

# involve

a journal of mathematics

Colorability and determinants of  $T(m, n, r, s)$  twisted torus  
knots for  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$

Matt DeLong, Matthew Russell and Jonathan Schrock



# Colorability and determinants of $T(m, n, r, s)$ twisted torus knots for $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$

Matt DeLong, Matthew Russell and Jonathan Schrock

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We develop theorems to compute the  $p$ -colorability of the families of  $T(m, n, r, s)$  twisted torus knots for  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$  by finding their determinants. Instead of the usual method of reducing crossing matrices to find the determinant, we describe a new method that is applicable for braid representations with full cycles and twists.

## 1. Introduction

In an undergraduate research project, Breiland, Oesper and Taalman [Breiland et al. 2009] used determinants to completely characterize the  $p$ -colorability of torus knots. Conceptually, twisted torus knots, a recent addition to the field first described by Dean [1996], are derived from torus knots. Thus, studying the determinants and  $p$ -colorability of twisted torus knots is a natural extension of [Breiland et al. 2009].

In our paper, we develop theorems for calculating the determinant of certain families of twisted torus knots  $T(m, n, r, s)$ , namely, when  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ . Table 1 presents a summary of our results. The columns for  $m$ ,  $r$ , and  $s$  give the parity of those parameters (if the column for  $s$  is left blank, that means the parity of  $s$  has no effect on the formula for the determinant). The second column relates  $n$  to  $m$ , and the final column gives the determinant.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 provides background information and previously known results. Section 3 introduces a new method of finding the determinant of twisted torus knots and proves some preliminary results. In Section 4 we prove our main results. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude with suggestions for further research.

## 2. Background

**2A. Torus knots and twisted torus knots.** For  $m, n$  relatively prime, let  $T(m, n)$  represent the torus knot that circles the meridian of a torus  $m$  times and the longitude

---

*MSC2010:* primary 57M27; secondary 11C20, 05C15.

*Keywords:* knot theory, determinants, colorability, twisted torus knots.


$m$	$n$	$r$	$s$	$\det(T(m, n, r, s))$
even	$mq \pm 1$	even		$ mq \pm 1 + rs \pm (m - r)qrs $
even	$mq \pm 1$	odd	odd	$ r \pm (mr - r^2 + 1)q $
even	$mq \pm 1$	odd	even	$ mq \pm 1 $
odd	$2mq \pm 1$	even		$ rs \pm 1 $
odd	$2mq \pm 1$	odd	odd	$r$
odd	$2mq \pm 1$	odd	even	$1$
odd	$(2q + 1)m \pm 1$	even		$ m \mp (m - r)rs $
odd	$(2q + 1)m \pm 1$	odd	odd	$ mr - r^2 + 1 $
odd	$(2q + 1)m \pm 1$	odd	even	$m$

**Table 1.** Summary of determinants of  $T(m, n, r, s)$  twisted torus knots with  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ .

of a torus  $n$  times [Adams 2004].  $T(m, n)$  is the closure of the braid with  $m$  strands and  $n$  cycles, where we define a *cycle* on  $m$  strands as the passing of the right-most strand over the remaining  $m - 1$  strands.

A *twisted torus knot* can be constructed by beginning with the braid representation of a  $T(m, n)$  torus knot and then performing  $s$  full twists on  $r$  parallel strands [Champanerkar et al. 2004]. We denote a twisted torus knot by  $T(m, n, r, s)$ , where  $m$  is the total number of strands in the braid representation,  $n$  is the number of cycles on the  $m$  strands,  $r$  is the number of strands to be twisted, and  $s$  is the number of full twists on the  $r$  strands, as in Figure 1. Obviously,  $m$  and  $r$  must be positive and  $r \leq m$ . Both  $n$  and  $s$  can be positive or negative; hence there are four possibilities for the signs of the parameters. However, the determinant and  $p$ -colorability are the same for a knot and its mirror image, so we assume that  $n$  is positive throughout.

An important equivalence that we will use several times is described in the following theorem, which was shown by Dean [1996] for  $s = \pm 1$ . His arguments can be extended to any value for  $s$ .



**Figure 1.** The  $T(5, 4)$  torus knot changed into a  $T(5, 4, 3, 1)$  twisted torus knot.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The  $T(m, n, r, s)$  twisted torus knot is equivalent to the  $T(n, m, r, s)$  twisted torus knot.*

**2B. Colorability and determinants.** A knot is  $p$ -colorable if the strands in a projection of the knot can be labeled according to the following three conditions [Livingston 1993]. The first is that each strand must be labeled with an integer from 0 to  $p - 1$ . The second requires that at least two labels are distinct. The third requires that

$$x + y - 2z \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \tag{1}$$

at each crossing, where  $z$  is the label of the overstrand and  $x$  and  $y$  are the labels of the two understrands [loc. cit.]. Note that if a knot is colorable for some prime  $p$ , then it is colorable for any multiple of  $p$ .

A knot is  $p$ -colorable if and only if  $p$  divides the determinant of the knot. The *determinant* of a knot is the absolute value of the determinant of a minor crossing matrix constructed by removing a row and a column from the crossing matrix of a projection of the knot. A crossing matrix is a matrix representing the system of equations determined by requirement (1) at each crossing of a projection of the knot [loc. cit.].

The following result of Breiland et al. [2009] completely characterizes the colorability of torus knots. Recall that  $T(m, n)$  and  $T(n, m)$  are the same knot, so only two cases need to be considered.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T(m, n)$  be a torus knot and  $p$  a prime:*

- (i) *If  $m$  and  $n$  are both odd, then  $T(m, n)$  is not  $p$ -colorable.*
- (ii) *If  $m$  is odd and  $n$  is even, then  $T(m, n)$  is  $p$ -colorable if and only if  $p \mid m$ .*

Their proof was a direct consequence of the following lemma, which they proved by evaluating Alexander polynomials at  $t = -1$  [Livingston 1993].

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any torus knot  $T(m, n)$ ,*

- (i) *if  $m$  and  $n$  are odd, then  $\det(T(m, n)) = 1$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $m$  is odd and  $n$  is even, then  $\det(T(m, n)) = m$ .*

### 3. Methods

**3A. Computer experimentation.** We wrote a program in Matlab that input the four parameters of a twisted torus knot and output the determinant of a minor crossing matrix of the knot, which is equal to the determinant of the knot up to sign. Table 2 is a sample of the program’s output. The boldface lines identify the beginning of a new “family”, where we fix  $m$ ,  $n$ , and  $r$ , and let  $s$  vary.

