

# Some results on the size of sum and product sets of finite sets of real numbers

Derrick Hart and Alexander Niziolek

(Communicated by Andrew Granville)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite subsets of positive real numbers. Solymosi gave the sum-product estimate  $\max(|A + A|, |A \cdot A|) \geq (4 \lceil \log |A| \rceil)^{-1/3} |A|^{4/3}$ , where  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  is the ceiling function. We use a variant of his argument to give the bound

$$\max(|A + B|, |A \cdot B|) \geq (4 \lceil \log |A| \rceil \lceil \log |B| \rceil)^{-1/3} |A|^{2/3} |B|^{2/3}.$$

(This isn't quite a generalization since the logarithmic losses are worse here than in Solymosi's bound.)

Suppose that  $A$  is a finite subset of real numbers. We show that there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $|aA + A| \geq c|A|^{4/3}$  for some absolute constant  $c$ .

## 1. Introduction

Given finite subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of an additive group, the *sum set* of  $A$  and  $B$  is

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Similarly, define the *product set* by

$$A \cdot B = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

If  $M$  and  $N$  are numbers (depending on  $A$  and  $B$ ) we write  $M \gtrsim N$  to mean that  $M \geq cN$  for some constant  $c > 0$  (independent of  $A$  and  $B$ ). We write  $M \approx N$  to mean that  $cN \leq M \leq c'N$  for  $c, c' > 0$ .

Suppose that  $A = B$  is an arithmetic progression. Then

$$|A + A| \lesssim |A| \quad \text{and} \quad |A \cdot A| \gtrsim |A|^{2-\delta},$$

where here and throughout  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  as  $|A| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the size of the set. In contrast, if  $A = B$  is a geometric progression then

$$|A + A| \gtrsim |A|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |A \cdot A| \lesssim |A|.$$

---

MSC2000: 11B13, 11B75.

Keywords: sum-product estimate, multiplicative energy, Solymosi bound.

These examples led Erdős and Szemerédi [1983] to ask whether both the product set and sum set can be small at the same time. They conjectured that it is not possible in the following sense.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$\max(|A + A|, |A \cdot A|) \geq |A|^{2-\delta}.$$

They showed that

$$\max(|A + A|, |A \cdot A|) \geq |A|^{1+\varepsilon},$$

for a positive  $\varepsilon$ .

The explicit bound  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{31}$  was given by Nathanson [1997], and  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{15}$  by Ford [1998]. A breakthrough was achieved by Elekes [1997], who connected the problem to incidence geometry and applied the Szemerédi–Trotter incidence theorem to obtain  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . This was improved by Solymosi [2005] to  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{3}{14} - \delta$ . These bounds hold in the more general context of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Recently, by a short and ingenious argument it was shown by Solymosi [2009] that  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{3} - \delta$ . In Section 3 we mimic Solymosi’s argument with a few changes to give an analogous estimate for sums and products of different sets.

Given the strong relationship between sums and products one may ask a related question: how large is the set  $A \cdot B + C$  guaranteed to be? Elekes (see [Alon and Spencer 2000]) showed that  $|A \cdot B + C| \gtrsim \sqrt{|A| |B| |C|}$  with certain size restrictions on the three sets. His argument relied on the aforementioned Szemerédi–Trotter incidence theorem and is short enough to present in the next few lines.

Let  $P$  be a set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $L$  a set of lines. We say a point  $p \in P$  is incident to a line  $l \in L$  if  $p$  lies on  $l$ . In this case, we denote this incidence by  $(p, l) \in P \times L$ .

**Theorem 2 [Szemerédi and Trotter 1983].** *Let  $I_{P,L}$  denote the number of incidences between  $P$  and  $L$ . Then bound*

$$I_{P,L} \lesssim |P|^{2/3} |L|^{2/3} + |P| + |L|.$$

Let  $L = \{y = ax + c : a \in A, c \in C\}$  and  $P = B \times A \cdot B + C$ . Clearly, given any  $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ , the point  $(b, ab + c)$  is incident to the line  $y = ax + c$ . Therefore, by Szemerédi–Trotter,  $|A| |B| |C| \lesssim |A|^{2/3} |B|^{2/3} |C|^{2/3} |A \cdot B + C|^{2/3}$ .

