

Free and very free morphisms into a Fermat hypersurface

Tabes Bridges, Rankeya Datta, Joseph Eddy, Michael Newman and John Yu





Free and very free morphisms into a Fermat hypersurface

Tabes Bridges, Rankeya Datta, Joseph Eddy, Michael Newman and John Yu

(Communicated by Ravi Vakil)

This paper studies the existence of free and very free curves on the degree 5 Fermat hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^5 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. We explicitly compute a free curve in degree 8, and a very free curve in degree 9. We also prove that free and very free curves cannot exist in lower degrees.

1. Introduction

Any smooth projective Fano variety in characteristic zero is rationally connected and hence contains a very free rational curve. In positive characteristic a smooth projective Fano variety is rationally chain-connected. However, it is not known whether such varieties are separably rationally connected, or equivalently, whether they have a very free rational curve. This is an open question even for nonsingular Fano hypersurfaces. See [Kollár 1996], as well as [Debarre 2001].

Following [Shen 2012], we consider the degree 5 Fermat hypersurface

$$X: \quad X_0^5 + X_1^5 + X_2^5 + X_3^5 + X_4^5 + X_5^5 = 0$$

in \mathbb{P}^5 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 2. This is a nonsingular projective Fano variety.

Theorem 1.1. Any free rational curve $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ has degree ≥ 8 , and there exists a free rational curve of degree 8. Any very free rational curve $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ has degree ≥ 9 , and there exists a very free rational curve of degree 9.

This result, although perhaps expected, is interesting for several reasons. First, it is known that X is unirational; see [Debarre 2001, p. 52] (the corresponding rational map $\mathbb{P}^4 \rightarrow X$ is inseparable). Second, in [Beauville 1990], it is shown that

MSC2010: primary 14-02; secondary 14M22.

Keywords: free morphisms, very free morphisms, Fermat hypersurface, Fermat hypersurface over a field of characteristic 2.

Results in this paper were obtained during an REU at Columbia University led by A. J. de Jong in the summer of 2012.

438

every nonsingular hyperplane section of X is isomorphic to a Fermat hypersurface of dimension 3, and this property characterizes Fermat hypersurfaces among all hypersurfaces of degree 5 in characteristic 2. We believe that these facts single out the Fermat as a likely candidate for a counterexample to the conjecture below; instead, our theorem shows that they are evidence for it.

Conjecture 1.2. Nonsingular Fano hypersurfaces have very free rational curves.

Zhu [2011] discusses this question more broadly. Let us discuss a little bit about the method of proof. In Section 2, we translate the geometric question into an algebraic question which is computationally more accessible. In Sections 3, 4, and 5, we exclude low-degree solutions by theoretical methods. Finally, in Sections 6 and 7, we explicitly describe some curves which are free and very free in degrees 8 and 9, respectively.

2. The overall setup

In the rest of this paper, k will be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 and X will be the Fermat hypersurface of degree 5 over k. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ be a nonconstant morphism. We will repeatedly use that every vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 is a direct sum of line bundles; see [Grothendieck 1957]. Thus we can choose a splitting

$$\varphi^* T_X = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_2) \oplus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_3) \oplus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_4).$$

Recall that φ is said to be a *free curve* on X if $a_i \ge 0$, and φ is said to be *very free* if $a_i > 0$. Consider the commutative diagram



with exact rows and columns as indicated. We will call E_X the *extended tangent* bundle of X. The left vertical exact sequence determines a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to \varphi^* E_X \to \varphi^* T_X \to 0.$$

The splitting type of $\varphi^* E_X$ will consistently be denoted $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$ in this paper. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(f), \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)) = 0$ if f > a, we conclude:

- (1) If $f_i \ge 0$ for all *i*, then φ is free.
- (2) If $f_i > 0$ for all *i*, then φ is very free.

For the converse, note that the map $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to \varphi^* E_X$ has image contained in the direct sum of the summands with $f_i \ge 0$. Hence, if $f_i < 0$ for some *i*, then φ is not free. Finally, suppose that $f_i \ge 0$ for all *i*. If there are at least two f_i equal to 0, then we see that φ is free but not very free. We conclude:

- (3) If φ is free, then $f_i \ge 0$ for all *i*.
- (4) If φ is very free, then either
 - (a) $f_i > 0$ for all *i*, or
 - (b) exactly one f_i vanishes and all others are positive.

We do not know if (4b) occurs.

