

Pattern rigidity and the Hilbert–Smith conjecture

MAHAN MJ

We initiate a study of the topological group $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries for G a hyperbolic Poincaré duality group and H an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in G . Suppose ∂G admits a visual metric d with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$, where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G .

- (a) If Q_u is a group of pattern-preserving uniform quasi-isometries (or more generally any locally compact group of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries) containing G , then G is of finite index in Q_u .
- (b) If instead, H is a codimension one filling subgroup, and Q is any group of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries containing G , then G is of finite index in Q . Moreover, if L is the limit set of H , \mathcal{L} is the collection of translates of L under G , and \mathcal{Q} is any pattern-preserving group of *homeomorphisms* of ∂G preserving \mathcal{L} and containing G , then the index of G in \mathcal{Q} is finite (*Topological Pattern Rigidity*).

We find analogous results in the realm of relative hyperbolicity, regarding an equivariant collection of horoballs as a symmetric pattern in the universal cover of a complete finite volume noncompact manifold of pinched negative curvature. Our main result combined with a theorem of Mosher, Sageev and Whyte gives QI rigidity results.

An important ingredient of the proof is a version of the Hilbert–Smith conjecture for certain metric measure spaces, which uses the full strength of Yang’s theorem on actions of the p -adic integers on homology manifolds. This might be of independent interest.

[20F67](#); [57M50](#), [22E40](#)

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Statement of results

In this paper we start studying the full group of “pattern-preserving quasi-isometries” for pairs (G, H) , where G is a (Gromov) hyperbolic group and H an infinite quasiconvex

subgroup of infinite index in G . Gromov [31] proposed the project of classifying finitely generated groups up to quasi-isometry, as well as the study of the group $\text{QI}(X)$ of quasi-isometries of a space X , where two quasi-isometries are identified if they lie at a bounded distance from each other. A class of groups where any two members are quasi-isometric if and only if they are commensurable is said to be quasi-isometrically rigid. However, any class of groups acting freely, cocompactly and properly discontinuously on some fixed proper hyperbolic metric space \mathbf{H} are quasi-isometric to \mathbf{H} and hence to each other. In this context (or in a context where quasi-isometric rigidity is not known) it makes sense to ask a relative version of Gromov's question. To obtain rigidity results, we impose additional restrictions on the quasi-isometries by requiring that they preserve some additional structure given by a "symmetric pattern" of subsets. A "symmetric pattern" of subsets roughly means a G -equivariant collection \mathcal{J} of convex (or uniformly quasiconvex) cocompact subsets in \mathbf{H} (see Section 1.3 for detailed definitions). Then the relative version of Gromov's question for classes of pairs (G, H) was formulated by Mosher, Sageev and Whyte [46] as the following *pattern rigidity* question:

Question 1.1 Given a quasi-isometry q of two such pairs (G_i, H_i) ($i = 1, 2$) pairing a (G_1, H_1) -symmetric pattern \mathcal{J}_1 with a (G_2, H_2) -symmetric pattern \mathcal{J}_2 , does there exist an abstract commensurator I which performs the same pairing?

The study of this question was initiated by Schwartz [56; 57], where G is a lattice in a rank one symmetric space. The paper [56] deals with symmetric patterns of convex sets (horoballs) whose limit sets are single points, and [57] deals with symmetric patterns of convex sets (geodesics) whose limit sets consist of two points. Biswas and Mj [7] generalized Schwartz' result to certain Duality and PD subgroups of rank one symmetric spaces. Biswas [6] completely solved the pattern rigidity problem for G a uniform lattice in real hyperbolic space and H any infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in G . However, all these papers used, in an essential way, the linear structure of the groups involved, and the techniques fail for G the fundamental group of a general closed negatively curved manifold. (This point is specifically mentioned by Schwartz in [57]). Further, the study in [56; 57; 7; 6] boils down to the study of a single pattern-preserving quasi-isometry between pairs (G_1, H_1) and (G_2, H_2) . We propose a different perspective in this paper by studying the full group $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ of pattern-preserving (self-)quasi-isometries of a pair (G, H) for G a hyperbolic group and H any infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index. The features of G that we shall use are general enough to go beyond the linear context while at the same time being strong enough to ensure rigidity in certain contexts. Some of the ingredients of this paper are:

- (1) The boundary of a Poincare duality (PD for short) hyperbolic group is a homology manifold (cf [Definition 1.14](#)) by a Theorem of Bestvina and Mess [\[4\]](#).
- (2) The algebraic topology of homology manifolds imposes restrictions on what kinds of groups may act on them by Theorems of Newman [\[48\]](#), Smith [\[59\]](#) and Yang [\[67\]](#).
- (3) Boundaries of hyperbolic groups equipped with the visual metric also have a metric measure space structure with the property that they are Ahlfors regular (cf [Definition 2.10](#)).
- (4) Quasiconformal analysis can be conducted in the general context of Ahlfors regular metric spaces.
- (5) A combinatorial cross-ratio can be constructed on the boundary of a hyperbolic group in the presence of a codimension one subgroup. (Roughly speaking these are subgroups whose Cayley graphs coarsely separate the Cayley graph of the group. See first paragraph of [Section 4.1](#) for a formal definition of codimension one subgroups.)

We refer the reader to Hocking and Young [\[37, page 145\]](#) for details on topological dimension and Davis [\[23\]](#) for details on PD groups.

Of these ingredients, the first two come from (a somewhat forgotten chapter of) algebraic topology, the next two from a very active new area of analysis on metric measure spaces, while the last comes from geometric group theory proper. Topological actions of finite groups on manifolds and homological consequences of actions of p -adics on manifolds form the two main ingredients for a proof of the Hilbert–Smith conjecture for bi-Lipschitz (see Repovš and Ščepin [\[54\]](#)) and quasiconformal (see Martin [\[42\]](#)) actions. We first generalize the result of Martin [\[42\]](#) to Ahlfors regular metric spaces that are boundaries of PD hyperbolic groups and obtain the following.

Theorem 2.24 and Corollary 2.25 *Let G be a Poincare duality hyperbolic group and Q be a group of (boundary values of) quasi-isometries of G . Suppose d is a visual metric on ∂G with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension (cf [Definition 2.9](#)) and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$, where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension (cf [Definition 2.13](#)) of ∂G . Then Q cannot contain a copy of $Z_{(p)}$, where $Z_{(p)}$ denotes the p -adic integers. Hence if Q is finite dimensional locally compact, it must be a Lie group.*

[Theorem 2.24](#) and [Corollary 2.25](#) give a strong affirmative answer to a question of Iwaniec and Martin [\[38, Remark 2, page 527\]](#).

As in [42], there is no assumption on the uniformity of the quasiconformal maps in $Z_{(p)}$. The analogue of [Theorem 2.24](#) is *false* for purely topological actions (see Raymond and Williams [53]) on homology manifolds. Hence the quasi-isometry assumption is crucial here. The statement that a topological manifold does not admit an effective topological $Z_{(p)}$ action is the famous Hilbert–Smith conjecture (but does not imply [Corollary 2.25](#)).

[Corollary 2.25](#) will be a crucial ingredient in our approach to pattern rigidity. Another property we shall be investigating in some detail is the notion of “topological infinite divisibility” (see [Section 3.1](#) for definitions). The notion we introduce is somewhat weaker than related existing notions in the literature. In this generality, we prove:

Propositions 3.5 and 3.7 *Let G be a hyperbolic group and H an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in G .*

- (a) *Any group of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries is totally disconnected and contains no topologically infinitely divisible elements.*
- (b) *If G is a Poincaré duality group, the group $\text{QI}(G)$ of quasi-isometries cannot contain arbitrarily small torsion elements.*

We obtain stronger results under the assumption that G is a Poincaré duality group (eg the fundamental group of a closed negatively curved manifold) with some restrictions on the visual metric on its boundary. (We refer the reader to the first paragraph of [Section 4.1](#) for the notion of codimension one filling subgroups.)

Theorems 3.9, 4.8 and 4.10 *Let G be a hyperbolic Poincaré duality group and H an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in G . Suppose further that for some visual metric on ∂G , $\dim_{\text{haus}}(\partial G) < \dim_t(\partial G) + 2$, where \dim_{haus} and \dim_t denote Hausdorff and topological dimension respectively. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$, where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G .*

- (a) *If Q_u is a group of pattern-preserving uniform quasi-isometries (or more generally any locally compact group of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries) containing G , then G is of finite index in Q_u .*
- (b) *If further, H is a codimension one filling subgroup, and Q is any group of (not necessarily uniform) pattern-preserving quasi-isometries containing G , then G is of finite index in Q .*
- (c) (Topological Pattern Rigidity) *Under the assumptions of (b), let L be the limit set of H and \mathcal{L} be the collection of translates of L under G . Let Q be any pattern-preserving group of homeomorphisms of ∂G preserving \mathcal{L} and containing G . Then the index of G in Q is finite.*

Theorem 4.10 is a generalization of a Theorem of Casson and Bleiler [19] and Kapovich and Kleiner [39] to all dimensions. Casson and Bleiler [19] and Kapovich and Kleiner [39] proved **Theorem 4.10** for G the fundamental group of a surface and H an infinite cyclic subgroup corresponding to a filling curve.

Codimension one filling subgroups (See first paragraph of [Section 4.1](#) for definitions.) The existence of a codimension one filling quasiconvex subgroup H (or more generally a finite family of codimension one quasiconvex subgroups that are filling as a collection) of a hyperbolic group G ensures that G acts properly, cocompactly on a CAT(0) cube complex (see Sageev [55]) by a recent result of Bergeron and Wise [2]. Thus, [Theorems 3.9, 4.8 and 4.10](#) deal with the pattern-rigidity for PD(n) hyperbolic groups acting properly and cocompactly on a CAT(0) cube complex.

We also derive QI rigidity results for fundamental groups of certain noncompact negatively curved manifolds of finite volume, by deriving analogues of [Theorem 3.9](#) for symmetric patterns of horoballs and combining it with a Theorem of Behrstock, Druţu and Mosher [1]. The hypotheses in the following Theorem are satisfied by fundamental groups of finite volume complete noncompact manifolds of sufficiently pinched negative curvature and dimension bigger than 2.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $M = M^n$ be a complete finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature with $n > 2$. Let $G = \pi_1(M)$. Suppose that there exists a visual metric d on $\partial(\tilde{M})$ with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial(\tilde{M}), d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M})) < \dim_t + 2$, where $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M}))$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of $\partial(\tilde{M})$.*

Let Γ be a Cayley graph of G with respect to a finite generating set. Let Q be a group of uniform quasi-isometries of Γ containing G . Then G is of finite index in Q . In particular, $Q \subset \text{Comm}(G)$, where $\text{Comm}(G)$ denotes the abstract commensurator of G .

The author learnt the following Scholium from Misha Gromov [32].

Scholium 1.2 If two discrete groups can be embedded in the same locally compact group nicely, they are as good as commensurable.

A partial aim of this paper is to make [Scholium 1.2](#) precise in the context of pattern rigidity. It follows from [Theorems 3.9 and 5.5](#) that in the context of pattern rigidity or QI rigidity of (fundamental groups of) finite volume complete noncompact manifolds of

pinched negative curvature and dimension bigger than 2, “as good as” can be replaced by “actually” in [Scholium 1.2](#). Thus, [Theorem 3.9](#) and [Theorem 5.5](#) reduce the problem of pattern rigidity to the weaker problem of embedding two groups simultaneously in the same locally compact group and [Theorem 4.8](#) carries out this embedding under certain hypotheses.

Notation To prevent confusion we fix two pieces of notation:

- (1) \mathbb{Z}_p will denote the integers mod p .
- (2) $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ will denote the p -adic integers.

[Section 2](#) discusses Ahlfors regular metric spaces and proves the Hilbert–Smith conjecture in our context. In [Section 3](#) we investigate pattern preserving groups as topological groups, including topological infinite divisibility and PD groups. [Section 4](#) considers filling codimension one subgroups and pattern rigidity. [Section 5](#) specializes to finite volume manifolds of negative curvature, and in [Section 6](#) we give examples and consequences of our results.

1.2 Dotted geodesic metric spaces

Definition 1.3 A dotted metric space is a metric space X , where $d(x, y)$ is an integer for all $x, y \in X$. A dotted geodesic metric space is a dotted metric space X , such that for all $x, y \in X$, there exists an isometric map $\sigma: [0, d(x, y)] \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow X$ with $\sigma(0) = x$ and $\sigma(d(x, y)) = y$. A dotted metric space is proper if every ball $N_k(x)$ is finite.

The compact open topology on the space \mathcal{F} of self-maps of a dotted metric space is defined by taking the family of sets $U_K(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{F} : g(x) = f(x), \forall x \in K, K \subset X \text{ finite}\}$ as a basis for the topology on \mathcal{F} .

The following easy observation will turn out to be quite useful. Note that we do not need any extra geometric assumptions (eg hyperbolicity) on X in the Lemma below.

Lemma 1.4 *Let (X, d) be a proper dotted geodesic metric space. Let L be a closed subset of \mathcal{F} , the collection of self-maps of X equipped with the compact open topology. Further suppose that there exist $K \geq 1, C, \epsilon \geq 0$ and $x \in X$ such that for all $g \in L$, g is a (K, ϵ) quasi-isometry of X and $d(x, g(x)) \leq C$. Then L is compact. Hence any group of uniform quasi-isometries of a proper dotted metric space X is locally compact.*

Proof Since $N_C(x)$ is finite (by properness), it suffices to prove that for all $y \in N_C(x)$, $\{g \in L : g(x) = y\}$ is compact.