In the context of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the finite field containing  $q$  elements, similar questions have been explored as well. Bourgain [2005] showed that for  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $|A| \gtrsim q^{3/4}$ , one has  $A \cdot A + A \cdot A + A \cdot A = \mathbb{F}_q$ ; in particular, if  $|A| \approx q^{3/4}$ , then  $|A \cdot A + A \cdot A + A \cdot A| \gtrsim |A|^{4/3}$ . In [Hart and Iosevich 2008] it was shown that if  $|A| \gtrsim q^{3/4}$ , then  $A \cdot A + A \cdot A = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ; in particular, if  $|A| \approx q^{3/4}$ , then  $|A \cdot A + A \cdot A| \gtrsim |A|^{4/3}$ . Due to the misbehavior of the zero element, it is not possible to guarantee that  $A \cdot A + A \cdot A = \mathbb{F}_q$  unless  $A$  is a positive proportion of

the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Under the weaker conclusion that  $|A \cdot A + A \cdot A| \gtrsim q$  it is shown in the same paper that one may take  $|A| \gtrsim q^{2/3}$ . Shparlinski [2008] applied multiplicative character sums to show that if  $|A| \gtrsim q^{2/3}$ , then  $|A \cdot A + A| \gtrsim q$ , implying that if  $|A| \approx q^{2/3}$ , then  $|A \cdot A + A| \gtrsim |A|^{3/2}$ .

**Theorem 3** [Chapman et al. 2009, Theorem 2.10]. *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Then*

$$|A|^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} |aA + A| \gtrsim \min(q, |A|^3 q^{-1}).$$

*In particular, if  $|A| \approx q^{2/3}$ , there exists a subset  $A'$  of  $A$  with  $|A'| \gtrsim |A|$  such that*

$$|aA + A| \gtrsim |A|^{3/2} \approx q,$$

*for all  $a \in A'$ .*

It is natural to ask whether a similar statement holds in the case that  $A$  is a finite subset of the real numbers. We show that this is in fact the case in Section 4.

### 2. Statement of results

Define the multiplicative energy of the finite subsets  $A, B, C, D$  of real numbers by

$$E(A, B, C, D) = |\{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in A \times B \times C \times D : x_1 y_2 = x_2 y_1\}|.$$

For  $A, B$  finite subsets of positive real numbers with  $|A| \leq |B|$ , the argument of [Solymosi 2009] gives the bound

$$E(A, B, A, B) \leq 4 \lceil \log |A| \rceil |A + A| |B + B|. \tag{2-1}$$

A short Cauchy–Schwarz argument gives that  $E(A, B, A, B) \geq |A|^2 |B|^2 / |A \cdot B|$ , which in turn gives the sum-product inequality

$$|A|^2 |B|^2 \leq 4 \lceil \log |A| \rceil |A + A| |B + B| |A \cdot B|. \tag{2-2}$$

In the case that  $A = B$ , this immediately implies the Solymosi sum-product bound discussed in the introduction:

$$\max(|A + A|, |A \cdot A|) \geq (4 \lceil \log |A| \rceil)^{-1/3} |A|^{4/3}. \tag{2-3}$$

We will use a slight variant of the argument of Solymosi to give a different bound on the multiplicative energy:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $A, B, C, D$  be finite subsets of positive real numbers. Then*

$$E(A, B, C, D) \leq 4 \lceil \log(\min(|A|, |C|)) \rceil \lceil \log(\min(|B|, |D|)) \rceil |A + B| |C + D|.$$

(Notice that the logarithmic loss is worse than what was obtained by Solymosi.)

Using the fact that  $E(A, B, A, B) \geq |A|^2|B|^2/|A \cdot B|$ , we obtain the following sum-product estimate.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $A, B$  be finite subsets of positive real numbers. Then*

$$\max(|A + B|, |A \cdot B|) \geq (4\lceil \log |A| \rceil \lceil \log |B| \rceil)^{-1/3} |A|^{2/3} |B|^{2/3}. \tag{2-4}$$

One may compare this to the result of applying Plünnecke’s inequality to (2-2):

$$\max(|A + B|, |A \cdot B|) \geq (4\lceil \log |A| \rceil)^{-1/5} |A|^{3/5} |B|^{3/5}. \tag{2-5}$$

We will also show this:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $|B|^{1/2} |C|^{-1/2} \lesssim |A| \lesssim |B|^2 |C|$ . Then*

$$|A|^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} |aB + C| \gtrsim |A|^{1/3} |B|^{1/3} |C|^{2/3}. \tag{2-6}$$

*In particular, there exists an  $a \in A$  such that*

$$|aB + C| \gtrsim |A|^{1/3} |B|^{1/3} |C|^{2/3}. \tag{2-7}$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 4

