

# involve

a journal of mathematics

The complement of Fermat curves in the plane

Seth Dutter, Melissa Haire and Ariel Setniker





# The complement of Fermat curves in the plane

Seth Dutter, Melissa Haire and Ariel Setniker

(Communicated by Bjorn Poonen)

In this paper we will examine the affine algebraic curves defined on the complement of Fermat curves of degree five or higher in the affine plane. In particular we will bound the height of integral points over an affine curve outside of an exceptional set.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a complete algebraic curve of genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0, and  $U \subset C$  be a nonempty open subset of  $C$ . The goal of this paper is to analyze morphisms

$$\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus V(z(x^n + y^n - z^n)),$$

where  $V(z(x^n + y^n - z^n))$  is the zero set of the polynomial  $z(x^n + y^n - z^n)$  and  $[x : y : z]$  are the projective coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ . Alternatively we can think of such functions as  $U$ -points on the variety  $\mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus V(z(x^n + y^n - z^n))$ . We will call projective curves defined by equations of the form  $x^n + y^n - z^n = 0$  Fermat curves of degree  $n$ .

A conjecture of Vojta [1987, Conjecture 3.4.3 and Proposition 4.1.2] implies that the set of integral points on the complement of a degree 4 divisor with normal crossings in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  is not Zariski dense. Here instead of studying points over  $\mathbb{Z}$  we will be looking at the split function field case of Vojta's conjecture and studying points over  $U$ . Corvaja and Zannier [2008] have proven the particular case when the divisor consists of two lines and a conic section meeting only with normal crossings. This was one of the remaining borderline cases, the other two being the union of a cubic and a line, and a quartic.

The divisor defined by  $(z(x^n + y^n - z^n))$  has degree  $n + 1$  and normal crossings, so we should expect that the set of  $U$ -points is not Zariski dense for  $n \geq 3$ . The techniques employed in this paper are able to establish results for  $n \geq 5$ . A counterexample is given for the case  $n = 2$ , leaving the cases  $n = 3$  and  $n = 4$  unsettled. In particular we will establish the following theorem:

---

*MSC2010:* 14G25.

*Keywords:* Mason's theorem, function field, Fermat curve.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth complete algebraic curve of genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Let  $U \subset C$  be a nonempty open subset and  $m = \#(C \setminus U)$ . For  $n \geq 5$  and any morphism  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus V(z(x^n + y^n - z^n))$  either*

$$h(\phi^*(x/z), \phi^*(y/z), 1) \leq \frac{3(m + \max\{2g - 2, 0\})}{n - 4},$$

or  $\text{Im}(\phi) \subset V((x^n + y^n)(x^n - z^n)(y^n - z^n))$ .

Here  $h$  is the height function over the function field of the curve  $C$  and  $\phi^* : \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(\mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus V(z(x^n + y^n - z^n))) \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_C(U)$  is the morphism of regular functions associated to  $\phi$ . Note that the complement of the line defined by  $z = 0$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ . Therefore we can also interpret our main result as a height bound for  $U$ -points on the complement of an affine Fermat curve in  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ .

In order to establish this bound we will translate the problem into one of solving a diophantine equation over  $\mathbb{O}_C(U)$ . Indeed, let  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus V(z(x^n + y^n - z^n))$ ; then  $\phi^*((x/z)^n + (y/z)^n - 1) \in \mathbb{O}_C(U)^*$  is a unit. For convenience let  $X = \phi^*(x/z)$ ,  $Y = \phi^*(y/z)$ , which after substituting gives us the equation

$$X^n + Y^n - 1 = u$$

for some  $u \in \mathbb{O}_C(U)^*$ . Therefore we can restate our main theorem as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth complete algebraic curve of genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Let  $U \subset C$  be a nonempty open subset and  $m = \#(C \setminus U)$ . If  $X, Y \in \mathbb{O}_C(U)$  and  $u \in \mathbb{O}_C(U)^*$  satisfy*

$$X^n + Y^n - 1 = u$$

for some  $n \geq 5$ , then

$$h(X, Y, 1) \leq \frac{3(m + \max\{2g - 2, 0\})}{n - 4} \tag{1}$$

or  $(X^n + Y^n)(X^n - 1)(Y^n - 1) = 0$ .

