

# involve

a journal of mathematics

More explicit formulas  
for Bernoulli and Euler numbers

Francesca Romano



# More explicit formulas for Bernoulli and Euler numbers

Francesca Romano

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

By directly considering Taylor coefficients and composite generating functions, we employ a generalized Faà di Bruno formula for higher partial derivatives using vector partitions to obtain identities that include explicit formulas for the Bernoulli and Euler numbers. The formulas we obtain are generalized analogs of the formulas obtained by D. C. Vella.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to extend the results of Vella [2008] using vector partitions. Recall that the sequences of Bernoulli numbers  $B_n$  and Euler numbers  $E_n$  have exponential generating functions  $x/(e^x - 1)$  and  $\operatorname{sech} x$  respectively. Vella obtained the identities

$$B_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{n}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{n}{\pi},$$

$$B_n = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{1+m} S(n, m),$$

$$E_n = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \text{even parts}}} (-1)^m \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{n}{\pi} = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n \\ \text{even parts}}} (-1)^m \binom{n}{\pi},$$

$$E_n = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} (-1)^m m! S(n, m, \text{even}),$$

$$1 = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq j} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2j, 2r} \\ \text{odd parts}}} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{2j}{\pi} \prod_{s=0}^j [E_{2s}]^{\pi_{2s+1}} \quad \text{for all } j > 0,$$

MSC2010: primary 11B68; secondary 05A15.

Keywords: Bernoulli numbers, Euler numbers, multivariable calculus.

where  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is the set of integer partitions of  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is the set of all ordered partitions (i.e., compositions) of  $n$ ,  $m$  is the length of  $\pi$ ,  $\lambda(\pi)$  is the multiset of multiplicities of  $\pi$ ,  $S(n, m)$  is the Stirling number of the second kind, that is, the number of ways of partitioning a set of  $n$  elements into exactly  $m$  nonempty subsets, and  $S(n, m, \text{even})$  is the number of ways of partitioning a set of  $n$  elements into exactly  $m$  nonempty subsets each with even cardinality.

Let

$$h_B(x_1, \dots, x_v) = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_v}{e^{x_1 + \dots + x_v} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_E(x_1, \dots, x_v) = \operatorname{sech}(x_1 + \dots + x_v)$$

be functions from  $\mathbb{R}^v$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a multiindex  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$  we consider the generalized Bernoulli number  $B_\alpha$  to be  $\alpha!$  times the  $\alpha$ -th Taylor coefficient of  $h_B$ . We define generalized Euler numbers analogously. These generalized Bernoulli numbers and Euler numbers were recently introduced and studied in [Di Nardo and Oliva 2012] in connection with multivariable Lévy processes. Note that although it wasn't explicitly said in [Di Nardo and Oliva 2012],  $B_\alpha = B_{|\alpha|}$ , where  $|\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^v \alpha_k$ , and thus  $B_\alpha$  is simply the  $|\alpha|$ -th Bernoulli number. Also notice that if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$  and  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  then  $B_\alpha = B_\beta$ . The same can also be said for the Euler numbers. In the present paper, we prove the precise analogues of the identities above for these Bernoulli and Euler numbers by applying the multivariable Faà di Bruno formula found in [Constantine and Savits 1996].

The point of view adopted in [Vella 2008] is that thinking explicitly about Taylor coefficients yields tools with a lot of combinatorial leverage. The results of the present paper rely even more heavily on this point of view. For example, it would be interesting to have a combinatorial interpretation for the analogue of  $S(n, m)$  that appears in our new formulas, but we obtain these formulas without such a combinatorial interpretation.

## 2. Notation and review of vector partitions

In this section, we fix notation that parallels that used in [Constantine and Savits 1996] but will in the end yield formulas looking like those in [Vella 2008]. We also restate the results from [Constantine and Savits 1996] in our notation. Below let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of natural numbers,  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the set of nonnegative integers. We regard finite cartesian powers, such as  $\mathbb{N}_0^v$ , and  $\mathbb{N}^v$ , where  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ , as sitting in the natural way in the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^v$  throughout.

