

Nested Frobenius extensions of graded superrings Edward Poon and Alistair Savage



vol. 11, no. 3



Nested Frobenius extensions of graded superrings

Edward Poon and Alistair Savage

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We prove a nesting phenomenon for twisted Frobenius extensions. Namely, suppose $R \subseteq B \subseteq A$ are graded superrings such that A and B are both twisted Frobenius extensions of R, R is contained in the center of A, and A is projective over B. Our main result is that, under these assumptions, A is a twisted Frobenius extension of B. This generalizes a result of Pike and the second author, which considered the case where R is a field.

1. Introduction

Frobenius extensions, which are a natural generalization of Frobenius algebras, appear frequently in many areas of mathematics, from topological quantum field theory to categorical representation theory. Several generalizations of Frobenius extensions have been introduced since their inception. In particular, Nakayama and Tsuzuku [1960] introduced Frobenius extensions of the second kind. These were further generalized to the concept of (α, β) -Frobenius extensions in [Morita 1965], where α and β are automorphisms of the rings involved. The corresponding theory for graded superrings was then developed in [Pike and Savage 2016], where they were called *twisted Frobenius extensions*.

In the literature, one finds that many examples of (twisted) Frobenius extensions arise from certain types of subobjects. For instance, if H is a finite-index subgroup of G, then the group ring R[G] is a Frobenius extension of R[H], where R is a commutative base ring. This example dates back to the original paper [Kasch 1954] on Frobenius extensions. Another example comes from the theory of Hopf algebras. In particular, it was shown in [Schneider 1992, Corollary 3.6(1)] that if K is a Hopf subalgebra of H, then H is a Frobenius extension of K of the second kind. Yet another example comes from Frobenius algebras themselves. Namely, it was shown (in the more general graded super setting) in [Pike and Savage 2016, Corollary 7.4] that if A is a Frobenius algebra over a field, B is a subalgebra of A that is also a Frobenius algebra, and A is projective over B, then A is a twisted Frobenius extension of B.

MSC2010: 17A70, 16W50.

Keywords: Frobenius extension, Frobenius algebra, graded superring, graded superalgebra.

The goal of the current paper is to shed more light on this "nesting" phenomenon. Namely, we consider the situation where we have graded superrings $R \subseteq B \subseteq A$ such that *A* and *B* are both twisted Frobenius extensions of *R*, and *R* is contained in the center of *A*. We call these *nested Frobenius extensions*. We first prove that these assumptions imply *A* and *B* are *untwisted* Frobenius extensions of *R* (see Corollary 3.2). Then, our main result (Theorem 3.8) is that, provided *A* is projective over *B*, it follows that *A* is a twisted Frobenius extension of *B*. The twisting is given in terms of the Nakayama automorphisms of *A* and *B*. In particular, even though *A* and *B* are untwisted Frobenius extensions of *R*, *A* can be a nontrivially twisted Frobenius extension of *B*. This result can be viewed as a generalization of [Pike and Savage 2016, Corollary 7.4] to the setting of arbitrary supercommutative ground rings.

The organization of the paper is as follows. We begin in Section 2 by recalling the definition of twisted Frobenius extensions of graded superrings, together with some related results. In Section 3, we examine nested Frobenius extensions $R \subseteq B \subseteq A$, where *R* is contained in the center of *A*. We begin by proving that *A* and *B* are, in fact, *untwisted* Frobenius extensions of *R* (Corollary 3.2). Then, after establishing several important lemmas, we prove our main result (Theorem 3.8), that *A* is a twisted Frobenius extension of *B*, provided *A* is projective over *B*. We conclude in Section 4 with several applications of our main result. In particular, we explain how the aforementioned examples of group rings and Hopf algebras can be deduced from our main theorem. We also give an example arising from nilcoxeter rings.

Note on the arXiv version. For the interested reader, the tex file of the arXiv version of this paper includes hidden details of some straightforward computations and arguments that are omitted in the pdf. These details can be displayed by switching the details toggle to true in the tex file and recompiling.

2. Twisted Frobenius extensions

In this section we recall the definition of twisted Frobenius extensions, together with some of their properties that will be used in this paper. We refer the reader to [Pike and Savage 2016] for further details.

Fix an abelian group Λ and by *graded*, we mean Λ -graded. In particular, a graded superring is a $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded ring. In other words, if A is a graded superring, then

$$A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \, \pi \in \mathbb{Z}_2} A_{\lambda, \pi}, \quad A_{\lambda, \pi} A_{\lambda', \pi'} \subseteq A_{\lambda + \lambda', \, \pi + \pi'}, \quad \lambda, \, \lambda' \in \Lambda, \, \pi, \, \pi' \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

We denote the multiplicative unit of A by 1_A . To avoid repeated use of the modifiers "graded" and "super", from now on we will use the term *ring* to mean graded superring and *subring* to mean graded subsuperring. Similarly, by an automorphism

of a ring, we mean an automorphism as graded superrings (homogeneous of degree zero).

