

A new series for π via polynomial approximations to arctangent Colleen M. Bouey, Herbert A. Medina and Erika Meza





A new series for π via polynomial approximations to arctangent

Colleen M. Bouey, Herbert A. Medina and Erika Meza

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

Using rational functions of the form

$$\left\{\frac{t^{12m}(t-(2-\sqrt{3}))^{12m}}{1+t^2}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$$

we produce a family of efficient polynomial approximations to arctangent on the interval $[0, 2 - \sqrt{3}]$, and hence provide approximations to π via the identity $\arctan(2 - \sqrt{3}) = \pi/12$. We turn the approximations of π into a series that gives about 21 more decimal digits of accuracy with each successive term.

1. Introduction

Two of the best-known series for π are

$$\frac{1}{\pi} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9801} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4k)! (1103 + 26390k)}{(k!)^4 \, 396^{4k}},$$

devised by Ramanujan about a century ago (see [Baruah et al. 2007; 2009] for history), and

$$\frac{1}{\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{10005}}{4270934400} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (6k)! (13591409 + 545140134k)}{(3k)! (k!)^3},$$

from the 1980s [Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky 1988]. These series are interesting and important because they converge so rapidly. Indeed, the Ramanujan series gives about 6 more decimal places for π with each successive term and the Chudnovsky series about 13 more decimal places per term [Weisstein n.d.]. The Chudnovsky series was in fact the formula used recently by Yee and Kondo [2011] to compute 10

MSC2010: primary 41A10; secondary 26D05.

Keywords: polynomial approximations to arctangent, approximations of π , series for π .

This work was supported by a 2010–11 mini-grant from the Center for Undergraduate Research in Mathematics (CURM) at Brigham Young University. CURM is funded by the National Science Foundation (DMS-063664).

422

trillion digits of π , and a modified version of it is used by *Mathematica* to compute a large number of digits of π [Vardi 1991].

Here, in Theorem 2, we present a new series for π that yields about 21 more decimal places per term. The new series is derived from polynomial approximations to the classical arctangent function that come from the integration of rational functions.

2. Polynomial approximations to arctangent

The integration of certain rational functions has proven useful in the approximation of the classical arctangent function, and, because of identities such as $\arctan 1 = \pi/4$, these can produce approximations to π . For example, the family

$$\left\{\frac{t^{4m}(t-1)^{4m}}{1+t^2}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$$

was recently studied in [Medina 2006], where it is shown that it can be used to produce polynomial approximations to $\arctan x$ on the interval [0, 1] whose error is governed by the size of the rational functions on that interval. In this section, we use these methods to produce polynomial approximations to $\arctan x$ on a smaller interval where the size of the integrand is much smaller, and hence the approximations converge much faster.

Consider the sequence of rational functions

$$\frac{t^{a_n}(t-(2-\sqrt{3}))^{b_n}}{1+t^2}$$

where a_n and b_n are integers chosen so that the polynomial division yields a constant remainder, and hence after integration, the arctangent function. We use $2 - \sqrt{3}$ because $\arctan(2 - \sqrt{3}) = \pi/12$; thus, if we can approximate arctangent at that value, we can approximate π .

Through trial and error, one finds that 12 is the smallest integer value of the b_n above that yields a constant remainder when the polynomial division is performed.¹ The smallest value for a_n is 2, but in what follows we choose 12 for the sake of symmetry. As Lemma 2 will show, the same is true for multiples of 12; thus, we explore the family of functions

$$\left\{\frac{t^{12m}(t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\tag{1}$$

where we let $\alpha = 2 - \sqrt{3}$ to facilitate the notation.

¹All computations were done using *Mathematica* 7.0.

The following two lemmas, whose proofs are immediate via initial computations and induction, will facilitate our exploration of the family of rational functions.