It is this version of the theorem that we will prove. After some background material is introduced in the next section, Theorem 1.2 will be proved in Section 3.

## 2. Preliminaries

The main theorems we will need in order to prove (1) are Mason's theorem and its generalization by Masser and Brownawell. Before introducing these theorems, however, we will need to define some terms.

For each point  $p$  on a complete algebraic curve  $C$  there exists a discrete valuation  $v_p : \mathbb{O}_{C,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ , which maps a function that is regular at  $p$  to its order of vanishing at  $p$ . Such valuations naturally extend to the function field  $K$  of  $C$ .

We will use these valuations to define heights which will generalize the notion of degree to a set of rational functions on an algebraic curve.

**Definition 2.1.** The *height* of any finite collection  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in K$ , not all identically 0, is defined by

$$h(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = - \sum_{p \in C} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} v_p(u_j)$$

and has the following properties:

(i) For any nonzero  $\alpha \in K$ ,

$$h(\alpha u_1, \alpha u_2, \dots, \alpha u_n) = h(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n).$$

(ii) For any  $j$ ,

$$h(u_1, \dots, u_j, \dots, u_n) \geq h(u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, \dots, u_n).$$

(iii) For any positive integer  $q$ ,

$$h(u_1^q, u_2^q, \dots, u_n^q) = qh(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n).$$

**Remark 2.2.** Without note we will use the fact that replacing any function in the collection with its negative does not change the height. Likewise any permutation of the collection of functions will not change their height.

When the  $u_i$  are polynomials without any common zero, their height is simply the maximum of their degrees. In this sense height generalizes the notion of degree.

**Definition 2.3.** For  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \subseteq K$  we define the *support* to be

$$\text{Supp}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} = \{p \in C : v_p(u_i) \neq 0 \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

that is, the set of points where at least one  $u_i$  has a zero or pole.

Mason’s theorem and its generalizations give an inequality between the height of a set of linearly dependent rational functions and their support. The particular version that we will need is this:

**Theorem 2.4** [Brownawell and Masser 1986, Theorem B]. *Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in K$  be such that  $u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n = 0$  with no nonempty proper subset of the  $u_i$  adding to 0, and define  $\gamma_s = \frac{1}{2}(s - 1)(s - 2)$  for  $s \geq 1$  and 0 otherwise. Then*

$$h(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \leq \gamma_n \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + \sum_{p \in C} (\gamma_n - \gamma_{r(p)}),$$

where  $r(p)$  is the number of  $u_i$  not supported at  $p$ .

The specialization of this result to the case of three rational functions will be convenient to have:

**Theorem 2.5** (Mason’s theorem). *Let  $n = 3$  and assume the hypothesis and notation of Theorem 2.4. Then*

$$h(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + \#\text{Supp}\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}.$$

### 3. Main results

Throughout this section we will assume that  $C$  is a smooth complete curve of genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0,  $U \subset C$  is a nonempty open subset,  $m = \#(C \setminus U)$ , and  $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}_C(U)$  are regular functions on  $U$ .

As noted in Section 1 it suffices to study the solutions to the equation

$$X^n + Y^n - 1 = u,$$

where  $u \in \mathbb{C}_C(U)^*$ . By Theorem 2.4 we can bound the height,  $h(X^n, Y^n, -1, -u)$ , in terms of an expression involving the number of points in the support of each of these functions. For convenience define

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \text{Supp}\{X\} \cap \text{Supp}\{Y\} \cap U, \\ S_2 &= (\text{Supp}\{X, Y\} \setminus S_1) \cap U. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then we have the following cases:

- (i) If  $p \in S_1$ , precisely 2 of the functions are not supported at  $p$ , so  $r(p) = 2$ . Hence  $\gamma_4 - \gamma_{r(p)} = \gamma_4 - \gamma_2 = 3 - 0 = 3$ .
- (ii) If  $p \in S_2$ , precisely 3 of the functions are not supported at  $p$  so  $\gamma_4 - \gamma_{r(p)} = 2$ .
- (iii) If  $p \in C \setminus U$  we have  $\gamma_4 - \gamma_{r(p)} \leq 3$ , since  $\gamma_{r(p)} \geq 0$  by definition for any  $p$ .
- (iv) For all remaining points,  $p \notin \text{Supp}\{X, Y, u\}$ , so  $\gamma_4 - \gamma_{r(p)} = 0$ .