$m$	$n$	$r$	$s$	$\det(C)$
<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
4	3	2	2	-1
4	3	2	3	-3
4	3	2	4	-5
4	3	2	5	-7
<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
4	3	3	2	3
4	3	3	3	1
4	3	3	4	3
4	3	3	5	1
<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
5	3	2	2	3
5	3	2	3	5
5	3	2	4	7
5	3	2	5	9

$m$	$n$	$r$	$s$	$\det(C)$
<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-3</b>
5	3	3	2	-1
5	3	3	3	-3
5	3	3	4	-1
5	3	3	5	-3
<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-1</b>
5	3	4	2	-1
5	3	4	3	-1
5	3	4	4	-1
5	3	4	5	-1
<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>
5	4	2	2	17
5	4	2	3	23
5	4	2	4	29
5	4	2	5	35

**Table 2.** Experimental data on the determinants of twisted torus knot minor crossing matrices.


When  $r$  is even, the computed determinants of the  $T(m, n, r, s)$  twisted torus knots form an arithmetic progression in  $s$ . When  $r$  is odd, the computed determinants oscillate between two values as  $s$  varies. Two questions naturally arise: what determines the starting values and differences in the progressions and what determines the values in the oscillations? In trying to answer these questions, we were able to make conjectures for several families of twisted torus knots. The next two subsections develop the techniques that we used to prove our conjectures.

**3B. Definitions and notation.** We define a *coloring vector* as a vector

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

that lists the colors of  $m$  strands of a twisted torus knot from right to left between two consecutive cycles (for example, see the top of Figure 2). We also define a *coloring matrix* as a matrix that operates on a coloring vector according to the coloring relation (1). A coloring matrix represents the changes that occur to the colors on the  $m$  strands after a specified number of cycles and/or twists.

We define  $\Gamma_m$  to be the coloring matrix that represents the change after one cycle of  $m$  strands. Therefore, for a twisted torus knot with  $m$  strands and  $n$  cycles, the coloring matrix that represents the changes through the torus part (the part above the twists) of the knot is  $\Gamma_m^n$ . The  $\Gamma_m$  matrix representing one cycle of an arbitrary



**Figure 2.** One cycle of an arbitrary knot.

knot is an  $m \times m$  matrix of the form

$$\Gamma_m = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$


as can be seen from [Figure 2](#) (see also [\[Breiland et al. 2009\]](#)).

We define  $\chi_r$  as a coloring matrix that represents the change that occurs after one full twist of  $r$  strands in the lower part of a twisted torus knot projection. By definition,  $\chi_r = \Gamma_r^r$  since there will be  $r$  cycles on  $r$  strands in one full twist. Later in this section we will explore special properties of some powers of  $\chi_r$  matrices. Some of these properties have previously been stated by Przytycki [\[1998\]](#), using  $n$ -moves and half-twists.

Throughout, we will use  $\chi_r$  to symbolize the  $r \times r$  matrix that represents the changes occurring on only the  $r$  strands that are being twisted and also to symbolize the  $m \times m$  matrix that represents the changes on all  $m$  strands in the lower part of the diagram. In this case, the rightmost  $m - r$  strands are left unchanged, so this matrix will contain the original  $\chi_r$  matrix in the lower right, while also having 1s in the main diagonal from the upper left corner down to the start of the original  $\chi_r$  matrix. We hope that the distinction will be clear from the context.

If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_i$  are coloring matrices that represent all of the changes that occur to the coloring vectors, in order, from the top of a projection of a twisted torus knot to the bottom, then we can form an overall coloring matrix for the twisted torus knot  $A = A_i A_{i-1} \dots A_1$ . Then, if  $\mathbf{x}$  is the coloring vector at the top of the projection, the coloring vector  $\mathbf{x}'$  at the bottom of the projection can be found using  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}' \pmod p$ . Thus, the twisted torus knot can be colored if and only if there exists a nonconstant vector  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} \pmod p$ . In our calculations,  $A$  is generally equal to  $\chi_r^s \Gamma_m^n$  for the twisted torus knot  $T(m, n, r, s)$ . For an example, see [Figure 3](#).

**3C. Determinants.** The usual method of assessing  $p$ -colorability of a knot depends on the fact that the system of equations obtained from the coloring relation [\(1\)](#)



**Figure 3.** Coloring matrices for the  $T(5, 4, 3, 1)$  twisted torus knot.

at each crossing has a nontrivial solution mod  $p$  if and only if any minor of the crossing matrix of the knot has determinant divisible by  $p$  [Livingston 1993]. Here we describe a slightly different method for finding the determinant of a twisted torus knot that utilizes coloring matrices rather than crossing matrices. This method has the advantage of dealing with much smaller matrices, which have some very nice forms and useful properties.

Recall that a knot has a nontrivial  $p$ -coloring if and only if there is a nonconstant vector  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{x} \pmod{p}$  for the coloring matrix  $A$ . So, we analyze the system of equations  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ , where  $B = A - I$ . Our treatment below of the matrix  $B$  mimics the usual treatment of a crossing matrix to find the determinant of a knot, as explained, for example, in [Livingston 1993].

First note that any constant vector  $\mathbf{x}$  satisfies  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ , and so the system  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has nontrivial solutions. However, when considering colorability, we are only looking for nonconstant solutions. By linearity, any two solutions to  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  can be added to yield another solution. Hence, if there were a nonconstant solution to  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ , then there must be one with  $x_i = 0$  for any choice of  $i$ .

Second, since the system  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has nontrivial solutions, the rows of  $B$  are linearly dependent. Moreover, as can be seen from the forms of the coloring matrices given in the sequel, and remembering that  $B = A - I$ , the matrix  $B$  has the property that multiplying every other row in the matrix by  $-1$  results in a matrix whose rows sum to the zero vector. This yields a dependence relation involving all the rows of  $B$ , and so any one of the equations represented by the matrix  $B$  is a result of the others.

Taking the two previous observations together, we note that in looking for nonconstant solutions, we can delete any row and any column from  $B$ , forming a minor that we denote as  $B'$ . Then, the knot has a nontrivial  $p$ -coloring if and only if  $p$  divides the determinant of  $B'$ . Moreover, since the matrix obtained from  $B$  by multiplying every other row by  $-1$  has the property that any row and any column sums to 0, the mod  $p$  rank is independent of which row and column are deleted [Livingston 1993].

This construction is the same as the “black-box approach” used by Kauffman and Lopes [2009] to find determinants of rational knots. There they argue that the absolute value of the determinant of what we are calling  $B'$  is equal to the classical determinant of the knot. We also note that the details of Oesper’s calculation [2005] of determinants of weaving knots show concretely, in a similar setting to ours, how the classical determinant is obtained from the determinant of a minor of what we are calling a coloring matrix.

**3D. Forms of matrices.** Recall that the coloring matrix  $\chi_k$  corresponds to a full twist on  $k$  strands. The form of  $\chi_k$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

when  $k$  is odd, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 & 3 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 3 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

when  $k$  is even, as can be shown by induction.

**3E. Properties of coloring matrices.** Let  $\chi_k$  be a coloring matrix, with  $k$  odd. Then,  $\chi_k$  has the form (3). Squaring this immediately yields the following lemma. Its corollary is similar to a result of Przytycki [1998].