Lemma 1. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{t^{12m}}{1+t^2} = t^{12m-2} - t^{12m-4} + t^{12m-6} - t^{12m-8} + \dots - 1 + \frac{1}{1+t^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{6m-1} (-1)^{n+1} t^{2n} + \frac{1}{1+t^2}.$$

Lemma 2. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{t^{12m}(t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2} = q_m(t) + \frac{r_m}{1+t^2},$$
(2)

where $r_m = (-1)^m (4\alpha)^{6m} = (-1)^m (5533696 - 3194880\sqrt{3})^m$, and the q_m are polynomials given recursively by

$$q_m(t) = t^{12}(t-\alpha)^{12} q_{m-1}(t) + r_{m-1} q_1(t),$$

with the initial quotient

$$\begin{split} q_1(t) &= -(4\alpha)^6 + (4\alpha)^6 t^2 - (4\alpha)^6 t^4 + (4\alpha)^6 t^6 - (4\alpha)^6 t^8 + (4\alpha)^6 t^{10} \\ &+ (9184097 - 5302440\sqrt{3})t^{12} + 12(564719\sqrt{3} - 978122)t^{13} \\ &+ (8113645 - 4684416\sqrt{3})t^{14} + 8(267909\sqrt{3} - 464032)t^{15} \\ &+ (1200770 - 693264\sqrt{3})t^{16} + 208(780\sqrt{3} - 1351)t^{17} \\ &+ (47554 - 27456\sqrt{3})t^{18} + 8(411\sqrt{3} - 712)t^{19} + (461 - 264\sqrt{3})t^{20} \\ &+ 12(\sqrt{3} - 2)t^{21} + t^{22}. \end{split}$$

The following proposition provides a closed-form formula for the quotients.

Proposition 1. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define the polynomial quotient $q_m(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{24m-2} a_n t^n$ and the polynomial remainder $r_m \in \mathbb{R}$ via (2). Then

- (i) $a_{2n} = (-1)^{m+1+n} (4\alpha)^{6m}$ and $a_{2n+1} = 0$ for $0 \le n \le 6m 1$;
- (ii) $a_{24m-2} = 1$ and $a_{24m-3} = -\binom{12m}{1}\alpha$ (these being the coefficients of the two highest powers of t in the quotient);
- (iii) $a_{24m-3-2n} = -a_{24m-3-2(n-1)} {\binom{12m}{2n+1}} \alpha^{2n+1}$ for $1 \le n \le 6m-1$; and

(iv)
$$a_{24m-2-2n} = -a_{24m-2-2(n-1)} + {\binom{12m}{2n}} \alpha^{2n}$$
 for $1 \le n \le 6m - 1$.

Proof. (i) We can rewrite and simplify the function to get

$$\frac{t^{12m}(t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2} = t^{12m} \left(\frac{(t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2}\right) = t^{12m} \left(p_m(t) + \frac{(-1)^m (4\alpha)^{6m}}{1+t^2}\right),$$

where $p_m(t)$ is some other quotient polynomial; we also note that Lemmas 1 and 2 together imply that the remainder $(-1)^m (4\alpha)^{6m}$ is indeed correct. Using Lemma 1, we make another substitution and obtain

$$t^{12m}p_m(t) + (-1)^m (4\alpha)^{6m} \left(t^{12m-2} - t^{12m-4} + t^{12m-6} - t^{12m-8} + \dots - 1 + \frac{1}{1+t^2} \right),$$

which is the result of (i).

(ii) We write
$$\frac{t^{12m}(t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2} = \frac{t^{12m}}{1+t^2}(t-\alpha)^{12m}$$
. Use Lemma 1 to obtain $\left(t^{12m-2}-t^{12m-4}+\cdots-1+\frac{1}{1+t^2}\right)(t-\alpha)^{12m}$,

and the binomial theorem to arrive at

$$\left(t^{12m-2} - t^{12m-4} + \dots - 1 + \frac{1}{1+t^2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{12m} \binom{12m}{k} t^k \alpha^{12m-k} (-1)^k.$$
(3)

The coefficients of the two highest powers of t will come from multiplying the two highest powers of t in $(t - \alpha)^{12m}$ with t^{12m-2} in the first factor above.

(iii) To find each new odd coefficient we take the coefficient of the previous highestorder odd term and pair it with one lower power of t on the left of (3); since the signs of t alternate, we negate this. Each new coefficient will have a new lower-order term from the right paired with the highest power on the left. Adding these two, we get the coefficients of the new odd power of t.