Translation into algebra. Here we work over the graded *k*-algebra R = k[S, T]. As usual, we let R(e) be the graded free *R*-module whose underlying module is *R* with grading given by $R(e)_n = R_{e+n}$. A *graded free R-module* will be any graded *R*-module isomorphic to a finite direct sum of R(e)'s. Such a module *M* has a *splitting type* which is uniquely defined up to reordering, namely, the sequence of integers u_1, \ldots, u_r such that $M \cong R(u_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus R(u_r)$.

We will think of a degree *d* morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ as a 6-tuple (G_0, \ldots, G_5) of homogeneous elements in *R* of degree *d* with no common factors. Then φ is a morphism into *X* if and only if $G_0^5 + \cdots + G_5^5 = 0$. In this situation we define two graded *R*-modules. The first is called the *pullback of the cotangent bundle*

$$\Omega_X(\varphi) = \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi} : R^{\oplus 6}(-d) \to R),$$

where the map $\tilde{\varphi}$ is given by $(A_0, \ldots, A_5) \mapsto \sum A_i G_i$. The second is called the *the pullback of the extended tangent bundle*

$$E_X(\varphi) = \operatorname{Ker}(R^{\oplus 6}(d) \to R(5d)),$$

where the map is given by $(A_0, \ldots, A_5) \mapsto \sum A_i G_i^4$. Since the kernel of a map of graded free *R*-modules is a graded free *R*-module, both $\Omega_X(\varphi)$ and $E_X(\varphi)$ are themselves graded free *R*-modules of rank 5.

Lemma 2.1. The splitting type of $\varphi^* E_X$ is equal to the splitting type of the *R*-module $E_X(\varphi)$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathbb{P}^1 = \operatorname{Proj}(R)$. Thus, a finitely generated graded *R*-module corresponds to a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^1 ; see [Hartshorne 1977, Proposition 5.11]. Under this correspondence, the module R(e) corresponds to $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e)$. The lemma follows

439

if we show that $\varphi^* E_X$ is the coherent sheaf associated to $E_X(\varphi)$. Diagram (2-1) shows that $\varphi^* E_X$ is the kernel of a map $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d)^{\oplus 6} \to \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ given by substituting (G_0, \ldots, G_5) into the partial derivatives of the polynomial defining X. Since the equation is $X_0^5 + \cdots + X_5^5$, the derivatives are X_i^4 , and substituting we obtain G_i^4 as desired.

3. Relating the splitting types

Observe that $\Omega_X(\varphi)$ is also a graded free module of rank 5 and so has a splitting type, which we denote using e_1, \ldots, e_5 . In this section, we relate the splitting type of $\Omega_X(\varphi)$ to the splitting type of $E_X(\varphi)$.

If $(A_0, \ldots, A_5) \in \Omega_X(\varphi)$, then $A_0G_0 + \cdots + A_5G_5 = 0$ so that

$$A_0^4 G_0^4 + \dots + A_5^4 G_5^4 = 0$$

by the Frobenius endomorphism in characteristic 2. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ (A_0^4, \dots, A_5^4) \mid (A_0, \dots, A_5) \in \Omega_X(\varphi) \}$$

in $E_X(\varphi)$. We denote the *R*-module generated by \mathcal{T} as $R\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

Lemma 3.1. In the notation above, $E_X(\varphi) = R\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

Proof. Let (B_0, \ldots, B_5) be an element of $E_X(\varphi)$, where B_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree *b*. We consider the case $b \equiv 0 \mod 4$.

Observe that we can rewrite each monomial term of B_i as $(c^{1/4}S^{\ell}T^k)^4S^iT^{4-i}$ or $(c^{1/4}S^{\ell}T^k)^4$ for some integers ℓ , k, where $c \in k$ and 0 < i < 4. After collecting terms and applying the Frobenius endomorphism, we obtain

$$B_i = a_{i1}^4 + a_{i2}^4 S^3 T + a_{i3}^4 S^2 T^2 + a_{i4}^4 S T^3,$$

where each a_{ij} is an element of R. Then, since $B_0G_0^4 + \cdots + B_5G_5^4 = 0$, substituting our expression for the B_i 's and applying Frobenius, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{i1}G_i\right)^4 + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{i2}G_i\right)^4 S^3 T + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{i3}G_i\right)^4 S^2 T^2 + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{i4}G_i\right)^4 S T^3 = 0.$$

The sums $\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{ij}G_i$ are each themselves homogeneous polynomials. But since the degree of *T* in each term above is distinct modulo 4, the equation $\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{ij}G_i = 0$ implies that $(a_{0j}, \ldots, a_{5j}) \in \Omega_X(\varphi)$ so that $(a_{0j}^4, \ldots, a_{5j}^4) \in \mathcal{T}$ for $1 \le j \le 4$.