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $k$  odd, we have  $\chi_k^2 = I_k$ .*

**Corollary 3.2.** *An even twist of an odd number of strands applied to a  $p$ -colorable torus knot or twisted torus knot will result in a new knot that is also  $p$ -colorable.*

*Proof.* Since  $\chi_k^2 = I_k$  for  $k$  odd, it follows that any even twist of an odd number of strands will have the same colors at the top and bottom. □

By induction, one can see that the coloring matrix  $\chi_k^q$  for  $k$  even will have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q + 1 & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ 2q & -2q + 1 & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ 2q & -2q & 2q + 1 & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q + 1 & -2q \\ 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q + 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

Given this result, we can immediately prove another lemma. Again, a result similar to its corollary was also demonstrated by Przytycki [1998].

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $k$  even, we have  $\chi_k^q \equiv I_k \pmod q$ .*

Obviously, we could have stated that for  $k$  even,  $\chi_k^q \equiv I_k \pmod{2q}$ . However, in this paper, we will only utilize the result as given in the lemma.

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the original torus knot was  $p$ -colorable, twisting an even number of strands  $s$  times, where  $p \mid s$ , will result in another  $p$ -colorable knot.*

*Proof.* We have  $\chi_k^s = \chi_k^{pj}$  for some  $j$ . Then,  $\chi_k^{pj} = I_k^j = I_k \pmod p$ . Therefore, when coloring mod  $p$ , the same colors will appear at the top and bottom of the twist.  $\square$

In our proofs, we will use a few special powers of the  $\Gamma_m$  matrices, which we now calculate. First, we find  $\Gamma_m^{mq+1}$  for  $m$  even. This is equal to  $\Gamma_m^{mq} \Gamma_m = \chi_m^q \Gamma_m$ . This is (5) times (2), which is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q + 2 & -2q - 1 & 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q \\ 2q + 2 & -2q & 2q - 1 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q \\ 2q + 2 & -2q & 2q & -2q - 1 & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q \\ 2q + 2 & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q + 2 & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q - 1 & -2q \\ 2q + 2 & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q - 1 \\ 2q + 1 & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Here, we exhibit the form of  $\Gamma_m^{mq-1}$  for  $m$  even, which is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q + 1 \\ 2q - 1 & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q + 2 \\ 2q & -2q - 1 & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q + 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q + 2 \\ 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q - 1 & -2q + 2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

When we multiply (7) by (2), we obtain (5). Therefore, the matrix (7) has been shown to be  $\Gamma_m^{mq-1}$  since we have  $\Gamma_m^{mq-1} \Gamma_m = \Gamma_m^{mq} = \chi_m^q$  and  $\Gamma_m$  is invertible.

Finally, we calculate  $\Gamma_m^{2mq\pm 1}$  for  $m$  odd. Since  $\chi_m^{2q} = I_m$ ,

$$\Gamma_m^{2mq+1} = \Gamma_m^{2mq} \Gamma_m = I_m \Gamma_m = \Gamma_m. \tag{8}$$

Also,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

times (2) is equal to  $I_m$ . Thus (9) is equal to  $\Gamma_m^{2mq-1}$  since  $\Gamma_m^{2mq-1} \Gamma_m = \Gamma_m^{2mq} = I_m$ .

### 4. Results

We now calculate the determinants of  $T(m, n, r, s)$ , for some families of the parameters. We find  $A = \chi_r^s \Gamma_m^n$  and then use the process from Section 3C to find the determinant of the knot by finding the determinant of a minor of  $A - I$ , which we do by row reduction. We use the second definition of  $\chi_r$  matrices given in Section 3B — that is, a  $\chi_r$  matrix is an  $m \times m$  matrix that contains  $m - r$  1s along the main diagonal and the rest of the nonzero entries in the lower right of the matrix. For  $r$  even, we have

$$\chi_r^s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2s + 1 & -2s & \cdots & 2s & -2s \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2s & -2s + 1 & \cdots & 2s & -2s \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2s & -2s & \cdots & 2s + 1 & -2s \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2s & -2s & \cdots & 2s & -2s + 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Recall from Lemma 3.1 that  $\chi_r^2 = I_r$  for  $r$  odd. For  $r, s$  odd we have

$$\chi_r^s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -2 & \cdots & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & -3 & \cdots & -2 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & -2 & \cdots & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & -2 & \cdots & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

**4A.  $T(m, mq + 1, r, s)$  family with  $m$  even.** By [Theorem 2.1](#), the  $T(4, 5, 2, s)$  family of twisted torus knots is the same as the  $T(5, 4, 2, s)$  family of twisted torus knots. By [Table 2](#), we see that this family has determinants in an arithmetic progression with starting value 5 (the determinant of  $T(4, 5)$ ) and difference 6. This is a special case of the following theorem, which states that related families of twisted torus knots will have determinants in arithmetic progressions with starting values at the determinant of the (untwisted) torus knot and a difference that depends on  $m, n, r$ , and  $s$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *A  $T(m, mq + 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m, r$  even and  $m > r$ , has determinant  $\Delta = |mq + 1 + rs + (m - r)qr s|$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix [\(10\)](#) on the right by  $\Gamma_m^{mq+1}$  [\(6\)](#), yielding

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q+2 & -2q-1 & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ 2q+2 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q+2 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-1 & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ 2q+2s+2 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q-2s-1 & 2q+2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q-2s \\ 2q+2s+2 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s-1 & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q-2s \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q+2s+2 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q-2s-1 \\ 2q+2s+1 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q-2s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $R_{m-r+1}$  is the first row with entries that contain an  $s$ . We subtract  $I_m$  and remove the first row and column:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2q-1 & 2q-1 & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ -2q & 2q-1 & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q-1 & 2q-1 & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-1 & -2q-2s-1 & 2q+2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q-2s \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q-2s-1 & 2q+2s-1 & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q-2s \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & \cdots & 2q+2s-1 & -2q-2s-1 \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q-2s-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To find the determinant of this matrix, we use elementary row operations to convert the matrix into an upper triangular matrix, whose determinant we can then easily compute by taking the product of the diagonal entries. Using the row operations

$R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - R_2, R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_3, \dots, R_{m-2} \rightarrow R_{m-2} - R_{m-1}$  yields the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1+2s & -2s & \cdots & 2s & -2s & 2s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -\alpha & \alpha & \cdots & -\alpha & \alpha & -\alpha - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha = 2q + 2s$ . (Note that the entries  $\pm 2s$  occur in row  $R_{m-r-1}$ .) We now reduce the last row using

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(m-r)/2} 2iq(R_{2i} - R_{2i-1}),$$

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(r-2)/2} ((m-r)(1+2is)q + 2i(q+s))(R_{m-r+2i} - R_{m-r+2i-1}).$$

This leaves us with

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1+2s & -2s & \cdots & 2s & -2s & 2s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \Delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\Delta = -1 - 2q - 2s - q(2s)(m-r) - ((m-r)(1+(r-2)s)q + (r-2)(q+s)).$$

