Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures

ANALYTICAL AND NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE FRACTIONAL EULER-BERNOULLI BEAM EQUATION

Tomasz Blaszczyk

Volume 12, No. 1

January 2017





ANALYTICAL AND NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE FRACTIONAL EULER-BERNOULLI BEAM EQUATION

TOMASZ BLASZCZYK

In this paper a new formulation of the Euler–Bernoulli beam equation is proposed, which is based on fractional calculus. The fractional Euler–Bernoulli beam equation is derived by using a variational approach. Such formulation leads to an equation containing left and right fractional Caputo derivatives simultaneously. The obtained equation is transformed into an integral equation and then is solved analytically and numerically. Finally, examples of computations and error analysis are shown.

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus has recently played a very important role in various fields of science [Baleanu et al. 2015; Błasik and Klimek 2015; Leszczyński 2011; Klimek 2001; Kukla and Siedlecka 2015; Podlubny 1999; Torres 2015; Zhang et al. 2016; Zingales and Failla 2015]. It is caused largely by the fact that the fractional derivatives are nonlocal operators and depend on the past values of a function (the left derivative) or the future values of a function (the right derivative) [Baleanu et al. 2012; Kilbas et al. 2006; Podlubny 1999; Samko et al. 1993]. On the other hand, nonlocal formulations play an essential role in the description of the material deformation. Considering the description of the material deformation, including length scale, we are able to describe the phenomena (such as scale effects) where the classical approach is no longer valid [Sumelka 2014a; 2014b; Sumelka and Błaszczyk 2014].

The first approaches to link fractional calculus and nonlocal continuum mechanics come from Vazquez [2004], Lazopoulos [2006] and Di Paola and Zingales [2008]. Later, Carpinteri et al. [2011; 2014] used spatial fractional calculus to examine a material whose nonlocal stress is defined as the fractional integral of the strain field, highlighting its connection with Eringen [2002] nonlocal elasticity. A similar problem was analyzed by Atanackovic & Stankovic [2009] starting from fractional nonlocal strain measure. Other fractional approaches to nonlocal elasticity are presented in [Alotta et al. 2015; Drapaca and Sivaloganathan 2012; Tarasov 2006; Zingales and Failla 2015].

In addition, recent research [Paola et al. 2013; Pirrotta et al. 2015] on the response evaluation of a viscoelastic Euler–Bernoulli or Timoshenko beam under quasistatic and dynamic loads have shown that for a better understanding of the viscoelastic behavior, a fractional constitutive law should be considered. Vibration analysis of a simply supported beam with a fractional order viscoelastic material model is presented in [Freundlich 2013].

As a concluding remark, it should be emphasised that there are many concepts dealing with nonlocal formulations. However, they often require a large number of parameters. As a response to this inconvenience, new models based on fractional calculus have been proposed.

Keywords: Euler–Bernoulli beam equation, Fractional Euler–Lagrange equation, Analytical and numerical solution, Caputo derivatives.

A good example where fractional models have been successfully used is in a group of viscoelastic materials. These materials are very attractive for civil engineering applications, and thus need special attention. One of the advantages of the fractional models is that they require a smaller number of parameters than classical models containing operators of integer order.

Due to these facts, fractional calculus looks to be a promising tool for modeling scale-dependent material behavior.

In our previous work [Sumelka et al. 2015] the classical Euler–Bernoulli beam theory was reformulated utilizing fractional Riesz–Caputo derivatives. In this paper we propose a new formulation of the Euler–Bernoulli beam equation based on fractional variational calculus. The fractional derivative included in a functional (see Section 3) is applied as a spatial derivative, and influences spatial response. In this sense the fractional order of derivation α is a new material parameter. The parameter α controls the way in which information is governed from the region of influence [Sumelka 2014a; Sumelka and Błaszczyk 2014].

We transform the obtained Euler–Lagrange equation into an integral equation and we find the exact solution. Next, we present two numerical schemes. The first one is based on the discretization of the analytical solution and the second one is based on the discretization of the Euler–Lagrange equation. We calculated the errors generated by both schemes and estimated the rates of convergences of the presented methods for a particular case. Finally, we show a few computational examples of static deflections for various types of loads.

2. Fractional operators

In this section, we only recall necessary definitions of fractional operators and their properties [Kilbas et al. 2006; Podlubny 1999; Samko et al. 1993]. The left and right Caputo derivatives of order $1 < \alpha \le 2$ are respectively defined as

$${}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x) := \begin{cases} I_{0^{+}}^{2-\alpha}D^{2}u(x) & \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ D^{2}u(x) & \text{for } \alpha = 2, \end{cases}$$
(2-1)

$${}^{C}D_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}u(x) := \begin{cases} I_{L^{-}}^{2-\alpha}D^{2}u(x) & \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ D^{2}u(x) & \text{for } \alpha = 2, \end{cases}$$
(2-2)

where D^2 is the operator of the second order derivative and operators I_{0+}^{α} and I_{b-}^{α} are respectively the left and right fractional Riemann–Liouville integrals of order $\alpha > 0$ defined by

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{u(\tau)}{(x-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \quad (x>0),$$
(2-3)

$$I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}u(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{L} \frac{u(\tau)}{(\tau - x)^{1 - \alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \quad (x < L),$$
(2-4)

where Γ is the Euler Gamma function.