We will use the term *module* to mean graded supermodule. In particular, a left *A*-module *M* is a $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded abelian group with a left *A*-action such that

$$A_{\lambda,\pi}M_{\lambda',\pi'} \subseteq M_{\lambda+\lambda',\pi+\pi'}, \quad \lambda,\lambda' \in \Lambda, \ \pi,\pi' \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

and similarly for right modules. If v is a homogeneous element in a ring or module, we will denote by |v| its Λ -degree and by \bar{v} its \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree. Whenever we write an expression involving degrees of elements, we will implicitly assume that such elements are homogeneous.

For M, N two $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded abelian groups, we define a $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on the space $\text{HOM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$ of all \mathbb{Z} -linear maps by setting $\text{HOM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)_{\lambda, \pi}, \lambda \in \Lambda$, $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, to be the subspace of all homogeneous maps of degree (λ, π) . That is,

$$\operatorname{HOM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,N)_{\lambda,\pi} = \{ f \in \operatorname{HOM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,N) \mid f(M_{\lambda',\pi'}) \subseteq N_{\lambda+\lambda',\pi+\pi'} \text{ for all } \lambda' \in \Lambda, \ \pi' \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \}.$$

For *A*-modules *M* and *N*, we define the $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded abelian group

$$\operatorname{HOM}_{A}(M,N) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \, \pi \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \operatorname{HOM}_{A}(M,N)_{\lambda,\pi},$$

where the homogeneous components are defined by

$$\operatorname{HOM}_{A}(M,N)_{\lambda,\pi} = \{ f \in \operatorname{HOM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,N)_{\lambda,\pi} \mid f(am) = (-1)^{\pi \bar{a}} a f(m) \text{ for all } a \in A, \ m \in M \}.$$

We let *A*-mod denote the category of left *A*-modules, with set of morphisms from *M* to *N* given by $HOM_A(M, N)_{0,0}$. Similarly, we have the category of right *A*-modules with morphisms from *M* to *N* given by

$$\{f \in \operatorname{HOM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)_{0,0} \mid f(ma) = f(m)a \text{ for all } m \in M, a \in A\}.$$

We will call elements of $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)_{\lambda,\pi}$ homomorphisms of degree (λ, π) and, if they are invertible, *isomorphisms of degree* (λ, π) . Note that they are not morphisms in the category *A*-mod unless they are of degree (0, 0). We use similar terminology for right modules.

If *M* is a left *A*-module, we let ${}^{\ell}a$ denote the operator given by the left action by *a*; that is,

$${}^{\ell}a(m) = am, \quad a \in A, \ m \in M.$$
(2-1)

If *M* is a right *A*-module, then for each homogeneous $a \in A$, we define a \mathbb{Z} -linear operator

$${}^{r}a\colon M\to M, \quad {}^{r}a(m)=(-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{m}}ma, \quad a\in A, \ m\in M.$$
(2-2)

If A_1 and A_2 are rings, then, by definition, an (A_1, A_2) -bimodule M is both a left A_1 -module and a right A_2 -module such that the left and right actions commute:

$$(a_1m)a_2 = a_1(ma_2)$$
 for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, m \in M$.

If *M* is an (A_1, A_2) -bimodule and *N* is a left A_1 -module, then HOM_{A_1}(M, N) is a left A_2 -module via the action

$$a \cdot f = (-1)^{\bar{a}f} f \circ {}^{r}a, \quad a \in A_2, \ f \in \text{HOM}_{A_1}(M, N),$$
 (2-3)

and $HOM_{A_1}(N, M)$ is a right A_2 -module via the action

$$f \cdot a = (-1)^{\bar{a}f}({}^{r}a) \circ f, \quad a \in A_2, \ f \in HOM_{A_1}(N, M).$$
 (2-4)

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, and an *A*-module *M*, we let $\{\lambda, \pi\}M$ denote the $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded abelian group that has the same underlying abelian group as *M*, but a new grading given by $(\{\lambda, \pi\}M)_{\lambda',\pi'} = M_{\lambda'-\lambda,\pi'-\pi}$. Abusing notation, we will also sometimes use $\{\lambda, \pi\}$ to denote the map $M \to \{\lambda, \pi\}M$ that is the identity on elements of *M*. We define a left action of *A* on $\{\lambda, \pi\}M$ by $a \cdot \{\lambda, \pi\}m = (-1)^{\pi\bar{a}}\{\lambda, \pi\}am$. In this way, $\{\lambda, \pi\}$ defines a functor from the category of *A*-modules to itself that leaves morphisms unchanged.

Suppose *M* is a left *A*-module, *N* is a right *A*-module, and α is a ring automorphism of *A*. Then we can define the twisted left *A*-module ${}^{\alpha}M$ and twisted right *A*-module N^{α} to be equal to *M* and *N*, respectively, as graded abelian groups, but with actions given by

$$a \cdot m = \alpha(a)m, \quad a \in A, \ m \in {}^{\alpha}M,$$
 (2-5)

$$n \cdot a = n\alpha(a), \quad a \in A, \ n \in N^{\alpha},$$
 (2-6)

where juxtaposition denotes the original action of *A* on *M* and *N*. If α is a ring automorphism of *A*, and *B* is a subring of *A*, then we will also use the notation ${}_{B}^{\alpha}A_{A}$ to denote the (*B*, *A*)-bimodule equal to *A* as a graded abelian group, with right action given by multiplication, and with left action given by $b \cdot a = \alpha(b)a$ (where here juxtaposition is multiplication in the ring *A*), even though α is not necessarily a ring automorphism of *B*. We use ${}_{A}A_{B}^{\alpha}$ for the obvious right analogue. By convention, when we consider twisted modules as above, operators such as ${}^{r}a$ and ${}^{\ell}a$ defined in (2-1) and (2-2) involve the right and left action (respectively) in the *original* (i.e., untwisted) module.