The determinant of this upper triangular matrix is  $\Delta$  since there are an even number of  $-1$ s along the diagonal. We can rewrite  $\Delta$  as  $-1 - mq - rs - (m - r)qrs$ . As we explained in [Section 3C](#), the determinant of the knot is the absolute value of the determinant of this matrix, so it follows that the determinant of the knot is equal to  $|1 + mq + rs + (m - r)qrs|$ .  $\square$

For these values of  $m$  and  $n$  but odd  $r$ , a different phenomenon results. For example, the  $T(5, 4, 3, s)$  family has determinants that oscillate between 5 (the determinant of  $T(5, 4)$ ) and 7. Next we show that this is representative of related families of twisted torus knots, which have determinants that oscillate between the determinant of the untwisted knot and another value that depends on  $m$ ,  $n$ , and  $r$ . We first prove the following lemma for  $s = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *A  $T(m, mq + 1, r, 1)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m$  even and  $r$  odd, has determinant  $\Delta = |r + (mr - r^2 + 1)q|$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix by  $\Gamma_m^{mq+1}$ . This is (11) times (6), which equals

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q+2 & -2q-1 & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ 2q+2 & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q+2 & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-1 & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-1 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+3 & \cdots & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-1 & -2q+3 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-2 & -2q+3 \\ 2q+1 & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note the change from row  $R_{m-r}$  to  $R_{m-r+1}$ . Subtract  $I_m$  and remove the first row and column:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2q-1 & 2q-1 & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ -2q & 2q-1 & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q-1 & -2q-1 & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-1 & 2q-1 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-3 & -2q+3 & \cdots & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-1 & -2q+2 \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-3 & -2q+3 \\ -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & \cdots & 2q-2 & -2q+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Reducing with  $R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - R_2, R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_3, \dots, R_{m-2} \rightarrow R_{m-2} - R_{m-1}$  gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & \dots & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the row containing the  $\pm 2s$  is  $R_{m-r-1}$ . We now reduce the last row using

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(m-r-1)/2} 2iq(R_{2i} - R_{2i-1}),$$

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(r-3)/2} (((2i+1)(m-r)+1)q+2i)R_{m-r+2i}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{(r-1)/2} (((2i-1)(m-r)+1)q+2i)R_{m-r+2i-1}.$$

We now have the upper triangular matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & \dots & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \Delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\Delta = 1 - 2q - 2(m-r-1)q + ((r-2)(m-r)+1)q + r - 3 - 2(((r-2)(m-r)+1) + r - 1).$$

Since there are an even number of  $-1$ s on the diagonal, the determinant is  $\Delta$ , which simplifies to  $-r - (mr - r^2 + 1)q$ . The determinant of the knot is then  $|r + (mr - r^2 + 1)q|$ .  $\square$

This immediately leads into a theorem:

**Theorem 4.3.** *A  $T(m, mq + 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m$  even and  $r$  odd, has determinant  $\Delta = |r + (mr - r^2 + 1)q|$  if  $s$  is odd, and determinant  $\Delta = |mq + 1|$  if  $s$  is even.*

*Proof.* If  $s$  is odd,  $\chi_r^s$  will equal the one used in the proof of Lemma 4.2, so the determinant of  $T(m, mq + 1, r, s)$  would equal that of  $T(m, mq + 1, r, 1)$ . If  $s$  is even,  $\chi_r^s$  will be the identity, so the determinant of the knot would simply be the determinant of the  $T(m, mq + 1)$  torus knot, which is  $mq + 1$  by Lemma 2.3, since  $m$  is even and  $mq + 1$  is odd.  $\square$

**4B.  $T(m, mq - 1, r, s)$  family with  $m$  even.** We now proceed to investigate a similar family to the one just analyzed. In these proofs, instead of using some power of  $\Gamma_m$  that has a diagonal with  $-1$ s in it to the upper right of the main diagonal, as in (6), we utilize different powers of  $\Gamma_m$  that have the property that there is a diagonal with  $-1$ s in it to the lower left of the main diagonal, as in (7). By glancing at the values for the  $T(4, 3, 2, s)$  family in Table 2, we conjecture that we will have an arithmetic progression beginning at the determinant of the  $T(4, 3)$  torus knot. We now prove that this is the case.

**Theorem 4.4.** *A  $T(m, mq - 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m, r$  even, has determinant  $\Delta = |mq - 1 + rs - (m-r)qrs|$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix by  $\Gamma_m^{mq-1}$ . This will be (10) times (7), which is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q+1 \\ 2q-1 & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q+2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q-1 & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s-1 & 2q+2s & -2q-2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s-1 & -2q-2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & -2q-2s-1 & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q+2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & -2q-2s & \cdots & 2q+2s & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & -2q-2s & \cdots & 2q+2s-1 & -2q+2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We subtract  $I_m$  from this. At this point, instead of deleting the first row and column as we have done previously, we choose to remove the last row and column:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q-1 & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q \\ 2q-1 & -2q-1 & \cdots & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q-1 & -2q-1 & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s-1 & 2q+2s-1 & \cdots & -2q-2s & 2q+2s \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s-1 & \cdots & -2q-2s & 2q+2s \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & \cdots & -2q-2s-1 & 2q+2s \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & 2q & -2q-2s & 2q+2s & \cdots & -2q-2s-1 & 2q+2s-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first row with entries containing a term with an  $s$  is  $R_{m-r+1}$ . We now reduce using the row operations

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\rightarrow R_2 - R_3, & R_3 &\rightarrow R_3 - R_4, & \dots, & R_{m-2} &\rightarrow R_{m-2} - R_{m-1}, \\ R_{m-1} &\rightarrow R_{m-1} - R_1, & R_1 &\rightarrow R_1 + R_{m-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Additionally, we cyclically permute the rows by moving  $R_1$  to the bottom, while shifting all of the other rows up by one. This puts the diagonal of  $-1$ s on the main diagonal using an even number of switches. Thus, the determinant remains unchanged. The matrix becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2s & -2s+1 & 2s & \cdots & -2s & 2s & -2s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -2s & 2s & -2s & \cdots & 2s & -2s-1 & 2s-1 \\ 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & 2q & -\alpha & \alpha & -\alpha & \cdots & \alpha & -\alpha-1 & \alpha-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $R_{m-r-1}$  is the first row with entries  $\pm 2s$ . (As before,  $\alpha = 2q + 2s$ .) We now reduce  $R_{m-2}$  with

$$R_{m-2} \rightarrow R_{m-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{(m-2)/2} R_{2i-1}.$$

