

Comparing a series to an integral Leon Siegel







Comparing a series to an integral

Leon Siegel

(Communicated by Andrew Granville)

We consider the difference between the definite integral $\int_0^\infty u^x e^{-u} du$, where *x* is a real parameter, and the approximating sum $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^x e^{-k}$. We use properties of Bernoulli numbers to show that this difference is unbounded and has infinitely many zeros. We also conjecture that the sign of the difference at any positive integer *n* is determined by the sign of $\cos((n + 1) \arctan(2\pi))$.

1. Introduction

There are a variety of situations where it is necessary to examine differences of sums and integrals. The Euler–Maclaurin summation formula is the usual tool for estimating $\int_{u \le Y} g(u) du - \sum_{n \le Y} g(n)$ [Abramowitz and Stegun 1964, p. 806], but it can also be interesting to develop exact formulas for particular choices of g(u). For instance, the Euler–Mascheroni constant arises if we set g(u) = 1/u and consider the limit as $Y \to \infty$ [Wells 1986, p. 12]. The purpose of this paper is to examine the function

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{x} e^{-k} - \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x} e^{-t} dt.$$

The integral on the right equals $\Gamma(x + 1)$, where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function, and the infinite series converges absolutely for all values of x. We can obtain an exact expression for f(n) when $n \ge 1$ by using classical formulas for polylogarithms of negative order [Weisstein 2013]:

$$f(n) = -n! + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(e-1)^{k+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} \binom{k}{j} (k+1-j)^{n}.$$
 (1)

The main goal of this paper is to prove that f(x) has infinitely many positive real zeros, and that the function becomes unbounded as $x \to \infty$. Further, in Conjecture 1 we hypothesize that f(n) has the same sign as $\cos((n + 1) \arctan(2\pi))$ whenever

MSC2010: 33B15.

Keywords: polylogarithms, gamma function, Bernoulli numbers.

n is a positive integer. We prove that the conjecture is true with finitely many exceptions, provided that $\arctan(2\pi)/\pi$ has finite irrationality measure. If we expand $\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi))$ using trigonometric identities, then we obtain the equivalent conjecture that the following identity holds for all positive integers *n*:

$$\operatorname{sign}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{j} \binom{n+1}{2j} (2\pi)^{2j}\right] = \operatorname{sign}\left[-(e-1)^{n+1}n! + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (e-1)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} \binom{k}{j} (k+1-j)^{n}\right].$$
(2)

The left-hand side of (2) is a polynomial in π , while the right-hand side is a polynomial in *e*. Based on numerical experiments, we conjecture that (π, e) is the unique, nontrivial (i.e., $\neq (0, 1)$) tuple of real numbers which makes (2) valid for all positive integers *n*. When we choose values close to π and *e* respectively, we notice that (2) is false for some *n* in all considered cases. Surprisingly, (2) is valid for $n \leq 128$ if you insert ($\pi + 0.015$, *e*), but only for $n \leq 2$ in the case of (π , e + 0.015). So the equation seems to be a lot more sensitive to small modifications in the argument on the right-hand side. Also, choosing various random tuples (x, y) further away from (π , *e*), we always found an *n* such that (2) was wrong.

2. Elementary properties of f(x)

In this section we prove that f(x) is an unbounded function by showing that the sequence $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is unbounded as $n \to \infty$. Our proof uses properties of Bernoulli numbers. The *n*-th Bernoulli number is defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!},\tag{3}$$

and the generating series converges for $|x| < 2\pi$. It is known that Bernoulli numbers are always rational, and that $B_n = 0$ if n > 1 is odd. Bernoulli numbers have many interesting combinatorial properties [Abramowitz and Stegun 1964], and the following asymptotic holds for large values of n:

$$|B_{2n}| \sim \frac{n^{2n}}{(\pi e)^{2n}}.$$
 (4)

This property will be used later. We begin by deriving a new formula for B_n . Then in Theorem 1, we use our formula to prove that f(x) is unbounded.

Lemma 1.
$$B_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{f(k) - kf(k-1)}{(k-n)!} \text{ for } n \ge 2.$$

Proof. Consider the generating function of the Bernoulli numbers,

$$g(x) := \frac{x}{e^x - 1},$$

whose Taylor series at x = -1 is

$$g(x) = \frac{e}{e-1} - \frac{e(e-2)}{(e-1)^2}(x+1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{f(n) - nf(n-1)}{n!}(x+1)^n.$$
 (5)

The Taylor coefficients at n = 0 and n = 1 are calculated directly. To obtain the coefficients when $n \ge 2$, we use

$$g^{(n)}(-1) = \frac{d^{n}}{dx^{n}} \left[\frac{-x}{1-e^{x}} \right]_{x=-1} = \frac{d^{n}}{dx^{n}} \left[-x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{mx} \right]_{x=-1}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{n} e^{-m} - n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{n-1} e^{-m}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{n} e^{-m} - n! \right) - n \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{n-1} e^{-m} - (n-1)! \right)$$
$$= f(n) - nf(n-1).$$
(6)