Since the generalized Faà di Bruno formula found in [Constantine and Savits 1996] is expressed as a sum over the vector partitions of  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$ , we begin with a review of the vector partition notation we have adopted in this paper. A *vector partition*  $\pi = (\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s; \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_s)$  of  $\alpha$  is a multiset of *vector parts*  $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_s \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$  and their respective *vector multiplicities*  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s \in \mathbb{N}_0^\mu$  with

$\mu, s \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{m}_i = \mathbf{m} = (r_1, \dots, r_\mu) \in \mathbb{N}_0^\mu, \quad |\mathbf{m}_i| = \sum_{j=1}^\mu m_{ij} > 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^s |\mathbf{m}_i| \mathbf{p}_i = \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Additionally, we require that the parts are lexicographically ordered, that is,

$$\mathbf{0} \prec \mathbf{p}_1 \prec \cdots \prec \mathbf{p}_s,$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_i \prec \mathbf{p}_j$  means  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j$  satisfy one of the following:

- $|\mathbf{p}_i| < |\mathbf{p}_j|$ .
- $|\mathbf{p}_i| = |\mathbf{p}_j|$  and  $p_{i1} < p_{j1}$ .
- $|\mathbf{p}_i| = |\mathbf{p}_j|$  and  $p_{i1} = p_{j1}, p_{i2} = p_{j2}, \dots, p_{ik} = p_{jk}$  and  $p_{i(k+1)} < p_{j(k+1)}$  for some  $1 \leq k < v$ .

One readily checks that  $\prec$  defines a total ordering on  $\mathbb{N}_0^v$ .

The set of vector partitions of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  of size  $s$  and total multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}$  is denoted by  $p_s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ . Note that the size  $s$  is the number of vector parts in the partition and this number differs from the total multiplicity of the partition. We let

$$p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) = \bigcup_{s=1}^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} p_s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) \quad \text{and} \quad p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}),$$

and we always set  $0^0 = 1$ . The above definitions can be clarified by working through the example below.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $v = 3$  and  $\mu = 2$ . Take  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (1, 2, 1)$  where  $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = 4$ . We will verify that

$$\pi = ((1, 1), (1, 0); (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1)) \in p_2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}),$$

where  $\mathbf{m} = (2, 1)$ . First observe that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbf{m}_i = (1, 1) + (1, 0) = (2, 1) = \mathbf{m}$  and  $|\mathbf{m}_1| = 2 > 0$  and  $|\mathbf{m}_2| = 1 > 0$ . Now observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 |\mathbf{m}_i| \mathbf{p}_i = 2(0, 1, 0) + 1(1, 0, 1) = (1, 2, 1) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Finally, our last condition is met because  $\mathbf{p}_1 \prec \mathbf{p}_2$  since  $|\mathbf{p}_1| = 1 < 2 = |\mathbf{p}_2|$ .

We will also make use of ordered vector partitions of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . The set of *ordered vector partitions* of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  of total multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}$  is denoted by  $s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ . In order to define  $s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ , we must first define the following:

$$s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) = \left\{ (\mathbf{p}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{p}_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_\mu}^{(\mu)}) : \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}_0^v \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\mu \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right\}.$$

This allows us to define our set of ordered vector partitions as follows:

$$s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) = \{(\mathbf{p}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{p}_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_\mu}^{(\mu)}) \in s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) : \\ \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} \neq \mathbf{0}, i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}, j \in \{1, \dots, r_i\}\}.$$

We let  $s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ . The definition of an ordered vector partition of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  of total multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}$  can be clarified by working through the example below.

**Example 2.2.** Take  $v = 3$  and  $\mu = 2$ , as before, with  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (1, 2, 1)$ . We will first verify that  $\pi = ((0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1); (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0)) \in s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$  where  $\mathbf{m} = (2, 1)$ . Notice that the size of this ordered partition is 4, but  $|\mathbf{m}| = 3$ . Now observe that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} = (0, 1, 0) + (1, 0, 1) + (0, 1, 0) + (0, 0, 0) = (1, 2, 1) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . Now we can construct an element  $\pi' \in s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$  by removing all elements of  $\pi$  equal to  $(0, 0, 0)$ . Thus  $\pi' = ((0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1); (0, 1, 0)) \in s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ . Notice that  $\pi$  is a different element of  $s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$  than  $\pi'' = ((1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0); (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0))$  and yields an element of  $s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$  not equal to  $\pi'$ .