Definition 2.1 (twisted Frobenius extension). Suppose *B* is a subring of a ring *A*, that α is a ring automorphism of *A*, and that β is a ring automorphism of *B*. Furthermore, suppose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. We call *A* an (α, β) -*Frobenius extension* of *B* of *degree* $(-\lambda, \pi)$ if *A* is finitely generated and projective as a left *B*-module,

and there is a morphism of (B, B)-bimodules

tr:
$${}_{B}^{\beta}A_{B}^{\alpha} \to \{\lambda, \pi\}_{B}B_{B}$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

- (T1) If tr(Aa) = 0 for some $a \in A$, then a = 0.
- (T2) For every $\varphi \in \text{HOM}_B({}_B^{\beta}A, \{\lambda, \pi\}_B B)$, there exists an $a \in A$ such that $\varphi = \text{tr} \circ {}^r a$.

The map tr is called a *trace map*. We will often view it as a map ${}_{B}^{\beta}A_{A}^{\alpha} \rightarrow {}_{B}B_{B}$ that is homogeneous of degree $(-\lambda, \pi)$. If A is an (α, β) -Frobenius extension of B for some α and β , we say that A is a *twisted Frobenius extension* of B. If A is an (id_{A}, id_{B}) -Frobenius extension of B, we call it a *Frobenius extension* or *untwisted Frobenius extension* (when we wish to emphasize that the twistings are trivial).

Remark 2.2. We say the extension is of degree $(-\lambda, \pi)$ since that is the degree of the trace map. If *A* and *B* are concentrated in degree (0, 0), then (α, β) -Frobenius extensions were defined in [Morita 1965, p. 41]. In particular, an (id_A, β) -Frobenius extension is sometimes called a β^{-1} -extension, or a Frobenius extension of the second kind; see [Nakayama and Tsuzuku 1960].

If B is a subring of a ring A, then we define the *centralizer* of B in A to be the subring of A given by

$$C_A(B) = \{ a \in A \mid ab = (-1)^{\bar{a}b} ba \text{ for all } b \in B \}.$$
 (2-7)

If A is an (α, β) -Frobenius extension of B, then we have the associated Nakayama isomorphism (an isomorphism of rings)

 $\psi: C_A(B) \to C_A(\alpha(B)),$

which is the unique map satisfying

$$\operatorname{tr}(ca) = (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{c}} \operatorname{tr}(a\psi(c)) \quad \text{for all } a \in A, \ c \in C_A(B).$$
(2-8)

Proposition 2.3. The ring *B* is an untwisted Frobenius extension of *R* of degree $(-\lambda, \pi)$ if and only if there exists a homomorphism of (R, R)-bimodules tr: $B \to R$ of degree $(-\lambda, \pi)$, and finite subsets $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ of *B* such that $(|y_i|, \bar{y}_i) = (\lambda - |x_i|, \pi - \bar{x}_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$b = (-1)^{\pi \bar{b}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\pi \bar{x}_i} \operatorname{tr}(by_i) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \operatorname{tr}(x_i b) \quad \text{for all } b \in B.$$
(2-9)

We call the sets $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ dual sets of generators of *B* over *R*. *Proof.* This is a special case of [Pike and Savage 2016, Proposition 4.9], where the twistings are trivial.

3. Nested Frobenius extensions

In this section, we introduce our main object of study, nested Frobenius extensions, and prove our main result (Theorem 3.8). We begin with a simplification result.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose A is an (α, β) -Frobenius extension of R of degree $(-\lambda, \pi)$, with trace map tr and Nakayama isomorphism ψ . Furthermore, suppose $C_A(R) = A$. Then $\alpha|_R = \beta$ and $\psi|_R = id_R$.

Proof. For all $r \in R$ and $a \in {}^{\beta}_{R}A^{\alpha}_{R}$, we have

$$tr(ar) = tr(a)\alpha^{-1}(r) = (-1)^{\bar{r}(\pi+\bar{a})}\alpha^{-1}(r) tr(a)$$
$$= (-1)^{\bar{r}\bar{a}} tr(\beta(\alpha^{-1}(r))a) = tr(a\beta(\alpha^{-1}(r))),$$

where the second and fourth equalities follow from the fact that $C_A(R) = A$. Since the trace map is linear, this implies

$$\operatorname{tr}(a(r-\beta(\alpha^{-1}(r)))) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in {}_{R}^{\beta}A_{R}^{\alpha}.$$

By (T1), we have $\beta(\alpha^{-1}(r)) = r$ for all $r \in R$. It follows that $\alpha|_R = \beta$.