Hence, without loss of generality assume that there exists $y \in X$ such that $g(x) = y$ for all $g \in L$. Since X is proper, X is countable. Let $X = \{x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ be an enumeration of the elements of X . Since each $g_\alpha \in L$ is a (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry and $g(x) = y$ for all $g \in L$, then for each $x_n \in X$, there exists a finite set K_n such that $g(x_n) \in K_n$ for all $g \in L$.

Then, given any infinite collection of g_α 's in L , we can pass to a sequence $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n, \dots\}$ such that for each $x_n \in X$, there exists $y_n \in X$ with $g_i(x_n) = y_n$ for all $i \leq n$. Let $g_\infty(x_n) = y_n$ for all n .

Then g_n converges to g_∞ in \mathcal{F} , the collection of self-maps of X equipped with the compact open topology and we are done. \square

Lemma 1.4 may be thought of as a coarsening of the fact that the stabilizer of a point in the isometry group of a Riemannian manifold is compact.

For a nonelementary Gromov hyperbolic group G we shall construct a certain pseudo-metric space which will come in handy. It is known [30] that G acts cocompactly on the collection $\partial^3 G$ of distinct triples on the boundary ∂G of G . Let K be a (closed) fundamental domain for this action. Choose a point p in the interior $\text{Int}(K)$ of K . Define $\rho(g(p), h(p)) = 1$ if $g(K) \cap h(K) \neq \emptyset$. Also for $x \in g(\text{Int}(K))$ define $\rho(g(p), x) = 0$. For $x \in \partial^3 G \setminus \bigcup_g g(\text{Int}(K))$, let g_1, \dots, g_m be the collection of all elements of G such that $x \in \bigcap_i g_i(K)$. Choose one of the elements g_1, \dots, g_m , say g_i and define $\rho(g_i(p), x) = 0$ and $\rho(g_j(p), x) = 1$ for $j \neq i$. Now define a dotted path metric on $\partial^3 G$ by

$$\rho(x, y) = \inf\{n : \text{there exists a sequence } x = x_0, g_1(p), g_2(p), \dots, g_n(p), x_{n+1} = y \text{ such that } \rho(x_0, g_1(p)) = 0 = \rho(x_{n+1}, g_n(p)) \text{ and } \rho(g_i(p), g_{i+1}(p)) = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Observation 1.5 The pseudo-metric space $(\partial^3 G, \rho)$ is quasi-isometric to any Cayley graph Γ of G with respect to a finite generating set. The proof of this fact is an easy modification of the Švarc–Milnor Lemma (see the proof [16, Proposition 8.19, page 140]). The map $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow (\partial^3 G, \rho)$ given by $\phi(g) = g(p)$ gives the required quasi-isometry.

1.3 Patterns

Definition 1.6 Let G be a hyperbolic group acting geometrically (ie freely, cocompactly and properly discontinuously by isometries) on a hyperbolic metric space \mathbf{H} .

A symmetric pattern of closed convex (or quasiconvex) sets in \mathbf{H} is a G -invariant countable collection \mathcal{J} of convex (or quasiconvex) sets such that

- (1) the stabilizer H of $J \in \mathcal{J}$ acts cocompactly on J ,
- (2) \mathcal{J} is the orbit of some (any) $J \in \mathcal{J}$ under G .

This definition is slightly more restrictive than Schwartz' notion of a symmetric pattern of geodesics, in the sense that he takes \mathcal{J} to be a finite union of orbits of geodesics, whereas Condition (2) above forces \mathcal{J} to consist of one orbit. All our results go through with the more general definition, where \mathcal{J} is a finite union of orbits of closed convex (or quasiconvex) sets, but we restrict ourselves to one orbit for ease of exposition.

Suppose that $(X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2)$ are metric spaces. Let $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$ be collections of closed subsets of X_1, X_2 respectively. Then d_i induces a *pseudo-metric* (which, by abuse of notation, we continue to refer to as d_i) on \mathcal{J}_i for $i = 1, 2$. This is just the ordinary (not Hausdorff) distance between closed subsets of a metric space.

In particular, consider two hyperbolic groups G_1, G_2 with quasiconvex subgroups H_1, H_2 , Cayley graphs Γ_1, Γ_2 . Let \mathcal{L}_j for $j = 1, 2$ denote the collection of translates of limit sets of H_1, H_2 in $\partial G_1, \partial G_2$ respectively. Individual members of the collection \mathcal{L}_j will be denoted as L_i^j . Let \mathcal{J}_j denote the collection $\{J_i^j = J(L_i^j) : L_i^j \in \mathcal{L}_j\}$ of joins of limit sets. Recall that the join of a limit set Λ_i is the union of bi-infinite geodesics in Γ_i with endpoints in Λ_i . This is a uniformly quasiconvex set and lies at a bounded Hausdorff distance from the Cayley graph of the subgroup H_i (assuming that the Cayley graph of H_i is taken with respect to a finite generating set which is contained in the generating set of G_i). Following Schwartz [57], we define:

Definition 1.7 A bijective map ϕ from $\mathcal{J}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_2$ is said to be uniformly proper if there exists a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (1) $d_{G_1}(J(L_i^1), J(L_j^1)) \leq n \Rightarrow d_{G_2}(\phi(J(L_i^1)), \phi(J(L_j^1))) \leq f(n)$,
- (2) $d_{G_2}(\phi(J(L_i^1)), \phi(J(L_j^1))) \leq n \Rightarrow d_{G_1}(J(L_i^1), J(L_j^1)) \leq f(n)$.

When \mathcal{J}_i consists of all singleton subsets of Γ_1, Γ_2 , we shall refer to ϕ as a uniformly proper map from Γ_1 to Γ_2 .

The proof of the following Theorem can be culled out of our paper [44]. We give a proof for completeness.

Theorem 1.8 *Let H be an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of a hyperbolic group G such that H has infinite index in G . Let Γ be a Cayley graph of G with metric d . Let L be the limit set of H and \mathcal{L} be the collection of translates of L under G . There exists a finite collection L_1, \dots, L_n of elements of \mathcal{L} such that the following holds.*

For any K, ϵ , there exists a C such that if $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a pattern-preserving (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry of Γ with $\partial\phi(L_i) = L_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $d(\phi(1), 1) \leq C$.

Proof Let J_i denote $J(L_i)$ for $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$. Also let $B_k(1)$ denote the k -neighborhood of $1 \in \Gamma$. In [44, page 1706], we show that there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq M$ the collection

$$\{J_i : B_k(1) \cap J_i \neq \emptyset\}$$

contains a pair J_p, J_q such that $L_p \cap L_q = \emptyset$. Further [44, page 1707] for any K_1 , there exists D , such that $\{z \in \Gamma : d(z, J_p) \leq K_1, d(z, J_q) \leq K_1\}$ has diameter less than D .

Let L_1, \dots, L_n be all the elements of \mathcal{L} such that $B_M(1) \cap J_i \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\partial\phi(L_i) = L_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exists $C_0 = C_0(K, \epsilon)$ such that $\phi(J_i)$ lies in a C_0 neighborhood of J_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also $d(\phi(1), \phi(J_i)) \leq MK + \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence $d(\phi(1), J_i) \leq MK + \epsilon + C_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Choose $K_1 = MK + \epsilon + C_0$. Then $d(z, J_p) \leq K_1, d(z, J_q) \leq K_1$ for $z = 1$ or $z = \phi(1)$. Hence $d(1, \phi(1)) \leq D$ where D is the real number determined by the last assertion in the first paragraph of this proof. Taking $C = D$ we are done. \square

Definition 1.9 Let H be an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of a hyperbolic group G such that H has infinite index in G . The group $\text{PP}(G, H)$ of pattern-preserving maps for such a pair (G, H) is defined as the group of homeomorphisms of ∂G that preserve the collection of translates \mathcal{L} , ie $\text{PP}(G, H) = \{\phi \in \text{Homeo}(\partial G) : \phi(L) \in \mathcal{L}, \forall L \in \mathcal{L}\}$. The group $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries for a pair (G, H) as above is defined as the subgroup of $\text{PP}(G, H)$ consisting of homeomorphisms h of ∂G such that $h = \partial\phi$ for some quasi-isometry $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$. The topology on $\text{PP}(G, H)$ or $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ is inherited (as a subspace) from the uniform topology on $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$.

Proposition 1.10 [44] *The collection \mathcal{L} is discrete in the Hausdorff topology on the space of closed subsets of ∂G , ie for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(L) \cap \mathcal{L} = L$, where $N_\epsilon(L)$ denotes an ϵ neighborhood of L in the Hausdorff metric. Further, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and any visual metric d on ∂G , the number of elements of \mathcal{L} of diameter greater than ϵ is finite.*

Observation 1.11 We observe now that $PP(G, H)$ is closed in $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$ equipped with the uniform topology. To see this, assume that $f_n \in PP(G, H)$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$ equipped with the uniform topology. If $f \notin PP(G, H)$ there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $f(L) \notin \mathcal{L}$. Since f_n converges to f in the uniform topology, $f_n(L) \rightarrow f(L)$ in the Hausdorff metric on closed subsets of ∂G . Since f is a homeomorphism, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the diameter of $f(L)$ is greater than 2ϵ and hence there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the diameter of $f(L)$ is greater than ϵ for all $n \geq N$. Since the number of elements of \mathcal{L} of diameter greater than ϵ is finite by Proposition 1.10, then (after passing to a subsequence if necessary) it follows that there exists $L_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $f_n(L) = L_1$ for all $n \geq N$. Since L, L_1 are closed and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$ equipped with the uniform topology, it follows that $f(L) = L_1 \in \mathcal{L}$, a contradiction. This shows that $PP(G, H)$ is closed in $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$.

The same is true for $PPQI(G, H)$.

Henceforth, whenever we refer to $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$ as a topological group, we shall assume that it is equipped with the uniform topology.

Combining Lemma 1.4 with Theorem 1.8, we get:

Corollary 1.12 Let H be an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in a hyperbolic group G . Let Γ be a Cayley graph of G with metric d and $Q \subset PPQI(G, H)$ be any group of boundary values of uniform quasi-isometries of (the vertex set of) Γ . Let L be the limit set of H and \mathcal{L} be the collection of translates of L under G . There exists a finite collection L_1, \dots, L_n of elements of \mathcal{L} such that $Q_0 = \bigcap_{i=1, \dots, n} \text{Stab}(L_i)$ is compact, where $\text{Stab}(L_i)$ denotes the stabilizer of L_i in Q . (Here Q is equipped with the uniform topology as a subspace of $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$.)

Proof Let $K \geq 1, \epsilon \geq 0$ be such that each $q \in Q$ is the boundary value of a (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry. Let L_1, \dots, L_n be elements of \mathcal{L} as in Theorem 1.8. For each $q \in Q$, let ϕ_q be a (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry such that $\partial\phi_q = q$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\phi_q : \partial\phi_q \in Q_0\}$.

By Theorem 1.8, there exists $C = C(K, \epsilon)$ such that $d(\phi_q(1), 1) \leq C$ for all $\phi_q \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Hence, by Lemma 1.4, \mathcal{F}_0 is compact in the compact open topology on the space of self-maps of the dotted geodesic metric space consisting of the vertex set of Γ .

Suppose $\{\phi_{q_i}\} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ is a sequence converging to ϕ_q in the compact open topology. Hence q_i and q are boundary values of (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometries ϕ_{q_i} and ϕ_q respectively such that ϕ_{q_i}, ϕ_q agree on larger and larger subsets as $i \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $q_i \rightarrow q$ in $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$ (with the uniform topology) by stability of quasigeodesics (see Ghys and de la Harpe [27]) as G is hyperbolic. □

Also, since $\text{PP}(G, H)$ or $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ is a closed subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$ equipped with the uniform topology, we have

Corollary 1.13 *Let H be an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of a hyperbolic group G such that H has infinite index. Let Γ be a Cayley graph of G with metric d and $Q \subset \text{PPQI}(G, H)$ be any group of boundary values of pattern-preserving uniform quasi-isometries of (the vertex set of) Γ . Then Q , equipped with the topology inherited from $\text{Homeo}(\partial G)$, is locally compact.*

Proof We include a slightly different direct proof here. The collection \mathcal{L} is discrete by Proposition 1.10. Consider the finite collection L_1, \dots, L_n in Corollary 1.12. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(L_i) \cap \mathcal{L} = L_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define

$$N_\epsilon(\text{Id}) = \{q \in Q : d_{\partial G}(x, q(x)) \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in \partial G\},$$

where $d_{\partial G}$ denotes some visual metric on ∂G . Then $N_\epsilon(\text{Id}) \subset Q_0 = \bigcap_{i=1, \dots, n} \text{Stab}(L_i)$, which is compact by Corollary 1.12. Hence the Corollary. \square

1.4 Boundaries of hyperbolic metric spaces and the Newman–Smith Theorem

Let L be one of the rings \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_p , for p a prime.

