all geodesic embeddings $B^1 \subset H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ in this way, but we do obtain a special class of embeddings, namely the ones covered by holomorphic horizontal geodesic embeddings of B^1 in D^n .

We now explain what we mean by *horizontal totally geodesic complex submanifolds* of D^n . We consider a complex manifold (M, J) with a pseudo-Hermitian metric g (by which we mean a pseudo-Riemannian metric g which is J -invariant: $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$ for all tangent vectors X, Y) and a metric complex connection ∇ (not necessarily torsion-free), meaning that $\nabla J = 0$ and $\nabla g = 0$. Let $N \subset M$ be a complex submanifold which is never isotropic, in the sense that for all $x \in N$, $T_x N$ contains no null vectors for g . Then N is said to be *totally geodesic* if TN is

invariant under ∇ . This means that for all vector fields X, Y tangent to N , $\nabla_X Y$ is also tangent to N . An equivalent formulation is in terms of the *second fundamental form* $\alpha(X, Y)$, defined by $\alpha(X, Y) = (\nabla_X Y)^\perp$, where \perp denotes the g -orthogonal complement. If TN contains no null vectors, then N is totally geodesic if and only if $\alpha = 0$. Note that if ∇ has torsion, then $\alpha(X, Y)$ need not be symmetric in X and Y .

We list the main properties that we need of the above embeddings.

- Lemma 2.3** (1) *Each of the embeddings $B^m \subset D^n$ just described is a component of the fixed point set in D^n of an element of $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$.*
- (2) *In particular, each such embedding is totally geodesic with respect to any pair (g, ∇) of $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ -invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric g and metric connection ∇ .*
- (3) *For any pair (g, ∇) as above, every horizontal holomorphic embedding of B^m in D^n that is totally geodesic in the sense of vanishing second fundamental form, is one of the embeddings described above.*

Proof Fix $m \leq n$. Each of the embeddings in question is equivalent under $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ to the embedding of B^m in D^n resulting from the embedding

$$(z_1, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1}) \rightarrow (z_1, \dots, z_m, 0, \dots, 0, z_{m+1})$$

of $\mathbb{C}^{(m,1)}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{(n,1)}$. We still use the quaternionic and related complex coordinates $x_l = z_l + jw_l$ in $\mathbb{H}^{(n,1)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{(2n,2)}$. The image of B^m is a component of the fixed point set of the element

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow (ix_1, \dots, ix_m, -x_{m+1}, \dots, -x_n, ix_{n+1})$$

of the isotropy subgroup $\text{Sp}(n) \times U(1) \subset \text{Sp}(n, 1)$. (There is one other component in D^n , the $-i$ eigenspace. The -1 eigenspace is disjoint from D^n .) This proves the first part of the Lemma.

If g is any invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric on D^n , then, by the discussion in Lemma 2.2, the restriction of g to \mathcal{H} is definite, thus horizontal submanifolds contain no null vectors for g . The above definition of totally geodesic submanifolds and characterization in terms of second fundamental form holds. If M is a component of the fixed point set of a map that preserves g and ∇ , then no normal vector to the fixed point set is fixed, thus the second fundamental form $\alpha(X, Y)$ must be fixed, thus $\alpha = 0$, and M is totally geodesic. This reasoning applies to our embeddings and proves the second assertion of the Lemma.

Now take a pair (g, ∇) as in the second part, and a holomorphic horizontal embedding of B^m in D^n with vanishing second fundamental form. Such an embedding is uniquely

determined by its tangent space at one point. But it is easy to check that there is one of our special embeddings tangent to each complex (meaning invariant under the complex structure J of D^n) integral element of \mathcal{H}_x . Since the tangent space of every horizontal complex submanifold of D^n is a complex integral element, our construction has found all holomorphic horizontal embeddings that are totally geodesic in the usual differential-geometric sense. \square

3 Schwarz lemma for horizontal holomorphic maps

A holomorphic map $F: B^m \rightarrow D^n$ is called *horizontal* if for all $x \in B^m$, $dF(T_x B^m) \subset \mathcal{H}_{F(x)}$. It is well known that horizontal holomorphic maps satisfy a Schwarz Lemma; see Carlson, Müller-Stach and Peters [7] and Griffiths and Schmid [14]. In addition to the well-known inequality, we need a discussion of the case of equality. Since we could not find any discussion in the literature of the precise result we need, we prove the Schwarz Lemma in some detail. We follow the method of [7], which in turn follows Kobayashi [18]. Both [7; 14] use a definite Hermitian metric on D^n which is necessarily not Kähler, and its Chern connection. In order to relate equality to totally geodesic maps we find it more convenient to use the pseudo-Kähler metric of Lemma 2.2 and its Levi-Civita connection. The two give of course equivalent results for horizontal holomorphic maps as we explain later.

