

involve

a journal of mathematics

A nonextendable Diophantine quadruple arising from a triple
of Lucas numbers

A. M. S. Ramasamy and D. Saraswathy



A nonextendable Diophantine quadruple arising from a triple of Lucas numbers

A. M. S. Ramasamy and D. Saraswathy

(Communicated by Filip Saidak)

We establish that the only positive integral solutions common to the two Pell's equations $U^2 - 18V^2 = -119$ and $Z^2 - 29V^2 = -196$ are $U = 41$, $V = 10$ and $Z = 52$.

1. Introduction

Let n be a nonzero integer. We say that two integers α and β have the Diophantine property $D(n)$ if $\alpha\beta + n$ is a perfect square. A set of numbers has the property $D(n)$ if every pair of distinct elements of the set has this property. A Diophantine set S with property $D(n)$ is said to be extendable if, for some integer d , with d not belonging to S , the set $S \cup \{d\}$ is also a Diophantine set with property $D(n)$.

Sets consisting of Fibonacci numbers $\{F_m\}$ and Lucas numbers $\{L_m\}$ with the Diophantine property $D(n)$ have attracted the attention of many number theorists recently. A. Baker and H. Davenport [1969] dealt with the quadruple $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$ with property $D(1)$ in which the first three terms are F_2 , F_4 and F_6 . They proved that the set cannot be extended further. V. E. Hoggatt and G. E. Bergum [1977] proved that the four numbers F_{2k} , F_{2k+2} , F_{2k+4} and $d = 4F_{2k+1}F_{2k+2}F_{2k+3}$, for $k \geq 1$, have the Diophantine property $D(1)$ and conjectured that no other integer can replace d here. The result of Baker and Davenport [1969] was an assertion of the conjecture for $k = 1$. A. Dujella [1999] proved the Hoggatt-Bergum conjecture for all positive integral values of k .

Dujella [1995] also considered Diophantine quadruples for squares of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. In this paper we consider the Lucas numbers L_n , which are defined by $L_0 = 2$, $L_1 = 1$, $L_{n+2} = L_{n+1} + L_n$. The three Lucas numbers L_1 , L_6 and L_7 have the property $D(7)$. The aim of this paper is to determine whether this set $\{1, 18, 29\}$ is extendable.

MSC2010: primary 11B39; secondary 11D09, 11B37.

Keywords: Lucas numbers, Diophantine property, recurrence relation, simultaneous Pell's equations, characteristic number, factorization, Jacobi symbol.

2. Formulation of the problem

Suppose the natural number x extends the set $S = \{1, 18, 29\}$. Then we have

$$x + 7 = V^2, \quad (1)$$

$$18x + 7 = U^2, \quad (2)$$

$$29x + 7 = Z^2, \quad (3)$$

for some integers U, V, Z . Solving (1), (2) and (3) is equivalent to solving simultaneously the two Pell's equations

$$U^2 - 18V^2 = -119, \quad (4)$$

$$Z^2 - 29V^2 = -196. \quad (5)$$

We prove that there is essentially a unique solution, so the set S can be extended by exactly one element:

Theorem. *The only positive integral solutions common to the two Pell's equations $U^2 - 18V^2 = -119$ and $Z^2 - 29V^2 = -196$ are $U = 41, V = 10$ and $Z = 52$.*

Using these values in (1) yields $x = 93$. Therefore:

Corollary. *The triple $\{1, 18, 29\}$ of Lucas numbers is extendable; the quadruple $\{1, 18, 29, 93\}$ has the Diophantine property $D(7)$ and cannot be extended further.*

3. Methodology

For the determination of the common solutions of the system of Pell's equations $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$, Baker and Davenport [1969] gave a method based on the linear forms of logarithms of algebraic numbers. P. Kanagasabapathy and T. Ponnudurai [1975] applied quadratic reciprocity to the same system. S. P. Mohanty and A. M. S. Ramasamy [1985] introduced the concept of the characteristic number of two simultaneous Pell's equations and solved the system $U^2 - 5V^2 = -4$ and $Z^2 - 12V^2 = -11$. N. Tzanakis [2002] gave a method in for solving a system of Pell's equations using elliptic logarithms, and earlier [1993] described various methods available in the literature for finding out the common solutions of a system of Pell's equations. (For a history of numbers with the Diophantine property, one may refer to [Ramasamy 2007].)

When applying congruence methods to solve a given system of Pell's equations, the traditional approach is to work with a modulus of the form $2^\tau \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ ($\tau \geq 1$) in the final stage of computation; see, e.g., [Kangasabapathy and Ponnudurai 1975] and [Mohanty and Ramasamy 1985]. This modulus involves only two specific odd primes, namely 3 and 5. Because of the inadequacy of such a restricted modulus for handling several problems, a method involving a general modulus was established

in [Ramasamy 2006]. The present problem involves computational complexities and a new method is devised to overcome the computational difficulty by employing a result in this same reference. Taking D as a fixed natural number, one may refer to [Nagell 1951, pp. 204–212] for a theory of the general Pell’s equation

$$U^2 - DV^2 = N. \tag{6}$$

We follow the conventional notations in the literature. An interesting property of Equation (6) is that its solutions may be partitioned into a certain number of disjoint classes. If m and n are two distinct integers, $U_n + V_n\sqrt{D}$ and $U_m + V_m\sqrt{D}$ belong to the same class of solutions of (6) if