Definition 1.14 [15, page 329] *An m -dimensional homology manifold over L (denoted $m\text{-hm}_L$) is a locally compact Hausdorff space X with finite homological dimension over L , that has the local homology properties of a manifold, ie for all $x \in X$, $H_m(X, X \setminus \{x\}) = L$ and $H_i(X, X \setminus \{x\}) = 0$ for $i \neq m$.*

Further, if X is an $m\text{-hm}_L$ and $H_*^c(X; L) \cong H_*^c(S^m; L)$ then X is called a *generalized m -sphere* over L . (Here H_*^c denotes Čech homology and H_* denotes ordinary singular homology.)

For homology manifolds, the existence of a local orientation was proven by Bredon [13].

The related notion of a *cohomology manifold over L* , denoted $m\text{-cm}_L$ is defined by Bredon [15, page 373]. If $L = \mathbb{Z}_p$, a connected space X is an $n\text{-cm}_L$ if and only if it is an $n\text{-hm}_L$ and is locally connected [15, page 375, Theorem 16.8, footnote].

We shall be using the following Theorem which is a result that follows from work of Bestvina and Mess [4] and Bestvina [3] (see also Swenson [63], Bowditch [11] and Swarup [62]).

Theorem 1.15 *Boundaries ∂G of PD(n) hyperbolic groups G are locally connected homological manifolds (over the integers) with the homology of a sphere of dimension $(n - 1)$. Further, if G acts freely, properly discontinuously, cocompactly on a contractible complex X then $H_n^{\text{LF}}(X) = H_{n-1}\partial G$, where H_n^{LF} denotes locally finite homology.*

In fact, one of the main results of [4] asserts that the (reduced) Čech cohomology groups of ∂G vanish except in dimension $(n - 1)$. Bestvina [3] also shows that the (reduced) Steenrod homology groups of ∂G vanish except in dimension $(n - 1)$. Since ∂G is compact metrizable, Steenrod homology coincides with Čech homology (see for instance Milnor [43]). Further, for locally connected metrizable compacta such as ∂G , the Čech (co)homology groups coincide with singular (co)homology groups (see Lefschetz [40, page 107]). Hence the singular (as well as Čech) homology and cohomology of ∂G coincide with that of a sphere of dimension $(n - 1)$, ie $H_0(\partial G) = H_{n-1}(\partial G) = H^0(\partial G) = H^{n-1}(\partial G) = \mathbb{Z}$ and all other homology and cohomology groups with \mathbb{Z} coefficients are zero. Finally, using the Universal Coefficient Theorem for homology [34, Theorem 3A.3] $H_i(\partial G; \mathbb{Z}_p) = H_i(\partial G) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ since all the integral homology groups are torsion-free. Similarly for cohomology groups. Thus we have the following strengthening of Theorem 1.15:

Theorem 1.16 *Let L denote \mathbb{Z} , the integers or \mathbb{Z}_p , the integers mod p . Boundaries ∂G of PD(n) hyperbolic groups G are locally connected (co)homological manifolds (over L) with the (co)homology of a sphere of dimension $(n - 1)$.*

We shall be using Theorem 1.16 in conjunction with the following Theorem of Newman and Smith, which as stated below is a consequence of the work of several people (see below).

Theorem 1.17 (Newman [48], Smith [59]) *Let (X, d) be a compact \mathbb{Z}_p -cohomology manifold for all p , having finite topological (covering) dimension and equipped with a metric d . There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that (for any n) if \mathbb{Z}_n acts effectively on X , then the diameter of some orbit is greater than ϵ .*

Newman proved the above Theorem for closed orientable manifolds [48]. Smith [59] generalized it to locally compact spaces satisfying certain homological regularity properties. Building on work of Yang [66], Conner and Floyd [21, Corollary 6.2] proved that cohomological manifolds of finite topological (covering) dimension satisfy the regularity properties required by Smith's theorem. (The theorem also holds for a somewhat more general class of spaces, called "finitistic spaces" by Bredon [14], but

we shall not require this). For a historical account of the development of the theory of generalized/homology manifolds and their connection with Smith manifolds, see Raymond [52].

We shall also be using a theorem on homological consequences of actions of p -adic transformation groups on homology manifolds.

Theorem 1.18 (Yang [67]) *Let X be a compact homology n -manifold admitting an effective K -action, where $K = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the group of p -adic integers. Then the homological dimension of X/K is $n + 2$.*

2 Ahlfors regular metric measure spaces

2.1 General facts

We refer to Heinonen [35] and Bonk and Kleiner [8] for details on quasimetric maps and metric measure spaces.

Quasi-symmetric maps and quasi-isometries

Definition 2.1 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homeomorphism between metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) .

Then f is η -quasisymmetric for some homeomorphism $\eta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ if

$$\frac{d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2))}{d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_3))} \leq \eta \left(\frac{d_X(x_1, x_2)}{d_X(x_1, x_3)} \right)$$

for every triple (x_1, x_2, x_3) of distinct points in X .

The inverse of a quasimetric map is also quasimetric. In fact the right generalization of quasiconformal maps in \mathbb{R}^n to metric measure spaces are quasimetric maps (cf [35, Chapters 10, 11]).

Proposition 2.2 [35, page 79] *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an η -quasisymmetric homeomorphism, then $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ is an η_1 -quasisymmetric homeomorphism, where $\eta_1(t) = 1/\eta^{-1}(t^{-1})$ for $t > 0$. Further, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ are η_f and η_g -quasisymmetric homeomorphisms respectively, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is $(\eta_g \circ \eta_f)$ -quasisymmetric.*

Remark 2.3 It follows from the definition of η -quasisymmetry that $d_X(x_1, x_2) \leq d_X(x_1, x_3) \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq \eta(1)d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_3))$. Now let $B = B(x, r) \subset X$ denote the closed ball of radius r about $x \in X$ and $\partial B = \{u \in B : d_X(x, u) = r\}$. Hence if X is connected and $y, z \in \partial B$, then $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \eta(1)d_Y(f(x), f(z))$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $g: X \rightarrow X$ be an η -quasisymmetric map of a compact metric space (X, d) to itself. Then g^{-1} is an η_1 -quasisymmetric map by Proposition 2.2. Let $c = \eta_1^{-1}(1)$. Let $B = B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$ be the closed ball of radius r about x . Let $\partial B = \{y \in X : d(x, y) = r\}$ denote the sphere of radius r about x . Assume that $\partial B \neq \emptyset$. Let $s = d(g(x), g(\partial B))$. Then $B(g(x), cs) \subset g(B(x, r))$.*

Proof It follows from compactness of X that ∂B is compact. Therefore $g(\partial B)$ is compact (and nonempty by hypothesis). Hence there exists $y_0 \in \partial B$ such that $d(g(x), g(y_0)) = s$. Suppose $d(g(x), w)/d(g(x), g(y_0)) \leq c$ for some $w \in X$. Then $d(x, g^{-1}(w))/d(x, y_0) \leq \eta_1(c) = 1$. Hence $d(x, g^{-1}(w)) \leq d(x, y_0) = r$. Hence $w \in g(B)$. □

It is a standard fact that the boundary values of quasi-isometries of proper hyperbolic metric spaces are quasisymmetric maps for any visual metric on the boundary:

Lemma 2.5 (Buyalo–Schroeder [18, Theorem 5.2.17, page 55], Väisälä [64, Theorem 5.35]) *Suppose that X and Y are δ -hyperbolic spaces equipped with basepoints and that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a base point preserving (λ, μ) -quasi-isometry. Let $(\partial X, \rho_X)$ and $(\partial Y, \rho_Y)$ be their respective boundaries equipped with visual metrics. Then f extends to an η -quasisymmetric homeomorphism $\partial f: (\partial X, \rho_X) \rightarrow (\partial Y, \rho_Y)$ with η depending only on δ, λ, μ .*

A converse result follows from work of Paulin [50]. We state it in the form we shall need it.

Theorem 2.6 *Suppose that X is (the Cayley graph with respect to a finite generating set of) a nonelementary hyperbolic group. Further suppose that X is equipped with a basepoint. Let Q be a compact group of η -quasisymmetric homeomorphisms in the uniform topology on ∂X . Then there exist (λ, μ) such that each $q \in Q$ may be realized as the boundary value of a (λ, μ) -quasi-isometry of X fixing the basepoint.*

Proof By Paulin’s work [50], there exist λ_1, μ_1 such that every element $q \in Q$ may be realized as the boundary value of a (λ_1, μ_1) -quasi-isometry of X . We denote the map induced by q on $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ by q^3 . Here $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ is the pseudo-metric space in Observation 1.5.

Since X is quasi-isometric to $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ for the dotted path-metric constructed on the set of distinct triples (Observation 1.5), every element $q \in Q$ may be realized as the boundary value of a uniform quasi-isometry q^3 of $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$.

Since Q is compact, any $q^3 \in Q$ maps a fixed triple $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in (\partial^3 X, \rho)$ to triples that are uniformly separated in $(\partial X, d)$ where d denotes a visual metric, ie there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $q \in Q$, $d(q(a_i), q(a_j)) > \epsilon$ for $i \neq j$. Hence $\rho((a_1, a_2, a_3), q^3(a_1, a_2, a_3))$ is uniformly bounded. Choose $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in (\partial^3 X, \rho)$ as the basepoint in $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$.

Define a map $\phi_q: (\partial^3 X, \rho) \rightarrow (\partial^3 X, \rho)$ as follows:

- (1) ϕ_q maps $q^3(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and all points at ρ distance zero from it to (a_1, a_2, a_3) .
- (2) ϕ_q fixes all other points of $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$.

Then $\phi_q \circ q^3$ is a uniform (independent of q) quasi-isometry of $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ fixing a basepoint. postcomposing further by the inverse of the quasi-isometry from X to $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ and moving back a basepoint in X again if necessary, we get the required result. □

Ahlfors regular and doubling spaces

Definition 2.7 A metric space X is said to be *doubling* if for all $\lambda \geq 1$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x \in X$ the ball $\lambda B(x, r) = B(x, \lambda r)$ can be covered by N balls of radius r .

We shall need a special case of a Theorem of Bonk and Schramm [9].

Theorem 2.8 (Bonk–Schramm [9]) *Let X be a Gromov-hyperbolic group or a complete simply connected Hadamard manifold of pinched negative curvature. Then $(\partial X, d)$ is doubling for any visual metric d .*

Definition 2.9 Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the k –dimensional Hausdorff measure of X is defined by

$$\inf\left\{ \sum_i r_i^k : \text{there exists a cover of } X \text{ by balls } B_i \text{ of radius } r_i \right\}.$$

The Hausdorff dimension $\dim_{\text{haus}}(X)$ of X is defined to be the infimum of all $k \geq 0$ such that the k –dimensional Hausdorff measure of X is zero.

In this paper we shall have occasion to use the easier to compute notion of Minkowski dimension where the balls used to cover X are of equal radii. See Sullivan [60], Coornaert [22] and Bishop and Jones [5] for the equivalence in our situation (also see Falconer [25] for very general sufficient conditions ensuring equality of Hausdorff and Minkowski dimensions).

Definition 2.10 Let (X, d, μ) be a compact metric measure space, ie a metric space equipped with a Borel measure. We say that X is Ahlfors Q -regular, if there exists $C_0 \geq 1$ such that for all $0 \leq r \leq \text{diam}(X)$, and any ball $B_r(x) \subset X$, the measure $\mu(B_r(x))$ satisfies $(1/C_0)r^Q \leq \mu(B_r(x)) \leq C_0r^Q$.

When Q is omitted in [Definition 2.10](#), we assume that Q is the Hausdorff dimension and μ the Hausdorff measure.

The relevance to the present paper comes from the following.

Theorem 2.11 (Coornaert [\[22\]](#)) *Let G be a hyperbolic group. Then $(\partial G, d, \mu)$ is Ahlfors regular for any visual metric d and the associated Hausdorff measure μ .*

Certain very general conditions ensure Ahlfors regularity. Corollary 14.15 of [\[35\]](#) asserts that a metric space is quasisymmetrically equivalent to an Ahlfors regular space if and only if it is *uniformly perfect* and carries a *doubling measure*. We shall not be needing the precise definitions of these terms. Suffice to say that a metric space carries a doubling measure if and only if it is doubling [\[41; 20\]](#); and connected sets are uniformly perfect. However for our purposes, the *proof* of the more restrictive Theorem 5.4 of [\[22\]](#) for hyperbolic groups which in turn is modelled on Sullivan's work [\[60\]](#) suffices to ensure the following. (See [\[60, Theorem 25\]](#) in particular which devotes special attention to noncompact finite volume manifolds or [\[51, Theorem 0.2\]](#).)

Theorem 2.12 *Let X be the universal cover of a complete finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature. Then $(\partial X, d, \mu)$ is Ahlfors regular for any visual metric d and the associated Hausdorff measure μ .*

The following notion is essentially due to Bourdon and Pajot [\[10\]](#).

Definition 2.13 Let Γ be a hyperbolic group or more generally a hyperbolic metric space. We define the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of the boundary of Γ as

$$\text{ACD}(\partial\Gamma) = \inf\{Q : \partial\Gamma \text{ admits a visual metric with Hausdorff dimension } Q\}.$$

In this paper we shall repeatedly make the assumption that the boundary $\partial\Gamma$ of Γ admits a visual metric d such that the Hausdorff dimension dim_{haus} of $(\partial\Gamma, d)$ is less than $(\text{dim}_t + 2)$, where dim_t denotes topological dimension. Note that by [Definition 2.13](#) above, this is equivalent to saying that $\text{ACD}(\partial\Gamma) < (\text{dim}_t(\partial\Gamma) + 2)$.