Similarly, for all $r \in R$ and $a \in {}^{\beta}_{R}A^{\alpha}_{R}$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(ar) = (-1)^{\bar{r}\bar{a}} \operatorname{tr}(ra) = \operatorname{tr}(a\psi(r)),$$

and so $\psi|_R = \mathrm{id}_R$ by (T1).

Corollary 3.2. If A is a twisted Frobenius extension of R and $C_A(R) = A$, then A is in fact an **untwisted** Frobenius extension of R of the same degree.

Proof. Suppose *A* is an (α, β) -Frobenius extension of *R* of degree $(-\lambda, \pi)$, with trace map tr and Nakayama isomorphism ψ . Furthermore, suppose that $C_A(R) = A$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, *A* is an (α, α) -Frobenius extension of *R* and $\alpha(R) = \beta(R) = R$. The result then follows immediately from [Pike and Savage 2016, Corollary 3.6]. \Box

For the remainder of this paper, we fix rings

$$R \subseteq B \subseteq A$$
, with $C_A(R) = A$.

This implies $C_B(R) = B$ and $C_R(R) = R$. In particular, R is supercommutative, and so we do not distinguish between left and right R-modules. In light of Corollary 3.2, we suppose that A and B are untwisted Frobenius extensions of R of degrees $(-\lambda_A, \pi_A)$ and $(-\lambda_B, \pi_B)$, respectively. We denote their trace maps by tr_A and tr_B and their Nakayama isomorphisms by ψ_A and ψ_B , respectively. We call A and B nested Frobenius extensions of R.

Remark 3.3. The assumption that $C_A(R) = A$ implies that ψ_A and ψ_B are ring automorphisms of A and B, respectively. In fact, this is precisely why we assume $C_A(R) = A$.

For an *R*-module *M*, we define

$$M^{\vee} = \operatorname{HOM}_R(M, R).$$

If, in addition, *M* is a (B, A)-bimodule, then it is straightforward to verify that M^{\vee} is an (A, B)-bimodule with action given by

$$a \cdot f \cdot b = (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{f}} f \circ {}^{r}a \circ {}^{\ell}b = (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{f}+\bar{a}\bar{b}} f \circ {}^{\ell}b \circ {}^{r}a, \quad a \in A, \ b \in B, \ f \in M^{\vee}.$$
(3-1)

Note that *B* is naturally a (B, B)-bimodule via left and right multiplication. We denote this bimodule by $_BB_B$ to emphasize the actions. Therefore, if *M* is a (B, A)-bimodule, HOM $_B(M, _BB_B)$ is an (A, B)-bimodule via the actions (2-3) and (2-4).

Lemma 3.4. For any (B, A)-bimodule M, the map

$$\operatorname{HOM}_B({}^{\psi_B}M, {}_BB_B) \to M^{\vee}, \quad f \mapsto \operatorname{tr}_B \circ f, \tag{3-2}$$

is a homomorphism of (A, B)-bimodules of degree $(-\lambda_B, \pi_B)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\psi_B(r) = r$ for all $r \in R$. Thus, any element $f \in \text{HOM}_B({}^{\psi_B}M, B)$ is also an element of $\text{HOM}_R(M, B)$, and hence $\text{tr}_B \circ f \in M^{\vee}$. The map (3-2) is also clearly of degree $(-\lambda_B, \pi_B)$, since tr_B is.

It remains to show that (3-2) is a homomorphism of (A, B)-bimodules. It is clearly a homomorphism of abelian groups. For $a \in A$ and $f \in HOM_B({}^{\psi_B}M, B)$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}_B \circ (a \cdot f) = (-1)^{\bar{a}f} \operatorname{tr}_B \circ f \circ {}^r a = (-1)^{\bar{a}\pi_B} a \cdot (\operatorname{tr}_B \circ f).$$

Thus, (3-2) is a homomorphism of left *A*-modules. Now let $b \in B$ and $y \in {}_B^{\psi_B}M_A$. Then

$$tr_B \circ (f \cdot b)(y) = (-1)^{bf} tr_B \circ ({}^r b \circ f)(y)$$

= $(-1)^{\bar{b}\bar{f}} tr_B \circ ({}^r b(f(y)))$
= $(-1)^{\bar{b}\bar{y}} tr_B(f(y)b)$
= $(-1)^{\bar{b}\bar{f}} tr_B(\psi_B^{-1}(b)f(y))$
= $tr_B(f(by)) = tr_B \circ f \circ {}^\ell b(y) = ((tr_B \circ f) \cdot b)(y).$

Thus the map (3-2) is also a homomorphism of right *B*-modules.

Let

$$\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$$
 and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$

be dual sets of generators of *B* over *R*, where $|x_i| + |y_i| = \lambda_B$ and $\bar{x}_i + \bar{y}_i = \pi_B$ for each i = 1, ..., n (see Proposition 2.3).

 \square

Proposition 3.5. If M is a (B, A)-bimodule, then the map

$$M^{\vee} \to \operatorname{HOM}_{B}({}^{\psi_{B}}M, {}_{B}B_{B}),$$

$$\theta \mapsto \left(m \mapsto (-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta} + \bar{m})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B} + \bar{m})} \theta(y_{i}m) x_{i} \right),$$
(3-3)

is a homomorphism of (A, B)-bimodules of degree (λ_B, π_B) . Moreover, the maps (3-2) and (3-3) are mutually inverse isomorphisms of (A, B)-bimodules.