(iv) The same argument as in (iii) gives the coefficients of the even powers. \Box

Since the functions (1) are small in the interval $[0, \alpha]$, integration of (2), after division by r_m , will yield approximations to arctangent on $[0, \alpha]$. That is,

$$\frac{1}{r_m} \int_0^x \frac{t^{12m} (t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2} dt = \frac{1}{r_m} \int_0^x q_m(t) dt + \arctan x, \tag{4}$$

and hence

$$P_m(x) = \frac{-1}{r_m} \int_0^x q_m(t) \, dt$$

will approximate arctangent on $[0, \alpha]$ with the error of the approximation given by the integral on the left side of (4), the maximum error occurring when $x = \alpha$. Proposition 1 provides a way to directly compute (after integration) these approximating polynomials; we will provide examples after we analyze their accuracy.

Substituting the largest and smallest values of t into the denominator of the left side of (4), we arrive at the inequality

$$\frac{1}{r_m} \int_0^\alpha \frac{t^{12m} (t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+\alpha^2} dt < \frac{1}{r_m} \int_0^\alpha \frac{t^{12m} (t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2} dt < \frac{1}{r_m} \int_0^\alpha t^{12m} (t-\alpha)^{12m} dt.$$
(5)

It is now evident that, to further analyze the approximation, we need to compute

$$I_m := \int_0^{\alpha} t^{12m} (t - \alpha)^{12m} \, dt$$

This is done via repeated integration by parts:

$$I_m = \int_0^\alpha t^{12m} (t - \alpha)^{12m} dt = \frac{((12m)!)^2}{(24m+1)!} \alpha^{24m+1}.$$
 (6)

Since, as already noted, the left side of (4) is the error when $P_m(x)$ approximates arctan x on $[0, \alpha]$, we will use

$$e_m = \frac{1}{r_m} \int_0^\alpha \frac{t^{12m} (t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2} dt;$$

that is, e_m denotes the error when $P_m(\alpha)$ is used to approximate $\arctan \alpha = \pi/12$. Using this notation, we use (5) with *m* and *m* + 1 to get

$$\frac{1}{(1+\alpha^2)r_m}I_m < e_m < \frac{1}{r_m}I_m \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(1+\alpha^2)r_{m+1}}I_{m+1} < e_{m+1} < \frac{1}{r_{m+1}}I_{m+1}.$$
 (7)

Combining these two inequalities we arrive at

$$\frac{e_{m+1}}{e_m} < \frac{(1+\alpha^2) r_m I_{m+1}}{r_{m+1} I_m},\tag{8}$$

which provides the estimate on how much better the next iterate is compared to the previous one.

Theorem 1. Define $e_m = |\pi/12 - P_m(\alpha)|$, the error produced in approximating $\pi/12$ by the *m*-th iterate of the new sequence of approximating polynomials. Then, as $m \to \infty$,

That is, each iterate gives about 21 more decimal places of accuracy in approximating $\pi/12$.

Proof. Use $|r_m| = (4\alpha)^6$, $1 + \alpha^2 = 4\alpha$, (6) and (8) to get

$$\frac{e_{m+1}}{e_m} < \frac{((12(m+1))!)^2 \alpha^{24(m+1)+1}}{(4\alpha)^{6(m+1)}(24(m+1)+1)!} \cdot \frac{(4\alpha)^{6m+1}(24m+1)!}{((12m)!)^2 \alpha^{24m+1}} = \frac{((12m+12)(12m+11)\cdots(12m+1))^2 \alpha^{24}}{(4\alpha)^5 (24m+25)(24m+24)\cdots(24m+2).}$$

As $m \to \infty$, this becomes

$$\frac{(12^{12} m^{12})^2 \alpha^{24}}{4^5 \alpha^5 24^{24} m^{24}} = \frac{\alpha^{19}}{4^5 2^{24}} = \frac{\alpha^{19}}{2^{34}}.$$

Example 1. We use the coefficient formulas of Proposition 1 to find approximating polynomials. With m = 1,

$$\begin{split} P_1(x) &= x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} + \frac{x^9}{9} - \frac{x^{11}}{11} + \frac{(419 - 60\sqrt{3})x^{13}}{4096} - \frac{3(362 - 209\sqrt{3})x^{14}}{14336} \\ &\quad - \frac{(2916\sqrt{3} - 955)x^{15}}{61440} - \frac{(172 - 99\sqrt{3})x^{16}}{8192} + \frac{(1255 + 468\sqrt{3})x^{17}}{34816} - \frac{13x^{18}}{4608} \\ &\quad - \frac{13(61 + 36\sqrt{3})x^{19}}{38912} - \frac{(172 + 99\sqrt{3})x^{20}}{10240} + \frac{(5051 + 2916\sqrt{3})x^{21}}{86016} \\ &\quad - \frac{3x^{22}}{22528(2 - \sqrt{3})^5} + \frac{x^{23}}{94208(2 - \sqrt{3})^6}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$P_1(2-\sqrt{3}) = \frac{57423810140 - 22529108583\sqrt{3}}{70291415040},$$