Since formula (3) is also valid when x lies in a neighborhood of -1, we can equate the two results:

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}(-1)}{n!} (x+1)^n$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}(-1)}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(k)}(-1)}{(k-n)!} \right] \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Comparing coefficients and then applying (6), we find that for $n \ge 2$,

$$B_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(k)}(-1)}{(k-n)!} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{f(k) - kf(k-1)}{(k-n)!}.$$

Theorem 1. The sequence $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is unbounded.

Proof. We construct a proof by contradiction. Assume that |f(n)| < C for some C > 0 and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$|B_n| \le \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{|f(k) - kf(k-1)|}{(k-n)!} \le \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{C(1+k)}{(k-n)!} \le Ce(n+2).$$

This contradicts the asymptotic $|B_{2n}| \sim n^{2n}/(\pi e)^{2n}$, which holds for *n* sufficiently large.

Remark. Despite the fact that f(n) is unbounded as $n \to \infty$, the ratio f(n)/n! converges to zero. To prove this, we can use residue calculus to show that

$$\frac{f(n)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{z^{-n-1}}{1 - e^{z-1}} \,\mathrm{d}z,$$

where $\gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 2\}$. We then employ the triangle inequality and numerical integration to obtain the crude upper bound $|f(n)|/n! \le 0.82 \times 2^{-n}$. In fact, it is possible to develop a much sharper upper bound using formula (12) below.

Theorem 2. The function f(x) has infinitely many zeros.

Proof. First notice that $f(2) \approx -0.0077$ and $f(3) \approx 0.0065$, so by continuity f(x) has at least one zero in the interval (2, 3). To prove that the function has infinitely many zeros, we proceed by contradiction.

Assume that f has only finitely many zeros. Then for any sufficiently large integer m, the elements of the set $\{f(m), f(m + 1), f(m + 2), ...\}$ all have the same sign. Now consider the function

$$h(x) := \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{e^x - 1},$$

which has the Taylor series

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{e-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(k)}{k!} (x+1)^k.$$
 (7)

Differentiating m times gives

$$h^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{f(k)}{(k-m)!} (x+1)^{k-m}.$$
(8)

If the elements of the set $\{f(m), f(m+1), ...\}$ are strictly positive, then (8) becomes a sum over positive numbers whenever $x \in (-1, 0)$, and it follows that $h^{(m)}(x)$ is strictly positive. If we notice that

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{x}{e^x - 1} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} x^{n-1},$$

then we also have

$$h^{(m)}(x) = -\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-m-1)!} x^{n-m-1}.$$
(9)

The key observation is that formulas (8) and (9) have overlapping domains of convergence on the negative real axis near the origin. If x is a sufficiently small

negative real number, then (9) implies

$$h^{(m)}(x) \approx -\frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1},$$

but (8) guarantees

$$h^{(m)}(x) > 0.$$

This is a contradiction, because Bernoulli numbers assume both positive and negative values as *m* increases. We can deal with the case where $\{f(m), f(m+1), ...\}$ are strictly negative in a similar manner.

In Theorem 2 we proved that f(x) has infinitely many real zeros. In fact, we can be much more precise about the locations of the zeros. If x_j denotes the *j*-th positive real zero of f(x) such that $f(x_j) = 0$, then we expect that

$$x_j \approx -1 + \frac{\pi(2j+1)}{2\arctan(2\pi)}.$$
(10)

The first approximation gives $x_1 \approx 2.335...$, and this is reasonably close to the true value $x_1 = 2.306...$ We have observed numerically that the approximations become more accurate for large values of *j*. To derive (10), consider an identity which is valid for Re(x) > 0 and $\text{Re}(\mu) > 0$:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(x+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{x} e^{-\mu k} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\mu + 2\pi i k)^{x+1}}.$$
 (11)

Formula (11) is a special case of an identity due to Lipschitz [Rademacher 1973, p. 77], and follows from the Poisson summation formula. Set $\mu = 1$ and take the real part of both sides to obtain

$$\frac{f(x)}{\Gamma(x+1)} = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos((x+1)\arctan(2\pi k))}{(1+4\pi^2 k^2)^{(x+1)/2}}.$$
(12)

Equation (12) converges rapidly, and we can approximate f(x) by truncating the series. The first term gives

$$\frac{f(x)}{\Gamma(x+1)} \approx 2 \frac{\cos((x+1)\arctan(2\pi))}{(1+4\pi^2)^{(x+1)/2}},$$
(13)

and we immediately recover (10). It is somewhat subtle to determine how often (13) actually provides a good approximation of f(x), and we touch on this point in the next section.