Also note that all visual metrics are quasisymmetrically conjugate to each other. The identity map on the underlying topological space gives the required quasisymmetry. More generally, for a metric space X , $\text{ACD}(X)$ is usually defined [10] by

$$\text{ACD}(X) = \inf\{Q : \text{there exists an Ahlfors } Q\text{-regular metric space } Y \text{ that is quasisymmetrically equivalent to } X\}.$$

We shall not have need for this more general notion in this paper.

We collect certain notions and facts from Bonk and Kleiner [8] and Heinonen [35] that we shall have need for in what follows.

Lemma 2.14 [35, Theorem 1.2, page 2] *Every family \mathcal{F} of balls of uniformly bounded diameter in a metric space X contains a pairwise disjoint subfamily \mathcal{G} such that $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}} B \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{G}} 5B$, where λB denotes a ball concentric with B and radius λ times that of B .*

Corollary 2.15 *Let X be a doubling metric space. There exists an M such that the following holds.*

Let \mathcal{F}_r be the family of all balls of radius r in X . Let \mathcal{G}_r be any pairwise disjoint subfamily such that $X = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{G}_r} 5B$. Then for any $x \in X$, the cardinality of the set $\{B \in \mathcal{G}_r : x \in 5B\}$ is less than or equal to M .

Proof We omit the suffix r for convenience. The existence of a pairwise disjoint subfamily \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{F} such that $X = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{G}} 5B$ is guaranteed by Lemma 2.14.

We now argue by contradiction. Suppose that no such M exists. Then for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $r_N > 0$, a pairwise disjoint subfamily \mathcal{G} of balls of radius r_N in X , and an $x \in X$ such that the cardinality of the set $\{B \in \mathcal{G} : x \in 5B\}$ is greater than N . Hence the $6r_N$ ball around x contains more than N distinct (and hence disjoint) elements of \mathcal{G} . Since N is arbitrary, this contradicts the hypothesis that X is doubling. \square

We start with a general result about compact group actions on doubling, Ahlfors regular compact metric measure spaces, eg boundaries of one-ended hyperbolic groups.

Lemma 2.16 *Let (X, d, μ) be a connected, doubling, Ahlfors regular compact metric measure space having Hausdorff dimension $\mathcal{Q} \geq 1$ (for instance the boundary of a one-ended hyperbolic group or the boundary of the universal cover of a finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature by Theorems 2.8 2.11 and 2.12). Let K be a compact topological group acting by uniformly η -quasisymmetric maps on (X, d, μ) and equipped with a Haar measure of unit mass. Let $C = \eta(1)$, $c = \eta_1^{-1}(1)$, and let d_K be the average metric on X given by $d_K(x, y) = \int_K d(g(x), g(y)) dg$. Then the Hausdorff dimension of (X, d_K, μ) does not exceed \mathcal{Q} .*

Proof We adapt an argument of Repovš and Ščepin [54] and Martin [42] to the present context. Assume after normalization, that the total Hausdorff measure of X is one.

Cover X by a family of balls of radius $r > 0$ measured with respect to d . By Lemma 2.14 we can choose a family \mathcal{B}_r of pairwise disjoint balls of radius r such that $X = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} 5B$. As in [42], it is enough to find a uniform bound (independent of r) for the sum $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} (\text{diam}_{d_K} 5B)^{\mathcal{Q}}$ where $\text{diam}_{d_K} 5B$ is the diameter of $5B$ in the invariant metric d_K .

For each $B \in \mathcal{B}_r$ let c_B denote its center and let z_B be a point on the boundary of $5B$ with $\text{diam}_{d_K} B \leq 2d_K(c_B, z_B)$. (Such a point z_B exists as X is connected.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} (\text{diam}_{d_K} 5B)^{\mathcal{Q}} &\leq 2^{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \left(\int_K d(g(c_B), g(z_B)) dg \right)^{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &\leq 2^{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \int_K d(g(c_B), g(z_B))^{\mathcal{Q}} dg \\ &\leq (2C)^{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \int_K \inf_{y_B \in \partial(5B)} d(g(c_B), g(y_B))^{\mathcal{Q}} dg \\ &= \left(\frac{2C}{c} \right)^{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \int_K (c \inf_{y_B \in \partial B} d(g(c_B), g(y_B)))^{\mathcal{Q}} dg, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line holds by Holder’s inequality since $\mathcal{Q} \geq 1$ and the normalization condition that the total Haar measure of K is one, the third lines holds since K acts by uniformly η -quasisymmetric maps, and since $\partial(5B) \neq \emptyset$ by connectedness of X and the last equality holds by Remark 2.3.

But by Lemma 2.4, the ball of radius $c \inf_{y_B \in \partial B} d(g(c_B), g(y_B))$ is contained in $g(5B)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2C}{c} \right)^{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \int_K (c \inf_{y_B \in \partial B} d(g(c_B), g(y_B)))^{\mathcal{Q}} dg &\leq C_0 \left(\frac{2C}{c} \right)^{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \int_K \mu(g(5B)) dg \\ &= C_0 \left(\frac{2C}{c} \right)^{\mathcal{Q}} \int_K \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} \mu(g(5B)) dg \\ &\leq C_0 M \left(\frac{2C}{c} \right)^{\mathcal{Q}} \int_K \mu(g(X)) dg, \end{aligned}$$

where C_0 is the constant appearing in the definition of Ahlfors \mathcal{Q} -regularity of X and M is the constant appearing in Corollary 2.15 giving an upper bound for the local multiplicity of the cover $\{5B : B \in \mathcal{B}_r\}$.

Since g is a homeomorphism from X onto itself, this equals

$$C_0M\left(\frac{2C}{c}\right)^{\mathcal{Q}} \int_K \mu(X) dg = C_0M\left(\frac{2C}{c}\right)^{\mathcal{Q}},$$

where the equality holds since K is equipped with a Haar measure of unit mass and by the normalization condition that the total Hausdorff measure of X is one.

This establishes a uniform bound (independent of r) for the sum $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_r} (\text{diam}_{d_K} 5B)^{\mathcal{Q}}$ and completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.17 (See [36, Chapter II, Theorems 7.1–7.6, pages 60–61].) *Let G be a topological group. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$$

with G_0 the connected component of the identity in G and H totally disconnected. If G , and hence H , is locally compact, then H contains arbitrarily small compact open subgroups (ie for every neighborhood U of the identity in H , there is a compact open subgroup K contained in U).

Moreover the structure of G_0 is well-known thanks to Montgomery and Zippin [45, Theorem 4.6] and Gleason [29].

We state a definition first.

Definition 2.18 A topological group is said to have *arbitrarily small torsion elements* if for every neighborhood U of the identity, there exists an element $g \in U$, $g \neq 1$, and a positive integer n such that $g^n = 1$, and furthermore $g^m \in U$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

A topological group is said to have *small subgroups* if for every neighborhood U of the identity, there exists a subgroup $H \neq \{1\}$ such that H is contained in U .

The following is a celebrated Theorem of Montgomery and Zippin [45] and Gleason [29].

Theorem 2.19 [45, Sections 4.5, 4.6, 4.9; 29] *Let G_0 be the connected component of the identity in a locally compact topological group G such that G/G_0 is compact. For each neighborhood U of the identity in G_0 , there exists a compact normal subgroup $K \subset U$ such that the quotient group G_0/K is a Lie group.*

Small subgroups of connected locally compact finite dimensional groups are totally disconnected and belong to the center.

Any locally compact finite dimensional group with no small subgroups is a Lie group.

Remark 2.20 The hypothesis in [Theorem 2.19](#) that G/G_0 is compact is superfluous. Let $H = G/G_0$ and $q: G \rightarrow H$ be the quotient homomorphism. By [Theorem 2.17](#), H contains a compact open totally disconnected subgroup H_1 . Let $G_1 = q^{-1}(H_1)$. Then G_0 is the connected component of the identity of G_1 and G_1/G_0 is compact. [Theorem 2.19](#) now applies.

We also have the following Theorem of Yamabe [\[65\]](#).

Theorem 2.21 (Yamabe [\[65\]](#)) *A group with a neighborhood U of the identity which does not contain any nontrivial normal subgroup has a neighborhood V of the identity which does not contain any nontrivial subgroup.*

Definition 2.22 A topological group containing a cyclic dense subgroup is said to be *monothetic*.

It is easy to see that a monothetic group is abelian (using continuity of multiplication). The following is a consequence of a structure theorem for 0-dimensional compact monothetic groups (see [\[36, Theorem 25.16, page 408\]](#)).

Theorem 2.23 *Any infinite 0-dimensional (ie totally disconnected), compact, monothetic group K contains a copy of the \mathbf{a} -adic integers $A_{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of integers $a_i > 1$. Hence K must contain arbitrarily small torsion elements or a copy of the group $Z_{(p)}$ of p -adic integers.*

2.2 The Hilbert–Smith Conjecture

Theorem 2.24 *Let (X, d, μ) be a connected, doubling, Ahlfors regular compact metric measure space. Further suppose that X is a \mathbb{Z}_p -cohomology manifold for all p ; and $1 \leq \dim_{\text{haus}}(X) < \dim_h(X) + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_h is the homological dimension. Then (X, d, μ) does not admit an effective $Z_{(p)}$ -action by uniform quasisymmetric maps, where $Z_{(p)}$ denotes the p -adic integers. Hence any finite dimensional locally compact group acting effectively on (X, d, μ) by uniform quasisymmetric maps is a Lie group.*

Proof Let $K = Z_{(p)}$ be the compact group of p -adic integers acting effectively on X by uniform quasisymmetric maps. Let d_K be the average metric on X given by $d_K(x, y) = \int_K d(g(x), g(y)) dg$. By [Lemma 2.16](#) the Hausdorff dimension of (X, d_K, μ) does not exceed $\dim_{\text{haus}}(X)$. Then K acts on (X, d_K) by isometries. Therefore, the orbit space X/K admits the well-defined metric $\rho([x], [y]) = d_K(K(x), K(y))$, where $[x], [y]$ denote the images of x, y under the quotient map

by K . Let $P: X \rightarrow X/K$ be the natural quotient map. Since P is clearly 1–Lipschitz, it cannot increase Hausdorff dimension. Hence the Hausdorff dimension of X/K is at most equal to $\dim_{\text{haus}}(X)$, the Hausdorff dimension of X , which in turn is less than $\dim_h(X) + 2$. Since topological dimension is majorized by Hausdorff dimension and homological dimension is majorized by topological dimension, it follows that the homological dimension of X/K is less than $\dim_h(X) + 2$. This directly contradicts Yang’s [Theorem 1.18](#) which asserts that the homological dimension of X/K is equal to $\dim_h(X) + 2$ and establishes the first part of the theorem.

The last statement follows from the first by standard arguments (see [\[54; 42\]](#), for instance). We outline the argument for the sake of completeness.

Let G be any finite dimensional locally compact group acting effectively on X by uniform quasisymmetric maps. By the last statement of [Theorem 2.19](#), if G has no small subgroups, then G must be a Lie group.

We now proceed by contradiction. If possible, let G have small subgroups. By local compactness, we may assume that G has compact small subgroups. Hence by Yamabe’s [Theorem 2.21](#), G has a sequence $(K_i)_i$ of compact normal small subgroups such that $K_i \neq \{1\}$ for all i and such that $\bigcap_i K_i = \{1\}$. Let L be the connected component of the identity of G . Then either $K_i \cap L \neq \{1\}$ or K_i is totally disconnected. If $K_i \cap L \neq \{1\}$ then $K_i \cap L$ is a compact normal small subgroup of L . Hence again by the second statement of [Theorem 2.19](#), $K_i \cap L$ is totally disconnected. In any case, G has a sequence $(K_i)_i$ of nontrivial compact normal totally disconnected small subgroups.

If K is infinite, it must contain a copy of the p –adics ([Theorem 2.23](#)) or have arbitrarily small torsion elements. By [Theorem 1.17](#), K cannot have arbitrarily small torsion elements. Hence $Z_{(p)}$ acts effectively on X by uniform quasisymmetric maps, contradicting the first assertion of the Theorem proved above. \square

Since quasi-isometries of a hyperbolic group G act by quasisymmetric maps on the boundary $(\partial G, d)$, where d is a visual metric, we have the following by combining [Theorems 1.16 and 2.11](#) with [Theorem 2.24](#).

Corollary 2.25 *Let Γ be a Poincare duality hyperbolic group and Q be a group of (boundary values of) quasi-isometries of Γ . Q is equipped with the uniform topology. Suppose d is a visual metric on $\partial\Gamma$ with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $X = (\partial\Gamma, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(X) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(X)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of X . Then Q cannot contain a copy of $Z_{(p)}$, where $Z_{(p)}$ denotes the p –adic integers. Hence if Q is finite dimensional locally compact, it must be a Lie group.*

Proof By [Theorem 1.16](#), X is a \mathbb{Z}_p -cohomology manifold for all p . By [Theorems 2.8](#) and [2.11](#) and by one-endedness of Γ , $X = (\partial\Gamma, d, \mu)$ is a connected, doubling, Ahlfors regular compact metric measure space.