and numerically we verify that $|P_1(2-\sqrt{3}) - \pi/12| < 4.81587 \times 10^{-23}$, or, after multiplication by 12,

$$\frac{57423810140 - 22529108583\sqrt{3}}{5857617920} - \pi \bigg| < 5.779054023 \times 10^{-22}.$$

Example 2. With m = 2,

$$P_2(\alpha) = \frac{3013932255372315189770935 - 1155363167301686928932166\sqrt{3}}{3868552012005059812392960},$$

and $|P_2(\alpha) - \pi/12| \approx 2.55 \times 10^{-44}$.

3. Converting the iteration into a series

Theorem 1 requires the computation of a new set of polynomial coefficients when we want to obtain an approximation to π with more accuracy. For example, if we have a polynomial that gives *n* digits of accuracy for π when evaluated at α , then we need to compute a whole new polynomial, and hence a new set of coefficients, in order to obtain (n + 21) more digits of accuracy. Following a technique first developed in [Dalzell 1944] and used recently in [Lucas 2009] to produce a rational series that gives 3–4 more decimal places of accuracy for π with each successive term, we now focus on developing a series that provides the same number of digits (i.e., about 21) per term in computing π as each iteration of the polynomial sequence.

We know that

$$\frac{t^{12}(t-\alpha)^{12}}{1+t^2} = q_1(t) - \frac{(4\alpha)^6}{1+t^2},\tag{9}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{1+t^2} = \frac{q_1(t)}{t^{12}(t-\alpha)^{12} + (4\alpha)^6}.$$

Next we factor out $(4\alpha)^6$ on the denominator to get

$$\frac{1}{1+t^2} = \frac{q_1(t)}{(4\alpha)^6} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{t(t-\alpha)}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^{12}}.$$

Expanding the right side in a geometric series gives

$$\frac{1}{1+t^2} = \left(\frac{q_1(t)}{(4\alpha)^6}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\frac{t(t-\alpha)}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^{12n}.$$
 (10)

We integrate both sides on $[0, \alpha]$ and bring the integral inside the sum to get

$$\arctan \alpha = \frac{1}{(4\alpha)^6} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(4\alpha)^{6n}} \int_0^\alpha q_1(t) t^{12n} (t-\alpha)^{12n} dt.$$
(11)

The polynomial $q_1(t)$ is of degree 22 so we need to compute integrals of the form

$$\int_0^\alpha t^{12n+k} (t-\alpha)^{12n} \, dt$$

for k = 0, ..., 22. This is done using repeated integration by parts; we get

$$\int_0^{\alpha} t^{12n+k} (t-\alpha)^{12n} dt = \frac{(12n+k)! (12n)! \alpha^{24n+k+1}}{(24n+k+1)!}.$$
 (12)

If we write $q_1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{22} a_k t^k$, then

$$\frac{\pi}{12} = \frac{1}{(4\alpha)^6} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \,\alpha^{18n+1} \,(12n)!}{4^{6n}} \sum_{k=0}^{22} a_k \frac{(12n+k)! \alpha^k}{(24n+k+1)!}.$$
 (13)

Simplification of the inside sum leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 2. We have

$$\pi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \left(2 - \sqrt{3}\right)^{18n+1} \left((12n)!\right)^2 (p_1(n) + p_2(n)\sqrt{3})}{2^{12(n+1)-1} (24n+1)! q(n)},$$
 (14)

where

$$\begin{split} p_1(n) &= 293063424013062144n^{11} + 1743144635880815616n^{10} \\ &+ 4603477509110094336n^9 + 7113505268868220800n^8 \\ &+ 7133195052290432592n^7 + 4863768060244254588n^6 \\ &+ 2295600628029058188n^5 + 747948981593488485n^4 \\ &+ 164336063152773014n^3 + 23098444048852896n^2 \\ &+ 1859706966144526n + 64510302034815, \end{split}$$