$$U_n + V_n\sqrt{D} = (u + v\sqrt{D})(a + b\sqrt{D})^n, \tag{7}$$

$$U_m + V_m\sqrt{D} = (u + v\sqrt{D})(a + b\sqrt{D})^m, \tag{8}$$

where $a + b\sqrt{D}$ is the fundamental solution of Pell’s equation

$$A^2 - DB^2 = 1 \tag{9}$$

and $u + v\sqrt{D}$ is the fundamental solution of (6) in the particular class. Otherwise, $U_n + V_n\sqrt{D}$ and $U_m + V_m\sqrt{D}$ belong to different classes of solutions, which are referred to as nonassociated classes (see [Nagell 1951, pp. 204–205], for example). Let $U_n + V_n\sqrt{D}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) constitute a class of solutions of (6), so that we have

$$U_n + V_n\sqrt{D} = (u + v\sqrt{D})(a + b\sqrt{D})^n.$$

All the solutions of (9) with positive A and B are obtained from the formula

$$A_n + B_n\sqrt{D} = (a + b\sqrt{D})^n, \tag{10}$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We have the following relations from [Mohanty and Ramasamy 1985, pp. 204–205]:

$$U_n = uA_n + DvB_n, \tag{11}$$

$$V_n = vA_n + uB_n, \tag{12}$$

$$U_{n+s} = A_sU_n + DB_sV_n, \tag{13}$$

$$V_{n+s} = B_sU_n + A_sV_n. \tag{14}$$

The sequences U_n and V_n satisfy the following recurrence relations:

$$U_{n+2} = 2aU_{n+1} - U_n, \tag{15}$$

$$V_{n+2} = 2aV_{n+1} - V_n, \tag{16}$$

$$U_{n+2s} \equiv -U_n \pmod{A_s}, \tag{17}$$

$$U_{n+2s} \equiv U_n \pmod{B_s}, \tag{18}$$

$$V_{n+2s} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_s}, \quad (19)$$

$$V_{n+2s} \equiv V_n \pmod{B_s}. \quad (20)$$

Equations (7) and (10) imply that U_n and V_n depend on the values of A_n and B_n . In our present problem, we have $D = 18$ from (4) and therefore we have to consider the Pell equation

$$A^2 - 18B^2 = 1. \quad (21)$$

Equation (21) has the fundamental solution $A_1 = 17$, $B_1 = 4$. We check that $-67 + 16\sqrt{18}$, $-13 + 4\sqrt{18}$, $-23 + 6\sqrt{18}$ and $-41 + 10\sqrt{18}$ are the fundamental solutions of (4). Employing the condition stated for (7), we see that (4) has four nonassociated classes of solutions. Hence the general solution of (4) is given by

$$U_n + \sqrt{18} V_n = (-67 + 16\sqrt{18})(17 + 4\sqrt{18})^n, \quad (22)$$

$$U_n + \sqrt{18} V_n = (-13 + 4\sqrt{18})(17 + 4\sqrt{18})^n, \quad (23)$$

$$U_n + \sqrt{18} V_n = (-23 + 6\sqrt{18})(17 + 4\sqrt{18})^n, \quad (24)$$

$$U_n + \sqrt{18} V_n = (-41 + 10\sqrt{18})(17 + 4\sqrt{18})^n. \quad (25)$$

The solutions of (21) are provided by

$$A_0 = 1, \quad A_1 = 17, \quad A_{n+2} = 34A_{n+1} - A_n,$$

$$B_0 = 0, \quad B_1 = 4, \quad B_{n+2} = 34B_{n+1} - B_n.$$

4. Solutions of the form (22)

Now, we consider the solutions of (4) given by (22), namely

$$U_0 = -67, \quad U_1 = 13, \quad U_{n+2} = 34U_{n+1} - U_n,$$

$$V_0 = 16, \quad V_1 = 4, \quad V_{n+2} = 34V_{n+1} - V_n.$$

We repeatedly use the relation (19) and reason by cases.

- (a) From (19) we have $V_{n+2s} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_s}$. From this we obtain $V_{n+2} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_1} \equiv -V_n \pmod{17}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{17}$ is periodic with period 4. By quadratic reciprocity, we see that $n \not\equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$. So, we are left with odd values of n only.
- (b) We have $V_{n+4} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_2} \equiv -V_n \pmod{577}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{577}$ is periodic with period 8. We obtain $n \not\equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$. Hence no solution of (4) having the form (22) satisfies (5).

5. Solutions of the form (23)

Next we consider the solutions of (4) of the form (23), namely

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= -13, & U_1 &= 67, & U_{n+2} &= 34U_{n+1} - U_n, \\ V_0 &= 4, & V_1 &= 16, & V_{n+2} &= 34V_{n+1} - V_n. \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case, one can check that no such solution can satisfy (5).

6. Solutions of the form (24)

Next we consider the solutions of (4) of the form (24), namely

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= -23, & U_1 &= 41, & U_{n+2} &= 34U_{n+1} - U_n, \\ V_0 &= 6, & V_1 &= 10, & V_{n+2} &= 34V_{n+1} - V_n. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) We see that $V_{n+4} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_2} \equiv -V_n \pmod{577}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{577}$ has period 8. By evaluating the Jacobi symbol

$$\left(\frac{V_n}{577} \right),$$

we check that $n \not\equiv 2, 3, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$.