Hence, every homogeneous element of $E_X(\varphi)$ is contained in the submodule generated by \mathcal{T} . Since the reverse containment is trivial, it follows that $E_X(\varphi) = R\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$. The cases for $b \equiv 1, 2, 3 \mod 4$ follow similarly.

Proposition 3.2. If $x_i = (x_{i0}, \ldots, x_{i5})$, for $1 \le i \le 5$, form a basis for $\Omega_X(\varphi)$, then $y_i = (x_{i0}^4, \ldots, x_{i5}^4)$, for $1 \le i \le 5$, form a basis for $E_X(\varphi)$.

440

Proof. If $x_i \in \Omega_X(\varphi)$, then $y_i \in \mathcal{T}$, and every element of \mathcal{T} is an *R*-linear combination of the y_i 's. Since $E_X(\varphi) = R\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$, every element of $E_X(\varphi)$ is also an *R*-linear combination of the y_i 's so that the y_i 's generate $E_X(\varphi)$. Moreover, $E_X(\varphi)$ is a free module of rank 5 over a domain, so the generators y_i for $E_X(\varphi)$ must also be linearly independent and hence form a basis.

Accounting for twist, a simple computation using the results above gives us the following.

Corollary 3.3. Let φ be a degree d morphism, and e_1, \ldots, e_5 be the splitting type of $\Omega_X(\varphi)$. If $f_1 = 4e_1 + 5d$, $f_2 = 4e_2 + 5d$, \ldots , $f_5 = 4e_5 + 5d$, then f_1, \ldots, f_5 is the splitting type of $E_X(\varphi)$.

4. Numerology

We now utilize some facts about graded free modules in order to give constraints on potential splitting types. Given a graded free module

$$M = R(u_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus R(u_r),$$

one can observe that the Hilbert polynomial H_M is given by

$$H_M(m) = rm + u_1 + \dots + u_r + r.$$

Let φ denote a free morphism of degree d into X. Noting that the map

$$\tilde{\varphi}: R(-d)_m^{\oplus n+1} \to R_m$$

is surjective for $m \gg 0$, we obtain

$$H_{\Omega(\varphi)}(m) = \dim_k \left(\ker(R(-d)_m^{\oplus n+1} \to R_m) \right)$$
$$= (n+1)(-d+m+1) - (m+1)$$
$$= nm + -d(n+1) + n.$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$H_{E_X(\varphi)}(m) = nm + d(n+1-5) + n.$$

We continue to refer to the splitting type components of $\Omega(\varphi)$ and $E_X(\varphi)$ as e_i and f_i , respectively. In both cases n = r = 5, so combining these two equations with the general form for the Hilbert polynomial of a graded free module, we obtain our first constraints:

$$e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 = -6d,$$

 $f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5 = d.$

Recall from Section 2 that a curve is free or very free if $f_i \ge 0$ or $f_i > 0$, respectively, for each *i*. Since $f_i = 4e_i + 5d$, it follows that

$$e_i \ge -\frac{5d}{4},$$

where strict inequality implies the curve is very free. With these two bounds, we can quickly observe a few facts about curves of different degrees.

Remarks. (1) There exist no free curves in degrees 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7.

- (2) Any free curve of degree not divisible by 4 must be very free.
- (3) There are no very free curves in degrees 4 or 8.
- (4) The splitting type of $\Omega(\varphi)$ of a free curve of degree 4 must be

$$(-5, -5, -5, -5, -4).$$

(5) The splitting type of $\Omega(\varphi)$ of a very free curve of degree 5 must be

$$(-6, -6, -6, -6, -6)$$

All of these observation follow directly from the two constraints. For example, in degree 6, $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 = -6d = -36$. However, each $e_i \ge -30/4 = -7.5$. So even if each e_i is at best -7, the e_i cannot sum to -36.

The rest of the remarks follow in a similar manner. Note that one can glean even more information about these curves from the constraints, but the remarks listed above are sufficient for our purposes.