### 3. The generalized Faà di Bruno formula

We begin this section by restating the multiindex notation found on page 504 in [Constantine and Savits 1996], which will be used in the generalized Faà di Bruno formula. In what follows, let  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_v) \in \mathbb{R}^v$  and

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}! = \prod_{i=1}^v (\alpha_i!), \quad \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \prod_{i=1}^v x_i^{\alpha_i}, \\ D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{0}} = \text{identity operator}, \quad D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial x_v^{\alpha_v}} \quad \text{for } |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| > 0.$$

Note that for  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$ , we write  $\mathbf{w} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$  if  $w_k \leq \alpha_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, v$ . A function  $h$  is an element of  $C_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbf{x}^0)$  if  $D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{w}} h$  exists and is continuous in a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{x}^0$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . Additionally, a function  $h$  is an element of  $C^n(\mathbf{x}^0)$  if  $h \in C_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^0)$  for all  $|\mathbf{w}| \leq n$ .

Now let  $g : \mathbb{R}^v \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\mu$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be functions and  $h : \mathbb{R}^v \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  their composition; that is, let

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_v) = f[g^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_v), \dots, g^{(\mu)}(x_1, \dots, x_v)].$$

Assume that  $\mathbf{0} \neq \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$  and  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_v^0) \in \mathbb{R}^v$  are given,  $g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(\mu)} \in C_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbf{x}^0)$  and  $f \in C^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|}(y^0)$ , where  $y^0 = (g^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}^0), \dots, g^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{x}^0))$ . Then, setting  $h_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} h(\mathbf{x}^0)$ ,  $f_{\mathbf{m}} = D_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{m}} f(y^0)$ ,  $g_{\mathbf{k}}^{(i)} = D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{k}} g^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}^0)$ , and  $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{k}} = (g_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}, \dots, g_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mu)})$ , we can state the generalized Faà di Bruno formula that appears as the main result (Theorem 2.1) of [Constantine and Savits 1996]:

$$\text{Theorem 3.1.} \quad h_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{s=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\pi \in p_s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} (\alpha!) \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j}}{(\mathbf{m}_j!)[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}}.$$

The proof of the above theorem found in [Constantine and Savits 1996] takes into account issues of convergence. Now we can rigorously rewrite this generalized formula to resemble the single variable formula used in [Vella 2008]. First let

$$\binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \frac{\alpha!}{\pi!}, \quad \pi! = \prod_{j=1}^s [\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\pi)! = \prod_{j=1}^s (\mathbf{m}_j!).$$

Now observe,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha} &= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{s=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\pi \in p_s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} (\alpha!) \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j}}{(\mathbf{m}_j!)[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} (\alpha!) f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j}}{(\mathbf{m}_j!)[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{\alpha!}{\prod_{j=1}^s (\mathbf{m}_j!)[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} f_{\mathbf{m}} \prod_{j=1}^s [\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{\binom{\alpha}{\pi}}{\lambda(\pi)!} f_{\mathbf{m}} \prod_{j=1}^s [\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Our formula for Taylor coefficients of  $h_{\alpha}$  follows:

**Corollary 3.2.**

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) = \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} T_{\mathbf{m}}(f; \mathbf{y}^0) \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} [T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g^{(k)}; \mathbf{x}^0)]^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k}. \tag{2}$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from (1), since

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) &= \frac{h_{\alpha}}{\alpha!} = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\pi! \lambda(\pi)!} \prod_{j=1}^s [\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{\mathbf{m}! f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}! \lambda(\pi)!} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j}}{[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\mu} [\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k}}{[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{\sum_{k=1}^{\mu} (\mathbf{m}_j)_k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} \left( \frac{g_{\mathbf{p}_j}^{(k)}}{p_j!} \right)^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} \left( \frac{g_{\mathbf{p}_j}^{(k)}}{p_j!} \right)^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} T_{\mathbf{m}}(f; \mathbf{y}^0) \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} [T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g^{(k)}; \mathbf{x}^0)]^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We will also want to make use of the generalized Faà di Bruno formula that considered ordered vector partitions. This is given by Theorem 3.4 of [Constantine and Savits 1996]:

$$\text{Theorem 3.3.} \quad h_{\alpha} = \alpha! \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]}. \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Proposition 3.4.} \quad T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) = \sum_{s^+(\alpha)} T_{\mathbf{m}}(f; \mathbf{y}^0) \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} T_{\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}}(g^{(i)}; \mathbf{x}^0).$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from (3) by substituting formulas 3.3 and 3.8 of [Constantine and Savits 1996] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) &= \frac{h_{\alpha}}{\alpha!} = \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{[\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j}}{(\mathbf{m}_j!) [\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} \frac{\mathbf{m}! f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{[\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{p}_j}]^{\mathbf{m}_j}}{(\mathbf{m}_j!) [\mathbf{p}_j!]^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]} \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]}.
\end{aligned}$$

We used formula 3.3 in going from the first line to the second, and formula 3.8 in going from the third to the fourth.  $\square$

#### 4. More Bernoulli and Euler number identities

Recall from Section 1 that if

$$h_B(x_1, \dots, x_v) = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_v}{e^{x_1 + \dots + x_v} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_E(x_1, \dots, x_v) = \operatorname{sech}(x_1 + \dots + x_v),$$

then the  $\alpha$ -th generalized Bernoulli and Euler numbers are  $B_\alpha = \alpha! T_\alpha(h_B; \mathbf{0})$  and  $E_\alpha = \alpha! T_\alpha(h_E; \mathbf{0})$  respectively. In [Vella 2008], the Bernoulli and Euler number identities are expressed in terms of Stirling numbers of the second kind. In this section, we will derive more Bernoulli and Euler number identities using the multivariable analog of these Stirling numbers.

Recall the *multivariable Stirling number of the second kind*,

$$S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \alpha! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}_j! (p_j!)^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \frac{\alpha!}{\lambda(\pi)! \pi!}, \quad (4)$$

introduced on page 516 of [Constantine and Savits 1996]. Additionally, we define

$$p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}) = \{(\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s; \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_s) \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) : |\mathbf{p}_j| \text{ even},$$

for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}\},$

$$s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}) = \{(\mathbf{p}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{p}_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_\mu}^{(\mu)}) \in s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) : |\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}| \text{ even},$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, r_\mu\}\}.$

We analogously define  $p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{odd})$  and  $s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{odd})$ . Let

$$p(\alpha, \text{even}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}),$$

$$s^+(\alpha, \text{even}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}),$$

and similarly define  $p(\alpha, \text{odd})$  and  $s^+(\alpha, \text{odd})$ . We call the  $\mathbf{p}_i$  appearing in elements of  $p(\alpha, \text{even})$  and  $p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even})$  *even parts* of  $\alpha$ , and we define *odd parts* of  $\alpha$  in the same manner. Furthermore, let

$$S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}) = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even})} \alpha! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}_j! (p_j!)^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even})} \frac{\alpha!}{\lambda(\pi)! \pi!}, \quad (5)$$

and similarly define  $S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{odd})$ .

Our next theorem gives more explicit identities for calculating Bernoulli numbers.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $B_\alpha$  is the  $|\alpha|$ -th Bernoulli number, then*

$$(a) \quad B_\alpha = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{\alpha}{\pi},$$

$$(b) \quad B_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{1+m} S(\alpha, m).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_v) = e^{x_1 + \dots + x_v} - 1$  and  $f(y) = \ln(1+y)/y$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^v$ . Then  $T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0}) = 1/p_j!$  if  $p_j > 0$ , while  $T_m(f; \mathbf{y}^0) = T_m(f; \mathbf{0}) = (-1)^m/(1+m)$ . By Corollary 3.2,

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \frac{1}{p_j!} \right]^{m_j}.$$

Since  $B_{\alpha} = \alpha! T_{\alpha}(f \circ g; \mathbf{0})$ , this yields part (a) because

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha} &= \alpha! T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{\alpha!}{\pi!} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.4. Part (b) follows from part (a) because

$$m! S(\alpha, m) = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi}$$

by (4). Collecting together partitions of a fixed total multiplicity yields:

$$B_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{1+m} S(\alpha, m). \quad \square$$