- (b) We have $V_{n+6} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_3} \equiv -V_n \pmod{1153}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{1153}$ has period 12. It is ascertained that $n \not\equiv 8, 9 \pmod{12}$.
- (c) We get $V_{n+12} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_6} \equiv -V_n \pmod{768398401}$. On factoring, we get $768398401 = 97 \cdot 577 \cdot 13729$. Therefore $V_{n+12} \equiv -V_n \pmod{97}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{97}$ has period 24. We see that $n \not\equiv 4, 5, 16, 17 \pmod{24}$. Also, we have $V_{n+12} \equiv -V_n \pmod{13729}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{13729}$ has period 24. It is seen that $n \not\equiv 0, 12 \pmod{24}$.

So far we have excluded all possibilities other than $n \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$.

- (d) We obtain $V_{n+16} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_8} \equiv -V_n \pmod{886731088897}$. We see that $886731088897 = 257 \cdot 1409 \cdot 2448769$. Therefore $V_{n+16} \equiv -V_n \pmod{257}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{257}$ has a period of 32. We check that $n \not\equiv 5, 9, 13, 21, 25, 29 \pmod{32}$. So we are left with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$.
- (e) We have $V_{n+10} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_5} \equiv -V_n \pmod{22619537}$. We see that $22619537 = 17 \cdot 241 \cdot 5521$. Therefore $V_{n+10} \equiv -V_n \pmod{241}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{241}$ has period 20. We check that $n \not\equiv 5, 17 \pmod{20}$. Also $V_{n+10} \equiv -V_n \pmod{5521}$ and the sequence $V_n \pmod{5521}$ has period 20. It is seen that $n \not\equiv 9 \pmod{20}$.
- (f) We get $V_{n+20} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_{10}} \equiv -V_n \pmod{1023286908188737}$. We see that $1023286908188737 = 577 \cdot 188801 \cdot 9393281$. Therefore $V_{n+10} \equiv -V_n \pmod{577}$.

9393281). The sequence $V_n \pmod{9393281}$ has a period of 40. We verify that $n \not\equiv 13, 33 \pmod{40}$.

The last three steps leave only the possibility $n \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$.

- (g) We obtain $V_{n+14} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_7} \equiv -V_n \pmod{26102926067}$. We see that $26102926067 = 17 \cdot 1535466241$. Therefore $V_{n+14} \equiv -V_n \pmod{1535466241}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{1535466241}$ has period 28. We check that $n \not\equiv 5, 13, 17, 21 \pmod{28}$.
- (h) We have $V_{n+28} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_{14}} \equiv -V_n \pmod{136272550150887306817}$. We see that $136272550150887306817 = 577 \cdot 209441 \cdot 11276410240481$. Therefore $V_{n+28} \equiv -V_n \pmod{209441}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{209441}$ has period 56. We obtain $n \not\equiv 9, 25 \pmod{56}$.

Steps (d), (g) and (h) leave only the possibility $n \equiv 1 \pmod{28}$.

- (i) We get $V_{n+22} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_{11}} \equiv -V_n \pmod{34761632124320657}$. We see that $34761632124320657 = 17 \cdot 2113 \cdot 967724510017$. So $V_{n+22} \equiv -V_n \pmod{2113}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{2113}$ has period 44. We have $n \not\equiv 9, 17, 25, 29 \pmod{44}$. Also $V_{n+22} \equiv -V_n \pmod{967724510017}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{967724510017}$ has period 44. We get $n \not\equiv 13, 37, 41 \pmod{44}$.
- (j) We have $V_{n+44} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_{22}} \equiv -V_n \pmod{74915060494433}$. We see that $74915060494433 = 577 \cdot 129835460129$. Therefore $V_{n+44} \equiv -V_n \pmod{129835460129}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{129835460129}$ has period 88. When $n \equiv 5, 49 \pmod{88}$, we have respectively

$$29V_n^2 - 196 \equiv 51293333469, 51271172096 \pmod{129835460129}.$$

Therefore $29V_n^2 - 196$ cannot be a square. This implies that $n \not\equiv 5, 49 \pmod{88}$. Similarly, we see that $n \not\equiv 21, 33 \pmod{88}$.

- (k) We obtain $V_{n+88} \equiv -V_n \pmod{A_{44}} \equiv -V_n \pmod{2331170689}$. The sequence $V_n \pmod{2331170689}$ has a period of 176. We check that $n \not\equiv 65 \pmod{176}$.

Steps (d), (i), (j) and (k) leave only the possibility $n \equiv 1 \pmod{44}$. Consequently a solution requires $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$. By the Chinese remainder theorem, then, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11}$.

Now we establish that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ is impossible for such values of n . For this purpose, we need two functions, which we now describe.

6.1. The functions $a(t)$ and $b(t)$. Throughout this subsection we keep the notation of page 259 for the solutions of the Pell equation $A^2 - DB^2 = 1$: the fundamental solution is written $a + b\sqrt{D}$ and its n -th power is $A_n + B_n\sqrt{D}$. We further consider the equation $U^2 - DV^2 = N$, singling out a class of solutions $U_n + V_n\sqrt{D} = (u + v\sqrt{D})(a + b\sqrt{D})^n$.