We then reduce  $R_{m-1}$  with

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{m-1} &\rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(m-r-2)/2} 2iq(R_{2i-1} - R_{2i}) + (m-r)qR_{m-r-1}, \\
 R_{m-1} &\rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r/2} ((m-r)(2iqs - q) - (2i-2)q - 2is)R_{m-r-2+2i}, \\
 R_{m-1} &\rightarrow R_{m-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{(r-2)/2} ((m-r)(2iqs - q) - 2iq - 2is)R_{m-r-1+2i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we have successfully reduced the matrix into an upper-triangular matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2s & -2s + 1 & 2s & \cdots & -2s & 2s & -2s \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \Delta
 \end{pmatrix}.$$

with determinant

$$\Delta = 2q + 2s - 1 - 2s(m-r)q - ((m-r)((r-2)qs - q) - (r-2)q - (r-2)s).$$

As before, there are an even number of  $-1$ s on the diagonal, and the row operations did not affect the determinant. Simplifying  $\Delta$ , the determinant of the knot is  $|-1 + mq + rs - (m-r)qrs|$ . □

To investigate this family when  $r$  is odd, we begin with a lemma for the case  $s = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *A  $T(m, mq - 1, r, 1)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m$  even and  $r$  odd, has determinant  $\Delta = |r - (mr - r^2 + 1)q|$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix by  $\Gamma_m^{mq-1}$ . This is (11) multiplied by (7), which gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q+1 \\ 2q-1 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q+2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q-1 & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-1 & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+3 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+3 & 2q-2 & -2q+2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-1 & -2q+2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in the previous proof, we delete the last row and column after subtracting  $I_m$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q-1 & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q \\ 2q-1 & -2q-1 & \cdots & -2q & 2q & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q-1 & 2q-1 & -2q & 2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-1 & -2q+1 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+3 & 2q-3 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & 2q-1 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+2 & 2q-2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+1 & 2q-2 \\ 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & 2q-2 & -2q+2 & 2q-2 & \cdots & -2q+3 & 2q-3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We apply the row operations given in (12). Also,  $R_1$  is moved to the bottom, and the other rows are shifted up one, giving

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 & \cdots & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & -2 & 3 & -3 \\ 2q & -2q & 2q & -2q & \cdots & -2q & \beta & -\beta & \beta & -\beta & \cdots & -\beta & \beta & -\beta+1 & \beta-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $R_{m-r-1}$  contains the sequence of alternating  $\pm 2s$  and  $\beta = 2q - 2$ . The absolute value of the determinant is unchanged by these row operations. To reduce  $R_{m-2}$ , we use

$$R_{m-2} \rightarrow R_{m-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{(m-2)/2} R_{2i-1}.$$

In so doing, we find that adding  $R_{m-r-1}$  to it creates a lot of cancellation. For the last row, we use

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(m-r-1)/2} 2qi(R_{2i-1} - R_{2i}),$$

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{(r-1)/2} (((2i-1)(m-r)+1)q-2i)R_{m-r-2+2i},$$

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{(r-1)/2} (((2i+1)(m-r)-1)q-2i)R_{m-r-1+2i}.$$

Our matrix has been transformed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 & \cdots & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta \end{pmatrix},$$

for

$$\Delta = 2q - 3 - 2(m-r-1)q - (((r-2)(m-r)+1)q - (r-1)) + 2((r(m-r)-1)q - (r-2)).$$

There are  $m-r-1$  entries of  $-1$  on the main diagonal. Since  $m-r-1$  is even, the determinant of this matrix is  $\Delta$ , which simplifies to  $-r + (mr - r^2 + 1)q$ . The determinant of the knot is then  $|r - (mr - r^2 + 1)q|$ . □

As in the proof of [Theorem 4.3](#), this lemma leads directly to a corresponding theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.** *A  $T(m, mq - 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m$  even and  $r$  odd, has determinant  $\Delta = |r - (mr - r^2 + 1)q|$  if  $s$  is odd, and determinant  $\Delta = |mq - 1|$  if  $s$  is even.*

**4C.  $T(m, 2mq + 1, r, s)$  family with  $m$  odd.** Now we begin our discussion of twisted torus knots when both  $m$  and  $n$  are odd. This represents a major change for two reasons. First, the  $T(m, n)$  torus knot that we begin with will no longer be  $p$ -colorable for any  $p$ ; by [Lemma 2.3](#), it will have a determinant of 1. Additionally, the powers of the  $\Gamma_m$  matrices that we use will no longer have  $qs$  in them. However, after examination of [Table 2](#), the trend of having either an oscillating pattern or an arithmetic progression appears to hold when  $m$  and  $n$  are both odd (the determinants of the  $T(5, 3, 4, s)$  family form an arithmetic progression with difference 0). Although the details are slightly different, the methods of this section closely follow those of [Section 4A](#). For space considerations, we suppress the matrices involved and only record the arithmetic details. We trust that the reader could supply the matrices if desired.

**Theorem 4.7.** *A  $T(m, 2mq + 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m$  odd,  $r$  even, and  $m > r$ , has determinant  $\Delta = |rs + 1|$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix by  $\Gamma_m^{2mq+1}$ . By [\(8\)](#), this will be [\(10\)](#) times [\(2\)](#). As we did in [Section 4A](#), we will return to our method of subtracting  $I_m$  and removing the first row and column. We do not have to reduce any of the first  $m - r$  rows, as there are no entries to the left of the long diagonal in these rows. (The first row containing  $2s$  and  $-2s$  happens to be  $R_{m-r}$ .) Therefore, we use a different process of row operations, as we only will work with the last  $r$  rows, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m-r+1} &\rightarrow R_{m-r+1} - R_{m-r+2}, \\ R_{m-r+2} &\rightarrow R_{m-r+2} - R_{m-r+3}, \dots R_{m-2} \rightarrow R_{m-2} - R_{m-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

All that remains is to reduce  $R_{m-1}$ . Our procedure for doing this is

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(r-2)/2} 2si(R_{m-r+2i} - R_{m-r+2i-1}).$$

This converts the matrix into an upper triangular matrix with an odd number of  $-1$ s along the diagonal and  $-\Delta = -2s - 1 - (r - 2)s$  as the only other diagonal entry. The determinant of this matrix is then  $\Delta = 1 + rs$ . The determinant of the knot is thus  $|1 + rs|$ . □

Similarly, we can prove that when  $r$  is odd the determinants will oscillate. However, they now oscillate between 1 and some other value, as the determinant of a  $T(m, 2mq + 1)$  torus knot is 1 by [Lemma 2.3](#), because both  $m$  and  $2mq + 1$  are odd.

**Lemma 4.8.** *A  $T(m, 2mq + 1, r, 1)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m, r$  odd, has determinant  $\Delta = r$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix by  $\Gamma_m^{2mq+1}$ . By (8), we have (11) multiplied by (2). We subtract  $I_m$  and remove the first row and column. Again, we do not have to reduce the first  $m - r$  rows. (The first row with more than two entries is  $R_{m-r}$ .) We use the row operations given in (13) on the remaining rows.

