

involve

a journal of mathematics

A contribution to the connections between Fibonacci numbers
and matrix theory

Miriam Farber and Abraham Berman



A contribution to the connections between Fibonacci numbers and matrix theory

Miriam Farber and Abraham Berman

(Communicated by Robert J. Plemmons)

We present a lovely connection between the Fibonacci numbers and the sums of inverses of $(0, 1)$ -triangular matrices, namely, a number S is the sum of the entries of the inverse of an $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -triangular matrix (for $n \geq 3$) if and only if S is an integer between $2 - F_{n-1}$ and $2 + F_{n-1}$. Corollaries include Fibonacci identities and a Fibonacci-type result on determinants of a special family of $(1, 2)$ -matrices.

1. Introduction

One of the ways to motivate students' interest in linear algebra is to present interesting connections between matrices and the Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1, \quad F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

For example, one can prove that $F_n^2 - F_{n-1}F_{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1}$ by using induction and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} F_n & F_{n-1} \\ F_{n+1} & F_n \end{pmatrix} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} F_n & F_{n-1} \\ F_{n+1} - F_n & F_n - F_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} F_n & F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-1} & F_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = -\det \begin{pmatrix} F_{n-1} & F_n \\ F_{n-2} & F_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can determine the exact value of the n -th Fibonacci number, by calculating the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and using the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = \cdots = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{n-2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

MSC2010: 15A15, 11B39, 15A09, 15B99.

Keywords: Fibonacci numbers, Hessenberg matrix, sum of entries.

As another example of connections between Fibonacci numbers and matrix theory, consider lower triangular matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inverses of these matrices are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which, due to their remarkable structure, are known as Fibonacci matrices. Various properties of these matrices and their generalizations have been studied [Lee et al. 2002; Lee and Kim 2003; Wang and Wang 2008].

Fibonacci numbers are also widely used in algorithms in computer science [Atkins and Geist 1987; Knuth 1997], such as algorithms for finding extrema, merging files, searching in trees, etc. We provide here an example of their use in the searching of ordered arrays, described in [Atkins and Geist 1987]. Suppose that we have a sorted array with $F_n - 1$ elements for some natural number n (we can always pad the array with dummy elements in order to achieve such number of elements); for example, let $A = (0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 15, 18, 20, 23)$ be an array with $F_7 - 1 = 12$ elements. We would like to check whether 15 is in A . First compare 15 with the F_{7-1} -th entry. Since $11 < 15$, we can eliminate all the entries to the left of the F_{7-1} -th entry (including the F_{7-1} -th entry), and we are left with the array $B = (15, 18, 20, 23)$ which contains $F_5 - 1 = 4$ elements. We now compare the F_{5-1} -th entry in B with 15, and since $20 > 15$, we eliminate 20 and 23, and we are left with the array $C = (15, 18)$ that has $F_4 - 1$ entries. Finally, we compare the F_{4-1} -th entry of C to 15, and since $18 > 15$, we are left with 15 and have a match. The full algorithm is described in [Atkins and Geist 1987]. Another interesting connection between Fibonacci numbers and matrices is given in [Li 1993], where it is shown that the maximal determinant of an $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -Hessenberg matrix is F_n .

Let $S(X)$ denote the sum of the entries of a matrix X . Huang, Tam and Wu [Huang et al. 2013] show, among other results, that a number S is equal to $S(A^{-1})$ for an adjacency matrix (a symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrix with trace zero) A if and only if S is rational. More generally, they ask what can be said about the sum of the entries of the inverse of a $(0, 1)$ -matrix. We consider the class of triangular matrices and show that a number S is equal to $S(A^{-1})$ for a triangular $(0, 1)$ -matrix A if and only if S is an integer. This follows from our main result which shows that for $n \geq 3$, a number S is equal to $S(A^{-1})$ for an $n \times n$ triangular $(0, 1)$ -matrix A if and only if