Definition [Mohanty and Ramasamy 1985, p. 205]. For t a natural number, define

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = A_{2^t-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}(t) = B_{2^t-1}. \tag{26}$$

These functions will be used in defining a generalized characteristic number of our system of simultaneous Pell’s equations. We follow [Ramasamy 2006, pp. 714–715]. We have the equalities

$$\mathbf{a}(t + 1) = 2(\mathbf{a}(t))^2 - 1, \tag{27}$$

$$\mathbf{b}(t + 1) = 2\mathbf{a}(t)\mathbf{b}(t). \tag{28}$$

Next, we have the recursion relations

$$A_n = 2aA_{n-1} - A_{n-2} \quad (n \geq 2), \tag{29}$$

$$B_n = 2aB_{n-1} - B_{n-2} \quad (n \geq 2), \tag{30}$$

which are particular cases of (15) and (16). Repeated application of these relations shows that A_n can be expressed as a polynomial in a , while B_n can be expressed as a polynomial in a and b :

$$A_n = \alpha_{n,n}a^n - \alpha_{n,n-2}a^{n-2} + \alpha_{n,n-4}a^{n-4} - \dots, \tag{31}$$

$$B_n = \beta_{n,n}a^{n-1}b - \beta_{n,n-2}a^{n-3}b + \beta_{n,n-4}a^{n-5}b - \dots. \tag{32}$$

Now we state a key result with reference to a system of two simultaneous Pell’s equations

$$U^2 - DV^2 = N, \quad Z^2 - gV^2 = h, \tag{33}$$

where g and h are integers.

Definition and Lemma [Ramasamy 2006, Theorem 13]. *Fix odd primes $p_1 = p, p_2, \dots, p_s$, not necessarily distinct. Let $P = p_1p_2 \cdots p_s$. Take $\tau \geq 1$. Set either*

(i) $m = 2^\tau \cdot p$ and $n = i + p \cdot 2^t(2\mu + 1)$, $t \geq 1$, or

(ii) $m = 2^\tau \cdot P$ and $n = i + P \cdot 2^t(2\mu + 1)$, $t \geq 1$,

where i is a fixed residue (mod m) and μ is a nonnegative integer. In Case (ii), let F_1, F_2, \dots be the polynomials contributed by the distinct primes among p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s and let G_1, G_2, \dots be the irreducible polynomials arising due to their various products, so that F_1, F_2, \dots and G_1, G_2, \dots are factors of the polynomial

$$\beta_{P,P}D^{(P-1)/2}(\mathbf{b}(t + 1))^{P-1} + \beta_{P,P-2}D^{(P-3)/2}(\mathbf{b}(t + 1))^{P-3} + \dots + \beta_{P,1}.$$

(A prime p_i contributes a polynomial of degree $p_i - 1$. The product of two distinct primes p_i, p_j yields a factor of degree $(p_i - 1)(p_j - 1)$, and so on.) Let

$$\phi := gU_i^2 - Dh \tag{34}$$

be the **characteristic number** of the system (33) (for the given residue i).

Then, for each $t \geq 1$, if at least one of the Jacobi symbols

$$\left(\frac{\phi}{(\mathbf{a}(t))^2 + D(\mathbf{b}(t))^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\phi}{\beta_{p,p} D^{(p-1)/2}(\mathbf{b}(t+1))^{p-1} + \dots + \beta_{p,1}} \right)$$

equals -1 in Case (i), and if at least one of

$$\left(\frac{\phi}{(\mathbf{a}(t))^2 + D(\mathbf{b}(t))^2} \right), \quad \left(\frac{\phi}{F_1} \right), \quad \left(\frac{\phi}{F_2} \right), \quad \dots, \quad \left(\frac{\phi}{G_1} \right), \quad \left(\frac{\phi}{G_2} \right), \quad \dots$$

equals -1 in Case (ii), the system has no solution with $V = V_n$ for $n \equiv i \pmod{m}$, except possibly $V = V_i$.

6.2. Application of the characteristic number. The modulus in the present case consists of four distinct odd primes: 3, 5, 7 and 11. The characteristic number $gU_i^2 - Dh$ of the system (4), (5) for $i = 1$ is 52277; see (34). The sequence $\mathbf{a}(t) \pmod{52277}$ is periodic with period 265 and $\mathbf{b}(t) \pmod{52277}$ is periodic with period 530. Thus when we deal with the characteristic number of the system, we encounter computational complexities posed by the large periods of the two sequences. To overcome this difficulty, instead of working with the characteristic number directly, we consider the prime factors of the characteristic number, which are 61 and 857. The sequences $\mathbf{a}(t) \pmod{61}$ and $\mathbf{b}(t) \pmod{61}$ are periodic with period 5 — see Table 1 — whereas $\mathbf{a}(t) \pmod{857}$ is periodic with period 53 and $\mathbf{b}(t) \pmod{857}$ is periodic with period 106; moreover,

$$\mathbf{b}(t + 53) \equiv -\mathbf{b}(t) \pmod{857}. \tag{35}$$

Thus Table 2 lists only the values of \mathbf{a} and $\mathbf{b} \pmod{857}$ with argument up to 52. For residue calculations with respect to the factors 61 and 857, we require the values of $\mathbf{a}(t + 1)$ and powers of $D(\mathbf{b}(t + 1))^2$ modulo 61 and 857.