The assumption of [Theorem 2.24](#) that $1 \leq \dim_{\text{haus}}$ is superfluous here as the only PD group that violates this hypothesis is virtually cyclic, when the Corollary is trivially true.

If possible, let $K = Z_{(p)}$ be the compact group of p -adic integers acting effectively on X by quasisymmetric maps. All that remains to be shown is that we can extract an action of $Z_{(p)}$ by *uniform* quasisymmetric maps.

Let $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ be the pseudo-metric space in [Observation 1.5](#). Fix a basepoint $x \in \partial^3 X$. Since K is compact, $\rho(k^3(x), x)$ is uniformly bounded.

For each $k \in K$ there exist $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k^3: (\partial^3 X, \rho) \rightarrow (\partial^3 X, \rho)$ is a (λ_k, λ_k) -quasi-isometry. Note further that $q_n \rightarrow q$ in the uniform topology implies that $q_n^3 \rightarrow q^3$ in the compact open topology.

Let $U_i = \{k \in K, \lambda_k \leq i\}$. Then $K = \bigcup_i \overline{U_i}$ is the union of a countable family of closed sets $\overline{U_i}$. Note further that the limit of a sequence of (λ_k, λ_k) -quasi-isometries is (at most) a $(\lambda_k + 2, \lambda_k + 2)$ quasi-isometry. By the Baire category theorem there is some U_C with nonempty interior. Translating by an element h of K in the interior of U_C , we may assume that U_c (for some c depending on C and the quasi-isometry constant of h) contains the identity. But any neighborhood of the identity in K contains an isomorphic copy of K .

Hence we have an action of K (replacing the original group by the isomorphic copy contained in the above neighborhood of the identity) on $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ by (c, c) -quasi-isometries. Since $(X = \partial\Gamma, d)$ is a visual boundary for $(\partial^3 X, \rho)$ and since each element in K moves the basepoint x by a uniformly amount, we have an action of K on (X, d, μ) by *uniformly* quasisymmetric maps by [Lemma 2.5](#). [Theorem 2.24](#) now furnishes the required conclusion. \square

Note The hypothesis $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$ (or $\text{ACD}(X) < \dim_t + 2$) is clearly true for (uniform lattices in) real hyperbolic space, where $\dim_{\text{haus}} = \dim_t$ as well as complex hyperbolic space, where $\dim_{\text{haus}} = \dim_t + 1$. Amongst rank one symmetric spaces these are the only ones of interest in the context of pattern rigidity as quaternionic hyperbolic space and the Cayley plane are quasi-isometrically rigid in light of Pansu's fundamental result [\[49\]](#).

3 Pattern preserving groups as topological groups

3.1 Infinite divisibility

We begin with some easy classical facts about topological groups. A property we shall be investigating in some detail is the notion of “topological infinite divisibility”. The notion we introduce is weaker than related existing notions in the literature.

Definition 3.1 An element g in a topological group G will be called *topologically infinitely divisible*, if there exists a sequence of symmetric neighborhoods $(U_k)_k$ of the identity, such that $\bigcap_k U_k = \{1\}$ and $g \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty U_k^n \subset G$ for all k . Similarly, a subgroup H of G is said to be *topologically infinitely divisible*, if there exists a sequence of symmetric neighborhoods $(U_k)_k$ of the identity, such that $\bigcap_k U_k = \{1\}$ and $H \subset \bigcup_1^\infty U_k^n \subset G$ for all k .

Let Γ be a hyperbolic group and H an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in Γ . Then the collection of translates of the (join of the) limit set of H in $\partial\Gamma$ gives rise to a symmetric pattern.

For the rest of this subsection Q will denote a group of boundary values of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries of (the Cayley graph of) G .

Recall that the topology on Q is inherited from the uniform topology on $\text{Homeo}(\partial\Gamma)$. Also recall that $q \in Q$ is a quasisymmetric map on the boundary $\partial\Gamma$.

Lemma 3.2 $q_n \rightarrow q$ in the uniform topology on $\text{Homeo}(\partial\Gamma)$ if and only if $q_n^3 \rightarrow q^3$ in the compact-open topology on $\partial^3\Gamma$.

Proof Suppose $q_n \rightarrow q$ in the uniform topology on $\text{Homeo}(\partial\Gamma)$. Then $q_n^3 \rightarrow q^3$ in the uniform topology on $\text{Homeo}(\partial\Gamma \times \partial\Gamma \times \partial\Gamma)$. Since $\partial^3\Gamma$ is an open invariant subset of $\partial\Gamma \times \partial\Gamma \times \partial\Gamma$, it follows that $q_n^3 \rightarrow q^3$ on compact subsets of $\partial^3\Gamma$. Hence $q_n^3 \rightarrow q^3$ in the compact-open topology on $\partial^3\Gamma$.

Next suppose $q_n^3 \rightarrow q^3$ in the compact-open topology on $\partial^3\Gamma$. If q_n does not converge to q uniformly on $\partial\Gamma$, then (after passing to a subsequence if necessary) there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all n there exists $x_n \in \partial\Gamma$ such that $d(q_n(x_n), q(x_n)) \geq 2\epsilon$. Passing to a further subsequence if necessary we can assume that $x_n \rightarrow x \in \partial\Gamma$. Hence $q(x_n) \rightarrow q(x) \in \partial\Gamma$. Hence by passing to a further subsequence if necessary we can assume that $d(q_n(x_n), q(x)) \geq \epsilon$ for all n . Choosing y, z fixed distinct points unequal to x , it follows that $q_n^3(x_n, y, z)$ does not converge to $q^3(x, y, z)$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.3 Q has no nontrivial topologically infinitely divisible elements. More generally, Q does not contain any nontrivial infinitely divisible subgroups.

Proof Suppose $q \in Q$ is infinitely divisible. Then for every neighborhood U of 1 there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \in U^m$. Now for any finite collection $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists a neighborhood U of $1 \in Q$ such that if $g \in U$ then $g(L_i) = L_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Hence for any finite collection $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists a neighborhood U of 1 and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (1) $q \in U^m$,
- (2) $g(L_j) = L_j$ for $g \in U$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

From (2) it follows that $g(L_j) = L_j$ for $g \in U^m$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore $q(L_j) = L_j$ for all $L_j \in \mathcal{L}$. That is q stabilizes every $L \in \mathcal{L}$. If $x \in \partial\Gamma$, there exist $L_m \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $L_m \rightarrow \{x\}$ (the singleton set containing x) in the Hausdorff topology on $\partial\Gamma$. Therefore $q(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in \partial\Gamma$, ie q is the trivial element of Q . The same argument shows that Q has no nontrivial topologically infinitely divisible subgroups. \square

Remark 3.4 We state the second conclusion of [Proposition 3.3](#) slightly differently. Let U_i be a decreasing sequence of symmetric neighborhoods of the identity in Q such that $\bigcap_i U_i = \{1\}$. Let $\langle U_i \rangle = \bigcup_n U_i^n$. Then $\bigcap_i \langle U_i \rangle = \{1\}$.

Since a connected topological group is generated by any neighborhood of the identity, we obtain:

Proposition 3.5 Q is totally disconnected.

Note that in [Proposition 3.3](#) and [Proposition 3.5](#) we *do not* need to assume that Q is a group of *uniform* quasi-isometries.

Remark 3.6 Let K be a compact group of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries. Then a reasonably explicit structure of K may be given as a permutation group. Since K is compact it acts on the discrete set \mathcal{L} with compact and hence finite orbits. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots$ be a decomposition of \mathcal{L} into disjoint orbits under K . Then $K \subset \prod_i S(\mathcal{L}_i)$, where $S(\mathcal{L}_i)$ denotes the symmetric group on the finite set \mathcal{L}_i and Π denotes direct product. Thus, we have a natural representation of K as a permutation group on an infinite set, where every orbit is finite. The last part of the argument in [Proposition 3.3](#) shows that this representation is faithful, since any element stabilizing every element of \mathcal{L} must be the identity.

3.2 PD groups

Boundaries ∂G of PD(n) hyperbolic groups G are locally connected homological manifolds (over the integers) with the homology of a sphere of dimension $(n - 1)$ by [Theorem 1.16](#). The interested reader may refer to Davis’ survey [\[23\]](#) for background on PD groups.

If $QI(G)$ denotes the group of boundary values of quasi-isometries of G acting on ∂G (equipped with the uniform topology), then [Theorem 1.17](#) implies the following.

Proposition 3.7 *$QI(G)$ cannot have arbitrarily small torsion elements.*

We combine [Corollary 2.25](#) with [Proposition 3.7](#) to get the following.

Proposition 3.8 *Let G be a Poincare duality hyperbolic group and H an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in G . Let K be a compact group of (boundary values of) pattern-preserving quasi-isometries of G . Suppose d is a visual metric on ∂G with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G . Then K must be finite.*

Proof By [Proposition 3.7](#), K cannot have arbitrarily small torsion elements. Hence there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any nontrivial $k \in K$, there exists an $x \in \partial G$ such that $\langle k \rangle x$ has diameter greater than ϵ where $\langle k \rangle$ denotes the cyclic group generated by k . Again, since K is infinite and compact there exists a sequence of distinct elements $k_i \rightarrow 1$ in K . By compactness of ∂G , there exists $x \in \partial G$, such that $\langle k_i \rangle x$ has diameter greater than ϵ and hence the order $o(k_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore the subgroups $\langle k_i \rangle \subset K$ converge up to a subsequence (in the Chabauty topology on closed subgroups of K) to an infinite compact nontrivial abelian group L without small torsion elements. If L is pure torsion it must have elements of arbitrarily large order (since L being infinite and compact must contain elements arbitrarily close to the identity). This contradicts the structure of compact abelian torsion groups (see [\[36, Theorem 25.9\]](#)).

Hence, if K is infinite, it must have an element g of infinite order. Let $C(g)$ be the (closed) monothetic subgroup generated by g . Since K is totally disconnected by [Proposition 3.5](#) so is $C(g)$ and hence $C(g)$ cannot have arbitrarily small torsion elements. By [Theorem 2.23](#) $C(g)$ must contain a copy of the p -adic integers. But K cannot contain a copy of the p -adic integers by [Corollary 2.25](#), a contradiction. Hence K is finite. □

We come now to the main Theorem of this section. Since G acts on its Cayley graph Γ by isometries, we are interested in uniform pattern-preserving groups of quasi-isometries containing G .

Theorem 3.9 *Let G be a hyperbolic Poincaré duality group and H an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in G . Suppose d is a visual metric on ∂G with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G . Let Q be a group of pattern-preserving uniform quasi-isometries containing G . Then G is of finite index in Q . In particular, $Q \subset \text{Comm}(G)$, where $\text{Comm}(G)$ denotes the abstract commensurator of G .*

Proof Let L be the limit set of H and \mathcal{L} be the collection of translates of L under G . By Corollary 1.12, we can choose a finite collection L_1, \dots, L_n of elements of \mathcal{L} such that $Q_0 = \bigcap_{i=1, \dots, n} \text{Stab}(L_i)$ is compact, where $\text{Stab}(L_i)$ denotes the stabilizer of L_i in Q . Then Q_0 is finite by Proposition 3.8. As in the proof of Corollary 1.13, we can choose a neighborhood U of the identity in Q such that $U \subset Q_0$. Hence U is finite and Q is discrete.

Let Gq_1, \dots, Gq_n, \dots be distinct cosets. Since G acts transitively on (the vertex set of) Γ , we can choose representatives $g_1q_1, \dots, g_nq_n, \dots$ such that $g_iq_i(1) = 1$ for all i . Since (the vertex set of) Γ is locally finite, the sequence $g_1q_1, \dots, g_nq_n, \dots$ must have a convergent subsequence in Q . Since Q is discrete, it follows that such a sequence must be finite. Hence G is of finite index in Q .

Let $q \in Q$. Since G is of finite index in Q , it follows that qGq^{-1} is of finite index in $qQq^{-1} = Q$. Therefore $G \cap qGq^{-1}$ is of finite index in Q . Hence $G \cap qGq^{-1}$ is of finite index in both G and qGq^{-1} , ie $q \in \text{Comm}(G)$, where $\text{Comm}(G)$ denotes the abstract commensurator of G (where we identify q with the element of $\text{Comm}(G)$ that takes $G \cap qGq^{-1}$ to $q(G \cap qGq^{-1})q^{-1}$). Since distinct elements q_1, q_2 induce distinct homeomorphisms of ∂G by definition, and since two elements defining the same element of $\text{Comm}(G)$ induce the same homeomorphism on ∂G , the elements $q_1, q_2 \in \text{Comm}(G)$ are distinct. This proves the result. \square

In fact the proof of Theorem 3.9 gives:

Corollary 3.10 *Let G be a hyperbolic Poincaré duality group and H an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in G . Suppose d is a visual metric on ∂G with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the*

topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G . Let Q be a locally compact group of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries containing $G \subset \text{Homeo}(\partial G)$, where Q is equipped with the uniform topology. Then G is of finite index in Q . In particular, $Q \subset \text{Comm}(G)$, where $\text{Comm}(G)$ denotes the abstract commensurator of G .

Proof By Proposition 3.5, Q is totally disconnected. Hence by Theorem 2.17 Q contains arbitrarily small compact open subgroups. Such compact open subgroups are finite by Proposition 3.8 (as in the proof of Theorem 3.9). Hence Q is discrete. The rest of the proof is as in Theorem 3.9. \square

In the present context, Scholium 1.2 translates to the following precise statement as a consequence of Corollary 3.10.