$$2 - F_{n-1} \leq S \leq 2 + F_{n-1}.$$

We use the following definitions and notation. Let e denote a vector of ones (so $S(A) = e^T A e$) and A_n the set of $n \times n$ invertible $(0, 1)$ -upper triangular matrices. We will say that a matrix $A \in A_n$, where $n \geq 3$, is *maximizing* if $S(A^{-1}) = 2 + F_{n-1}$ and *minimizing* if $S(A^{-1}) = 2 - F_{n-1}$, and refer to maximizing and minimizing matrices as *extremal matrices*. For a set of vectors $V \subset R^n$, a vector $v \in V$ is *absolutely dominant* if for every $u \in V$, $|v_i| \geq |u_i|$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We will use the following well-known properties of Fibonacci numbers (see, for example, [Vorobiev 2002]):

- Lemma 1.1.** (i) $1 + \sum_{k=1}^n F_k = F_{n+2}$;
 (ii) $1 + \sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k} = F_{2n+1}$;
 (iii) $\sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k-1} = F_{2n}$.

The main result of the paper is proved in Section 2. In Section 3, we describe a construction of extremal matrices with a beautiful Fibonacci pattern in their inverses, and use it to obtain several Fibonacci identities. We conclude with a Fibonacci-type result on determinants of $(1, 2)$ -matrices in spirit of the result in [Li 1993].

2. The main result

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then $S = S(A^{-1})$ for some $A \in A_n$ if and only if S is an integer between $2 - F_{n-1}$ and $2 + F_{n-1}$; that is, $2 - F_{n-1} \leq S \leq 2 + F_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Obviously, $S(A^{-1})$ must be an integer since $A^{-1} = \text{adj}(A)/\det(A)$ and $\det(A) = 1$. The main part of the proof consists of showing

- (a) $\max_{A \in A_n} S(A^{-1}) = 2 + F_{n-1}$,
- (b) $\min_{A \in A_n} S(A^{-1}) = 2 - F_{n-1}$, and
- (c) for every integer S between $2 - F_{n-1}$ and $2 + F_{n-1}$, there exists $A \in A_n$ such that $S(A^{-1}) = S$.

To show (a) and (b) we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $V = \{e^T A^{-1} \mid A \in A_n\}$. For the purposes of this lemma only, we will let $F_0 = -1$ (note that this is not a Fibonacci number). Then $v = (v_i)$, where $v_i = (-1)^i F_{i-1}$, is an absolutely dominant vector of V .*

Proof. For $n = 1$, we have $V = \{(1)\}$; for $n = 2$, we have $V = \{(1 \ 1), (1 \ 0)\}$; and for $n = 3$, we have $V = \{(1 \ 1 \ 1), (1 \ 0 \ 1), (1 \ 1 \ 0), (1 \ 0 \ 0), (1 \ 1 \ -1)\}$. Therefore the statement holds for $n = 1, 2, 3$. To prove the lemma for $n \geq 4$, we will use induction. Suppose the lemma is true for $k < n$.

We will now show that the vector v , defined in the lemma, is an absolutely dominant vector of the set $V = \{e^T A^{-1} \mid A \in A_n\}$. Let $A \in A_n$. Then A is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C \in A_{n-2}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}$, and $x \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore,

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1} & -C^{-1}(\alpha \ \beta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1} & -C^{-1}(\alpha \ \beta - x\alpha) \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will use the following notation:

$$e^T C^{-1} = (c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots \ c_{n-2}), \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1 \ \alpha_2 \ \dots \ \alpha_{n-2})^T, \\ \beta = (\beta_1 \ \beta_2 \ \dots \ \beta_{n-2})^T.$$

So

$$e^T A^{-1} = \left(c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots \ c_{n-2} \ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_i c_i \ 1 - x - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} c_i (\beta_i - x\alpha_i) \right).$$