3. A conjecture on the sign of f(n)

A second observation from (13) is that the sign of f(n) should always equal the sign of $\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi))$. We have verified this numerically for $n \le 5000$ in Maple, and as a result we have the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. For all positive integers n,

$$\operatorname{sign} f(n) = \operatorname{sign} \cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi)).$$
(14)

Equivalently, for every positive integer n,

$$\operatorname{sign}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{j} \binom{n+1}{2j} (2\pi)^{2j}\right] = \operatorname{sign}\left[-(e-1)^{n+1}n! + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (e-1)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} \binom{k}{j} (k+1-j)^{n}\right].$$
(15)

Conjecture 1 is easy to check numerically. The main difficulty in actually proving the conjecture is to determine how often (13) leads to a good approximation of f(n). The reason that (14) might fail is because $(n + 1) \arctan(2\pi)$ is unreasonably close to a half-integer multiple of π . This would cause the first term of the infinite series in (12) to nearly vanish, in which case higher-order terms would dominate and the estimate in (13) would fail. Thus we need to rule out the possibility that $(n + 1) \arctan(2\pi)$ is unreasonably close to a half-integer multiple of π . This is equivalent to ruling out the possibility that $\arctan(2\pi)/\pi$ is unreasonably well approximated by rational numbers. Before proceeding, we note that $\arctan(2\pi)/\pi$ is trivially irrational, because otherwise we would have an identity of the form $2\pi = \tan(p\pi/q)$ for some $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, contradicting the transcendence of π .

Lemma 2. Equation (14) is true for any positive integer n which satisfies

$$\left|\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi))\right| > \frac{2.6}{1.98^{n+1}}.$$
 (16)

Proof. First, rewrite (12) as

$$\frac{f(n)}{n!} = 2 \frac{\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi))}{(1+4\pi^2)^{(n+1)/2}} + 2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi k))}{(1+4\pi^2k^2)^{(n+1)/2}}.$$

If the first term on the right dominates, then it follows easily that

$$\operatorname{sign} \frac{f(n)}{n!} = \operatorname{sign} \frac{2 \cos((n+1) \arctan(2\pi))}{(1+4\pi^2)^{(n+1)/2}}$$

and this is equivalent to Conjecture 1. Thus we need to prove

$$\left| 2 \frac{\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi))}{(1+4\pi^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \right| > \left| 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi k))}{(1+4\pi^2 k^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \right|.$$
(17)

Equation (16) easily implies that

$$\left|2\frac{\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi))}{(1+4\pi^2)^{(n+1)/2}}\right| > \frac{5.2}{1.98^{n+1}(1+4\pi^2)^{(n+1)/2}} > \frac{5.2}{12.59^{n+1}}.$$
 (18)

On the other hand, by the triangle inequality

$$\left| 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi k))}{(1+4\pi^2 k^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \right| \le 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+4\pi^2 k^2)^{(n+1)/2}} < \frac{2}{(1+16\pi^2)^{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+4\pi^2 k^2} < \frac{5.2}{(1+16\pi^2)^{(n+1)/2}} < \frac{5.2}{12.6^{n+1}}.$$
(19)

Thus combining (19) and (18) shows that

$$\left|\frac{2\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi))}{(1+4\pi^2)^{(n+1)/2}}\right| - \left|2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{\cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi k))}{(1+4\pi^2k^2)^{(n+1)/2}}\right| > \frac{5.2}{12.59^{n+1}} - \frac{5.2}{12.6^{n+1}} > 0,$$

and (17) follows immediately. Therefore Conjecture 1 is true whenever n is a positive integer for which (16) holds.

It is typically very tricky to determine how well a particular number θ can be approximated by rational numbers. We say that θ has irrationality measure μ if μ is the smallest real number such that

$$\left|\theta - \frac{p}{q}\right| > \frac{1}{q^{\mu}}$$

for all but finitely many pairs $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with q > 0. The Thue–Roth–Siegel theorem guarantees that $\mu = 2$ whenever θ is algebraic and irrational [Roth 1955]. An easy consequence of this theorem is that θ can never be algebraic and have irrationality measure greater than 2. The typical method for proving that particular numbers are *transcendental* is to construct infinite sequences of rational numbers which approximate them too well. Liouville gave the first examples of transcendental

numbers in 1851 [Niven 1956, p. 93]. He proved that numbers like

$$\theta_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{n!}}$$

are always transcendental. Notice that if we set $p_N = \sum_{n=1}^N 10^{N!-n!}$ and $q_N = 10^{N!}$, then it is easy to show that

$$\left|\theta_0 - \frac{p_N}{q_N}\right| \le \frac{2}{q_N^{N+1}}.$$

Given any k > 0, this allows us to construct infinite sequences of rational numbers so that $|\theta_0 - p/q| < 1/q^k$. Numbers with this property are called *Liouville numbers* and are said to have infinite irrationality measure. While a simple counting argument shows that almost all numbers are irrational, the set of Liouville numbers has measure zero inside the irrational numbers. Irrational numbers typically have finite irrationality measures; it is known that π has irrationality measure at most 7.6063 [Salikhov 2008], and log 2 has irrationality measure at most 3.57455391 [Marcovecchio 2009].