5. Degree 4 and 5 morphisms into X

We will now show that there are no free morphisms of degrees 4 or 5 into X. A morphism $\varphi = (G_0, \ldots, G_5)$, where each $G_i = \sum_{j=0}^d a_{ij} S^{d-j} T^j$ is a homogeneous polynomials of degree d, gives us a $6 \times (d+1)$ matrix (a_{ij}) . We will denote this matrix as M_{φ} .

Lemma 5.1. If φ is a degree 4 or 5 free morphism into X, then M_{φ} has maximal rank.

Proof. This follows from Remarks(4) and (5) by observing that for a degree d morphism into X, the transpose of M_{φ} is the matrix of the k-linear map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_d: (R(-d)^{\oplus 6})_d \to R_d.$$

Lemma 5.2.

- (a) There are no degree 4 free morphisms into X.
- (b) There are no degree 5 free morphisms into X.

Proof. (a) Assume a degree 4 free morphism $\varphi = (G_0, \ldots, G_5)$ exists. By the previous lemma, the 6×5 matrix $M_{\varphi} = (a_{ij})$ has maximal rank. Since permuting the G_i 's does not affect the splitting type of $E_X(\varphi)$, we can assume that the first 5 rows of M_{φ} are linearly independent over k. Then det $((a_{ij})_{i \le 4}) \ne 0$. Now consider the matrix $\overline{M}_{\varphi} = (a_{ij}^4)$. By the Frobenius endomorphism on k,

$$\det((a_{ij}^4)_{i\leq 4}) = \det((a_{ij})_{i\leq 4})^4 \neq 0,$$

proving that \overline{M}_{φ} has maximal rank as well.

Since $G_0^5 + \cdots + G_5^5 = 0$, computing the coefficients of $G_0^5 + \cdots + G_5^5$, we obtain for $0 \le j \le 4$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{ij}^4 a_{i1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{ij}^4 a_{i3} = 0.$$
 (5-1)

The kernel of the map $k^6 \rightarrow k^5$ given by right multiplication by the matrix \overline{M}_{φ} has dimension 1 because rank $(\overline{M}_{\varphi}) = 5$. By (5-1),

$$(a_{01}, a_{11}, \dots, a_{51}), (a_{03}, a_{13}, \dots, a_{53}) \in \ker(k^6 \to k^5)$$

and since these 6-tuples are columns of M_{φ} , they are linearly independent over k. Then $\dim_k (\ker(k^6 \to k^5)) \ge 2$, a contradiction.

(b) Assume $\varphi = (G_0, \ldots, G_5)$ is a degree 5 free morphism. By the previous lemma, the matrix $M_{\varphi} = (a_{ij})$ has maximal rank and is invertible. Thus $\overline{M}_{\varphi} = (a_{ij}^4)$ is invertible by the same argument above. Since $G_0^5 + \cdots + G_5^5 = 0$, computing the coefficients of the polynomial $G_0^5 + \cdots + G_5^5$, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{ij}^4 a_{i2} = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \le j \le 5$$

Thus, the product of the row matrix $(a_{02}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{52})$ and the matrix \overline{M}_{φ} is 0, which is impossible because $(a_{02}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{52}) \neq 0$ and \overline{M}_{φ} is invertible.

6. Computations for the degree 8 free curve

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ be a morphism given by the 6-tuple

$$\begin{split} G_0 &= S^7 T, & G_1 = S^4 T^4 + S^3 T^5, \\ G_2 &= S^4 T^4 + S^3 T^5 + T^8, & G_3 = S^7 T + S^6 T^2 + S^5 T^3 + S^4 T^4 + S^3 T^5, \\ G_4 &= S^8 + S^7 T + S^6 T^2 + S^5 T^3 + S^4 T^4 + S^3 T^5 + T^8, \\ G_5 &= S^8 + S^7 T + S^6 T^2 + S^5 T^3 + S^4 T^4 + S^3 T^5 + S^2 T^6 + ST^7. \end{split}$$