The composition rules of the fractional operators (for $\alpha \in (1, 2]$) are

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} {}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} u(x) = u(x) - xu'(a) - u(a),$$
(2-5)

$$I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} {}^{C}D_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} u(x) = u(x) + (L - x)u'(L) - u(L).$$
(2-6)

The left and right fractional integrals of the constant C have the following form:

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} C = C x^{\alpha} / (\Gamma(1+\alpha)), \qquad (2-7)$$

$$I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}C = C(L-x)^{\alpha}/(\Gamma(\alpha+1)), \qquad (2-8)$$

and for power functions we have

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x^{\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} x^{\alpha+\beta}, \qquad (2-9)$$

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x^{\beta} (L-x)^{\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1) x^{\alpha+\beta} L^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} {}_{2}F_{1}(\beta+1,-\gamma;\alpha+\beta+1;x/L),$$
(2-10)

where $_2F_1$ is a hypergeometric function [Kilbas et al. 2006; Samko et al. 1993].

3. Mathematical model

In classical mechanics, the minimization of the potential energy for a fixed supported beam of length L with a downward transverse load per unit length f(x) requires that the functional

$$V = \int_{0}^{L} F(x, u, u'') dx = \int_{0}^{L} \left[\frac{1}{2} E I(u''(x))^{2} - f(x) u(x) \right] dx$$
(3-1)

be minimized, where *EI* is the bending stiffness (which is constant) and u(x) is the static deflection of the beam.

The individual terms $1/2EI(u''(x))^2$ and f(x), u(x) represent potential (strain) energy due to bending and potential energy due to the lateral deflection, respectively. The boundary conditions for the fixed supported beam are

$$u(0) = u'(0) = u(L) = u'(L) = 0.$$
(3-2)

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation for the considered problem has the form

$$\frac{\partial F(x, u, u'')}{\partial u} + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(\frac{\partial F(x, u, u'')}{\partial u''} \right) = 0,$$
(3-3)

which leads to the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation

$$EI\frac{d^4}{dx^4}u(x) - f(x) = 0.$$
 (3-4)

In this manuscript, we propose a procedure for constructing the fractional Euler–Bernoulli beam equation utilizing the fractional variational calculus. We start from the functional (3-1) and we replace the

second order derivative by the left Caputo derivative (2-1) in the following way:

$$V_{\text{frac}} = \int_{0}^{L} F_{\text{frac}}(x, u, {}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u) dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{L} \left[\frac{1}{2}EI(\ell^{\alpha-2C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x))^{2} - f(x)u(x)\right] dx.$$
(3-5)

Next, by using results presented in [Lazo and Torres 2013; Malinowska et al. 2015] we get the corresponding form of the fractional Euler–Lagrange equation for the problem (3-5), which has the form

$$\frac{\partial F_{\text{frac}}(x, u, {}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)}{\partial u} + {}^{C}D_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial F_{\text{frac}}(x, u, {}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)}{\partial {}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u}\right) = 0,$$
(3-6)

and leads to the fractional Euler-Bernoulli beam equation

$$\ell^{2(\alpha-2)} E I^C D_{L^-}^{\alpha} {}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(x) - f(x) = 0,$$
(3-7)

where ℓ is a length scale [Sumelka 2014a; Sumelka and Błaszczyk 2014; Sumelka et al. 2015].

It should be highlighted that if we put $\alpha = 2$ into (3-6) and (3-7) we obtain equations (3-3) and (3-4), respectively. Therefore, we do not need to look at the proposed model as competitive to the classical Euler–Bernoulli model. Because of this, we should treat this model as a complement to the classical theory.

4. Analytical solution

Let us start with the denotation

$$f^*(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\ell^{2(\alpha-2)}EI}.$$
(4-1)

By using the denotation above we can rewrite the fractional Euler-Bernoulli Equation (3-7) as

$${}^{C}D_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}{}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x) = f^{*}(x).$$
(4-2)

We start with the transformation of (4-2) into an integral equation [Błaszczyk and Ciesielski 2015; 2016; Ciesielski and Błaszczyk 2015]. We integrate (4-2) two times by using fractional integral operators (2-3) and (2-4), giving

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}{}^{C}D_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}{}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x) = I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}f^{*}(x).$$
(4-3)

After the first integration in regards to the property (2-6), we get

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \left({}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x) - {}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x) \right|_{x=L} + (L-x){}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha+1}u(x)|_{x=L} \right) = I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}f^{*}(x).$$
(4-4)