Our next theorem gives more explicit identities for calculating Euler numbers.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $E_{\alpha}$  is the  $|\alpha|$ -th Euler number, then*

- $$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad E_{\alpha} &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{\pi}, \\ (b) \quad E_{\alpha} &= \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m m! S(\alpha, m, \text{even}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_v) = \cosh(x_1, \dots, x_v)$  and  $f(y) = 1/y$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^v$ . Then  $T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0}) = 1/p_j!$  for even parts and  $T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0}) = 0$  for odd parts, while  $T_m(f; \mathbf{y}^0) = T_m(f; 1) = (-1)^m$ . From Corollary 3.2, we have

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s [T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0})]^{m_j},$$

but if any of the parts of  $\pi$  are odd, the product vanishes. Thus, the sum becomes over partitions of only even parts, and

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m, \text{even})} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \frac{1}{p_j!} \right]^{m_j}.$$

Since  $E_{\alpha} = \alpha! T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0})$ , this yields part (a) because

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha} &= \alpha! T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m, \text{even})} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{\alpha!}{\pi!} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.4. Part (b) follows from part (a) because

$$m! S(\alpha, m) = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi}$$

by (5). Collecting together partitions of a fixed total multiplicity yields

$$E_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m m! S(\alpha, m, \text{even}). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $E_{\alpha}$  is the  $|\alpha|$ -th Euler number, then*

$$1 = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, 2r, \text{odd})} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \prod_{j=1}^s [E_{p_j}]^{m_j}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_v) = 2 \tan^{-1}(e^{x_1 + \dots + x_v}) - \pi/2$  be the multivariable analogue of the gudermannian function and set  $f(y) = \sec y$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ . Notice that  $h(x_1, \dots, x_v) = \sec(g(x_1, \dots, x_v)) = \cosh(x_1 + \dots + x_v)$ . Then  $T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) = T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = 1/\alpha!$  when  $|\alpha|$  is even and  $T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = 0$  otherwise, while

$$T_m(f; \mathbf{y}^0) = T_m(f; \mathbf{0}) = \frac{(-1)^{m/2}}{m!} E_m$$

when  $m$  is even and  $T_m(f; \mathbf{0}) = 0$  when  $m$  is odd. Letting  $m = 2r$ , we substitute

$$T_{2r}(f; \mathbf{0}) = \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r}$$

into (2) of Corollary 3.2 to yield

$$\frac{1}{\alpha!} = \sum_{1 \leq 2r \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, 2r)} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s [T_{p_j}(g; \mathbf{x}^0)]^{m_j}.$$

From the basic properties of the gudermannian function,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_v) &= \int_0^{x_i} \operatorname{sech}(x_1 + \dots + x_v) dx_i \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_v=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{(j_1, \dots, j_v)}}{j_1! \cdots j_v!} \int_0^{x_i} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_v^{j_v} dx_i \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_v=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{(j_1, \dots, j_v)}}{j_1! \cdots (j_i + 1)! \cdots j_v!} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_i^{j_i+1} \cdots x_v^{j_v}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$T_{(j_1, \dots, j_i+1, \dots, j_v)}(g; \mathbf{x}^0) = T_{(j_1, \dots, j_i+1, \dots, j_v)}(g; \mathbf{0}) = \frac{E_{(j_1, \dots, j_v)}}{j_1! \cdots (j_i + 1)! \cdots j_v!}.$$

It follows that  $T_{(j_1, \dots, j_i+1, \dots, j_v)}(g; \mathbf{x}^0) = 0$  unless  $|(j_1, \dots, j_i+1, \dots, j_v)|$  is odd because formula (a) of Theorem 4.2 implies that either  $E_{(j_1, \dots, j_v)} = 0$  or it is possible to write  $(j_1, \dots, j_v)$  as the sum of only even parts. It follows that

$$1 = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, 2r, \text{odd})} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \prod_{j=1}^s [E_{p_j}]^{m_j}. \quad \square$$

### Acknowledgements

The author thanks David Vella for visiting Siena College to speak about the results of his work [2008], which inspired the current paper. The author also thanks Jon P. Bannon of Siena College for serving as a mentor to this research project and thanks Siena College for funding this project.