Theorem 3. Assume that $\arctan(2\pi)/\pi$ has finite irrationality measure. Then Conjecture 1 is true for n sufficiently large.

Proof. Assume that (16) fails for some integer n. Then we have

$$\frac{2.6}{1.98^{n+1}} \ge \left| \cos((n+1)\arctan(2\pi)) \right| = \left| \sin((n+1)\arctan(2\pi) - \frac{\pi}{2} - \pi j) \right|$$

for any integer *j*. Select *j* so that $z \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, where *z* is the argument of the sine function. Elementary estimates show that $|\sin z| \ge 2|z|/\pi$. Thus

$$\frac{2.6}{1.98^{n+1}} \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \left| (n+1) \arctan(2\pi) - \frac{\pi}{2} - \pi j \right|,$$

and rearranging gives

$$\frac{1.3}{(n+1)1.98^{n+1}} \ge \left| \frac{\arctan(2\pi)}{\pi} - \frac{2j+1}{2(n+1)} \right|.$$
(20)

If $\arctan(2\pi)/\pi$ has finite irrationality measure, then (20) can only hold for finitely many values of *n*. We conclude that (16) holds for *n* sufficiently large, which implies that Conjecture 1 is also true for *n* sufficiently large.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Andrew Granville and the referee for their help.

65

References

- [Abramowitz and Stegun 1964] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (editors), *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 55, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1964. Reprinted by Dover, New York, 1974. MR 29 #4914 Zbl 0171.38503
- [Marcovecchio 2009] R. Marcovecchio, "The Rhin–Viola method for log 2", *Acta Arith.* **139**:2 (2009), 147–184. MR 2010j:11114 Zbl 1197.11083

[Niven 1956] I. Niven, *Irrational numbers*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs **11**, MAA/Wiley, New York, 1956. MR 18,195c Zbl 0070.27101

[Rademacher 1973] H. Rademacher, *Topics in analytic number theory*, edited by E. Grosswald et al., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **169**, Springer, New York, 1973. MR 51 #358 Zbl 0253.10002

[Roth 1955] K. F. Roth, "Rational approximations to algebraic numbers", *Mathematika* 2 (1955), 1–20; corrigendum, 168. MR 17,242d Zbl 0064.28501

[Salikhov 2008] V. K. Salikhov, "O мере иррациональности числа π ", Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 63:3 (2008), 163–164. Translated as "On the irrationality measure of π " in Russ. Math. Surv. 63:3 (2008), 570–572. MR 2010b:11082 Zbl 1208.11086

[Weisstein 2013] E. W. Weisstein, "Polylogarithm", MathWorld: a Wolfram web resource, 2013, Available at http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Polylogarithm.html.

[Wells 1986] D. Wells, *The Penguin dictionary of curious and interesting numbers*, Penguin, New York, 1986.

Received: 2012-07-17	Revised: 2013-05-25	Accepted: 2013-05-25	
alkadash_leon@web.de	Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel,		
	Christian-Albrech	hts-Platz 4, 24118 Kiel, Germany	

msp

involve

msp.org/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

	BOARD O	FEDITORS	
Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobriel@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University,USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2014 is US \$120/year for the electronic version, and \$165/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY
mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

2014 vol. 7 no. 1

CRISTA ARANGALA, J. TODD LEE AND CHERYL BORDEN	1
A simple agent-based model of malaria transmission investigating intervention methods and acquired immunity KAREN A. YOKLEY, J. TODD LEE, AMANDA K. BROWN, MARY C. MINOR AND GREGORY C. MADER	15
Slide-and-swap permutation groups ONYEBUCHI EKENTA, HAN GIL JANG AND JACOB A. SIEHLER	41
Comparing a series to an integral LEON SIEGEL	57
Some investigations on a class of nonlinear integrodifferential equations on the half-line MARIATERESA BASILE, WOULA THEMISTOCLAKIS AND ANTONIA VECCHIO	67
Homogenization of a nonsymmetric embedding-dimension-three numerical semigroup SEHAM ABDELNABY TAHA AND PEDRO A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ	77
Effective resistance on graphs and the epidemic quasimetric JOSH ERICSON, PIETRO POGGI-CORRADINI AND HAINAN ZHANG	97