$$p_2(n) &= 92656102528843776n^{11} + 553643573938200576n^{10} \\ &+ 1466739601852815360n^9 + 2269385610499169280n^8 \\ &+ 2272991576208150528n^7 + 1542973536047871648n^6 \\ &+ 721853379546109560n^5 + 231741816550236960n^4 \\ &+ 49765271182018546n^3 + 6762629909208426n^2 \\ &+ 519049199193830n + 16879034409510, and \cr q(n) &= 18786186952704n^{11} + 111934363926528n^{10} + 295980289228800n^9 \\ &+ 457648310845440n^8 + 458818030927872n^7 + 312432825729024n^6 \\ &+ 147050553999360n^5 + 47683923189760n^4 + 10399859469824n^3 \end{split}$$

$$+ 1446143661248n^{2} + 114720643240n + 3904125225.$$

Moreover, if we define the error between the m-th partial sum of the series and π by $e_m = |\pi - S_m|$, then, as $m \to \infty$,

$$\frac{e_{m+1}}{e_m} < \frac{(2-\sqrt{3})^{19}}{2^{34}} \approx 7.9063628967 \times 10^{-22}.$$

Proof. Because of Theorem 1, it suffices to show that

$$\left|\frac{1}{(4\alpha)^6} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(4\alpha)^{6n}} \int_0^{\alpha} q_1(t) t^{12n} (t-\alpha)^{12n} dt\right| = \left|\frac{1}{r_m} \int_0^{\alpha} \frac{t^{12m} (t-\alpha)^{12m}}{1+t^2} dt\right|.$$
(15)

Using (9) to substitute for $q_1(t)$ and interchanging integration and summation in (15), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(4\alpha)^6} \int_0^\alpha \sum_{n=m}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{(4\alpha)^{6n}} \left(\frac{t^{12n}(t-\alpha)^{12n}}{1+t^2} \right) (t^{12}(t-\alpha)^{12} + (4\alpha)^6) \, dt,$$

which we can simplify to

$$\frac{1}{(4\alpha)^6} \int_0^\alpha \left(\frac{t^{12}(t-\alpha)^{12} + (4\alpha)^6}{1+t^2} \right) \sum_{n=m}^\infty \left(\frac{(-1)t^{12}(t-\alpha)^{12}}{(4\alpha)^6} \right)^n dt.$$

428

The sum is a geometric series; after simplification, we get (15), as desired. \Box

The new series (14) gives about 21 more decimal places of accuracy with each successive term, though the terms are significantly more complicated and hence more "computationally expensive" than those in either the Ramanujan and Chudnovsky series. We note that all three series require the computation of a single square root, but the powers of $2 - \sqrt{3}$ in the new series do slow down numerical computations. Thus, at this stage, it is fair to say that the Chudnovsky series still provides the fastest numerical tool for computing large numbers of digits of π . Nevertheless, it should be noted that the series (14) is very easy to program (in any language) and provides a viable method for computing digits of π ; in fact, we have used it to compute a million digits on a desktop computer.

4. Further remarks

A similar process can be used with the rational functions

$$\left\{\frac{t^{4m}(t-1/\sqrt{3})^{6m}}{1+t^2}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$$

to produce polynomial approximations to arctangent on the interval $[0, 1/\sqrt{3}]$, and hence approximations to π , because $\arctan(1/\sqrt{3}) = \pi/6$. These approximations yield 5–6 more decimal places of accuracy with each iteration, and the computations are significantly "less expensive" than those of the sequence herein. (Our research in fact began with the exploration of this other family.)

It is our opinion that the series (14) should be seen as a byproduct of the approximating polynomials P_m which provide good approximations to arctangent on the entire interval $[0, 2 - \sqrt{3}]$. It is possible that the P_m could prove useful for approximating π when used in conjunction with multiple-angle identities such as $\pi/4 = 5 \arctan \frac{1}{7} + 2 \arctan \frac{3}{79}$ [Calcut 2009].

Acknowledgments

We thank CURM's Director Michael Dorff for his leadership in facilitating, sponsoring and promoting our research.