Let (g, ∇) be a pair of invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric and metric connection on D^n . Assume that $g|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is positive definite, and normalize g so that its restriction to every horizontal geodesic $B^m \subset D^n$ as in the last section is the metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -1 . Moreover, assume that $\nabla^{(0,1)}$ is the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator of the complex structure on TD^n . Two examples of such a pair are:

- (1) The pseudo-Kähler metric of Lemma 2.2, let's denote this metric by g^K and let's denote its Levi-Civita connection by ∇^K . Then ∇^K is also the Chern connection on TD^n preserving g^K , namely $(\nabla^K)^{(0,1)} = \bar{\partial}$.
- (2) The positive definite metric used in [7; 14] using the Killing form and the Cartan involution. Let us denote this metric by g^C and its corresponding Chern connection by ∇^C .

Then the restriction of ∇ to B^m is necessarily the canonical connection ∇ on B^m , which is both the Levi-Civita connection and the Chern connection, as is the case for any Kähler metric.

Given such a compatible pair (g, ∇) , we can define the curvature tensor $R = R^\nabla$ in the usual way, and we can define the *horizontal holomorphic sectional curvatures* to be

the numbers $R(X, JX, X, JX)$ defined by

$$R(X, JX, X, JX) = g(R(X, JX)JX, X) \text{ for each } X \in \mathcal{H}, \quad g(X, X) = 1.$$

Lemma 3.1 *For any compatible pair (g, ∇) as just defined, the horizontal holomorphic sectional curvatures are constant, identically equal to -1 .*

Proof Let $x \in D^n$. Then any complex one-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H}_x is the real span of X, JX for some unit vector $X \in \mathcal{H}_x$. Since this is the tangent space to a holomorphic, horizontal totally geodesic embedding of B^1 in D^n , we have, by the usual argument, that the holomorphic sectional curvature in D^n is the same as in B^1 , namely -1 . □

Observe that the isotropy group at $x \in D^n$ acts transitively on the complex projective space of the space \mathcal{H}_x , since this action is isomorphic to the action of $\text{Sp}(n) \times U(1)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^{2n-1}$, which is indeed transitive. This already implies that the horizontal holomorphic sectional curvatures must be constant.

Lemma 3.2 *Let (g, ∇) be the compatible pair (g^K, ∇^K) of pseudo-Kähler metric and compatible torsion-free connection. Let $M \subset D^n$ be a horizontal submanifold. Let $\alpha: T_x M \otimes T_x M \rightarrow T_x M^\perp$ be its second fundamental form. Then $\alpha(X, Y) \in \mathcal{H}_x$ for all $X, Y, \in T_x M$.*

Proof Observe that if X, Y are horizontal vector fields, then the value of the vertical component $(\nabla_X Y)^\nu$ at x depends just on the values of X, Y at x , thus we get a well defined tensor $\mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{H}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_x$. If X, Y span an integral element of \mathcal{H} , namely, if X^ν, Y^ν and $[X, Y]^\nu$ all vanish (see Definition 2.1), we see that $(\nabla_X Y)^\nu$ is symmetric in X and Y , since the symmetry of the connection $\nabla = \nabla^K$ gives

$$(\nabla_X Y)^\nu - (\nabla_Y X)^\nu = [X, Y]^\nu = 0.$$

Since $(\nabla_X X)^\nu = 0$, we see that $(\nabla_X Y)^\nu = 0$ on all integral elements X, Y .

Suppose that $M \subset D^n$ is a horizontal submanifold and that α is its second fundamental form. Then $\alpha(X, Y) = (\nabla_X Y)^\perp = \alpha(X, Y)^\mathcal{H} + \alpha(X, Y)^\nu$, where $\alpha(X, Y)^\nu = (\nabla_X Y)^\nu = 0$ since X, Y form an integral element of \mathcal{H} . Thus $\alpha(X, Y) \in \mathcal{H}$. □

Theorem 3.3 *Let $F: B^m \rightarrow D^n$ be a horizontal holomorphic map, and let $\omega_{B^m}, \omega_{D^n}$ be as above. Then $F^* \omega_{D^n} \leq \omega_{B^m}$. Equality holds at every point if and only if F is a horizontal holomorphic geodesic embedding of B^m in D^n .*

Proof We follow the method explained in [7; 18]. First we treat the case $m = 1$, to which the general case is easily reduced.