Thus, provided no nonempty proper subset of  $\{X^n, Y^n, -1, -u\}$  adds to 0, we have

$$h(X^n, Y^n, -1, -u) \leq 3 \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + 3\#S_1 + 2\#S_2 + 3\#(C \setminus U). \tag{3}$$

By definition  $\#(C \setminus U) = m$ , which is fixed by the choice of  $U$ . In order to bound the height it suffices to establish bounds on  $\#S_1$  and  $\#S_2$ . Rather than directly bounding the size of these sets we will instead bound the quantity  $2\#S_1 + \#S_2$ . In particular we will show that  $2\#S_1 + \#S_2 \leq 2h(X, Y, 1)$ . It is necessary to first establish a theorem on the addition of heights. We begin with a fact about minimums.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any real numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ ,*

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_i\} + \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{y_j\} = \min_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \{x_i + y_j\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be the minimum of the  $x_i$ s,  $y$  be the minimum of the  $y_j$ s, and  $x_p + y_q$  be the minimum of the  $(x_i + y_j)$ s. Then  $x \leq x_p$  and  $y \leq y_q$ , so  $x + y \leq x_p + y_q$ . On the other hand,  $x + y \in \{x_i + y_j\}$ . Therefore  $x + y \geq x_p + y_q$ , and equality holds.  $\square$

We are now able to come up with an alternate interpretation for the addition of heights.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  be rational functions on an algebraic curve  $C$  where at least one  $f_i$  and one  $g_j$  are not identically 0. Then*

$$h(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) + h(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = - \sum_{p \in C} \min_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \{v_p(f_i) + v_p(g_j)\}.$$

*Proof.* Immediate by Lemma 3.1 and the definition of the height function.  $\square$

The utility of Corollary 3.2 comes from interpreting it as a type of distributive property. We can see this by noting  $v_p(f_i) + v_p(g_j) = v_p(f_i g_j)$ . The particular case that we are interested in is

$$h(X, Y, 1) + h(X, Y, 1) = h(X^2, XY, X, Y^2, Y, 1). \tag{4}$$

We can now proceed to bound the quantity  $2\#S_1 + \#S_2$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that neither  $X$  nor  $Y$  is identically 0. Then*

$$2\#S_1 + \#S_2 \leq 2h(X, Y, 1).$$

*Proof.* As a result of Corollary 3.2 and Equation (4),

$$2h(X, Y, 1) = h(X^2, XY, X, Y^2, Y, 1).$$

By property (i) of heights (Definition 2.1) we can multiply through by  $(XY)^{-1}$ , giving

$$2h(X, Y, 1) = h\left(\frac{X}{Y}, 1, \frac{1}{Y}, \frac{Y}{X}, \frac{1}{X}, \frac{1}{XY}\right).$$

Now by the definition of height, this is equal to

$$- \sum_{p \in C} \min \left\{ v_p\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right), v_p\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right), v_p\left(\frac{Y}{X}\right), v_p\left(\frac{1}{X}\right), v_p\left(\frac{1}{XY}\right), 0 \right\}.$$

After distributing the negative, we get

$$\sum_{p \in C} \max \left\{ v_p\left(\frac{Y}{X}\right), v_p(Y), v_p\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right), v_p(X), v_p(XY), 0 \right\}.$$

For each  $p \in S_1$  we have  $v_p(XY) \geq 2$  and for each  $p \in S_2$  either  $v_p(X) \geq 1$  or  $v_p(Y) \geq 1$ . Since every term of the sum is nonnegative and  $S_1 \cap S_2$  is empty, it follows that  $2\#S_1 + \#S_2 \leq 2h(X, Y, 1)$ .  $\square$