References

- [Baruah et al. 2007] N. D. Baruah, B. C. Berndt, and H. H. Chan, "Ramanujan's series for $1/\pi$: a survey", *Math. Student* Special Centenary Volume (2007), 1–24. MR 2010d:11151
- [Baruah et al. 2009] N. D. Baruah, B. C. Berndt, and H. H. Chan, "Ramanujan's series for $1/\pi$: a survey", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **116**:7 (2009), 567–587. MR 2549375 Zbl 1229.11162

[Calcut 2009] J. S. Calcut, "Gaussian integers and arctangent identities for π ", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **116**:6 (2009), 515–530. MR 2010f:11182 Zbl 1229.11164

- [Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky 1988] D. V. Chudnovsky and G. V. Chudnovsky, "Approximations and complex multiplication according to Ramanujan", pp. 375–472 in *Ramanujan revisited* (Urbana-Champaign, 1987), Academic Press, Boston, 1988. MR 89f:11099
- [Dalzell 1944] D. P. Dalzell, "On 22/7", J. London Math. Soc. 19 (1944), 133–134. MR 7,152b Zbl 0060.15306
- [Lucas 2009] S. K. Lucas, "Approximations to π derived from integrals with nonnegative integrands", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **116**:2 (2009), 166–172. MR 2478060 Zbl 1179.26008
- [Medina 2006] H. A. Medina, "A sequence of polynomials for approximating arctangent", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **113**:2 (2006), 156–161. MR 2006m:41004 Zbl 1132.41304
- [Vardi 1991] I. Vardi, *Computational recreations in Mathematica*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1991. MR 93e:00002 Zbl 0786.11002
- [Weisstein n.d.] E. W. Weisstein, "Pi formulas", web page, Wolfram Research MathWorld, Available at http://mathworld.wolfram.com/PiFormulas.html.
- [Yee and Kondo 2011] A. J. Yee and S. Kondo, "Round 2...10 trillion digits of pi", Report, 2011, Available at http://www.numberworld.org/misc_runs/pi-10t/details.html.

Received: 2011-08-25	Revised: 2012-01-30 Accepted: 2012-03-04
cbouey@lion.lmu.edu	Mathematics Department, Loyola Marymount University, 1 LMU Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90045, United States
hmedina@lmu.edu	Mathematics Department, Loyola Marymount University, 1 LMU Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90045, United States
emeza2@lion.lmu.edu	Mathematics Department, Loyola Marymount University, 1 LMU Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90045, United States

involve

msp.org/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

BOARD OF EDITORS					
Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu		
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu		
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu		
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu		
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz		
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu		
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com		
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu		
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir		
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu		
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu		
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu		
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu		
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com		
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch		
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu		
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu		
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu		
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu		
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu		
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu		
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu		
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu		
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu		
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu		
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com		
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu		
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University,USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu		
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it		
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com		
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu		
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu		

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2012 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY
mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2012 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

2012 vol. 5 no. 4

Theoretical properties of the length-biased inverse Weibull distribution JING KERSEY AND BRODERICK O. OLUYEDE	379
The firefighter problem for regular infinite directed grids DANIEL P. BIEBIGHAUSER, LISE E. HOLTE AND RYAN M. WAGNER	393
Induced trees, minimum semidefinite rank, and zero forcing RACHEL CRANFILL, LON H. MITCHELL, SIVARAM K. NARAYAN AND TAIJI TSUTSUI	411
A new series for π via polynomial approximations to arctangent COLLEEN M. BOUEY, HERBERT A. MEDINA AND ERIKA MEZA	421
A mathematical model of biocontrol of invasive aquatic weeds JOHN ALFORD, CURTIS BALUSEK, KRISTEN M. BOWERS AND CASEY HARTNETT	431
Irreducible divisor graphs for numerical monoids DALE BACHMAN, NICHOLAS BAETH AND CRAIG EDWARDS	449
An application of Google's PageRank to NFL rankings LAURIE ZACK, RON LAMB AND SARAH BALL	463
Fool's solitaire on graphs ROBERT A. BEELER AND TONY K. RODRIGUEZ	473
Newly reducible iterates in families of quadratic polynomials Katharine Chamberlin, Emma Colbert, Sharon Frechette, Patrick Hefferman, Rafe Jones and Sarah Orchard	481
Positive symmetric solutions of a second-order difference equation JEFFREY T. NEUGEBAUER AND CHARLEY L. SEELBACH	497