Proof. The map

$$m \mapsto (-1)^{\pi_B(\bar{\theta}+\bar{m})} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\bar{y}_i(\pi_B+\bar{m})} \theta(y_i m) x_i$$
 (3-4)

is clearly a homomorphism of abelian groups. Now let $b \in B$ and $m \in {}^{\psi_B}M$. Then $b \cdot m = \psi_B(b)m$ maps to

$$\begin{split} &(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})}\theta(y_{i}\psi_{B}(b)m)x_{i} \\ &\frac{(2\cdot9)}{=}(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})}\theta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_{j}\operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{j}y_{i}\psi_{B}(b))m\right)x_{i} \\ &=(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})+\bar{y}_{j}(\pi_{B}+\bar{x}_{j}+\bar{y}_{i}+\bar{b})}\theta\left(\operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{j}y_{i}\psi_{B}(b))y_{j}m\right)x_{i} \\ &=(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B}+\bar{b}+\bar{m})+(\bar{y}_{j}+\bar{\theta})(\pi_{B}+\bar{x}_{j}+\bar{y}_{i}+\bar{b})}\operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{j}y_{i}\psi_{B}(b))\theta(y_{j}m)x_{i} \\ &=(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{b})}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B}+\bar{b})+\bar{m}(\bar{x}_{j}+\bar{b})}\theta(y_{j}m)\operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{j}y_{i}\psi_{B}(b))x_{i} \\ &=(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{b})}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}\pi_{B}+\bar{m}(\bar{x}_{j}+\bar{b})+\bar{b}\bar{x}_{j}}\theta(y_{j}m)\operatorname{tr}_{B}(bx_{j}y_{i})x_{i} \\ &=(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{b})}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\pi_{B}\bar{y}_{j}+\bar{m}(\bar{x}_{j}+\bar{b})+\bar{b}\bar{y}_{j}}\theta(y_{j}m)\operatorname{tr}_{B}(bx_{j}y_{i})x_{i} \\ &=(-1)^{\bar{b}(\bar{\theta}+\pi_{B})+\pi_{B}\bar{\theta}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\pi_{B}\bar{y}_{j}+\bar{m}\bar{x}_{j}}b\theta(y_{j}m)bx_{j} \\ &=(-1)^{\bar{b}(\bar{\theta}+\pi_{B})+\pi_{B}\bar{\theta}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{m})}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{j}(\pi_{B}+\bar{m})}\theta(y_{j}m)x_{j} \\ &=(-1)^{\bar{b}(\bar{\theta}+\pi_{B})}b\left((-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{m})}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\bar{y}_{j}(\pi_{B}+\bar{m})}\theta(y_{j}m)x_{j}\right). \end{split}$$

Thus (3-4) is a homomorphism of left *B*-modules of degree (λ_B , π_B). Since the (settheoretic) inverse of a bimodule homomorphism is also a bimodule homomorphism, it remains to show that (3-2) and (3-3) are mutually inverse.

Let $f \in HOM_B({}^{\psi_B}M, {}_BB_B)$. The map (3-2) followed by (3-3) sends f to the map

$$\begin{split} m &\mapsto (-1)^{\pi_B(\pi_B + \bar{f} + \bar{m})} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\bar{y}_i(\pi_B + \bar{m})} \operatorname{tr}_B(f(y_i m)) x_i \\ &= (-1)^{\pi_B(\pi_B + \bar{f} + \bar{m})} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\bar{y}_i(\pi_B + \bar{m} + \bar{f})} \operatorname{tr}_B(\psi_B^{-1}(y_i) f(m)) x_i \\ &= (-1)^{\pi_B(\bar{f} + \bar{m})} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\pi_B \bar{x}_i} \operatorname{tr}_B(f(m) y_i) x_i \stackrel{(2-9)}{=} f(m). \end{split}$$

Thus (3-3) is left inverse to (3-2).

Now let $\theta \in M^{\vee}$. The map (3-3) followed by the map (3-2) sends θ to the map

$$\begin{split} m &\mapsto (-1)^{\pi_{B}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{m})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B}+\bar{m})} \operatorname{tr}_{B}(\theta(y_{i}m)x_{i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}\bar{m}} \theta(y_{i}m) \operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\bar{\theta}+\bar{y}_{i})} \operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{i}) \theta(y_{i}m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}} \theta(\operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{i})y_{i}m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta(y_{i}\operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{i})m) = \theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\operatorname{tr}_{B}(x_{i})m\right)^{\binom{2-9}{2}} \theta(m). \end{split}$$

Hence (3-3) is also right inverse to (3-2).

We will let

$$\kappa \colon ({}_{B}A_{A}^{\psi_{A}})^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{HOM}_{B}({}_{B}^{\psi_{B}}A_{A}^{\psi_{A}}, {}_{B}B_{B})$$

be the special case of the isomorphism (3-3) of (A, B)-bimodules where one takes M to be ${}_{B}A_{A}^{\psi_{A}}$.