### References

- [Constantine and Savits 1996] G. M. Constantine and T. H. Savits, “A multivariate Faà di Bruno formula with applications”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348**:2 (1996), 503–520. MR 96g:05008 Zbl 0846.05003
- [Di Nardo and Oliva 2012] E. Di Nardo and I. Oliva, “Multivariate Bernoulli and Euler polynomials via Lévy processes”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**:9 (2012), 1179–1184. MR 2930742 Zbl 1250.65015
- [Vella 2008] D. C. Vella, “Explicit formulas for Bernoulli and Euler numbers”, *Integers* **8** (2008), A01, 7. MR 2008j:11015 Zbl 1195.11033

Received: 2013-06-03      Revised: 2013-08-04      Accepted: 2013-09-24

[fm20roma@siena.edu](mailto:fm20roma@siena.edu)

*Department of Mathematics, Siena College,  
Loudonville, NY 12211, United States*

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, [berenhs@wfu.edu](mailto:berenhs@wfu.edu)

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA <a href="mailto:colin.c.adams@williams.edu">colin.c.adams@williams.edu</a>	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:larson@math.tamu.edu">larson@math.tamu.edu</a>
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA <a href="mailto:baxley@wfu.edu">baxley@wfu.edu</a>	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA <a href="mailto:lenhart@math.utk.edu">lenhart@math.utk.edu</a>
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA <a href="mailto:benjamin@hmc.edu">benjamin@hmc.edu</a>	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:ccli@math.wm.edu">ccli@math.wm.edu</a>
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA <a href="mailto:bohner@mst.edu">bohner@mst.edu</a>	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:lund@clemson.edu">lund@clemson.edu</a>
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA <a href="mailto:boston@math.wisc.edu">boston@math.wisc.edu</a>	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand <a href="mailto:g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz">g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz</a>
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:budhiraj@email.unc.edu">budhiraj@email.unc.edu</a>	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA <a href="mailto:meyer@stat.colostate.edu">meyer@stat.colostate.edu</a>
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia <a href="mailto:P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au">P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au</a>	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria <a href="mailto:eminchev@hotmail.com">eminchev@hotmail.com</a>
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA <a href="mailto:scott.chapman@shsu.edu">scott.chapman@shsu.edu</a>	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA <a href="mailto:frank.morgan@williams.edu">frank.morgan@williams.edu</a>
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA <a href="mailto:cooper@math.sc.edu">cooper@math.sc.edu</a>	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran <a href="mailto:moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir">moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir</a>
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA <a href="mailto:corcoran@colorado.edu">corcoran@colorado.edu</a>	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA <a href="mailto:znashed@mail.ucf.edu">znashed@mail.ucf.edu</a>
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA <a href="mailto:tdiagana@howard.edu">tdiagana@howard.edu</a>	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:ono@mathcs.emory.edu">ono@mathcs.emory.edu</a>
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA <a href="mailto:mdorff@math.byu.edu">mdorff@math.byu.edu</a>	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA <a href="mailto:tobriel@luc.edu">tobriel@luc.edu</a>
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia <a href="mailto:sever@matilda.vu.edu.au">sever@matilda.vu.edu.au</a>	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA <a href="mailto:orourke@cs.smith.edu">orourke@cs.smith.edu</a>
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE <a href="mailto:bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae">bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae</a>	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA <a href="mailto:peres@microsoft.com">peres@microsoft.com</a>
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam <a href="mailto:foisyj@potsdam.edu">foisyj@potsdam.edu</a>	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland <a href="mailto:petermann@math.unige.ch">petermann@math.unige.ch</a>
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:fulp@wfu.edu">fulp@wfu.edu</a>	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:plemmons@wfu.edu">plemmons@wfu.edu</a>
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA <a href="mailto:jgallian@d.umn.edu">jgallian@d.umn.edu</a>	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA <a href="mailto:carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu">carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu</a>
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA <a href="mailto:stephan.garcia@pomona.edu">stephan.garcia@pomona.edu</a>	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA <a href="mailto:vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu">vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu</a>
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA <a href="mailto:godbole@etsu.edu">godbole@etsu.edu</a>	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:poonen@math.berkeley.edu">poonen@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:rg@mathcs.emory.edu">rg@mathcs.emory.edu</a>	James Propp	UMass Lowell, USA <a href="mailto:jpropp@cs.uml.edu">jpropp@cs.uml.edu</a>
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada <a href="mailto:andrew@dms.umontreal.ca">andrew@dms.umontreal.ca</a>	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA <a href="mailto:przytyck@gwu.edu">przytyck@gwu.edu</a>
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA <a href="mailto:griggs@math.sc.edu">griggs@math.sc.edu</a>	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA <a href="mailto:rrebarbe@math.unl.edu">rrebarbe@math.unl.edu</a>
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:sngupta@uncg.edu">sngupta@uncg.edu</a>	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA <a href="mailto:rwr@cs.uga.edu">rwr@cs.uga.edu</a>
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA <a href="mailto:jhaglund@math.upenn.edu">jhaglund@math.upenn.edu</a>	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:f_saidak@uncg.edu">f_saidak@uncg.edu</a>
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA <a href="mailto:johnny_henderson@baylor.edu">johnny_henderson@baylor.edu</a>	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA <a href="mailto:sellersj@math.psu.edu">sellersj@math.psu.edu</a>
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College <a href="mailto:jhoste@pitzer.edu">jhoste@pitzer.edu</a>	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor <a href="mailto:andy@ajsterge.com">andy@ajsterge.com</a>
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:nahritonenko@pvamu.edu">nahritonenko@pvamu.edu</a>	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA <a href="mailto:atrenk@wellesley.edu">atrenk@wellesley.edu</a>
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA <a href="mailto:hurlbert@asu.edu">hurlbert@asu.edu</a>	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:vakil@math.stanford.edu">vakil@math.stanford.edu</a>
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:cjohnson@math.wm.edu">cjohnson@math.wm.edu</a>	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy <a href="mailto:antonia.vecchio@cnr.it">antonia.vecchio@cnr.it</a>
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:kk@ces.clemson.edu">kk@ces.clemson.edu</a>	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA <a href="mailto:verma99@msn.com">verma99@msn.com</a>
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA <a href="mailto:gladas@math.uri.edu">gladas@math.uri.edu</a>	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:wierman@jhu.edu">wierman@jhu.edu</a>
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA <a href="mailto:zieve@umich.edu">zieve@umich.edu</a>

### PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or [msp.org/involve](http://msp.org/involve) for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2015 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$190/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2015

vol. 8

no. 2

Enhancing multiple testing: two applications of the probability of correct selection statistic ERIN IRWIN AND JASON WILSON	181
On attractors and their basins ALEXANDER ARBIETO AND DAVI OBATA	195
Convergence of the maximum zeros of a class of Fibonacci-type polynomials REBECCA GRIDER AND KRISTI KARBER	211
Iteration digraphs of a linear function HANNAH ROBERTS	221
Numerical integration of rational bubble functions with multiple singularities MICHAEL SCHNEIER	233
Finite groups with some weakly $s$ -permutably embedded and weakly $s$ -supplemented subgroups GUO ZHONG, XUANLONG MA, SHIXUN LIN, JIAYI XIA AND JIANXING JIN	253
Ordering graphs in a normalized singular value measure CHARLES R. JOHNSON, BRIAN LINS, VICTOR LUO AND SEAN MEEHAN	263
More explicit formulas for Bernoulli and Euler numbers FRANCESCA ROMANO	275
Crossings of complex line segments SAMULI LEPPÄNEN	285
On the $\varepsilon$ -ascent chromatic index of complete graphs JEAN A. BREYTENBACH AND C. M. (KIEKA) MYNHARDT	295
Bisection envelopes NOAH FECHTOR-PRADINES	307
Degree 14 2-adic fields CHAD AWTREY, NICOLE MILES, JONATHAN MILSTEAD, CHRISTOPHER SHILL AND ERIN STROSNIDER	329
Counting set classes with Burnside's lemma JOSHUA CASE, LORI KOBAN AND JORDAN LEGRAND	337
Border rank of ternary trilinear forms and the $j$ -invariant DEREK ALLUMS AND JOSEPH M. LANDSBERG	345
On the least prime congruent to 1 modulo $n$ JACKSON S. MORROW	357