Consider the n -th entry of $e^T A^{-1}$. Since $c_1 = 1$, $n \geq 4$, and $-1 \leq \beta_i - x\alpha_i \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$, it is easy to see that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i| \leq 1 - x - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} c_i (\beta_i - x\alpha_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i|$$

for all possible $x, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \{0, 1\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$. Since $\beta_i - \alpha_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, it is possible to achieve equality in each inequality by taking

$$x = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sign}(\beta_i - \alpha_i) = \text{sign}(c_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 2 \tag{1}$$

in the first, and

$$x = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sign}(\beta_i - \alpha_i) = -\text{sign}(c_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 2. \tag{2}$$

in the second. Now, since $|\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i|| = |\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} c_i|$, we get that if $A \in A_n$ is a matrix for which $e^T A^{-1}$ is an absolutely dominant vector, its n -th entry must be equal to either

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i| \tag{3}$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i|. \tag{4}$$

Note that the maximal value of (3) is obtained by taking C such that $e^T C^{-1}$ is an absolutely dominant vector of the set $V = \{e^T A^{-1} \mid A \in A_{n-2}\}$ (and all the absolutely dominant vectors will give the same value). The same is true of the minimal value of (4). By the inductive hypothesis and using Lemma 1.1, the maximal value of (4) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i| = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} F_i = F_{n-1}$$

(and this value may be achieved by choosing an appropriate C). Similarly, the minimal value of (3) is $-F_{n-1}$. Let us now consider the $(n-1)$ -th entry of $e^T A^{-1}$. By the inductive hypothesis, its absolute value is bounded from above by F_{n-2} . By taking $C \in A_{n-2}$ such that $e^T C^{-1}$ is an absolutely dominant vector, choosing α, β such that either (1) or (2) is satisfied and using Lemma 1.1 and the inductive hypothesis, we get that the $(n-1)$ -th entry of $e^T A^{-1}$ is equal to either

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_i c_i = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} c_{2k+1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} F_{2k} = F_{2\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor + 1}, \tag{5}$$

or

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_i c_i = 1 - c_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} c_{2k} = - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} F_{2k-1} = -F_{2\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}. \tag{6}$$

Note that if n is odd then expression (5) is equal to F_{n-2} , and if n is even then expression (6) is equal to $-F_{n-2}$. In sum, using the inductive hypothesis, we showed that the largest possible absolute value of the n -th entry of $e^T A^{-1}$ (such that $A \in A_n$) is F_{n-1} . In this case, we showed that it is possible to choose α such that the absolute value of the $(n-1)$ -th entry of $e^T A^{-1}$ is F_{n-2} , the largest possible absolute value due to the inductive hypothesis. Therefore, we showed that the vector v , defined in the lemma, is an absolutely dominant vector for $V = \{e^T A^{-1} \mid A \in A_n\}$. \square

We are now ready to prove (a) and (b). We represent $A \in A_n$ in the same form as in Lemma 2.2, and

$$\begin{aligned} e^T A^{-1} e &= e^T \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1} & -C^{-1}(\alpha \ \beta - x\alpha) \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} e \\ &= 2 - x + e^T C^{-1} e - e^T C^{-1}(\beta + (1 - x)\alpha) \\ &= 2 - x + e^T C^{-1}(e - \alpha - \beta + x\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = e - \alpha - \beta + x\alpha$. Note that if $x = 1$ then $u \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}$, and if $x = 0$ then $u \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n-2}$. In addition, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{2 - x + e^T C^{-1} u \mid x = 0, \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}\} \\ \geq \max\{2 - x + e^T C^{-1} u \mid x = 1, \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now, since $C \in A_{n-2}$, the first entry of $e^T C^{-1}$ is 1. If $x = 0$, then in order to minimize the value of $e^T C^{-1} u$, we have to take the first entries of α and β to be 1. On the other hand, if $x = 1$, then in order to minimize the value of $e^T C^{-1} u$, we have to take the first entries of β to be 1. The difference between these two cases is 1, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{2 - x + e^T C^{-1} u \mid x = 0, \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}\} \\ \leq \min\{2 - x + e^T C^{-1} u \mid x = 1, \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since we are only interested in the minimal and the maximal values of $e^T A^{-1} e$, we may assume, by (7) and (8), that $x = 0$. Therefore, $e^T A^{-1} e = 2 + e^T C^{-1}(e - \alpha - \beta)$. Using the notation of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\min\{2 + e^T C^{-1}(e - \alpha - \beta) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}\} = 2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i| \tag{9}$$