We take $P = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ and $m = 2^\tau \cdot P$ with $\tau \geq 1$. In the notation of Case (ii) of the Definition and Lemma, we have

$$Z^2 \equiv 1185 \pmod{\mathbf{a}(t + 1) \cdot F_1 \cdots F_4 \cdot G_1 \cdots G_{11}} \tag{36}$$

where the polynomials F_1, \dots, G_{11} are illustrated in Table 3.

$t-1$	$\mathbf{a}(t)$	$\mathbf{b}(t)$
0	17	4
1	28	14
2	42	52
3	50	37
4	58	40

Table 1. Values of $\mathbf{a}(t)$ and $\mathbf{b}(t) \pmod{61}$.

$t-1$	$a(t)$	$b(t)$												
0	17	4	11	652	391	22	563	369	33	454	825	44	72	611
1	577	136	12	63	806	23	614	706	34	14	82	45	83	570
2	825	113	13	224	430	24	688	541	35	391	582	46	65	350
3	333	481	14	82	672	25	559	540	36	669	57	47	736	79
4	671	685	15	592	512	26	208	392	37	413	850	48	143	593
5	631	566	16	758	309	27	827	242	38	51	217	49	618	769
6	168	411	17	747	522	28	85	49	39	59	709	50	260	71
7	742	119	18	203	855	29	737	617	40	105	533	51	650	69
8	739	54	19	145	45	30	518	181	41	624	520	52	854	572
9	423	111	20	56	195	31	165	690	42	595	211			
10	488	493	21	272	415	32	458	595	43	167	846			

Table 2. Values of $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ (mod 857). For the boldface, see Note on p. 266.

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 &= 4\bar{b}^2 + 1 \\
 F_2 &= 16\bar{b}^4 + 12\bar{b}^2 + 1 \\
 F_3 &= 64\bar{b}^6 + 80\bar{b}^4 + 24\bar{b}^2 + 1 \\
 F_4 &= 1024\bar{b}^{10} + 2304\bar{b}^8 + 1792\bar{b}^6 + 560\bar{b}^4 + 60\bar{b}^2 + 1 \\
 G_1 &= 256\bar{b}^8 + 576\bar{b}^6 + 416\bar{b}^4 + 96\bar{b}^2 + 1 \\
 G_2 &= 4096\bar{b}^{12} + 13312\bar{b}^{10} + 16384\bar{b}^8 + 9344\bar{b}^6 + 2368\bar{b}^4 + 192\bar{b}^2 + 1 \\
 G_3 &= 1048576\bar{b}^{20} + 5505024\bar{b}^{18} + 12320768\bar{b}^{16} + 15302656\bar{b}^{14} + 11493376\bar{b}^{12} \\
 &\quad + 5326848\bar{b}^{10} + 1487104\bar{b}^8 + 232256\bar{b}^6 + 17440\bar{b}^4 + 480\bar{b}^2 + 1 \\
 G_4 &= 16777216\bar{b}^{24} + 104857600\bar{b}^{22} + 287309824\bar{b}^{20} + 453246976\bar{b}^{18} + 454557696\bar{b}^{16} \\
 &\quad + 301907968\bar{b}^{14} + 134123520\bar{b}^{12} + 39298048\bar{b}^{10} + 7287808\bar{b}^8 + 785792\bar{b}^6 \\
 &\quad + 40896\bar{b}^4 + 576\bar{b}^2 + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 3. Expressions for some of the polynomials in (36). We use the shorthand $\bar{b} = \sqrt{D}b(t+1)$. The polynomials G_5, \dots, G_{11} have degrees 40, 60, 48, 80, 120, 240, 480, respectively.

We still have to determine an appropriate value of t . For the application of the quadratic reciprocity law, we require the values of the polynomials modulo 4. By induction, we obtain the following results for the present problem:

$$a(t+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 1, \tag{37}$$

$$b(t+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 1. \tag{38}$$

We see that, for all $t \geq 1$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$F_i, G_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \tag{39}$$

Considering the values of F_i and G_i modulo 857, it follows from relation (35) that F_i at $t + 53$ is the same as at t , and G_i at $t + 53$ is the same as at t , for all positive integers t .

6.3. Computations involved in the proof of the Theorem. With the background just provided, we are now in a position to employ the characteristic number of the present system consisting of (4) and (5). For the remaining part of our work, stagewise computation becomes necessary. The details of calculations in 9 stages required for our problem are presented in the sequel.

The characteristic number of the generalized version discussed in Section 6.1 offers several polynomials for consideration to solve a given problem, as seen from (36). First, we employ the factor $(a(t))^2 + D(b(t))^2$ provided by the Definition and Lemma to rule out as many possible values of t as we can.

1. *Working with $a(t + 1)$.* We consider the Jacobi symbol

$$\left(\frac{52277}{a(t+1)} \right).$$

Using the quadratic reciprocity law and the relation (37), we evaluate this to

$$\left(\frac{61}{a(t+1)} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{a(t+1)} \right) = \left(\frac{a(t+1)}{61} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{a(t+1)}{857} \right).$$

From Table 1, when $t \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{5}$, we have $a(t + 1) \equiv 42, 58 \pmod{61}$, respectively; these are quadratic residues of 61. When $t \equiv 0, 1, 3 \pmod{5}$, we have, respectively, $a(t + 1) \equiv 17, 28, 50 \pmod{61}$; all are quadratic nonresidues of 61.