The last row is the only one preventing an upper-triangular matrix. We remedy this with

$$R_{m-1} \rightarrow R_{m-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{(r-3)/2} 2i(R_{m-r+2i} + R_{m-r+2i-1}) - (r-1)R_{m-2}.$$

This leaves an upper triangular matrix with an odd number of  $-1$ s on the diagonal and  $-\Delta$  in the last diagonal entry, where  $-\Delta = 1 + (r-3) - 2(r-1)$ . The determinant of this upper triangular matrix is  $\Delta$ . Fortunately,  $\Delta$  simplifies to  $r$ . The determinant of the knot is then just  $r$ . (Note that  $r$  can never be negative, as it represents the number of strands.)  $\square$

Again this lemma leads to a full theorem.

**Theorem 4.9.** *A  $T(m, 2mq + 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m, r$  odd, has determinant  $\Delta = r$  if  $s$  is odd, and determinant  $\Delta = 1$  if  $s$  is even.*

**4D.  $T(m, 2mq - 1, r, s)$  family with  $m$  odd.** The final family that we will investigate with our procedure is the  $T(m, 2mq - 1, r, s)$  family. In many ways, these proofs correspond to those presented in [Section 4B](#), which deal with the  $T(m, mq - 1, r, s)$  family, just as the proofs from [Section 4C](#) correspond to those from [Section 4A](#). This is due to the fact that the diagonal with  $-1$ s is to the lower left of the main diagonal, instead of the upper right. As in the previous section we suppress the matrices to save space.

**Theorem 4.10.** *A  $T(m, 2mq - 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m$  odd,  $r$  even, and  $m > r$ , has determinant  $\Delta = |rs - 1|$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix by  $\Gamma_m^{2mq-1}$ , which is (10) times (9). As in the proofs of [Theorem 4.4](#) and [Lemma 4.5](#), we opt to delete the last row and column after subtracting  $I_m$ . Here, the first row with entries  $\pm 2s$  is  $R_{m-r+1}$ . In this proof, we use a different method of turning this matrix into a triangular matrix. Instead of subtracting each row from the row above it and ending up with an upper triangular matrix, we choose to subtract each row from the row below it, eventually reaching

a lower triangular matrix. This avoids any need to cyclically permute the rows. Our row operations are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m-1} &\rightarrow R_{m-1} - R_{m-2}, \\ R_{m-2} &\rightarrow R_{m-2} - R_{m-3}, \dots R_{m-r+2} \rightarrow R_{m-r+2} - R_{m-r+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Because of our different procedure, we must reduce  $R_{m-r+1}$  (not  $R_{m-1}$ ). We use

$$R_{m-r+1} \rightarrow R_{m-r+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{(r-2)/2} 2is(R_{m-2i+1} - R_{m-2i}).$$

This gives a lower triangular matrix with an odd number of  $-1$ s along the diagonal and  $-\Delta = 2s - 1 + (r - 2)s$  in row  $R_{m-r+1}$  as the only other entry on the diagonal. The determinant of this matrix is  $\Delta = -1 + rs$ , and so the determinant of the knot is  $|-1 + rs|$ . □

Our final proof of this type investigates a case where  $r$  is odd. Again, we are confirmed by Table 2, in which one family satisfying the following conditions is  $T(5, 3, 3, s)$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** *A  $T(m, 2mq - 1, r, 1)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m, r$  odd, and  $m > r$ , has determinant  $\Delta = r$ .*

*Proof.* Multiply the  $\chi_r^s$  matrix by  $\Gamma_m^{2mq-1}$ . This will be (11) multiplied by (9). As in the proof of Theorem 4.10, we subtract  $I_m$  and remove the last row and column. We again choose to subtract each row (beginning with  $R_{m-r+1}$ ) from the row below it, with the intention of finding a lower-triangular matrix. Our row operations are those given in (14).

All that remains is to reduce  $R_{m-r+1}$ , which we do with

$$R_{m-r+1} \rightarrow R_{m-r+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{(r-1)/2} 2i R_{m-2i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{(r-3)/2} 2i R_{m-2i}.$$

This leaves a lower triangular matrix with an odd number of  $-1$ s along the diagonal, with the only other entry on the diagonal being  $-\Delta = 1 + (r - 3) - 2(r - 1)$  in  $R_{m-r+1}$ . The determinant of this matrix is  $\Delta = r$ . Thus, the determinant of the knot is  $r$  (which is always positive). □

Naturally, this lemma gives a similar theorem.

**Theorem 4.12.** *A  $T(m, 2mq - 1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot, with  $m, r$  odd, has determinant  $\Delta = r$  if  $s$  is odd, and determinant  $\Delta = 1$  if  $s$  is even.*

**4E.**  $T(m, (2q+1)m+1, r, s)$  and  $T(m, (2q+1)m-1, r, s)$  families with  $m$  odd.

In this section, we use our previous results to prove some important corollaries.

**Corollary 4.13.** *The determinant of a  $T(m, (2q+1)m+1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot is  $\Delta = |mr - r^2 + 1|$  for  $m, r, s$  odd, and  $\Delta = m$  for  $m, r$  odd and  $s$  even.*

*Proof.* First, consider the case of  $T(m, m+1, r, s)$ . Using [Theorem 2.1](#), we rewrite this knot as  $T(m+1, m, r, s)$ . By [Theorem 4.6](#), we see that its determinant is  $\Delta = |r - ((m+1)r - r^2 + 1)| = |mr - r^2 + 1|$  for  $s$  odd, and  $\Delta = m$  for  $s$  even. Therefore, these are the determinants for the  $T(m, m+1, r, s)$  knots. Since  $\chi_m^2 = I_m$  by [Lemma 3.1](#), adding  $2qm$  cycles doesn't change the determinant, so  $\det(T(m, (2q+1)m+1, r, s)) = \det(T(m+1, m, r, s))$  for any  $q$ .  $\square$

The following three corollaries similarly follow from [Theorems 4.4, 4.3, and 4.1](#).

**Corollary 4.14.** *The determinant of a  $T(m, (2q+1)m+1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot is  $\Delta = |m - (m-r)rs|$  for  $m$  odd and  $r$  even.*

**Corollary 4.15.** *The determinant of a  $T(m, (2q+1)m-1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot is  $\Delta = |mr - r^2 + 1|$  for  $m, r, s$  odd, and  $\Delta = m$  for  $m, r$  odd and  $s$  even.*

**Corollary 4.16.** *The determinant of a  $T(m, (2q+1)m-1, r, s)$  twisted torus knot is  $\Delta = |m + (m-r)rs|$  for  $m$  odd and  $r$  even.*

These four corollaries, together with the theorems presented in [Sections 4C and 4D](#), complete all cases when  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$  because if  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ , then  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2m}$  or  $n \equiv \pm m + 1 \pmod{2m}$ . The theorems from [Sections 4C and 4D](#) took care of  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2m}$ , while the four corollaries here fully covered the cases  $n \equiv \pm m + 1 \pmod{2m}$ .