and

$$\max\{2 + e^T C^{-1}(e - \alpha - \beta) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}\} = 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |c_i|. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, the minimal and the maximal values of $e^T A^{-1} e$ are achieved by taking C such that $e^T C^{-1}$ is an absolutely dominant vector of $\{e^T A^{-1} \mid A \in A_{n-2}\}$. Hence,

by Lemmas 2.2 and 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{A \in A_n} S(A^{-1}) &= \max\{2 + e^T C^{-1}(e - \alpha - \beta) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{n-2}, C \in A_{n-2}\} \\ &= 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} F_i = 2 + F_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\min_{A \in A_n} S(A^{-1}) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} F_i = 2 - F_{n-1}.$$

It is well known that every natural number is the sum of distinct Fibonacci numbers. For the proof of (c), we need a slightly stronger observation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a natural number, and let n be an integer for which $F_{n-1} \leq M < F_n$. Then M can be represented as a sum of distinct Fibonacci elements from the set $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n-2}\}$.*

Proof. For $M = 1$, the statement is true. Proceeding by induction, assume that it is true for all integers less than M . Let n be an integer for which $F_{n-1} \leq M < F_n$. Since $M < F_n$, we get that $M < F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}$, and hence $M - F_{n-2} < F_{n-1}$. Therefore, there exists k with $n - 1 \geq k > 0$ such that $F_{k-1} \leq M - F_{n-2} < F_k$, and hence by the inductive hypothesis, $M - F_{n-2}$ can be represented as a sum of distinct Fibonacci elements from the set $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{k-2}\}$. Since $n - 1 \geq k$, we have $n - 3 \geq k - 2$, and so M can be represented as a sum of distinct Fibonacci elements from the set $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n-2}\}$. \square

We conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1 by proving (c). Let $S = 2 + T$, where $-F_{n-1} \leq T \leq F_{n-1}$. The cases $T = F_{n-1}$ and $T = -F_{n-1}$ were proved in (a) and (b). For $T = 0$, let A be a triangular Toeplitz matrix with first row $(1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0)$. Then $S(A^{-1}) = 2$. Similarly, it is easy to prove the claim for any S between 1 and n . For the other integers in $[2 - F_{n-1}, 2 + F_{n-1}]$ (and also for $1, 2, \dots, n$), let us consider the expression in (10). It is easy to see that in fact by choosing appropriate α and β (and C such that $e^T C^{-1}$ is an absolutely dominant vector), $e^T C^{-1}(e - \alpha - \beta)$ can achieve any value of the form

$$\alpha_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \alpha_i F_{i-1},$$

where $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$. Note that by Lemma 2.3, there exists appropriate set $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{n-2}$ such that

$$T = \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \alpha_i F_{i-1} \quad (\text{we may choose } \alpha_1 = 0).$$

Hence, for this choice of C , α and β , we get A such that $S = T + 2 = e^T A^{-1} e$. We obtain a similar result for the case $S = 2 - T$, where $0 \leq T \leq F_{n-1}$, by looking at expression (9), and this completes the proof. \square

As an analogy to the result on rational numbers of [Huang et al. 2013] mentioned in the introduction, we now have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *A number S is equal to $S(A^{-1})$ for a $(0, 1)$ -triangular matrix A if and only if S is an integer.*

Define G_n to be the set of $n \times n$ matrices of the form $I + B$, where B is an $n \times n$ upper triangular nilpotent matrix with entries from the interval $[0, 1]$. Then, using the fact that for an invertible matrix A , $A^{-1} = \text{adj}(A)/\det(A)$, and that for $A \in G_n$, $\det(A) = 1$, we have $A^{-1} = \text{adj}(A)$ for $A \in G_n$. Thus, since $S(A^{-1})$ is linear in each one of the entries in such a matrix A , we conclude the following:

Corollary 2.5. $\max_{A \in G_n} S(A^{-1}) = 2 + F_{n-1}$ and $\min_{A \in G_n} S(A^{-1}) = 2 - F_{n-1}$.