Note. We have indicated with an asterisk in Table 2 the values of $a(t)$ that are quadratic nonresidues of 857.

Using the fact that the product of a quadratic residue of 52277 and a nonresidue of 52277 is a nonresidue, we determine the values of t for which $a(t + 1)$ is a quadratic nonresidue of 52277. They are 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 19, 22, 25, 26, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 38, 39, 42, 43, 45, 49, 51, 52, 55, 57, 62, 63, 64, 69, 70, 72, 74, 78, 80, 81, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 96, 98, 99, 100, 102, 108, 109, 114, 116, 117, 119, 120, 122, 123, 124, 127, 129, 130, 131, 133, 135, 136, 137, 142, 143, 147, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 159, 160, 161, 162, 164, 165, 167, 172, 173, 174, 176, 177, 179, 182, 183, 185, 186, 188, 194, 196, 199, 203, 206, 207, 209, 210, 212, 213, 217, 218, 219, 224, 226, 227, 232, 234, 236, 238, 240, 241, 244, 245, 247, 250, 252, 254, 255, 256, 262, 263, 264 (mod 265). It follows that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ is impossible for these values of t . Therefore these values of t have to be excluded. In the sequel we consider the remaining values of $t \pmod{265}$.

t	F_1	F_2	F_3																
0	296	497	766	11	125	323	294	22	370	149	61	33	27	755	545	44	165	822	24
1	792	731	416	12	447	574	462	23	518	600	648	34	781	557	294	45	129	486	490
2	665	677	292	13	163	164	166	24	260	156	177	35	480	346	545	46	614	529	775
3	484	778	623	14	326	333	583	25	415	382	809	36	825	134	163	47	285	94	32
4	404	789	337	15	658	836	72	26	796	231	770	37	101	17	776	48	378	142	306
5	335	292	79	16	636	627	258	27	169	448	575	38	117	93	573	49	519	781	240
6	626	852	524	17	405	742	40	28	616	420	567	39	209	182	303	50	442	409	775
7	620	226	35	18	289	680	658	29	178	152	463	40	390	800	462	51	850	41	618
8	845	131	285	19	111	433	393	30	329	587	556	41	332	2	334	52	33	264	373
9	118	329	468	20	543	583	376	31	58	850	386	42	333	668	816				
10	446	537	490	21	268	103	15	32	50	835	542	43	143	23	598				

Table 4. Values of F_1 , F_2 and F_3 (mod 857) as functions of t (mod 53). Quadratic nonresidues of 857 are in bold.

2. Working with F_1 . Now we consider

$$\left(\frac{52277}{F_1}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{F_1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{F_1}\right) = \left(\frac{F_1}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{F_1}{857}\right),$$

in view of (39). When $t \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, we have $F_1 \equiv 22 \pmod{61}$ which is a quadratic residue of 61. When $t \equiv 0, 2, 3, 4 \pmod{5}$, we have $F_1 \equiv 55, 38, 54, 33 \pmod{61}$; all are quadratic nonresidues of 61. As for the modulus 857, Table 4 shows the values of F_1 , with the quadratic nonresidues in bold.

Consequently, we see that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ is not true when $t \equiv 3, 5, 11, 15, 16, 18, 21, 23, 27, 35, 37, 40, 41, 46, 48, 58, 61, 66, 68, 75, 79, 85, 88, 93, 105, 106, 110, 125, 126, 128, 132, 134, 138, 144, 145, 155, 156, 158, 163, 166, 169, 171, 178, 187, 189, 190, 195, 197, 198, 201, 208, 215, 221, 222, 226, 230, 235, 239, 242, 243, 246, 248, 249, 260 \pmod{265}$.

3. Working with F_2 . Next we have

$$\left(\frac{52277}{F_2}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{F_2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{F_2}\right) = \left(\frac{F_2}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{F_2}{857}\right).$$

When $t \equiv 3 \pmod{61}$, we have $F_2 \equiv 41 \pmod{61}$, which is a quadratic residue of 61. When $t \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{5}$, we have, respectively, $F_2 \equiv 29, 17, 17, 23 \pmod{61}$, all of which are quadratic nonresidues of 61. Further, Table 4 shows the values of F_2 modulo 857, with the quadratic nonresidues in bold.

Consequently, we see that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold when $t \equiv 8, 44, 47, 53, 56, 71, 73, 95, 97, 101, 103, 104, 111, 113, 115, 118, 121, 139, 146, 149, 157, 170, 180, 181, 192, 193, 200, 202, 205, 211, 225, 228, 231, 259 \pmod{265}$.

4. *Working with F_3 .* Next we have

$$\left(\frac{52277}{F_3}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{F_3}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{F_3}\right) = \left(\frac{F_3}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{F_3}{857}\right).$$

When $t \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $F_3 \equiv 3, 15, 5 \pmod{61}$, all of which are quadratic residues of 61. When $t \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $F_3 \equiv 44, 17 \pmod{61}$ both of which are quadratic nonresidues of 61. Further, Table 4 shows the values of F_3 modulo 857, with the quadratic nonresidues in bold.

As a result, the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold when $t \equiv 17, 24, 36, 50, 54, 60, 67, 82, 112, 141, 214, 216, 223, 237, 251, 257 \pmod{265}$.