**4F. Counting  $p$ -colorings.** The  $p$ -nullity of a knot is the dimension of the mod  $p$  nullspace of a crossing matrix for the knot. A knot with  $p$ -nullity  $n$  has  $p^n - p$  different  $p$ -colorings because there are  $n$  strands that can be assigned any of  $p$  different colors, whereas the remaining strands are then determined (subtracting  $p$  discards the trivial ‘‘colorings’’) [[Brownell et al. 2006](#)]. Two colorings of a knot are *fundamentally different* if they are not simply permutations of each other. If two colorings are fundamentally different, then they belong to different  $p$ -coloring classes; otherwise, they are in the same  $p$ -coloring class. Breiland, et al. [[2009](#)] showed that if a torus knot is  $p$ -colorable, then it has only one nontrivial  $p$ -coloring class. Our methods show a similar result for the twisted torus knots that we analyzed.


**Theorem 4.17.** *If a twisted torus knot  $T(m, n, r, s)$ , with  $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ , is  $p$ -colorable, it has  $p^2 - p$  different  $p$ -colorings, and hence only one nontrivial  $p$ -coloring class.*

*Proof.* In each of our proofs,  $B'$  was converted into a triangular matrix by row reduction. Note that all of the row operations were valid mod  $p$  for any  $p$ , and so the mod  $p$  nullspace of the matrix was unchanged. After reduction, all but one of the entries on the main diagonal were equal to  $\pm 1$ . If the knot being analyzed was  $p$ -colorable — that is, if  $p \mid \Delta$  — then there was only one value on the diagonal of the reduced matrix that was divisible by  $p$ . Thus, in assigning the values of the labels to the top strands, there were two free variables: one for the deleted column, and one for the column containing  $\pm \Delta$ . This implies that the  $p$ -nullity of the knot was 2.  $\square$

### 5. Conclusion

While the theorems presented in this paper provide examples of determinants from each of the possible combinations of the parities of the parameters of twisted torus knots, they do not completely characterize the determinants of all twisted torus knots. A natural goal would be a complete characterization. It may be possible to generalize the methods presented in this paper to all twisted torus knots; however, the families investigated in this paper were chosen because their matrices allowed for straightforward row-reduction schemes.

Future research could also investigate the patterns in labelings of twisted torus knots, two examples of which are shown in Figure 4. Breiland et al. [2009] showed that all possible  $p$ -colorings of a torus knot were equivalent under permutation of



**Figure 4.** A 5-coloring of the  $T(5, 4, 3, 2)$  twisted torus knot and an 11-coloring of the  $T(4, 5, 2, 1)$  twisted torus knot.

the labels to a “main coloring,” which arose from labeling the uppermost strands of their projection with  $0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ , in that order. However, many  $p$ -colorable twisted torus knots cannot be colored in this fashion — for example, the  $T(4, 5, 2, 1)$  twisted torus knot, which has determinant 11 by [Theorem 4.1](#), cannot be 11-colored this way. Alternatively, the  $T(5, 4, 3, 2)$  twisted torus knot, which has determinant 5 by [Corollary 4.15](#), can be 5-colored using the main coloring. It would be interesting to determine which twisted torus knots can be  $p$ -colored using the main coloring.

### Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a Taylor University Step Grant. We are indebted to Thomas Mattman for suggesting this project. We are also grateful to Colin Adams, Thomas Mattman, Laura Taalman, Cornelia Van Cott, and the anonymous referee for providing helpful feedback.

### References

- [Adams 2004] C. C. Adams, *The knot book: An elementary introduction to the mathematical theory of knots*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. [MR 2005b:57009](#) [Zbl 1065.57003](#)
- [Breiland et al. 2009] A.-L. Breiland, L. Oesper, and L. Taalman, “ $p$ -coloring classes of torus knots”, *Missouri J. Math. Sci.* **21**:2 (2009), 120–126. [MR 2010f:57011](#) [Zbl 1175.57011](#)
- [Brownell et al. 2006] K. Brownell, K. O’Neil, and L. Taalman, “Counting  $m$ -coloring classes of knots and links”, *Pi Mu Epsilon Journal* **12**:5 (2006), 265–278.
- [Champanerkar et al. 2004] A. Champanerkar, I. Kofman, and E. Patterson, “The next simplest hyperbolic knots”, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **13**:7 (2004), 965–987. [MR 2005k:57010](#) [Zbl 1064.57003](#)
- [Dean 1996] J. C. Dean, *Hyperbolic knots with small Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 1996.
- [Kauffman and Lopes 2009] L. Kauffman and P. Lopes, “Determinants of rational knots”, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **11**:2 (2009), 111–122. [MR 2010j:57007](#) [Zbl 1207.57020](#)
- [Livingston 1993] C. Livingston, *Knot theory*, Carus Mathematical Monographs **24**, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1993. [MR 94m:57021](#) [Zbl 0887.57008](#)
- [Oesper 2005] L. Oesper,  *$p$ -colorings of weaving knots*, undergraduate thesis, Pomona College, 2005.
- [Przytycki 1998] J. H. Przytycki, “3-coloring and other elementary invariants of knots”, pp. 275–295 in *Knot theory* (Warsaw, 1995), Banach Center Publ. **42**, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1998. [MR 1634462](#) [Zbl 0904.57002](#)

Received: 2009-11-10

Revised: 2013-06-27

Accepted: 2013-11-17

[mtdelong@taylor.edu](mailto:mtdelong@taylor.edu)

*Department of Mathematics, Taylor University,  
236 West Reade Avenue, Upland, IN 46989, United States*

[russell2@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:russell2@math.rutgers.edu)

*Department of Mathematics,  
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey,  
110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, United States*

[schrockj@ornl.gov](mailto:schrockj@ornl.gov)

*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008 MS6164,  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831, United States*

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, [berenhks@wfu.edu](mailto:berenhks@wfu.edu)