Suppose $F: B^1 \rightarrow D^n$ is a horizontal holomorphic map. Then for all $x \in B^1$, $dF(T_x B^1) \subset \mathcal{H}_{F(x)}$, on which the metric $g = g^K$ is positive definite and on which the holomorphic sectional curvature is -1 . If we write $F^* \omega_{D^n} = u \omega_{B^1}$ for a nonnegative smooth function u on B^1 , then, by Lemma 3.2 and the method of [18, Section 2 of Chapter I, Section 2 of Chapter III] (see also [7, Section 13.4]), we may assume that u attains its maximum, and a computation of its Laplacian at the maximum gives the inequality $u \leq 1$, hence the desired inequality $F^* \omega_{D^n} \leq \omega_{B^1}$.

If equality holds at every point, then F is an isometric immersion. Locally F is an isometric embedding. Let $M \subset D^n$ be the image of such a local embedding, and let α be its second fundamental form. Since the metric g is pseudo-Kähler, the usual Kähler identities hold, and we get the formula, for any unit vector $X \in T_x M$,

$$R^M(X, JX, X, JX) = R^{D^n}(X, JX, X, JX) - 2g(\alpha(X, X), \alpha(X, X)),$$

see Proposition 9.2 of Chapter IX of [19]. Since both holomorphic sectional curvatures are equal (to -1), we must have $g(\alpha(X, X), \alpha(X, X)) = 0$. Since, by Lemma 3.2, $\alpha(X, X) \in \mathcal{H}$, on which g is positive definite, it follows that $\alpha(X, X) = 0$, hence M is a horizontal complex totally geodesic submanifold, thus, by Lemma 2.3, it must be one of our special embeddings. This proves the case $m = 1$.

To prove the case $m > 1$, take any $x \in B^m$ and any complex direction at x and take the geodesic $B^1 \subset B^m$ through x in this direction.. Restricting F to this B^1 we get the desired inequality. If equality holds for all x and all directions, then every geodesic $B^1 \subset B^m$ must be geodesically isometrically embedded in D^n , from which we get the vanishing of the second fundamental form of the embedding of B^m , so the latter is a horizontal holomorphic totally geodesic embedding, hence as described in Lemma 2.3. \square

Remark A parallel proof, with a somewhat different discussion in the case of equality, would hold using the definite Hermitian (non-Kähler) metric mentioned above.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $X = \Gamma \backslash B^m$ be a compact manifold and let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ be a representation. If $c(\rho) = 0$ there is nothing to prove. If $c(\rho) \neq 0$, then ρ is a reductive representation, in fact, its image is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$. The reason is that otherwise its image lies in a parabolic subgroup $P \subset \mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$. Now, parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ are

quite special, fitting in a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(n-1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \rightarrow 1,$$

where H is contractible. Since the Chern class of a flat bundle with compact group is zero we must have $c(\rho) = 0$ (see the similar argument in the last paragraph of the proof of [10, Theorem 6.1]). Then, by Corlette’s existence theorem for harmonic metrics (equivalently, equivariant harmonic maps) [10, Section 3] (see also [11]), there is an equivariant harmonic map $f: B^m \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$. Moreover, since $f^*\alpha \neq 0$, the rank of f is at least four. By Theorem 6.1 of [8] (which is a pointwise theorem, and hence applies to equivariant maps) there is an equivariant horizontal holomorphic map $F: B^m \rightarrow D^n$ that lifts f , that is, $f = \pi \circ F$. Since the form β of Lemma 2.2 descends to a form on X , we get that, on X , $f^*\alpha$ is cohomologous to $F^*\omega_{D^n}^2$. (We do not distinguish between Γ -invariant forms on B^m and forms on X , in particular we write either ω_X or ω_{B^m} as convenient).

Thus we get

$$c(\rho) = \int_X F^*\omega_{D^n}^2 \wedge \omega_X^{m-2}.$$

Since $F^*\omega_{D^n} \leq \omega_X$, we get the inequality. If equality holds, then we must have a pointwise equality $F^*\omega_{D^n} \wedge \omega_X^{m-2} = \omega_X^m$. This in turn implies a pointwise equality $F^*\omega_{D^n} = \omega_X$. This standard fact can be seen, for example, from the easily verified formula

$$F^*\omega_{D^n} \wedge \omega_X^{m-2} = \frac{2}{m(m-1)} \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda^2 \phi) \omega_X^m,$$

where, for each $x \in B^m$, $\phi = (d_x F)^*(d_x F): T_x B^m \rightarrow T_x B^m$ and $(d_x F)^*$ denotes the Hermitian adjoint of $d_x F: T_x B^m \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{F(x)}$. Since the eigenvalues of ϕ are nonnegative and (by the inequality part of the Schwarz lemma) at most one, equality holds if and only if all the eigenvalues are one, which is equivalent to $F^*\omega_{D^n} = \omega_{B^m}$. Thus, by the equality part of Theorem 3.3, F is a totally geodesic horizontal holomorphic embedding, hence f is a totally geodesic isometric embedding, hence ρ is a totally geodesic representation.