The previous proposition required the assumption that neither  $X$  nor  $Y$  was identically 0. This is necessary as  $\#\text{Supp}\{0\} = \infty$ . However, Theorem 1.2 still holds if either  $X$  or  $Y$  is 0. In fact a stronger bound holds.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose that  $X^n + Y^n - 1$  is a unit on  $U$  for some  $n \geq 3$  and that at least one of  $X$  or  $Y$  is 0; then*

$$h(X, Y, 1) \leq \frac{m + \max\{2g - 2, 0\}}{n - 2}.$$

*Proof.* If both  $X$  and  $Y$  are 0 the inequality trivially holds. Without a loss of generality we may assume  $X \neq 0$  and  $Y = 0$ , in which case  $X$  satisfies the equation  $X^n - 1 - u = 0$  for some unit  $u \in \mathbb{C}(U)^*$ . Applying Theorem 2.5 we get the inequality

$$h(X^n, -1, -u) \leq \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + \#\text{Supp}\{X, 1, u\}.$$

By an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3,  $\#(\text{Supp}\{X\} \cap U) \leq 2h(X, 1)$ . Therefore we have

$$h(X^n, -1, -u) \leq \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + m + 2h(X, 1).$$

By properties (ii) and (iii) of heights (Definition 2.1),

$$(n - 2)h(X, -1) \leq \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + m.$$

Since  $h(X, 0, -1) = h(X, -1)$  and  $Y = 0$ ,

$$h(X, Y, 1) \leq \frac{m + \max\{2g - 2, 0\}}{n - 2},$$

as claimed. □

Now that we have bounds established we are able to give a proof of Theorem 1.2, from which Theorem 1.1 immediately follows.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We only need to demonstrate the case where neither  $X$  nor  $Y$  is 0, since otherwise Proposition 3.4 gives a stronger inequality. If some nonempty proper subset of  $\{X^n, Y^n, -1, -u\}$  adds to 0 then  $(X^n + Y^n)(X^n - 1)(Y^n - 1) = 0$ . Therefore we suppose that no nonempty proper subset adds to 0 and apply Theorem 2.4 to get (3):

$$h(X^n, Y^n, -1, -u) \leq 3 \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + 3\#S_1 + 2\#S_2 + 3\#(C \setminus U).$$

Next we simplify this inequality by applying properties (ii) and (iii) of heights:

$$nh(X, Y, 1) \leq 3 \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + 3\#S_1 + 2\#S_2 + 3\#(C \setminus U).$$



Since  $3\#S_1 + 2\#S_2 \leq 2(2\#S_1 + \#S_2)$  we can apply Proposition 3.3 to get

$$nh(X, Y, 1) \leq 3 \max\{2g - 2, 0\} + 4h(X, Y, 1) + 3m.$$

Provided  $n \geq 5$  we can solve for  $h(X, Y, 1)$  and get

$$h(X, Y, 1) \leq \frac{3(m + \max\{2g - 2, 0\})}{n - 4}. \quad \square$$

### 4. Discussion

In Theorem 1.1 we were able to get a height bound provided  $n \geq 5$  and that the image of the curve is not contained within a certain set. In this section we will give a geometric interpretation of this exceptional set as well as a proof that no bound can exist when  $n = 2$ .

Recall that a flex of an algebraic curve is a simple point where the tangent line intersects with multiplicity three or higher. Flexes can be computed by finding the zeroes of the Hessian of the defining function in the projective plane (see [Kunz 2005, Theorem 9.7] for details). In the case of Fermat curves the Hessian is

$$\begin{vmatrix} n(n-1)x^{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n(n-1)y^{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -n(n-1)z^{n-2} \end{vmatrix},$$

which is equal to  $-n^3(n-1)^3x^{n-2}y^{n-2}z^{n-2}$ . Therefore all of the flexes lie along the lines  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 0$ , and  $z = 0$ . Substituting each of these into the Fermat equation gives us  $y^n - z^n = 0$ ,  $x^n - z^n = 0$ , and  $x^n + y^n = 0$  respectively. Each of these equations in turn determines  $n$  flexes on the Fermat curve for a total of  $3n$  flexes. Additionally each of these three equations defines the union of  $n$  lines in projective space with each line being tangent to a flex. Returning to the statement of Theorem 1.1 we can see that the exceptional set  $V((x^n + y^n)(x^n - z^n)(y^n - z^n))$  is just the union of the lines tangent to the  $3n$  flexes of the curve.

We can also see that the exclusion of this exceptional set is necessary. For example, let  $\zeta \in k$  be such that  $\zeta^n = 1$ . Then for each positive integer  $q$  the morphism

$$\phi_q : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus V(z(x^n + y^n - z^n))$$

given by  $[u : v] \mapsto [u^q : \zeta v^q : v^q]$  is well-defined and has its image contained within the zero set of  $y^n - z^n$ . Since  $q$  can be any positive integer there cannot be a bound on the height. A similar argument holds for the other components of the exceptional set.