Proposition 3.6. The map

$$\varphi_A \colon {}_A A_B \to ({}_B A_A^{\psi_A})^{\vee}, \quad \varphi_A(a) = \operatorname{tr}_A \circ {}^r \psi_A(a),$$

is an isomorphism of (A, B)-bimodules of degree $(-\lambda_A, \pi_A)$.

Proof. The map φ_A is clearly a homomorphism of abelian groups. Let $r \in R$, $a \in A$, and $x \in {}_A A_B^{\psi_A}$. Then

$$\varphi_{A}(a)(rx) = \operatorname{tr}_{A} \circ^{r} \psi_{A}(a)(rx) = (-1)^{\bar{a}(\bar{r}+\bar{x})} \operatorname{tr}_{A}(rx\psi_{A}(a))$$

= $(-1)^{\bar{a}(\bar{r}+\bar{x})+\pi_{A}\bar{r}} r \operatorname{tr}_{A}(x\psi_{A}(a)) = (-1)^{\bar{r}(\bar{a}+\pi_{A})} r \operatorname{tr}_{A} \circ^{r} \psi_{A}(a)(x)$
= $(-1)^{\bar{r}(\bar{a}+\pi_{A})} r \varphi_{A}(a)(x).$

Thus, $\varphi_A(a) \in ({}_B A_A^{\psi_A})^{\vee}$.

Now, for $a, a' \in A$ and $x \in {}_{A}A_{B}^{\psi_{A}}$, we have $\varphi_{A}(a'a)(x) = \operatorname{tr}_{A} \circ^{r} \psi_{A}(a'a)(x)$ $= (-1)^{\bar{x}(\bar{a}'+\bar{a})} \operatorname{tr}_{A}(x\psi_{A}(a'a))$ $= (-1)^{\bar{x}(\bar{a}'+\bar{a})} \operatorname{tr}_{A}(x\psi_{A}(a')\psi_{A}(a))$ $= (-1)^{\bar{a}'(\bar{x}+\bar{a})} \operatorname{tr}_{A} \circ^{r} \psi_{A}(a)(x\psi_{A}(a'))$ $= (-1)^{\bar{a}'(\bar{x}+\bar{a})} \varphi_{A}(a)(x\psi_{A}(a'))$ $= (-1)^{\bar{a}'\bar{a}} \varphi_{A}(a) \circ^{r} \psi_{A}(a')(x)$ $= (-1)^{\bar{a}'\bar{a}} (a' \cdot \varphi_{A}(a))(x).$

Thus φ_A is a homomorphism of left *A*-modules of degree $(-\lambda_A, \pi_A)$.

On the other hand, for $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $x \in {}_{A}A_{B}^{\psi_{A}}$, we have

$$\varphi_A(ab)(x) = \operatorname{tr}_A \circ' \psi_A(ab)(x)$$

= $(-1)^{(\bar{a}+\bar{b})\bar{x}} \operatorname{tr}_A(x\psi_A(ab))$
= $(-1)^{(\bar{a}+\bar{b})\bar{x}} \operatorname{tr}_A(x\psi_A(a)\psi_A(b))$
= $(-1)^{\bar{a}(\bar{x}+\bar{b})} \operatorname{tr}_A(bx\psi_A(a))$
= $\operatorname{tr}_A \circ^r \psi_A(a)(bx) = \operatorname{tr}_A \circ^r \psi_A(a) \circ^\ell b(x) = (\varphi_A(a) \cdot b)(x).$

Thus φ_A is a homomorphism of right *B*-modules.

It remains to show that φ_A is an isomorphism. Suppose $\varphi(a) = \varphi(a')$ for some $a, a' \in A$. This implies $\bar{a} = \bar{a}'$. Then, for all $x \in A_A^{\psi_A}$, we have

$$\varphi(a)(x) = \varphi(a')(x) \implies \operatorname{tr}_A \circ^r a(x) = \operatorname{tr}_A \circ^r a'(x)$$
$$\implies (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{x}} \operatorname{tr}_A(x\psi_A(a)) = (-1)^{\bar{a}'\bar{x}} \operatorname{tr}_A(x\psi_A(a'))$$
$$\implies 0 = (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{x}} \operatorname{tr}_A\left(x(\psi_A(a) - \psi_A(a'))\right).$$

It thus follows from (T1) that $\psi_A(a) = \psi_A(a')$, and hence a = a'. Thus φ_A is injective.

Now, every element $\varphi \in ({}_{B}A_{A}^{\psi_{A}})^{\vee}$ can be viewed as an element of $\text{HOM}_{R}({}_{R}A, R)$. Then, by (T2), there exists an $a \in A$ such that $\varphi = \text{tr}_{A} \circ {}^{r}a$. Since ψ_{A} is a ring isomorphism, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}_A \circ {}^r \psi_A(\psi_A^{-1}(a)) = \operatorname{tr}_A \circ {}^r a = \varphi.$$

Thus, φ_A is surjective.