5. *Working with F_4 .* Next we have

$$\left(\frac{52277}{F_4}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{F_4}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{F_4}\right) = \left(\frac{F_4}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{F_4}{857}\right).$$

When $t \equiv 0, 1, 4 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $F_4 \equiv 42, 34, 4 \pmod{61}$, all of which are quadratic residues of 61. When $t \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $F_4 \equiv 55, 55 \pmod{61}$. It is checked that 55 is a quadratic nonresidue of 61. The relevant values modulo 857 are as follows (bold indicates quadratic nonresidues):

$t \pmod{53}$	2	9	13	14	42	16	23	32
$F_4 \pmod{857}$	407	827	762	792	619	415	437	557

Consequently, the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold when $t \equiv 2, 13, 14, 76, 148, 168, 175, 191 \pmod{265}$.

6. *Working with G_1 .* Next we have

$$\left(\frac{52277}{G_1}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{G_1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{G_1}\right) = \left(\frac{G_1}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{G_1}{857}\right),$$

because of (39). When $t \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, we have $G_1 \equiv 46 \pmod{61}$, which is a quadratic residue of 61. When $t \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $G_1 \equiv 55, 51, 26, 28 \pmod{61}$, all of which are quadratic nonresidues of 61. The relevant values modulo 857 are as follows (again, bold indicates quadratic nonresidues):

$t \pmod{53}$	0	6	12	17	20	21	46
$G_1 \pmod{857}$	774	737	57	487	785	367	210

As a result, it is seen that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold when $t \equiv 0, 20, 59, 65, 229, 233, 258 \pmod{265}$.

7. *Working with G_2 .* Next we have

$$\left(\frac{52277}{G_2}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{G_2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{G_2}\right) = \left(\frac{G_2}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{G_2}{857}\right).$$

When $t \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $G_2 \equiv 60, 34, 49 \pmod{61}$, all of which are quadratic residues of 61. When $t \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $G_2 \equiv 23, 6 \pmod{61}$ both of which are quadratic nonresidues of 61.

When $t \equiv 8, 34, 41 \pmod{53}$, we have respectively $G_2 \equiv 72, 177, 439 \pmod{857}$, all of which are quadratic residues of 857. When $t \equiv 25, 29, 31, 45 \pmod{53}$, we have respectively $G_2 \equiv 840, 718, 507, 781 \pmod{857}$, all of which are quadratic nonresidues of 857. As a consequence, the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold when $t \equiv 29, 31, 140, 184, 204, 220, 253 \pmod{265}$.

8. *Working with G_3 .* Next we have

$$\left(\frac{52277}{G_3}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{G_3}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{G_3}\right) = \left(\frac{G_3}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{G_3}{857}\right).$$

When $t \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, we have $G_3 \equiv 34 \pmod{61}$, which is a quadratic residue of 61. When $t \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $G_3 \equiv 59, 2, 50, 21 \pmod{61}$, all of which are quadratic nonresidues of 61. When $t \equiv 49 \pmod{53}$, we have $G_3 \equiv 453 \pmod{857}$ which is a quadratic residue of 857. Hence the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold when $t \equiv 261 \pmod{265}$.

9. *Working with G_4 .* Next we have

$$\left(\frac{52277}{G_4}\right) = \left(\frac{61}{G_4}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{857}{G_4}\right) = \left(\frac{G_4}{61}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{G_4}{857}\right).$$

When $t \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{5}$, we have respectively $G_4 \equiv 14, 16 \pmod{61}$, both of which are quadratic residues of 61. Modulo 61, G_4 attains the same value of 31 at $t \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $3 \pmod{5}$. When $t \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, we have $G_4 \equiv 38 \pmod{61}$. It is seen that 31 and 38 are quadratic nonresidues of 61. When $t \equiv 1, 24 \pmod{53}$, we have, respectively, $G_4 \equiv 612, 851 \pmod{857}$ both of which are quadratic nonresidues of 857. Therefore it is seen that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold when $t \equiv 77, 107 \pmod{265}$.

Conclusion of the argument for solutions of the form (24). As mentioned, the characteristic number (in the generalized version given in [Ramasamy 2006] and explained earlier in this section) places several polynomials at our disposal for solving the problem. Each polynomial can potentially exclude several values of t . Once all values of t are excluded, we need not examine the remaining polynomials. In the present case we used the polynomials $a(t+1)$, F_1 through F_4 and G_1 through G_4 appearing in (36), and we exhausted, in the 9 steps above, all possible values of

t ; that is, we showed that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ does not hold for any value of $t \pmod{265}$. Thus we need not consider the values attained by the polynomials G_5 through G_{11} modulo 52277. This exemplifies the usefulness of the generalized characteristic number.

The conclusion is that the system of Pell's equations $U^2 - 18V^2 = -119$, $Z^2 - 29V^2 = -196$ has no solution V_n of the form (24) except possibly for $n = 1$. When $n = 1$ we obtain a common solution with $U = \pm 41$, $V = \pm 10$ and $Z = \pm 52$.