We begin by writing

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, B, C, D) &= \sum_{x_1 y_2 = x_2 y_1} A(x_1) B(x_2) C(y_1) D(y_2) \\ &= \sum_{t \neq 0} \sum_{\substack{x_1 = t x_2 \\ y_1 = t y_2}} (A \times C)(x_1, y_1) (B \times D)(x_2, y_2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(\cdot)$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $A$  and  $\times$  denotes the Cartesian product. Summing in  $t$  we have

$$E(A, B, C, D) = \sum_{y \in (B \times D)} |(A \times C) \cap l_{m_y}|,$$

where  $l_{m_y}$  is the line through the origin and the point  $y$  with slope  $m_y$ . Each  $y \in (B \times D)$  lies on some line  $l_{m_y}$  with  $m_y \in D \cdot B^{-1} = \{db^{-1} : d \in D, b \in B\}$ . Since the quantity  $|(A \times C) \cap l_{m_y}|$  is constant and nonzero for each  $y$  on  $l_{m_y}$  with slope  $m_y$  in  $C \cdot A^{-1}$ , we have

$$E(A, B, C, D) = \sum_{m \in M} |(A \times C) \cap l_m| |(B \times D) \cap l_m|,$$

where  $M = C \cdot A^{-1} \cap D \cdot B^{-1}$ . We then take a dyadic decomposition

$$E(A, B, C, D) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq \lceil \log(\min(|A|, |C|)) \rceil \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lceil \log(\min(|B|, |D|)) \rceil}} \sum_{m \in M_{i,j}} |(A \times C) \cap l_m| |(B \times D) \cap l_m|,$$

where  $M_{i,j} = \{m \in M : 2^i \leq |(A \times C) \cap l_m| < 2^{i+1}, 2^j \leq |(B \times D) \cap l_m| < 2^{j+1}\}$ . Therefore, for some  $i'$  and  $j'$ ,

$$\frac{E(A, B, C, D)}{\lceil \log(\min(|A|, |C|)) \rceil \lceil \log(\min(|B|, |D|)) \rceil} \leq \sum_{m \in M_{i',j'}} |(A \times C) \cap l_m| |(B \times D) \cap l_m|.$$

Set  $n = |M_{i',j'}|$  and order the elements of  $M_{i',j'}$ :  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n$ . This gives

$$\frac{E(A, B, C, D)}{\lceil \log(\min(|A|, |C|)) \rceil \lceil \log(\min(|B|, |D|)) \rceil} \leq 4n2^{i'+j'}.$$

Given that  $|(A \times C) \cap l_{m_l} + (B \times D) \cap l_{m_{l+1}}| = |(A \times C) \cap l_{m_l}| |(B \times D) \cap l_{m_{l+1}}|$ , noting that any two sum sets  $(A \times C) \cap l_{m_l} + (B \times D) \cap l_{m_{l+1}}$  and  $(A \times C) \cap l_{m_k} + (B \times D) \cap l_{m_{k+1}}$  are disjoint for any  $l \neq k$  gives

$$n2^{i'+j'} \leq \left| \bigcup_{l=1}^n ((A \times C) \cap l_{m_l} + (B \times D) \cap l_{m_{l+1}}) \right| \leq |A + B| |C + D|.$$

Here, in an abuse of notation,  $(B \times D) \cap l_{m_{n+1}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $(B \times D) \cap l_{m_n}$  onto the vertical line running through the minimal element of  $B$ . We may without loss of generality assume that the minimal element of  $B$  is also the minimal element of  $A \cup B$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 6

We will need a lemma, whose proof we will delay until the end of the section.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $|B|^{1/2} |C|^{-1/2} \lesssim |A| \lesssim |B|^2 |C|$ . Then*

$$|\{(a, b, c, d, e) \in A \times B \times C \times B \times C : ab + c = ad + e\}| \lesssim |A|^{2/3} |B|^{5/3} |C|^{4/3}.$$

With this lemma in hand one may then apply the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |A| |B|^2 |C|^2 &= |A|^{-1} \left( \sum_{\substack{t \in aB+C \\ a \in A}} \sum_{ab+c=t} B(b)C(c) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( |A|^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} |aB + C| \right) \sum_{\substack{t \in aB+C \\ a \in A}} \left( \sum_{ab+c=t} B(b)C(c) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\sum_{\substack{t \in aB+C \\ a \in A}} \left( \sum_{ab+c=t} B(b)C(c) \right)^2 = \left| \{(a, b, c, d, e) \in A \times B \times C \times B \times C : ab + c = ad + e\} \right|$$

completes the proof of [Theorem 6](#).