References

- [1] **A L Besse**, *Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete 93, Springer, Berlin (1978) MR496885 With appendices by D B A Epstein, J-P Bourguignon, L Bérard-Bergery, M Berger and J L Kazdan
- [2] **G Besson, G Courtois, S Gallot**, *Inégalités de Milnor–Wood géométriques*, Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007) 753–803 MR2341839

- [3] **SB Bradlow, O García-Prada, PB Gothen**, *Surface group representations and $U(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles*, J. Differential Geom. 64 (2003) 111–170 MR2015045
- [4] **SB Bradlow, O García-Prada, PB Gothen**, *Maximal surface group representations in isometry groups of classical Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Geom. Dedicata 122 (2006) 185–213 MR2295550
- [5] **M Bucher, T Gelander**, *Milnor–Wood inequalities for manifolds locally isometric to a product of hyperbolic planes*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 346 (2008) 661–666 MR2423274
- [6] **M Burger, A Iozzi, A Wienhard**, *Surface group representations with maximal Toledo invariant*, Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010) 517–566 MR2680425
- [7] **J Carlson, S Müller-Stach, C Peters**, *Period mappings and period domains*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 85, Cambridge Univ. Press (2003) MR2012297
- [8] **JA Carlson, D Toledo**, *Harmonic mappings of Kähler manifolds to locally symmetric spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1989) 173–201 MR1019964
- [9] **SS Chen, L Greenberg**, *Hyperbolic spaces*, from: “Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers)”, (L V Ahlfors, I Kra, B Maskit, L Nirenberg, editors), Academic Press, New York (1974) 49–87 MR0377765
- [10] **K Corlette**, *Flat G -bundles with canonical metrics*, J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988) 361–382 MR965220
- [11] **SK Donaldson**, *Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 55 (1987) 127–131 MR887285
- [12] **O García-Prada, PB Gothen, I Mundet i Riera**, *Higgs bundles and surface group representations in the real symplectic group* arXiv:0809.0576v3
- [13] **WM Goldman, JJ Millson**, *Local rigidity of discrete groups acting on complex hyperbolic space*, Invent. Math. 88 (1987) 495–520 MR884798
- [14] **P Griffiths, W Schmid**, *Locally homogeneous complex manifolds*, Acta Math. 123 (1969) 253–302 MR0259958
- [15] **I Kim, P Pansu**, *Local rigidity in quaternionic hyperbolic space*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 11 (2009) 1141–1164 MR2557131
- [16] **I Kim, P Pansu, B Klingler**, *Local quaternionic rigidity for complex hyperbolic lattices* arXiv:0903.3706
- [17] **B Klingler**, *Local rigidity for complex hyperbolic lattices and Hodge theory*, to appear in Invent. Math. (2009)
- [18] **S Kobayashi**, *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Pure and Applied Math. 2, Marcel Dekker, New York (1970) MR0277770

- [19] **S Kobayashi, K Nomizu**, *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Math. 15, Wiley Interscience, New York-London-Sydney (1969) MR0238225
- [20] **V Koziarz, J Maubon**, *Representations of complex hyperbolic lattices into rank 2 classical Lie groups of Hermitian type*, *Geom. Dedicata* 137 (2008) 85–111 MR2449147
- [21] **V Koziarz, J Maubon**, *The Toledo invariant on smooth varieties of general type*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 649 (2010) 207–230 MR2746471
- [22] **J Milnor**, *On the existence of a connection with curvature zero*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 32 (1958) 215–223 MR0095518
- [23] **D Toledo**, *Representations of surface groups in complex hyperbolic space*, *J. Differential Geom.* 29 (1989) 125–133 MR978081
- [24] **D Toledo**, *Maps between complex hyperbolic surfaces*, *Geom. Dedicata* 97 (2003) 115–128 MR2003694 Special volume dedicated to the memory of Hanna Miriam Sandler (1960–1999)
- [25] **J W Wood**, *Bundles with totally disconnected structure group*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 46 (1971) 257–273 MR0293655

*Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM
Serrano, 121, 28006 - Madrid, Spain*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112 USA*

oscar.garcia-prada@uam.es, toledo@math.utah.edu

www.mat.csic.es/webpages/garcia-prada, www.math.utah.edu/~toledo

Proposed: Simon Donaldson

Received: 14 October 2010

Seconded: Danny Calegari, Leonid Polterovich

Accepted: 3 January 2011