Corollary 3.11 *Let ϕ be a pattern-preserving quasi-isometry between pairs (G_1, H_1) and (G_2, H_2) of hyperbolic PD groups and infinite quasiconvex subgroups of infinite index. Suppose d is a visual metric on ∂G_1 with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G_1, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G_1) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial G_1)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of (∂G_1) . Further, suppose that G_1 and $\partial\phi^{-1} \circ G_2 \circ \partial\phi$ embed in some locally compact subgroup Q of $\text{Homeo}(\partial G_1)$ with the uniform topology. Then G_1 and G_2 are commensurable.*

Proof By Corollary 3.10, G_1 is of finite index in Q . Hence Q is a rational PD(n) group. Since $\partial\phi^{-1} \circ G_2 \circ \partial\phi$ embeds in Q and is also a PD(n) group, it follows that $\partial\phi^{-1} \circ G_2 \circ \partial\phi \subset Q$ is of finite index [17]. Hence G_1 and G_2 are commensurable. \square

4 Filling codimension one subgroups and pattern rigidity

4.1 Codimension one subgroups and pseudometrics

Let G be a one-ended Gromov-hyperbolic group with Cayley graph Γ . Let H be a quasiconvex subgroup. We say that H is *codimension one* if the limit set L_H of H disconnects ∂G . This is equivalent to saying that the join $J(L_H) = J$ disconnects Γ coarsely, ie if D be the quasiconvexity constant of J , then $\Gamma \setminus N_D(J)$ has more than one unbounded component, where $N_D(J)$ denotes the D -neighborhood of J . (See [58, Chapter 2], particularly Remark 2.4 for a proof of this equivalence and related results.)

We say further that H (or more generally a finite collection H_1, \dots, H_k) is *filling* if for any two $x, y \in \partial G$, there exists a translate gL_H of L_H (or more generally gL_{H_i}) by an element g of G such that x, y lie in distinct components of $\partial G \setminus gL_H$ (or more generally $\partial G \setminus gL_{H_i}$). We shall deal with a single filling subgroup for convenience of exposition. The results in this section go through for a finite collection of filling codimension one subgroups.

The existence of a finite collection of filling codimension one quasiconvex subgroups is important in light of the following Theorem due to Sageev [55] and Bergeron and Wise [2].

Theorem 4.1 [55; 2] *A hyperbolic group acts properly, cocompactly on a CAT(0) cube complex if and only if it admits a finite collection H_1, \dots, H_k of filling codimension one quasiconvex subgroups.*

Let D_1 be such that any path joining points in distinct unbounded components of $\Gamma \setminus N_D(J)$ passes within D_1 of J . We say that $x, y \in \Gamma$ are separated by some translate gJ of J if x, y lie in distinct unbounded components of $\Gamma \setminus gN_{D+D_1}(J)$. Equivalently, we shall say that the geodesic $[x, y]$ is separated by some translate gJ of J .

Lemma 4.2 *Let H be a codimension one, filling, quasiconvex subgroup of a one-ended hyperbolic group G . Let Γ be a Cayley graph of G . There exists $C \geq 0$ such that any geodesic σ in Γ of length greater than C is separated by a translate of J .*

Proof Suppose not. Then there exists a sequence of geodesic segments $\sigma_i = [a_i, b_i]$ which are not separated by any translate of J such that $d(a_i, b_i) \rightarrow \infty$. By equivariance, we may assume that σ_i is centered at the origin, ie $d(a_i, 1) \rightarrow \infty$ and $d(1, b_i) \rightarrow \infty$ and $1 \in [a_i, b_i]$. Let $a_i \rightarrow a_\infty \in \partial G$ and $b_i \rightarrow b_\infty \in \partial G$. Then a_∞ and b_∞ cannot lie in distinct components of $\partial G \setminus gL_H$ for any $g \in G$, for if they did then there exists $g \in G$ such that a_∞ and b_∞ lie in distinct components of $\partial G \setminus gL_H$ and hence for all i sufficiently large, a_i, b_i would lie in distinct unbounded components of $\Gamma \setminus gN_D(J)$. (Here we are implicitly using the correspondence between the unbounded components of $\Gamma \setminus gN_D(J)$ and the components of $\partial G \setminus gL_H$ mentioned in the first paragraph of this section; cf [58, Chapter 2]).

But if a_∞ and b_∞ cannot be separated, then H cannot be filling, contradicting the hypothesis. \square

Lemma 4.3 *Let G, H, Γ, J be as above. Let $[a, b] \subset \Gamma$ be a geodesic and $c \in [a, b]$ such that $d(a, c) \geq 2D$, $d(b, c) \geq 2D$, where D is the quasiconvexity constant of J . Suppose gJ separates a, c . Then gJ separates a, b .*

Proof Suppose not. Then a, b lie in the same unbounded component of $\Gamma \setminus gN_D(J)$, whereas c lies in a different unbounded component of $\Gamma \setminus gN_D(J)$. Hence there is a subsegment $[ecf]$ of $[a, b]$ such that $e, f \in N_{D_1}J$, but $c \notin N_{D+D_1}J$, contradicting the quasiconvexity constant for J . \square

Lemma 4.4 [28] *Let G be a hyperbolic group and H a quasiconvex subgroup, with limit set L . Let J denote the join of the limit set. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exist at most N distinct translates of J intersecting the 2 -neighborhood $B_2(g)$ nontrivially for any $g \in G$.*

Lemma 4.5 *Define a new pseudometric ρ_1 on Γ by declaring $\rho_1(a, b)$ to be the number of copies of joins $J \in \mathcal{J}$ separating a, b . Then (Γ, ρ_1) is quasi-isometric to (Γ, d) .*

Proof By Lemma 4.4, it follows that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(a, b) \leq C_0$ implies $\rho(a, b) \leq NC_0$. From Lemma 4.2, it follows that there exists $C_2 \geq 0$, such that $d(a, b) \geq C_2$ implies $\rho(a, b) \geq 1$. Now from Lemma 4.3, it follows that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(a, b) \geq nC_2$ implies $\rho(a, b) \geq n$. Hence the Lemma. \square

A purely topological version of Lemma 4.5 may be obtained as follows. Let $\partial^3 G$ denote the collection of distinct unordered triples of points on ∂G . Then it is well known [30; 12] that G acts cocompactly on $\partial^3 G$ with metrizable quotient. Let ρ be the pseudo-metric of Observation 1.5 which asserts that $(\partial^3 G, \rho)$ is quasi-isometric to (Γ, d) .

We say that a translate $gL \in \mathcal{L}$ separates closed subsets $A, B \subset \partial G$ if A, B lie in distinct components of $\partial G \setminus gL$. Define a pseudometric ρ_2 on $\partial^3 G$ by defining $\rho_2(\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \{b_1, b_2, b_3\})$ to be the number of copies of limit sets $gL \in \mathcal{L}$ separating $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$. Then $(\partial^3 G, \rho_2)$ is quasi-isometric to (Γ, ρ_1) , and hence to (Γ, d) and $(\partial^3 G, \rho)$. We state this as follows.

Corollary 4.6 *$(\partial^3 G, \rho_2), (\Gamma, \rho_1), (\Gamma, d)$ and $(\partial^3 G, \rho)$ are quasi-isometric to each other.*

4.2 Pattern rigidity

We prove the following Proposition for which G may be any one-ended hyperbolic group (not necessarily PD):

Proposition 4.7 *Let G be a one-ended hyperbolic group and H a codimension one, filling, quasiconvex subgroup. Then any pattern-preserving group Q of quasi-isometries is uniform.*

Proof Assume without loss of generality that $G \subset Q$. Since Q consists of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries, each element of Q induces a pattern-preserving homeomorphism of ∂G . Since any pattern-preserving homeomorphism of ∂G preserves $(\partial^3 G, \rho_2)$ on the nose, it follows from [Corollary 4.6](#) that Q is uniform. \square

Combining [Proposition 4.7](#) with [Theorem 3.9](#) we get the following Theorem. (Note that the only PD group that is not one-ended is \mathbb{Z} , in which case codimension one quasiconvex subgroups in our sense do not exist.)

Theorem 4.8 *Let G be a PD hyperbolic group and H a codimension one, filling, quasiconvex subgroup. Let Q be any pattern-preserving group of quasi-isometries containing G . Suppose d is a visual metric on ∂G with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G . Then the index of G in Q is finite.*

In fact more is true. Combining [Proposition 4.7](#) with [Corollary 4.6](#), we get:

Proposition 4.9 *Let G be a one-ended hyperbolic group and H a codimension one, filling, quasiconvex subgroup with limit set L . Let \mathcal{L} be the collection of translates of L under G . Then any pattern-preserving group Q of homeomorphisms of ∂G preserving \mathcal{L} can be realized as the boundary values of uniform quasi-isometries.*

Note that in [Proposition 4.9](#) we do not need G to be a PD group. Combining [Proposition 4.9](#) with [Theorem 3.9](#) we finally get:

Theorem 4.10 (Topological Pattern Rigidity) *Let G be a PD hyperbolic group and H a codimension one, filling, quasiconvex subgroup with limit set L . Let \mathcal{L} be the collection of translates of L under G . Suppose d is a visual metric on ∂G with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G . Let Q be any pattern-preserving group of homeomorphisms of ∂G preserving \mathcal{L} and containing G . Then the index of G in Q is finite.*

[Theorem 4.10](#) is a generalization of a Theorem of Casson and Bleiler [\[19\]](#) and Kapovich and Kleiner [\[39\]](#) to all dimensions. Casson and Bleiler [\[19\]](#) and Kapovich and Kleiner [\[39\]](#) proved [Theorem 4.10](#) for G the fundamental group of a surface and H an infinite cyclic subgroup corresponding to a filling curve.

5 Finite volume manifolds of negative curvature

Let $M = M^n$ be a complete finite volume noncompact manifold of pinched negative curvature (ie $-1 \leq \chi \leq -K$ for some $K \geq 1$, where χ denotes sectional curvature). Then \widetilde{M} is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n by the Cartan–Hadamard Theorem and its ideal boundary $\partial\widetilde{M}$ is homeomorphic to S^{n-1} . Further, by [Theorem 2.12](#) $X = \partial\widetilde{M}$ equipped with a visual metric has the structure of an Ahlfors regular metric measure space. In fact, if $-1 \leq \chi \leq -(1 + \epsilon)^2$, the Hausdorff dimension of the visual boundary is bounded above by $(n - 1)(1 + \epsilon)$ (see [Remark 6.1](#) below).

5.1 Symmetric patterns of horoballs

The manifold M has a finite number of cusps. Lifting these to \widetilde{M} we obtain an equivariant collection $c\mathcal{H}$ of horoballs. For convenience of exposition we assume that M has one cusp. We shall denote individual elements of $c\mathcal{H}$ by cH or cH_i . The boundary of the horoball cH is called a horosphere and is denoted as H . The collection of horospheres will be denoted by \mathcal{H} . Let $G = \pi_1(M)$ and let K denote the fundamental group of the cusp.

The collection $c\mathcal{H}$ will be called a symmetric pattern (of horoballs). It is a fact that elements of $c\mathcal{H}$ are uniformly quasiconvex (see Farb [\[26\]](#)) and that for any two distinct $cH_1, cH_2 \in c\mathcal{H}$, there is a coarsely well-defined “centroid”, ie the shortest geodesic joining $cH_1, cH_2 \in c\mathcal{H}$ is coarsely well-defined (any two such lie in a uniformly bounded neighborhood of each other [\[26\]](#)) and hence its mid-point (the centroid of cH_1, cH_2) is coarsely well-defined.

In [\[26\]](#), Farb proves that G is strongly hyperbolic relative to K . This is equivalent to the statement that \widetilde{M} is strongly hyperbolic relative to $c\mathcal{H}$. Equivalently, the “neutered space” $\widetilde{M} \setminus \bigcup_{cH \in c\mathcal{H}} \text{Int}(cH)$ is hyperbolic relative to the collection \mathcal{H} . We refer to [\[26\]](#) for background on relative hyperbolicity.

We now recast the relevant definitions and propositions of Sections 1 and 3 in the present context. Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_K, \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ denote respectively the Cayley graph of G , some translate of the Cayley (sub)graph of K and the collection of translates of Γ_K (assuming as usual that the finite generating set of K used in constructing Γ_K is contained in the finite generating set of G used in constructing Γ).

Definition 5.1 The group $\text{PP}(G, K)$ of pattern-preserving maps for a (strongly) relatively hyperbolic pair (G, K) as above is defined as the group of homeomorphisms of $X = \partial\widetilde{M}$ preserving (as a set) the collection of basepoints of $c\mathcal{H}$. The group $\text{PPQI}(G, K)$ of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries for such a (strongly) relatively

hyperbolic pair (G, K) is defined as the subgroup of $\text{PP}(G, K)$ consisting of homeomorphisms h of ∂G such that $h = \partial\phi$ for some quasi-isometry $\phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ that permutes the collection of horoballs $c\mathcal{H}$.

The following Theorem is a special case of a Theorem which we proved in [44] using the notion of *mutual coboundedness*.

Theorem 5.2 [44] *Let $M = M^n$ be a complete finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature and let $c\mathcal{H}$ denote the associated symmetric pattern of horoballs.*

There exist two elements cH_1, cH_2 of $c\mathcal{H}$ such that the following holds.