*Proof of [Lemma 7](#).* We will apply the Szemerédi–Trotter incidence theorem. For a fixed  $b \in B$ , consider the set of lines  $L_b = \{y = (b - d)x + c : c \in C, d \in B\}$ . Also consider the set of points  $P = \{(a, e) \in (A \times C)\}$ . Then  $|\{(a, b, c, d, e) \in A \times B \times C \times B \times C : ab + c = ad + e\}| \leq |B| \max_{b \in B} I_{P, L_b}$ . Noting that  $|L_b| = |B| |C|$  and  $|P| = |A| |C|$  and applying the Szemerédi–Trotter theorem gives

$$\left| \{(a, b, c, d, e) \in A \times B \times C \times B \times C : ab + c = ad + e\} \right| \lesssim |A|^{2/3} |B|^{5/3} |C|^{4/3},$$

as long as  $|B|^{1/2} |C|^{-1/2} \lesssim |A| \lesssim |B|^2 |C|$ .  $\square$

## 5. Remarks

The argument of Elekes [[1997](#)] actually gives a more general bound for finite subsets  $A, B, C$  of positive real numbers:

$$\max(|A + B|, |A \cdot C|) \gtrsim |A|^{3/4} |B|^{1/4} |C|^{1/4}.$$

A direct application of Plünnecke’s inequality [[Tao and Vu 2006](#), Corollary 6.26] to (2-3) gives

$$\max(|A + B|, |A \cdot C|) \geq (4 \lceil \log |A| \rceil)^{-1/6} |A|^{2/3} |B|^{1/3} |C|^{1/6}.$$

This bound is preferable if  $|B|$  is much larger than  $|A| |C|$ . We do not currently know of a way to use Solymosi’s argument to obtain an improved bound for the case that the three sets are close together in size.

## References

- [Alon and Spencer 2000] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, 2nd ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000. [MR 2003f:60003](#) [Zbl 0996.05001](#)
- [Bourgain 2005] J. Bourgain, “Mordell’s exponential sum estimate revisited”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18**:2 (2005), 477–499. [MR 2006b:11099](#) [Zbl 1072.11063](#)
- [Chapman et al. 2009] J. Chapman, M. B. Erdoğan, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, and D. Koh, “Pinned distance sets,  $k$ -simplices, Wolff’s exponent in finite fields and sum-product estimates”, preprint, 2009. [arXiv 0903.4218](#)
- [Elekes 1997] G. Elekes, “On the number of sums and products”, *Acta Arith.* **81**:4 (1997), 365–367. [MR 98h:11026](#) [Zbl 0887.11012](#)

- [Erdős and Szemerédi 1983] P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi, “On sums and products of integers”, pp. 213–218 in *Studies in pure mathematics*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983. MR 86m:11011 Zbl 0526.10011
- [Ford 1998] K. Ford, “Sums and products from a finite set of real numbers”, *Ramanujan J.* **2**:1-2 (1998), 59–66. MR 99i:11014 Zbl 0908.11008
- [Hart and Iosevich 2008] D. Hart and A. Iosevich, “Sums and products in finite fields: an integral geometric viewpoint”, pp. 129–135 in *Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets*, Contemp. Math. **464**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. MR 2009m:11032
- [Nathanson 1997] M. B. Nathanson, “On sums and products of integers”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125**:1 (1997), 9–16. MR 97c:11010 Zbl 0869.11010
- [Shparlinski 2008] I. E. Shparlinski, “On the solvability of bilinear equations in finite fields”, *Glasg. Math. J.* **50**:3 (2008), 523–529. MR 2009j:11189 Zbl 05350503
- [Solymosi 2005] J. Solymosi, “On the number of sums and products”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **37**:4 (2005), 491–494. MR 2006c:11021 Zbl 1092.11018
- [Solymosi 2009] J. Solymosi, “Bounding multiplicative energy by the sumset”, *Adv. Math.* **222**:2 (2009), 402–408. MR 2538014 Zbl 05597906
- [Szemerédi and Trotter 1983] E. Szemerédi and W. T. Trotter, Jr., “Extremal problems in discrete geometry”, *Combinatorica* **3**:3-4 (1983), 381–392. MR 85j:52014 Zbl 0541.05012
- [Tao and Vu 2006] T. Tao and V. Vu, *Additive combinatorics*, vol. 105, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR 2008a:11002 Zbl 1127.11002

Received: 2009-09-07      Accepted: 2009-11-12

[dnhart@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:dnhart@math.rutgers.edu)

*Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University,  
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, United States  
<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~dnhart/>*

[alexnizi@eden.rutgers.edu](mailto:alexnizi@eden.rutgers.edu)

*School of Engineering, Rutgers University,  
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, United States*