Remark 2.6. For a general $n \times n$ invertible $(0, 1)$ -matrix A (which is not necessarily triangular), the question regarding the minimal or the maximal value that $S(A^{-1})$ may obtain is still open. For $n = 3, 4, 5, 6$, the extremal values are exactly the same as in the triangular case. However, for $n = 7$, there exist $n \times n$ invertible $(0, 1)$ -matrices M and N (which are presented below) such that $S(M^{-1}) = -7$ and $S(N^{-1}) = 11$, whereas in the triangular case, the minimal and the maximal values are -6 and 10 , respectively.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For larger values of n , the difference between the general and the triangular case gets bigger.

3. Extremal matrices

Recall that an invertible triangular $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrix A is extremal if

$$e^T A^{-1} e = 2 \pm F_{n-1}.$$

The matrices I_3 and I_4 are maximizing matrices. The matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are minimizing matrices.

Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can construct extremal matrices for $n \geq 5$ that have a beautiful Fibonacci pattern in their inverses. For $l = 2, 3$, partition the off-diagonal entries of an upper triangular $n \times n$ matrix into $n - l$ sets, $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{n-l-1}$. The set S_{n-l-1} consists of the entries in the first two rows of the last l columns. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - l - 2$, the set S_i consists of the entries immediately to the left or immediately below the entries in S_{i+1} , and S_0 consists of all the remaining entries which are above the main diagonal (two if $l = 2$ and four if $l = 3$). For example, in the case that $n = 9$, Figure 1 (left) presents the partition in the case $l = 2$, and Figure 1 (right) presents the partition in the case $l = 3$.

Let A be an invertible $(0, 1)$ -upper triangular matrix, where the entries in S_i are taken modulo 2. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that A^{-1} is an $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix where the diagonal entries are 1, the entries in S_0 are 0, and the entries in S_i for $i \geq 1$ are $(-1)^i F_i$. For example, when $n = 9, l = 2$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -5 & 8 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -5 & 8 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

and when $n = 9, l = 3$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -5 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -5 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$




Figure 1. The partition in the cases $l = 2$ (left) and $l = 3$ (right).

In general, if $n + l$ is even, $e^T A^{-1} e = 2 - F_{n-1}$, and hence A is a minimizing extremal matrix (this also includes the case $n = 4$). If $n + l$ is odd, $e^T A^{-1} e = 2 + F_{n-1}$, and hence A is a maximizing extremal matrix. Using these equalities, we obtain the following Fibonacci identities:

Corollary 3.1. $\sum_{i=1}^{n-4} (n-i)(-1)^i F_i + 4(-1)^{n-3} F_{n-3} = (-1)^{n-1} F_{n-1} - (n-2)$.

Corollary 3.2. $\sum_{i=1}^{n-5} (n-i)(-1)^i F_i + 6(-1)^{n-4} F_{n-4} = (-1)^n F_{n-1} - (n-2)$.

4. Determinants of (1, 2)-matrices

In [Huang et al. 2013], the following remark, which follows from Cramer’s rule and the multilinearity of the determinant, was presented:

Remark 4.1. For any nonsingular matrix A ,

$$S(A^{-1}) = \frac{\det(A + J) - \det(A)}{\det(A)},$$

where J is the matrix whose entries are all 1.

Recall that it was proved in [Li 1993] that the maximal determinant of an $n \times n$ Hessenberg (0,1)-matrix is F_n . Using our main result and Remark 4.1, we obtain another family of matrices whose determinants are strongly related to the Fibonacci sequence.