Finally we will show that such height bounds cannot exist if  $n = 2$ . Let  $C = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and  $U = C \setminus \{\infty, 0\}$  with coordinate ring  $\mathbb{O}_C(U) = k[t, t^{-1}]$ . Consider the

diophantine equation

$$X^2 + Y^2 - 1 = t^q,$$

where  $X, Y \in k[t, t^{-1}]$  and  $q$  is any odd positive integer. We can rewrite this as  $(X + iY)(X - iY) = 1 + t^q$ . We then set

$$X + iY = 1 + t \quad \text{and} \quad X - iY = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (-1)^j t^j.$$

Solving for  $X$  and  $Y$  gives

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + t + \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (-1)^j t^j \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = -\frac{i}{2} \left( 1 + t - \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (-1)^j t^j \right).$$

Since  $q$  can be arbitrarily large,  $h(X, Y, 1)$  is unbounded. Moreover the family of rational curves defined by  $(X, Y)$  as  $q$  varies is not contained in any proper closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ . Therefore no similar result can hold for  $n = 2$ .

### Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the support of the University of Wisconsin-Stout. We also thank Christopher Bendel for comments on an earlier version of this manuscript and the referee for pointing out a simplification to the proof of the main theorem. This research was funded in part by NSF grant 1062403.

### References

- [Brownawell and Masser 1986] W. D. Brownawell and D. W. Masser, “Vanishing sums in function fields”, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **100**:3 (1986), 427–434. MR 87k:11080 Zbl 0612.10010
- [Corvaja and Zannier 2008] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, “Some cases of Vojta’s conjecture on integral points over function fields”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **17**:2 (2008), 295–333. MR 2008m:11124 Zbl 1221.11146
- [Kunz 2005] E. Kunz, *Introduction to plane algebraic curves*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2005. MR 2006b:14001 Zbl 1078.14041
- [Vojta 1987] P. Vojta, *Diophantine approximations and value distribution theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1239**, Springer, Berlin, 1987. MR 91k:11049 Zbl 0609.14011

Received: 2012-08-31    Revised: 2013-01-20    Accepted: 2013-01-21

dutters@uwstout.edu

*Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie, WI 54751, United States*

Melissa.Haire@gordon.edu

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Gordon College, Wenham, MA 01984, United States*

asetniker09@wou.edu

*Mathematics Department, Western Oregon University, Monmouth, OR 97361, United States*

# involve

msp.org/involve

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moselehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsteam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnrit
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

## PRODUCTION


Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2014 is US \$120/year for the electronic version, and \$165/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2014

vol. 7

no. 5

Infinite cardinalities in the Hausdorff metric geometry ALEXANDER ZUPAN	585
Computing positive semidefinite minimum rank for small graphs STEVEN OSBORNE AND NATHAN WARNBERG	595
The complement of Fermat curves in the plane SETH DUTTER, MELISSA HAIRE AND ARIEL SETNIKER	611
Quadratic forms representing all primes JUSTIN DEBENEDETTO	619
Counting matrices over a finite field with all eigenvalues in the field LISA KAYLOR AND DAVID OFFNER	627
A not-so-simple Lie bracket expansion JULIE BEIER AND MCCABE OLSEN	647
On the omega values of generators of embedding dimension-three numerical monoids generated by an interval SCOTT T. CHAPMAN, WALTER PUCKETT AND KATY SHOUR	657
Matrix coefficients of depth-zero supercuspidal representations of $GL(2)$ ANDREW KNIGHTLY AND CARL RAGSDALE	669
The sock matching problem SARAH GILLIAND, CHARLES JOHNSON, SAM RUSH AND DEBORAH WOOD	691
Superlinear convergence via mixed generalized quasilinearization method and generalized monotone method VINCHENCIA ANDERSON, COURTNEY BETTIS, SHALA BROWN, JACQKIS DAVIS, NAEEM TULL-WALKER, VINODH CHELLAMUTHU AND AGHALAYA S. VATSALA	699



1944-4176(2014)7:5;1-4