One can check by computer or by hand that this curve lies on the Fermat hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. Due to twisting, the domain of the map $\tilde{\varphi} : R(-8)^{\oplus 6} \to R$ has its first non-trivial graded piece in dimension 8. The G_i are linearly independent over k, hence the kernel is trivial in dimension 8. The matrix for the map $\tilde{\varphi}_9 : R(-8)_9^{\oplus 6} \to R_9$ is

where each direct summand of the domain has a basis {(*S*, 0), (0, *T*)}, of which we take six copies (for total dimension 12), and the range has basis given by the degree 9 monomials in *S* and *T*, ordered by increasing *T*-degree (for total dimension 10). This matrix has rank 10, which means that the map in degree 9 is surjective. By rank-nullity, two dimensions of the kernel live in degree 9; denote the generators by x_1, x_2 . Surjectivity of $\tilde{\varphi}$ in degree 9 implies surjectivity in all higher degrees. A second application of rank-nullity gives dim $_k \Omega(\varphi)_{10} = 7$. Four of the generators are inherited from the previous degree, taking the forms

$$x_1S, x_2S, x_1T, x_2T.$$

We conclude that there are three additional generators in degree 10. Therefore, the splitting type of $\Omega_X(\varphi)$ is $(e_1, \ldots, e_5) = (-10, -10, -10, -9, -9)$, which corresponds to a splitting type for $E_X(\varphi)$ of $(f_1, \ldots, f_5) = (0, 0, 0, 4, 4)$, hence the curve is free.

7. A very free rational curve of degree 9

We conclude by giving an example of a degree 9 very free curve lying on X. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ be a morphism into the Fermat hypersurface given by the 6-tuple

$$\begin{split} G_0 &= S^4 T^5, & G_1 = S^9 + S^8 T + S^5 T^4, \\ G_2 &= S^9 + S^4 T^5 + S T^8, & G_3 = S^9 + S^8 T + S^4 T^5 + S^3 T^6 + S^2 T^7 + S T^8, \\ G_4 &= S^9 + S^5 T^4 + S^3 T^6 + S^2 T^7 + S T^8 + T^9, \\ G_5 &= S^7 T^2 + S^6 T^3 + S^5 T^4 + S^3 T^6 + S^2 T^7 + S T^8 + T^9. \end{split}$$

444

Let e_1, \ldots, e_5 again denote the splitting type of $\Omega_X(\varphi)$. As in Section 6, we know that $e_i \leq -9$. Since the G_i are linearly independent over k, $\dim_k(\Omega_X(\varphi)_9) = 0$. Next we claim that $\varphi_{10} : R_1^{\oplus 6} \to R_{10}$ is surjective. In fact, it can be checked that the $\tilde{\varphi}(b_i)$ span R_{10} , where the b_i are distinct basis elements of $R_1^{\oplus 6}$. It follows that $\tilde{\varphi_n} : R(-9)_n^{\oplus 6} \to R_n$ is surjective for $n \geq 10$. Hence,

$$\dim_k(\Omega_X(\varphi)_{10}) = \dim_k(R_1^{\oplus 6}) - \dim_k(R_{10}) = 1,$$

$$\dim_k(\Omega_X(\varphi)_{11}) = \dim_k(R_2^{\oplus 6}) - \dim_k(R_{11}) = 6.$$

After reordering, this yields $(e_1, \ldots, e_5) = (-11, -11, -11, -11, -10)$, which corresponds to the splitting type (1, 1, 1, 1, 5) of $E_X(\varphi)$, showing that φ is very free. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [Beauville 1990] A. Beauville, "Sur les hypersurfaces dont les sections hyperplanes sont à module constant", pp. 121–133 in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, I*, edited by P. Cartier et al., Progr. Math. **86**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990. MR 91m:14070 Zbl 0723.14031
- [Debarre 2001] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Springer, New York, 2001. MR 2002g:14001 Zbl 0978.14001
- [Grothendieck 1957] A. Grothendieck, "Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann", *Amer. J. Math.* **79** (1957), 121–138. MR 19,315b Zbl 0079.17001
- [Hartshorne 1977] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52, Springer, New York, 1977. MR 57 #3116 Zbl 0367.14001
- [Kollár 1996] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Math. (3) **32**, Springer, Berlin, 1996. MR 98c:14001 Zbl 0877.14012
- [Shen 2012] M. Shen, "Rational curves on Fermat hypersurfaces", C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **350**:15-16 (2012), 781–784. MR 2981353 Zbl 06100626