For any K, ϵ , there exists a C such that if $\phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is a pattern-preserving (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry with $\partial\phi(\partial cH_i) = \partial cH_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $d(\phi(1), 1) \leq C$.

Let $\bar{M} = \tilde{M} \cup \partial\tilde{M}$ denote the Gromov compactification of \tilde{M} and $\overline{c\mathcal{H}}$ denote the collection of compactified horoballs, ie horoballs with basepoints adjoined. Let d_c denote a metric giving the topology on \bar{M} . In this context Proposition 1.10 translates to the following (see [44] for instance).

Proposition 5.3 *The collection $\overline{c\mathcal{H}}$ is discrete in the Hausdorff topology on the space of closed subsets of \bar{M} , ie for all $cH \in c\mathcal{H}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(cH) \cap c\mathcal{H} = cH$, where $N_\epsilon(cH)$ denotes an ϵ neighborhood of cH in the Hausdorff metric arising from d_c .*

Let $Q \subset \text{PPQI}(G, K)$ be a group of quasi-isometries preserving a symmetric pattern of horoballs. Using Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we have as in Section 3 (cf Propositions 3.3, 3.5, 3.7, 3.8):

Proposition 5.4 *The group Q has no nontrivial topologically infinitely divisible elements. More generally, Q does not contain any nontrivial infinitely divisible subgroups. Hence Q is totally disconnected. Suppose further that $\partial\tilde{M}$ has a visual metric d with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial\tilde{M}, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M})) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M}))$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of $\partial(\tilde{M})$. If Q is compact, then Q is finite.*

Sketch of proof Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5 apply directly to show that Q does not have nontrivial topologically infinitely divisible elements.

Theorem 1.17 shows that Q does not have arbitrarily small torsion elements.

The rest of the argument is as in Proposition 3.8. The only point that needs to be mentioned is that $\partial^3 \tilde{M}$ minus a neighborhood of the cusps, rather than $\partial^3 \tilde{M}$ itself is quasi-isometric to the Cayley graph of $\pi_1(M)$. Since the quasi-isometries in Q preserve the horoballs they naturally induce homeomorphisms of $\partial^3 \tilde{M}$ preserving horoballs. Observation 1.5 therefore goes through with the above modification. \square

Now, let $Q_u \subset \text{PPQI}(G, K)$ be a group of uniform quasi-isometries preserving a symmetric pattern of horoballs. Then Q_u is locally compact (by Lemma 1.4) and contains a compact open subgroup K_0 . Suppose further that d is a visual metric on $\partial(\tilde{M})$ with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial(\tilde{M}), d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M})) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M}))$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of $\partial(\tilde{M})$. Then K_0 is finite by Proposition 5.4. Hence Q_u is discrete. Thus as in Theorem 3.9 we get the following.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $M = M^n$ be a complete finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature and let $c\mathcal{H}$ denote the associated symmetric pattern of horoballs. Suppose further that d is a visual metric on $\partial(\tilde{M})$ with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial(\tilde{M}), d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M})) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M}))$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of $\partial(\tilde{M})$. Let Q be a group of uniform quasi-isometries containing G preserving a symmetric pattern of horoballs. Then G is of finite index in Q . In particular, $Q \subset \text{Comm}(G)$, where $\text{Comm}(G)$ denotes the abstract commensurator of G .*

5.2 Weak QI rigidity for relatively hyperbolic groups

We shall be using the following Theorem of Behrstock, Druţu and Mosher (which follows from the proof of [1, Theorem 4.8]; see also Schwartz [56]) and Farb’s result [26] that the fundamental group of complete finite volume noncompact manifold of pinched negative curvature is strongly hyperbolic relative to the cusp groups.

Theorem 5.6 (Behrstock–Druţu–Mosher [1], Schwartz [56]) *Let $M = M^n$ be a complete finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature with $n > 2$ (and one cusp for ease of exposition). Let $G = \pi_1(M)$. Let K denote the fundamental group of the cusp. Choose a finite generating set for G containing a finite generating set for K . Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_K, \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ denote respectively the Cayley graph of G , some translate of the Cayley (sub)graph of K and the collection of translates of Γ_K . Then for every $L \geq 1$ and $C \geq 0$ there exists $R = R(L, C, G, K)$ such that the following holds.*

For any (L, C) –(self-)quasi-isometry q of G , the image $q(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}})$ is at a bounded Hausdorff distance R of some $g\Gamma_K \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Let q be a (self-)quasi-isometry of Γ . Elements of the collection $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ are mapped bijectively to bounded neighborhoods of elements of collection $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$. Identifying Γ with the neutered space $(\tilde{M} \setminus \bigcup_{cH \in c\mathcal{H}} \text{Int}(cH))$ we can extend q (cf [56]) to a (self-)quasi-isometry q^h of \tilde{M} where the elements of $c\mathcal{H}$ are bijectively mapped to uniformly bounded neighborhoods of elements of $c\mathcal{H}$. Each element of $c\mathcal{H}$ has a unique limit point in $\partial\tilde{M}$ which we shall call its basepoint. Let ∂q denote the induced map of $\partial(\tilde{M})$ and $\partial\mathcal{H}$ denote the collection of basepoints of $c\mathcal{H}$ in $\partial\tilde{M}$. Thus a simple consequence of [Theorem 5.6](#) is the following.

Corollary 5.7 *Let $M = M^n$ be a complete finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature with $n > 2$ (and one cusp for ease of exposition). Let $G = \pi_1(M)$. Let K denote the fundamental group of the cusp. Let $c\mathcal{H}$ be the associated symmetric pattern of horoballs in \tilde{M} . Choose a finite generating set for G containing a finite generating set for K . Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_K, \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ denote respectively the Cayley graph of G , some translate of the Cayley (sub)graph of K and the collection of translates of Γ_K . Identify Γ (coarsely) with the neutered space $(\tilde{M} \setminus \bigcup_{cH \in c\mathcal{H}} \text{Int}(cH))$.*

Then for every $L \geq 1$ and $C \geq 0$ there exist $L_1 \geq 1, C_1 \geq 0$ and $R = R(L, C, G, K)$ such that the following holds.

For any (L, C) -(self-)quasi-isometry q of Γ (or equivalently, $(\tilde{M} \setminus \bigcup_{cH \in c\mathcal{H}} \text{Int}(cH))$), there is an (L_1, C_1) -(self-)quasi-isometry q^h of \tilde{M} such that the image $q^h(\Gamma_K)$ is at a bounded Hausdorff distance R of some $g\Gamma_K \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence q induces a homeomorphism ∂q of $\partial(\tilde{M})$ preserving the basepoints of $c\mathcal{H}$.

Combining [Corollary 5.7](#) with [Theorem 5.5](#) we get the following.

Theorem 5.8 *Let $M = M^n$ be a complete finite volume manifold of pinched negative curvature with $n > 2$. Let $G = \pi_1(M)$. Suppose that there exists a visual metric d on $\partial(\tilde{M})$ with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial(\tilde{M}), d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M})) < \dim_t + 2$, where $\text{ACD}(\partial(\tilde{M}))$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of $\partial(\tilde{M})$.*

Let Γ be a Cayley graph of G with respect to a finite generating set. Let Q be a group of uniform quasi-isometries of Γ containing G . Then G is of finite index in Q . In particular, $Q \subset \text{Comm}(G)$, where $\text{Comm}(G)$ denotes the abstract commensurator of G .

6 Examples and consequences

6.1 Examples

In this subsection we list a collection of examples of hyperbolic PD(n) groups.

Rank one symmetric spaces Uniform lattices in rank one symmetric spaces are examples of hyperbolic PD(n) groups. For lattices in real hyperbolic space \mathbf{H}^n the usual visual metric has Hausdorff dimension \dim_{haus} equal to topological dimension \dim_t . For lattices in complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{H}^n$ the usual visual metric has $\dim_{\text{haus}} = \dim_t + 1$. Lattices in quaternionic hyperbolic space and the Cayley hyperbolic plane cannot have codimension one subgroups; so [Theorem 3.9](#) cannot apply. But these spaces are QI-rigid by a deep Theorem of Pansu [\[49\]](#).

Gromov–Thurston examples Gromov and Thurston [\[33\]](#) construct examples of closed negatively curved n -manifolds ($n > 3$) of *arbitrarily* pinched negative curvature, which do not admit metrics of constant negative curvature.

Remark 6.1 For these examples the inequality $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$ is satisfied. This is because of the following. If $-1 \leq \chi \leq -(1 + \epsilon)^2$, the rate of divergence of geodesics is bounded by $O(e^{(1+\epsilon)R})$. Hence volumes of R -balls is bounded by $O(e^{(n-1)(1+\epsilon)R})$. Therefore the Hausdorff dimension of the visual boundary is bounded above by $(n - 1)(1 + \epsilon)$ (see [\[61, Theorem 1\]](#)).

Mostow–Siu examples Mostow and Siu [\[47\]](#) constructed an infinite family of complex surfaces that admit negatively curved Kahler metrics but do not admit a complex hyperbolic structure. Fundamental groups of these provide further examples of hyperbolic PD(n) groups.

Davis–Januskiewicz examples A remarkable set of examples is constructed by Davis and Januskiewicz [\[24\]](#), who show that there exist hyperbolic PD(n) groups G for $n \geq 4$, such that the boundary ∂G is not homeomorphic to the sphere S^{n-1} . ∂G need not be simply connected or locally simply connected and hence is not even an Absolute Neighborhood Retract.

6.2 Quasi-isometric rigidity

Let \mathcal{A} be a graph of groups with Bass–Serre tree of spaces $X \rightarrow T$. Let $A = \pi_1 \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{VE}(T)$ be the set of vertices and edges of T . The metric on T induces a metric

on $\mathcal{VE}(T)$, via a natural injection $\mathcal{VE}(T) \rightarrow T$ which takes each vertex to itself and each edge to its midpoint. Let d_H denote Hausdorff distance.

We refer the reader to Mosher, Sageev and Whyte [46] specifically for the following notions:

- (1) depth zero raft
- (2) crossing graph condition
- (3) coarse finite type and coarse dimension
- (4) finite depth

Combining Theorems 1.5, 1.6 of Mosher, Sageev and Whyte [46] with the Pattern Rigidity Theorem 4.8 we have the following QI-rigidity Theorem along the lines of Theorem 7.1 of [46].

Theorem 6.2 *Let \mathcal{A} be a finite, irreducible graph of groups such that for the associated Bass–Serre tree T of spaces,*

- (a) *the vertex groups are PD(n) hyperbolic groups for some fixed n ,*
- (b) *edge groups are filling codimension one in the adjacent vertex groups,*

and such that \mathcal{A} is of finite depth. Further suppose that each vertex group G admits a visual metric d on ∂G with $\dim_{\text{haus}} < \dim_t + 2$, where \dim_{haus} is the Hausdorff dimension and \dim_t is the topological dimension of $(\partial G, d)$. Equivalently suppose that $\text{ACD}(\partial G) < \dim_t + 2$ where $\text{ACD}(\partial G)$ denotes the Ahlfors regular conformal dimension of ∂G .

If H is a finitely generated group quasi-isometric to $A = \pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ then H splits as a graph \mathcal{A}' of groups whose depth zero vertex groups are commensurable to the depth zero vertex groups of \mathcal{A} and whose edge groups and positive depth vertex groups are quasi-isometric to groups of type (b).

Proof By the restrictions on the vertex and edge groups, it automatically follows that all vertex and edge groups are PD groups of coarse finite type. Since the edge groups are filling, the crossing graph condition of Theorems 1.5, 1.6 of [46] is satisfied. \mathcal{A} is automatically finite depth, because an infinite index subgroup of a PD(n) groups has coarse dimension at most $n - 1$.

Then by [46, Theorems 1.5 and 1.6], H splits as a graph of groups \mathcal{A}' with depth zero vertex spaces quasi-isometric to the vertex groups of \mathcal{A} and edge groups quasi-isometric to the edge groups of \mathcal{A} . Further, the quasi-isometry respects the vertex and edge

spaces of this splitting, and thus the quasi-actions of the vertex groups on the vertex spaces of \mathcal{A} preserve the patterns of edge spaces.

By [Theorem 4.8](#) the depth zero vertex groups in \mathcal{A}' are commensurable to the corresponding groups in \mathcal{A} . □

6.3 The permutation topology

In this paper we have ruled out three kinds of elements from the group $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries under appropriate hypotheses on G :

- (a) elements that admit arbitrarily small roots (topologically divisible elements)
- (b) arbitrarily small torsion elements (essentially [Theorem 1.17](#))
- (c) elements with arbitrarily large powers close to the identity (no copies of the p -adics)

In a sense (a) and (c) are phenomena that are opposite to each other. In hindsight, the previous works on pattern rigidity [[57](#); [7](#); [6](#)] exploited (a) in the context of an ambient Lie group which automatically rules out (b) and (c).

We have used the fact that the group is pattern-preserving in a rather weak sense, only to conclude that the group we are interested in is totally disconnected. In fact [Theorem 3.9](#) generalizes readily to show that a locally compact totally disconnected group of quasi-isometries containing G must be a finite extension of G under appropriate hypotheses on G . The crucial hypothesis is local compactness on $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ which can be removed under hypotheses on H as in [Theorem 4.8](#). We would like to remove the hypothesis of local compactness in more general situations.