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA <a href="mailto:colin.c.adams@williams.edu">colin.c.adams@williams.edu</a>	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:larson@math.tamu.edu">larson@math.tamu.edu</a>
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA <a href="mailto:baxley@wfu.edu">baxley@wfu.edu</a>	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA <a href="mailto:lenhart@math.utk.edu">lenhart@math.utk.edu</a>
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA <a href="mailto:benjamin@hmc.edu">benjamin@hmc.edu</a>	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:ckli@math.wm.edu">ckli@math.wm.edu</a>
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA <a href="mailto:bohner@mst.edu">bohner@mst.edu</a>	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:lund@clemson.edu">lund@clemson.edu</a>
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA <a href="mailto:boston@math.wisc.edu">boston@math.wisc.edu</a>	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand <a href="mailto:g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz">g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz</a>
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:budhiraj@email.unc.edu">budhiraj@email.unc.edu</a>	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA <a href="mailto:meyer@stat.colostate.edu">meyer@stat.colostate.edu</a>
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia <a href="mailto:P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au">P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au</a>	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria <a href="mailto:eminchev@hotmail.com">eminchev@hotmail.com</a>
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA <a href="mailto:scott.chapman@shsu.edu">scott.chapman@shsu.edu</a>	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA <a href="mailto:frank.morgan@williams.edu">frank.morgan@williams.edu</a>
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA <a href="mailto:cooper@math.sc.edu">cooper@math.sc.edu</a>	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran <a href="mailto:moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir">moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir</a>
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA <a href="mailto:corcoran@colorado.edu">corcoran@colorado.edu</a>	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA <a href="mailto:znashed@mail.ucf.edu">znashed@mail.ucf.edu</a>
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA <a href="mailto:tdiagana@howard.edu">tdiagana@howard.edu</a>	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:ono@mathcs.emory.edu">ono@mathcs.emory.edu</a>
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA <a href="mailto:mdorff@math.byu.edu">mdorff@math.byu.edu</a>	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA <a href="mailto:tbriell@luc.edu">tbriell@luc.edu</a>
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia <a href="mailto:sever@matilda.vu.edu.au">sever@matilda.vu.edu.au</a>	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA <a href="mailto:orourke@cs.smith.edu">orourke@cs.smith.edu</a>
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE <a href="mailto:bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae">bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae</a>	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA <a href="mailto:peres@microsoft.com">peres@microsoft.com</a>
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam <a href="mailto:foisys@potsdam.edu">foisys@potsdam.edu</a>	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland <a href="mailto:petermann@math.unige.ch">petermann@math.unige.ch</a>
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:fulp@wfu.edu">fulp@wfu.edu</a>	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA <a href="mailto:rplemmons@wfu.edu">rplemmons@wfu.edu</a>
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA <a href="mailto:kgallian@d.umn.edu">kgallian@d.umn.edu</a>	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA <a href="mailto:carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu">carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu</a>
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA <a href="mailto:stephan.garcia@pomona.edu">stephan.garcia@pomona.edu</a>	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA <a href="mailto:vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu">vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu</a>
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA <a href="mailto:godbole@etsu.edu">godbole@etsu.edu</a>	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:poonen@math.berkeley.edu">poonen@math.berkeley.edu</a>
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA <a href="mailto:rg@mathcs.emory.edu">rg@mathcs.emory.edu</a>	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA <a href="mailto:jpropp@cs.uml.edu">jpropp@cs.uml.edu</a>
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada <a href="mailto:andrew.andrew@dms.umontreal.ca">andrew.andrew@dms.umontreal.ca</a>	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA <a href="mailto:przytyck@gwu.edu">przytyck@gwu.edu</a>
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA <a href="mailto:griggs@math.sc.edu">griggs@math.sc.edu</a>	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA <a href="mailto:rrebarbe@math.unl.edu">rrebarbe@math.unl.edu</a>
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:sgupta@uncg.edu">sgupta@uncg.edu</a>	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA <a href="mailto:rwr@cs.uga.edu">rwr@cs.uga.edu</a>
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA <a href="mailto:jhaglund@math.upenn.edu">jhaglund@math.upenn.edu</a>	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA <a href="mailto:f_saidak@uncg.edu">f_saidak@uncg.edu</a>
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA <a href="mailto:johnny_henderson@baylor.edu">johnny_henderson@baylor.edu</a>	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA <a href="mailto:sellersj@math.psu.edu">sellersj@math.psu.edu</a>
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College <a href="mailto:jhoste@pitzer.edu">jhoste@pitzer.edu</a>	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor <a href="mailto:andy@ajsterge.com">andy@ajsterge.com</a>
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:nahritonenko@pvamu.edu">nahritonenko@pvamu.edu</a>	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA <a href="mailto:atrenk@wellesley.edu">atrenk@wellesley.edu</a>
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA <a href="mailto:hurlbert@asu.edu">hurlbert@asu.edu</a>	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:vakil@math.stanford.edu">vakill@math.stanford.edu</a>
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA <a href="mailto:crjohnso@math.wm.edu">crjohnso@math.wm.edu</a>	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy <a href="mailto:antonia.vecchio@cnr.it">antonia.vecchio@cnr.it</a>
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA <a href="mailto:kk@ces.clemson.edu">kk@ces.clemson.edu</a>	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA <a href="mailto:verma99@msn.com">verma99@msn.com</a>
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA <a href="mailto:gladas@math.uri.edu">gladas@math.uri.edu</a>	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:wierman@jhu.edu">wierman@jhu.edu</a>
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA <a href="mailto:zieve@umich.edu">zieve@umich.edu</a>

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or [msp.org/involve](http://msp.org/involve) for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2015 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$190/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2015

vol. 8

no. 3

Colorability and determinants of $T(m, n, r, s)$ twisted torus knots for $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$	361
MATT DELONG, MATTHEW RUSSELL AND JONATHAN SCHROCK	
Parameter identification and sensitivity analysis to a thermal diffusivity inverse problem	385
BRIAN LEVENTHAL, XIAOJING FU, KATHLEEN FOWLER AND OWEN ESLINGER	
A mathematical model for the emergence of HIV drug resistance during periodic bang-bang type antiretroviral treatment	401
NICOLETA TARFULEA AND PAUL READ	
An extension of Young's segregation game	421
MICHAEL BORCHERT, MARK BUREK, RICK GILLMAN AND SPENCER ROACH	
Embedding groups into distributive subsets of the monoid of binary operations	433
GREGORY MEZERA	
Persistence: a digit problem	439
STEPHANIE PEREZ AND ROBERT STYER	
A new partial ordering of knots	447
ARAZELLE MENDOZA, TARA SARGENT, JOHN TRAVIS SHRONTZ AND PAUL DRUBE	
Two-parameter taxicab trigonometric functions	467
KELLY DELP AND MICHAEL FILIPSKI	
${}_3F_2$ -hypergeometric functions and supersingular elliptic curves	481
SARAH PITMAN	
A contribution to the connections between Fibonacci numbers and matrix theory	491
MIRIAM FARBER AND ABRAHAM BERMAN	
Stick numbers in the simple hexagonal lattice	503
RYAN BAILEY, HANS CHAUMONT, MELANIE DENNIS, JENNIFER MCLLOUD-MANN, ELISE MCMAHON, SARA MELVIN AND GEOFFREY SCHUETTE	
On the number of pairwise touching simplices	513
BAS LEMMENS AND CHRISTOPHER PARSONS	
The zipper foldings of the diamond	521
ERIN W. CHAMBERS, DI FANG, KYLE A. SYKES, CYNTHIA M. TRAUB AND PHILIP TRETTENERO	
On distance labelings of amalgamations and injective labelings of general graphs	535
NATHANIEL KARST, JESSICA OEHRLEIN, DENISE SAKAI TROXELL AND JUNJIE ZHU	