Proposition 3.7. The map

$$\kappa \circ \varphi_A \colon {}_A A_B \to \operatorname{HOM}_B({}_B^{\psi_B} A_A^{\psi_A}, {}_B B_B)$$

is an isomorphism of (A, B)-bimodules of degree $(\lambda_B - \lambda_A, \pi_A + \pi_B)$. Moreover, the map

tr:
$${}_{B}^{\psi_{B}}A_{B}^{\psi_{A}} \to {}_{B}B_{B}, \quad \text{tr}(a) = (-1)^{\pi_{B}(\pi_{A}+\bar{a})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{y}_{i}(\pi_{B}+\bar{a})} \text{tr}_{A}(y_{i}a)x_{i}$$

is a trace map; i.e., it satisfies conditions (T1) and (T2).

Proof. Since $\kappa \circ \varphi_A$ is a composition of (A, B)-bimodule isomorphisms, it too is an (A, B)-bimodule isomorphism. Now, for $a \in {}_AA_B$, we have

$$(\kappa \circ \varphi_A)(1_A)(a) = (\kappa (\operatorname{tr}_A \circ {}^r \psi_A(1_A)))(a) = (\kappa (\operatorname{tr}_A))(a)$$
$$= (-1)^{\pi_B(\pi_A + \bar{a})} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\bar{y}_i(\pi_B + \bar{a})} \operatorname{tr}_A(y_i a) x_i.$$

Then by [Pike and Savage 2016, Proposition 4.1], tr is left trace map.

Theorem 3.8. Let A be a ring extension of B, and B be a ring extension of R, with $C_A(R) = A$. Suppose that A is a Frobenius extension of R of degree $(-\lambda_A, \pi_A)$, with Nakayama automorphism ψ_A , and that B is a Frobenius extension of R of degree $(-\lambda_B, \pi_B)$, with Nakayama automorphism ψ_B . If A is projective as a left B-module, then A is a (ψ_A, ψ_B) -Frobenius extension of B of degree $(\lambda_B - \lambda_A, \pi_B + \pi_A)$. Moreover, the induction functor ${}_AA_B \otimes {}_B - is$ right adjoint to the shifted twisted restriction functor $\{\lambda_B - \lambda_A, \pi_B + \pi_A\}_B^{\psi_B} A_A^{\psi_A} \otimes_A - .$

Proof. Since *A* is a Frobenius extension of *R*, it is finitely generated as an *R*-module, and hence also finitely generated as a left *B*-module. Moreover, by Proposition 3.7, there is a trace map satisfying (T1) and (T2). Thus *A* is an (ψ_A, ψ_B) -Frobenius extension of *B*. The final assertion follows from [Pike and Savage 2016, Theorem 6.2].

Remark 3.9. Recall that, by Corollary 3.2, we gain no generality in Theorem 3.8 by allowing for A and B to be *twisted* Frobenius extensions of R. In the case that R is a field, concentrated in degree (0, 0), Theorem 3.8 recovers [Pike and Savage 2016, Corollary 7.4].

4. Applications

In this final section, we give several examples that illustrate Theorem 3.8. In particular, we see that a number of results that have appeared in the literature follow immediately from this theorem.

Example 4.1 (group rings). Let R be a supercommutative ring, G a finite group, and H a subgroup of G. Consider the following group rings over R:

$$R \cong R[\{e\}] \subseteq R[H] \subseteq R[G],$$

 \square

where *e* is the identity element of *G*. By construction, R[H] and R[G] are free as *R*-modules. It is easy to verify that the map

tr:
$$R[G] \to R$$
, $\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g\right) = r_e$

satisfies (T1) and (T2) with α and β both the identity map. Thus R[G] and R[H] are both untwisted Frobenius extensions of R and their Nakayama automorphisms are the identity automorphisms. The ring R clearly lies in the center of R[G] and R[G]is free as a left R[H]-module, with basis given by a set of left coset representatives. Therefore, by Theorem 3.8, R[G] is an untwisted Frobenius extension of R[H]. In the case that R is concentrated in degree (0, 0), this recovers the well-known result that a finite group ring is a Frobenius extension of a subgroup ring.

Example 4.2 (Hopf algebras). Let *R* be an unique factorization domain, let *H* be a Hopf algebra over *R* that is finitely generated and projective as an *R*-module, and let *K* be a Hopf subalgebra of *H*. Then *H* and *K* are both untwisted Frobenius extensions of *R* by [Pareigis 1971, Corollary 1]. Let ψ_H and ψ_K denote their respective Nakayama automorphisms. If *H* is projective as a left *K*-module (this condition is automatically satisfied when *R* is a field by [Nichols and Zoeller 1989, Theorem 7]), then *H* is a (ψ_H, ψ_K) -Frobenius extension of *K* by Theorem 3.8. Moreover, we have that *H* is an $(id_H, \psi_K \circ \psi_H^{-1})$ -Frobenius extension of *K* by applying [Pike and Savage 2016, Proposition 3.4] with $u = 1_H$. That is, it is a Frobenius extension of the second kind. Thus we recover the result [Schneider 1992, Corollary 3.6(1)].