[Remark 3.6](#) gives a reasonably explicit structure of K for K a compact group of pattern-preserving quasi-isometries. K acts on the discrete set \mathcal{L} of patterns with finite orbits $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots$ and hence $K \subset \prod_i S(\mathcal{L}_i)$, where $S(\mathcal{L}_i)$ denotes the symmetric group on the finite set \mathcal{L}_i and \prod denotes direct product.

To establish [Theorem 3.9](#) without the hypothesis of local compactness, two crucial problems remain:

Problem 1 A topological converse to [Remark 3.6](#) which would say that a group $K \subset \prod_i S(\mathcal{L}_i)$ acting with finite orbits on \mathcal{L} must be compact in the *uniform* topology on ∂G .

As an approach to this, we propose an *alternate topology* on $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ and call it the *permutation topology*. Enumerate $\mathcal{L} = L_1, L_2, \dots$. Since the representation of $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ in the symmetric group of permutations $S(\mathcal{L})$ is faithful, we declare that a system of open neighborhoods of the origin in $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ is given by the set U_N of elements of $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ fixing $L_i, i = 1, \dots, N$. Now consider an element $\phi \in \text{PPQI}(G, H)$ acting with finite orbits on \mathcal{L} . Then the (closed) monothetic subgroup $\langle \phi \rangle$ generated by ϕ is locally compact and by [Corollary 2.25](#), under certain hypotheses, it cannot contain the p -adics. Hence it must have *arbitrarily small torsion elements*. We cannot apply [Theorem 1.17](#) right away. To be able to apply [Theorem 1.17](#), we need to show the following:

For ϵ as in [Theorem 1.17](#), there exists N such that for all k , if ϕ^k stabilizes each $L_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, then each orbit of ϕ^k has diameter less than ϵ .

Thus a weak enough statement ensuring a comparison of the *permutation topology* with the *uniform topology* is necessary. The coarse barycenter construction of [\[44\]](#) might be helpful here to construct quasi-isometries coarsely fixing large balls and providing a starting point for the problem.

Problem 2 A more important and more difficult problem is to rule out elements of $\text{PPQI}(G, H)$ which fix finitely many elements of \mathcal{L} (and hence coarsely fix the origin in G by [Theorem 1.8](#)) but act with at least one unbounded orbit on \mathcal{L} . We would have to show the following:

There exists N such that if $\phi \in \text{PPQI}(G, H)$ stabilizes each $L_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, then each orbit of ϕ is finite.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Mladen Bestvina, Kingshook Biswas, Marc Bourdon, Emmanuel Breuillard and George Willis for helpful conversations and correspondence. Special thanks are due to Misha Gromov for an extremely instructive conversation during which he told me about [Scholium 1.2](#).

This work was done in part while the author was visiting Caroline Series at the Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick and the Universite Paris-Sud, Orsay under the Indo-French ARCUS programme. I thank these institutions for their hospitality.

This research was partly supported by CEFIPRA research project grant 4301-1.

References

- [1] J Behrstock, C Druţu, L Mosher, *Thick metric spaces, relative hyperbolicity, and quasi-isometric rigidity*, Math. Ann. 344 (2009) 543–595 [MR2501302](#)

- [2] **N Bergeron, D Wise**, *A boundary criterion for cubulation* [arXiv:0908.3609](#)
- [3] **M Bestvina**, *Local homology properties of boundaries of groups*, Michigan Math. J. 43 (1996) 123–139 [MR1381603](#)
- [4] **M Bestvina, G Mess**, *The boundary of negatively curved groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991) 469–481 [MR1096169](#)
- [5] **C J Bishop, P W Jones**, *Hausdorff dimension and Kleinian groups*, Acta Math. 179 (1997) 1–39 [MR1484767](#)
- [6] **K Biswas**, *Flows, fixed points and rigidity in Kleinian groups*, to appear in Geom. Funct. Anal. [arXiv:0903.2419](#)
- [7] **K Biswas, M Mj**, *Pattern rigidity in hyperbolic spaces: duality and PD subgroups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 6 (2012) 97–123
- [8] **M Bonk, B Kleiner**, *Quasisymmetric parametrizations of two-dimensional metric spheres*, Invent. Math. 150 (2002) 127–183 [MR1930885](#)
- [9] **M Bonk, O Schramm**, *Embeddings of Gromov hyperbolic spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10 (2000) 266–306 [MR1771428](#)
- [10] **M Bourdon, H Pajot**, *Cohomologie l_p et espaces de Besov*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 558 (2003) 85–108 [MR1979183](#)
- [11] **B H Bowditch**, *Cut points and canonical splittings of hyperbolic groups*, Acta Math. 180 (1998) 145–186 [MR1638764](#)
- [12] **B H Bowditch**, *A topological characterisation of hyperbolic groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998) 643–667 [MR1602069](#)
- [13] **G E Bredon**, *Orientation in generalized manifolds and applications to the theory of transformation groups*, Michigan Math. J. 7 (1960) 35–64 [MR0116342](#)
- [14] **G E Bredon**, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure and Applied Math. 46, Academic Press, New York (1972) [MR0413144](#)
- [15] **G E Bredon**, *Sheaf theory*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Math. 170, Springer, New York (1997) [MR1481706](#)
- [16] **M R Bridson, A Haefliger**, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundle. Math. Wissen. 319, Springer, Berlin (1999) [MR1744486](#)
- [17] **K S Brown**, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Math. 87, Springer, New York (1994) [MR1324339](#)
- [18] **S Buyalo, V Schroeder**, *Elements of asymptotic geometry*, EMS Monogr. in Math., European Math. Soc. (2007) [MR2327160](#)
- [19] **A J Casson, S A Bleiler**, *Automorphisms of surfaces after Nielsen and Thurston*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 9, Cambridge Univ. Press (1988) [MR964685](#)

- [20] **RR Coifman, G Weiss**, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes: Étude de certaines intégrales singulières*, Lecture Notes in Math. 42, Springer, Berlin (1971) [MR0499948](#)
- [21] **P E Conner, E E Floyd**, *A characterization of generalized manifolds*, Michigan Math. J. 6 (1959) 33–43 [MR0102818](#)
- [22] **M Coornaert**, *Mesures de Patterson–Sullivan sur le bord d’un espace hyperbolique au sens de Gromov*, Pacific J. Math. 159 (1993) 241–270 [MR1214072](#)
- [23] **M W Davis**, *Poincaré duality groups*, from: “Surveys on surgery theory, Vol. 1”, (S Cappell, A Ranicki, J Rosenberg, editors), Ann. of Math. Stud. 145, Princeton Univ. Press (2000) 167–193 [MR1747535](#)
- [24] **M W Davis, T Januszkiewicz**, *Hyperbolization of polyhedra*, J. Differential Geom. 34 (1991) 347–388 [MR1131435](#)
- [25] **K J Falconer**, *Dimensions and measures of quasi self-similar sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1989) 543–554 [MR969315](#)
- [26] **B Farb**, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 8 (1998) 810–840 [MR1650094](#)
- [27] **É Ghys, P de la Harpe** (editors), *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d’après Mikhael Gromov*, Progress in Math. 83, Birkhäuser, Boston (1990) [MR1086648](#) Papers from the Swiss Seminar on Hyperbolic Groups held in Bern, 1988
- [28] **R Gitik, M Mitra, E Rips, M Sageev**, *Widths of subgroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998) 321–329 [MR1389776](#)
- [29] **A M Gleason**, *Groups without small subgroups*, Ann. of Math. 56 (1952) 193–212 [MR0049203](#)
- [30] **M Gromov**, *Hyperbolic groups*, from: “Essays in group theory”, (S M Gersten, editor), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 8, Springer, New York (1987) 75–263 [MR919829](#)
- [31] **M Gromov**, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, from: “Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)”, (G A Niblo, M A Roller, editors), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press (1993) 1–295 [MR1253544](#)
- [32] **M Gromov**, personal communication (2009)
- [33] **M Gromov, W Thurston**, *Pinching constants for hyperbolic manifolds*, Invent. Math. 89 (1987) 1–12 [MR892185](#)
- [34] **A Hatcher**, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2002) [MR1867354](#)
- [35] **J Heinonen**, *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces*, Universitext, Springer, New York (2001) [MR1800917](#)
- [36] **E Hewitt, K A Ross**, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I: Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Grundlehr. Mathl. Wissen. 115, Academic Press, New York (1963) [MR0156915](#)

- [37] **J G Hocking, G S Young**, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA-London (1961) [MR0125557](#)
- [38] **T Iwaniec, G Martin**, *Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis*, Oxford Math. Monogr., The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York (2001) [MR1859913](#)
- [39] **M Kapovich, B Kleiner**, *Hyperbolic groups with low-dimensional boundary*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 33 (2000) 647–669 [MR1834498](#)
- [40] **S Lefschetz**, *Topics in Topology*, Annals of Math. Studies 10, Princeton Univ. Press (1942) [MR0007094](#)
- [41] **J Luukkainen, E Saksman**, *Every complete doubling metric space carries a doubling measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998) 531–534 [MR1443161](#)
- [42] **G J Martin**, *The Hilbert–Smith conjecture for quasiconformal actions*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1999) 66–70 [MR1694197](#)
- [43] **J Milnor**, *On the Steenrod homology theory*, from: “Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity, Vol. 1 (Oberwolfach, 1993)”, (S C Ferry, A Ranicki, J Rosenberg, editors), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 226, Cambridge Univ. Press (1995) 79–96 [MR1388297](#)
- [44] **M Mj**, *Relative rigidity, quasiconvexity and C -complexes*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 8 (2008) 1691–1716 [MR2448868](#)
- [45] **D Montgomery, L Zippin**, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience, New York-London (1955) [MR0073104](#)
- [46] **L Mosher, M Sageev, K Whyte**, *Quasi-actions on trees II: Finite depth Bass–Serre trees*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 214 (2011) vi+105 [MR2867450](#)
- [47] **G D Mostow, Y T Siu**, *A compact Kähler surface of negative curvature not covered by the ball*, Ann. of Math. 112 (1980) 321–360 [MR592294](#)
- [48] **M H A Newman**, *A theorem on periodic transformation of spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 2 (1931) 1–8
- [49] **P Pansu**, *Métriques de Carnot–Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un*, Ann. of Math. 129 (1989) 1–60 [MR979599](#)
- [50] **F Paulin**, *Un groupe hyperbolique est déterminé par son bord*, J. London Math. Soc. 54 (1996) 50–74 [MR1395067](#)
- [51] **F Paulin**, *On the critical exponent of a discrete group of hyperbolic isometries*, Differential Geom. Appl. 7 (1997) 231–236 [MR1480536](#)
- [52] **F Raymond**, *RL Wilder’s work on generalized manifolds—an appreciation*, from: “Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos., Univ. California, Santa Barbara, CA, 1977)”, (K C Millett, editor), Lecture Notes in Math. 664, Springer, Berlin (1978) 7–32 [MR518402](#)

- [53] **F Raymond, R F Williams**, *Examples of p -adic transformation groups*, Ann. of Math. 78 (1963) 92–106 [MR0150769](#)
- [54] **D Repovš, E Ščepin**, *A proof of the Hilbert–Smith conjecture for actions by Lipschitz maps*, Math. Ann. 308 (1997) 361–364 [MR1464908](#)
- [55] **M Sageev**, *Ends of group pairs and non-positively curved cube complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 71 (1995) 585–617 [MR1347406](#)
- [56] **R E Schwartz**, *The quasi-isometry classification of rank one lattices*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1995) 133–168 [MR1383215](#)
- [57] **R E Schwartz**, *Symmetric patterns of geodesics and automorphisms of surface groups*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997) 177–199 [MR1437498](#)
- [58] **P Scott, G A Swarup**, *Regular neighbourhoods and canonical decompositions for groups*, Astérisque 289, Soc. Math. France (2003) [MR2032389](#)
- [59] **P A Smith**, *Transformations of finite period. III: Newman’s theorem*, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941) 446–458 [MR0004128](#)
- [60] **D Sullivan**, *The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1979) 171–202 [MR556586](#)
- [61] **D Sullivan**, *Entropy, Hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups*, Acta Math. 153 (1984) 259–277 [MR766265](#)
- [62] **G A Swarup**, *On the cut point conjecture*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1996) 98–100 [MR1412948](#)
- [63] **E L Swenson**, *On Axiom H*, Michigan Math. J. 46 (1999) 3–11 [MR1682883](#)
- [64] **J Väisälä**, *Gromov hyperbolic spaces*, Expo. Math. 23 (2005) 187–231 [MR2164775](#)
- [65] **H Yamabe**, *On the conjecture of Iwasawa and Gleason*, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953) 48–54 [MR0054613](#)
- [66] **C-T Yang**, *Transformation groups on a homological manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1958) 261–283 [MR0100271](#)
- [67] **C-T Yang**, *p -adic transformation groups*, Michigan Math. J. 7 (1960) 201–218 [MR0120310](#)

School of Mathematical Sciences, RKM Vivekananda University
PO Belur Math, Dt Howrah WB-711202, India

mahan@rkmvu.ac.in

<http://maths.rkmvu.ac.in/~mahan/>

Proposed: Benson Farb

Seconded: David Gabai, Ronald Fintushel

Received: 9 January 2010

Revised: 24 March 2012