Let W_n be the family of $n \times n$ matrices such that for any $A \in W_n$,

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j > i, \\ 2 & \text{if } j = i, \\ 1 \text{ or } 2 & \text{if } j < i. \end{cases}$$

From Remark 4.1 and Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then $S = \det(A)$ for some $A \in W_n$ if and only if S is an integer that satisfies $3 - F_{n-1} \leq S \leq 3 + F_{n-1}$.*

References

- [Atkins and Geist 1987] J. Atkins and R. Geist, “Fibonacci numbers and computer algorithms”, *College Math. J.* **18** (1987), 328–336.
- [Huang et al. 2013] L.-H. Huang, B.-S. Tam, and S.-H. Wu, “Graphs whose adjacency matrices have rank equal to the number of distinct nonzero rows”, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438**:10 (2013), 4008–4040. MR 3034513
- [Knuth 1997] D. E. Knuth, *The art of computer programming, I: Fundamental algorithms*, 3rd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1997. MR 3077152
- [Lee and Kim 2003] G.-Y. Lee and J.-S. Kim, “The linear algebra of the k -Fibonacci matrix”, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **373** (2003), 75–87. MR 2004j:15028
- [Lee et al. 2002] G.-Y. Lee, J.-S. Kim, and S.-G. Lee, “Factorizations and eigenvalues of Fibonacci and symmetric Fibonacci matrices”, *Fibonacci Quart.* **40**:3 (2002), 203–211. MR 2003k:11024
- [Li 1993] C. Li, “The maximum determinant of an $n \times n$ lower Hessenberg $(0, 1)$ matrix”, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **183** (1993), 147–153. MR 94b:15006
- [Vorobiev 2002] N. N. Vorobiev, *Fibonacci numbers*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002. MR 2003m:11024
- [Wang and Wang 2008] W. Wang and T. Wang, “Identities via Bell matrix and Fibonacci matrix”, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **156**:14 (2008), 2793–2803. MR 2009g:05018

Received: 2013-08-19 Revised: 2013-10-28 Accepted: 2013-11-05

miriamf@techunix.technion.ac.il *Department of Mathematics, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 3200003, Israel*

berman@techunix.technion.ac.il *Department of Mathematics, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 3200003, Israel*

involve

msp.org/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moselehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION


Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2015 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$190/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2015

vol. 8

no. 3

Colorability and determinants of $T(m, n, r, s)$ twisted torus knots for $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$	361
MATT DELONG, MATTHEW RUSSELL AND JONATHAN SCHROCK	
Parameter identification and sensitivity analysis to a thermal diffusivity inverse problem	385
BRIAN LEVENTHAL, XIAOJING FU, KATHLEEN FOWLER AND OWEN ESLINGER	
A mathematical model for the emergence of HIV drug resistance during periodic bang-bang type antiretroviral treatment	401
NICOLETA TARFULEA AND PAUL READ	
An extension of Young's segregation game	421
MICHAEL BORCHERT, MARK BUREK, RICK GILLMAN AND SPENCER ROACH	
Embedding groups into distributive subsets of the monoid of binary operations	433
GREGORY MEZERA	
Persistence: a digit problem	439
STEPHANIE PEREZ AND ROBERT STYER	
A new partial ordering of knots	447
ARAZELLE MENDOZA, TARA SARGENT, JOHN TRAVIS SHRONTZ AND PAUL DRUBE	
Two-parameter taxicab trigonometric functions	467
KELLY DELP AND MICHAEL FILIPSKI	
${}_3F_2$ -hypergeometric functions and supersingular elliptic curves	481
SARAH PITMAN	
A contribution to the connections between Fibonacci numbers and matrix theory	491
MIRIAM FARBER AND ABRAHAM BERMAN	
Stick numbers in the simple hexagonal lattice	503
RYAN BAILEY, HANS CHAUMONT, MELANIE DENNIS, JENNIFER MCLLOUD-MANN, ELISE MCMAHON, SARA MELVIN AND GEOFFREY SCHUETTE	
On the number of pairwise touching simplices	513
BAS LEMMENS AND CHRISTOPHER PARSONS	
The zipper foldings of the diamond	521
ERIN W. CHAMBERS, DI FANG, KYLE A. SYKES, CYNTHIA M. TRAUB AND PHILIP TRETTENERO	
On distance labelings of amalgamations and injective labelings of general graphs	535
NATHANIEL KARST, JESSICA OEHRLEIN, DENISE SAKAI TROXELL AND JUNJIE ZHU	



1944-4176(2015)8:3;1-4