Example 4.3 (nilcoxeter rings). Let *R* be a supercommutative ring and fix a non-negative integer *n*. The nilcoxeter ring N_n over *R* is generated by the elements u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} with the relations

$$u_i^2 = 0 for 1 \le i \le n - 1,$$

$$u_i u_j = u_j u_i for 1 \le i, j \le n - 1 ext{ such that } |i - j| > 1,$$

$$u_i u_{i+1} u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1} for 1 \le i < n - 1.$$

As an *R*-module, N_n has the basis $\{u_w \mid w \in S_n\}$, where S_n is the symmetric group on *n* elements. Multiplication of basis elements is given by

$$u_v u_w = \begin{cases} u_{vw} & \text{if } \ell(v+w) = \ell(v) + \ell(w), \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell(v+w) \neq \ell(v) + \ell(w), \end{cases}$$

where ℓ is the length function of the symmetric group. So N_n is free and thus projective as an *R*-module. Now consider the *R*-linear function determined by

$$\operatorname{tr}_n \colon N_n \to R, \quad \operatorname{tr}_n(u_w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w = w_0 \in S_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } w \neq w_0 \in S_n, \end{cases}$$

where w_0 denotes the permutation of maximal length in S_n . It can be shown that N_n is an untwisted Frobenius extension of R of degree $\left(-\binom{n}{2}, \binom{n}{2}\right)$ with trace map tr_n , and the Nakayama automorphism associated to tr_n is given by $\psi_n(u_i) = u_{n-i}$; see [Pike and Savage 2016, Lemma 8.2], where one replaces \mathbb{F} with R. Although the author of [Khovanov 2001, Proposition 4] works over the field \mathbb{Q} , his proof that N_n is projective as a left N_{n-1} -module still holds over R. It is clear that $C_{N_n}(R) = N_n$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.8, N_n is a (ψ_n, ψ_{n-1}) -Frobenius extension of N_{n-1} of degree $\binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{n}{2}, \binom{n}{2} + \binom{n-1}{2}$.

Acknowledgements

This paper is the result of a research project completed in the context of an Undergraduate Student Research Award from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), received by Poon. Savage was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

References

- [Kasch 1954] F. Kasch, "Grundlagen einer Theorie der Frobeniuserweiterungen", *Math. Ann.* **127** (1954), 453–474. MR Zbl
- [Khovanov 2001] M. Khovanov, "Nilcoxeter algebras categorify the Weyl algebra", *Comm. Algebra* **29**:11 (2001), 5033–5052. MR Zbl
- [Morita 1965] K. Morita, "Adjoint pairs of functors and Frobenius extensions", *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A* **9** (1965), 40–71. MR Zbl
- [Nakayama and Tsuzuku 1960] T. Nakayama and T. Tsuzuku, "On Frobenius extensions, I", *Nagoya Math. J.* **17** (1960), 89–110. MR Zbl
- [Nichols and Zoeller 1989] W. D. Nichols and M. B. Zoeller, "A Hopf algebra freeness theorem", *Amer. J. Math.* **111**:2 (1989), 381–385. MR Zbl
- [Pareigis 1971] B. Pareigis, "When Hopf algebras are Frobenius algebras", *J. Algebra* **18** (1971), 588–596. MR Zbl
- [Pike and Savage 2016] J. Pike and A. Savage, "Twisted Frobenius extensions of graded superrings", *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **19**:1 (2016), 113–133. MR Zbl
- [Schneider 1992] H.-J. Schneider, "Normal basis and transitivity of crossed products for Hopf algebras", *J. Algebra* **152**:2 (1992), 289–312. MR Zbl
- Received: 2016-05-05 Revised: 2017-06-27 Accepted: 2017-06-28

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa

epoon061@uottawa.ca	Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada
alistair.savage@uottawa.ca	Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada



INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology,	USA Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

PRODUCTION Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2018 is US \$190/year for the electronic version, and \$250/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY mathematical sciences publishers nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/ © 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

2018 vol. 11 no. 3

A mathematical model of treatment of cancer stem cells with	361
immunotherapy	
ZACHARY J. ABERNATHY AND GABRIELLE EPELLE	
RNA, local moves on plane trees, and transpositions on tableaux	383
Laura Del Duca, Jennifer Tripp, Julianna	
TYMOCZKO AND JUDY WANG	
Six variations on a theme: almost planar graphs	413
MAX LIPTON, EOIN MACKALL, THOMAS W. MATTMAN,	
MIKE PIERCE, SAMANTHA ROBINSON, JEREMY THOMAS	
AND ILAN WEINSCHELBAUM	
Nested Frobenius extensions of graded superrings	449
EDWARD POON AND ALISTAIR SAVAGE	
On G-graphs of certain finite groups	463
Mohammad Reza Darafsheh and Safoora Madady	
Moghadam	
The tropical semiring in higher dimensions	477
JOHN NORTON AND SANDRA SPIROFF	
A tale of two circles: geometry of a class of quartic polynomials	489
CHRISTOPHER FRAYER AND LANDON GAUTHIER	
Zeros of polynomials with four-term recurrence	501
KHANG TRAN AND ANDRES ZUMBA	
Binary frames with prescribed dot products and frame operator	
VERONIKA FURST AND ERIC P. SMITH	