7. Solutions of the form (25)

We finally turn to the possible solutions of the form (25):

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= -41, & U_1 &= 23, & U_{n+2} &= 34U_{n+1} - U_n, \\ V_0 &= 10, & V_1 &= 6, & V_{n+2} &= 34V_{n+1} - V_n. \end{aligned}$$

A case-by-case calculation as in the previous section shows that the possibilities are $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$. We establish that the relation $Z^2 = 29V_n^2 - 196$ is impossible in these cases as before. The characteristic number $gU_i^2 - Dh$ of the system (4) and (5) for $i = 0$ is again 52277. Since this is the same as for the previous case, the results for the solutions in Section 6 are applicable here also.

We have now taken care of all four cases (22)–(25). Putting together the conclusions of the last four sections, we see that the proof of the Theorem is complete.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the referee for suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- [Baker and Davenport 1969] A. Baker and H. Davenport, "The equations $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$ ", *Quart. J. Math.* **20**:1 (1969), 129–137. MR 40 #1333 Zbl 0177.06802
- [Dujella 1995] A. Dujella, "Diophantine quadruples for squares of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers", *Portugal. Math.* **52**:3 (1995), 305–318. MR 97f:11015 Zbl 0844.11015
- [Dujella 1999] A. Dujella, "A proof of the Hoggatt–Bergum conjecture", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**:7 (1999), 1999–2005. MR 99j:11015 Zbl 0937.11011
- [Hoggatt and Bergum 1977] V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. and G. E. Bergum, "A problem of Fermat and the Fibonacci sequence", *Fibonacci Quart.* **15**:4 (1977), 323–330. MR 56 #15547 Zbl 0383.10007
- [Kangasabapathy and Ponnudurai 1975] P. Kangasabapathy and T. Ponnudurai, "The simultaneous Diophantine equations $y^2 - 3x^2 = -2$ and $z^2 - 8x^2 = -7$ ", *Quart. J. Math.* **26**:1 (1975), 275–278. MR 52 #8027 Zbl 0309.10008

- [Mohanty and Ramasamy 1985] S. P. Mohanty and A. M. S. Ramasamy, “The characteristic number of two simultaneous Pell’s equations and its application”, *Simon Stevin* **59**:2 (1985), 203–214. MR 87c:11023 Zbl 0575.10010
- [Nagell 1951] T. Nagell, *Introduction to number theory*, Wiley, New York, 1951. MR 13,207b Zbl 0042.26702
- [Ramasamy 2006] A. M. S. Ramasamy, “Generalized version of the characteristic number of two simultaneous Pell’s equations”, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **36**:2 (2006), 699–720. MR 2007b:11036 Zbl 1140.11017
- [Ramasamy 2007] A. M. S. Ramasamy, “Sets, sequences and polynomials linked with a question of Diophantus”, *Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc.* **4**:1 (2007), 109–125. MR 2008g:11046
- [Tzanakis 1993] N. Tzanakis, “Explicit solution of a class of quartic Thue equations”, *Acta Arith.* **64**:3 (1993), 271–283. MR 94e:11022 Zbl 0774.11014
- [Tzanakis 2002] N. Tzanakis, “Effective solution of two simultaneous Pell equations by the elliptic logarithm method”, *Acta Arith.* **103**:2 (2002), 119–135. MR 2003b:11022 Zbl 1003.11064

Received: 2010-10-30 Revised: 2011-10-06 Accepted: 2011-12-18

ramasamy.mat@pondiuni.edu.in *Department of Mathematics, Pondicherry University,
R.V.Nagar, Kalapet, Pondicherry 605014, India
www.pondiuni.edu.in*

dsaraswathynathan@gmail.com *Department of Mathematics, Pondicherry University,
R.V.Nagar, Kalapet, Pondicherry 605014, India*

involve

msp.org/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moselehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsteam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2012 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2012 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2012

vol. 5

no. 3

Analysis of the steady states of a mathematical model for Chagas disease MARY CLAUSON, ALBERT HARRISON, LAURA SHUMAN, MEIR SHILLOR AND ANNA MARIA SPAGNUOLO	237
Bounds on the artificial phase transition for perfect simulation of hard core Gibbs processes MARK L. HUBER, ELISE VILLELLA, DANIEL ROZENFELD AND JASON XU	247
A nonextendable Diophantine quadruple arising from a triple of Lucas numbers A. M. S. RAMASAMY AND D. SARASWATHY	257
Alhazen's hyperbolic billiard problem NATHAN POIRIER AND MICHAEL MCDANIEL	273
Bochner (p, Y) -operator frames MOHAMMAD HASAN FAROUGH, REZA AHMADI AND MORTEZA RAHMANI	283
k -furgus semigroups NICHOLAS R. BAETH AND KAITLYN CASSITY	295
Studying the impacts of changing climate on the Finger Lakes wine industry BRIAN MCGAUVRAN AND THOMAS J. PFAFF	303
A graph-theoretical approach to solving Scramble Squares puzzles SARAH MASON AND MALI ZHANG	313
The n -diameter of planar sets of constant width ZAIR IBRAGIMOV AND TUAN LE	327
Boolean elements in the Bruhat order on twisted involutions DELONG MENG	339
Statistical analysis of diagnostic accuracy with applications to cricket LAUREN MONDIN, COURTNEY WEBER, SCOTT CLARK, JESSICA WINBORN, MELINDA M. HOLT AND ANANDA B. W. MANAGE	349
Vertex polygons CANDICE NIELSEN	361
Optimal trees for functions of internal distance ALEX COLLINS, FEDELIS MUTISO AND HUA WANG	371



1944-4176(2012)5:3;1-A