[Zhu 2011] Y. Zhu, "Fano hypersurfaces in positive characteristic", preprint, 2011. arXiv 1111.2964

| Received: 2012-08-05 | Revised: 2012-11-06 Accepted: 2012-11-08 |
|----------------------|---|
| mbridg5@uic.edu | Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607-7045, United States |
| rankeya@umich.edu | Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, United States |
| jle2129@columbia.edu | Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, United States |
| mgnewman@umich.edu | Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, United States |
| jy2433@columbia.edu | Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, United States |



involve

msp.org/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

| | BUARD O | F EDITORS | |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| Colin Adams | Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu | David Larson | Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu |
| John V. Baxley | Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu | Suzanne Lenhart | University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu |
| Arthur T. Benjamin | Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu | Chi-Kwong Li | College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu |
| Martin Bohner | Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu | Robert B. Lund | Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu |
| Nigel Boston | University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu | Gaven J. Martin | Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz |
| Amarjit S. Budhiraja | U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu | Mary Meyer | Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu |
| Pietro Cerone | Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au | Emil Minchev | Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com |
| Scott Chapman | Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu | Frank Morgan | Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu |
| Joshua N. Cooper | University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu | Mohammad Sal Moslehian | Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir |
| Jem N. Corcoran | University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu | Zuhair Nashed | University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu |
| Toka Diagana | Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu | Ken Ono | Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu |
| Michael Dorff | Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu | Timothy E. O'Brien | Loyola University Chicago, USA tobriel@luc.edu |
| Sever S. Dragomir | Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au | Joseph O'Rourke | Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu |
| Behrouz Emamizadeh | The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae | Yuval Peres | Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com |
| Joel Foisy | SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu | YF. S. Pétermann | Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch |
| Errin W. Fulp | Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu | Robert J. Plemmons | Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu |
| Joseph Gallian | University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu | Carl B. Pomerance | Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu |
| Stephan R. Garcia | Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu | Vadim Ponomarenko | San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu |
| Anant Godbole | East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu | Bjorn Poonen | UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu |
| Ron Gould | Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu | James Propp | U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu |
| Andrew Granville | Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca | Józeph H. Przytycki | George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu |
| Jerrold Griggs | University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu | Richard Rebarber | University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu |
| Sat Gupta | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu | Robert W. Robinson | University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu |
| Jim Haglund | University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu | Filip Saidak | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu |
| Johnny Henderson | Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu | James A. Sellers | Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu |
| Jim Hoste | Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu | Andrew J. Sterge | Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com |
| Natalia Hritonenko | Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu | Ann Trenk | Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu |
| Glenn H. Hurlbert | Arizona State University,USA hurlbert@asu.edu | Ravi Vakil | Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu |
| Charles R. Johnson | College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu | Antonia Vecchio | Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it |
| K. B. Kulasekera | Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu | Ram U. Verma | University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com |
| Gerry Ladas | University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu | John C. Wierman | Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu |
| | | Michael E. Zieve | University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu |

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2013 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY
mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

2013 vol. 6 no. 4

| Embeddedness for singly periodic Scherk surfaces with higher dihedral symmetry VALMIR BUCAJ, SARAH CANNON, MICHAEL DORFF, JAMAL LAWSON AND RYAN VIERTEL | 383 |
|---|-----|
| An elementary inequality about the Mahler measure KONSTANTIN STULOV AND RONGWEI YANG | 393 |
| Ecological systems, nonlinear boundary conditions, and Σ -shaped bifurcation curves KATHRYN ASHLEY, VICTORIA SINCAVAGE AND JEROME GODDARD II | 399 |
| The probability of randomly generating finite abelian groups TYLER CARRICO | 431 |
| Free and very free morphisms into a Fermat hypersurface TABES BRIDGES, RANKEYA DATTA, JOSEPH EDDY, MICHAEL NEWMAN AND JOHN YU | 437 |
| Irreducible divisor simplicial complexes NICHOLAS R. BAETH AND JOHN J. HOBSON | 447 |
| Smallest numbers beginning sequences of 14 and 15 consecutive happy numbers DANIEL E. LYONS | 461 |
| An orbit Cartan type decomposition of the inertia space of SO(2m) acting on \mathbb{R}^{2m} CHRISTOPHER SEATON AND JOHN WELLS | 467 |
| Optional unrelated-question randomized response models SAT GUPTA, ANNA TUCK, TRACY SPEARS GILL AND MARY CROWE | 483 |
| On the difference between an integer and the sum of its proper divisors NICHOLE DAVIS, DOMINIC KLYVE AND NICOLE KRAGHT | 493 |
| A Pexider difference associated to a Pexider quartic functional equation in topological vector spaces SAEID OSTADBASHI, ABBAS NAJATI, MAHSA SOLAIMANINIA AND THEMISTOCLES M. RASSIAS | 505 |