Next, we have to calculate the expression

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}(L-x) = I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}L - I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}x = L\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} - \frac{x^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(2+\alpha)},$$
(4-5)

and on the basis of the composition rule (2-5) we obtain the following form of the considered equation: u(x) - u(0) - u'(0)x

$$-\left(\frac{{}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x)|_{x=L}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}x^{\alpha}+\frac{{}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha+1}u(x)|_{x=L}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}\left(-(\alpha+1)Lx^{\alpha}+x^{\alpha+1}\right)\right)=I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}f^{*}(x).$$
 (4-6)

Now, we can write the above equation in a simpler form:

$$u(x) - (C_1 x^{\alpha} + C_2 x^{\alpha} (x - (\alpha + 1)L)) = I_{0^+}^{\alpha} I_{L^-}^{\alpha} f^*(x),$$
(4-7)

where $C_1 = {}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(x)|_{x=L} / \Gamma(\alpha + 1)$ and $C_2 = {}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha+1} u(x)|_{x=L} / \Gamma(\alpha + 2)$. In order to determine the constants C_1 and C_2 , we differentiate (4-7):

$$u'(x) - C_1 \alpha x^{\alpha - 1} + C_2(\alpha + 1) x^{\alpha - 1} (\alpha L - x) = I_{0^+}^{\alpha - 1} I_{L^-}^{\alpha} f^*(x),$$
(4-8)

and in accordance with the boundary conditions (3-2) we write the adequate system of equations as

$$\begin{cases} C_1 L^{\alpha} - C_2 \alpha L^{\alpha+1} = u(L) - I_{0^+}^{\alpha} I_{L^-}^{\alpha} f^*(x) \big|_{x=L}, \\ C_1 \alpha L^{\alpha-1} - C_2 (1-\alpha^2) L^{\alpha} = u'(L) - I_{0^+}^{\alpha-1} I_{L^-}^{\alpha} f^*(x) \big|_{x=L}. \end{cases}$$
(4-9)

We determine the values C_1 and C_2 as

$$C_{1} = \frac{\left(u(L) - I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x)|_{x=L}\right)(1 - \alpha^{2}) - \left(u'(L) - I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha - 1} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x)|_{x=L}\right)\alpha L}{L^{\alpha}},$$
(4-10)

$$C_{2} = \frac{\left(u'(L) - I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha - 1} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x)|_{x = L}\right) - \left(u(L) - I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x)|_{x = L}\right)\alpha/L}{L^{\alpha}}.$$
(4-11)

Substituting the right-hand side of the expressions (4-10) and (4-11) into (4-7) we get

$$u(x) - (x/L)^{\alpha} \Big[(\alpha x/L - \alpha - 1) I_{0^+}^{\alpha} I_{L^-}^{\alpha} f^*(x) \big|_{x=L} + (L-x) I_{0^+}^{\alpha-1} I_{L^-}^{\alpha} f^*(x) \big|_{x=L} \Big] \\ = -(x/L)^{\alpha} \Big[(\alpha + 1 - \alpha x/L) u(L) + (L-x) u'(L) \Big] + I_{0^+}^{\alpha} I_{L^-}^{\alpha} f^*(x).$$
(4-12)

Taking into account the boundary conditions (3-2) we obtain the final integral form of the fractional Euler–Bernoulli Equation (4-2):

$$u(x) - (x/L)^{\alpha} \Big[(\alpha x/L - \alpha - 1)I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x) \big|_{x=L} + (L - x)I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha - 1} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x) \big|_{x=L} \Big] = I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x).$$
(4-13)

The analytical solution of the considered problem (4-2) and (3-2) is

$$u(x) = (x/L)^{\alpha} \Big[(\alpha x/L - \alpha - 1)g_{\alpha,\alpha}(L) + (L - x)g_{\alpha - 1,\alpha}(L) \Big] + g_{\alpha,\alpha}(x),$$
(4-14)

where $g_{\eta,\mu}(x) = I_{0^+}^{\eta} I_{L^-}^{\mu} f^*(x)$.

5. Numerical solution

In this section we present two numerical schemes for (3-7). The first one is dedicated to the integral equation and the second one is dedicated to the differential equation (the Euler–Lagrange equation).

The ability to calculate the composition of left and right fractional operators is very important to get a graphical interpretation of solutions for fractional variational differential equations. The analytical evaluations for any function of such composition are difficult to achieve. In some cases, we can express them through special functions, but this causes difficulties in calculating the function values. Therefore, numerical methods are a useful tool to obtain an approximation of integral or differential operators with different types of kernel [Błaszczyk and Ciesielski 2015; 2016; Ciesielski and Błaszczyk 2015; Durajski 2014; 2015; Siedlecki et al. 2015].

Let us start with introducing the following grid of n + 1 nodes with the constant step $\Delta x = L/n$: $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_i < x_{i+1} < \ldots < x_n = L$, and $x_i = i \Delta x$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

5.1. *Method I: discretization of the integral equation.* In our previous works [Błaszczyk and Ciesielski 2015; 2016] we determined the discrete form of the composition of the left and right fractional integrals of order α . On the basis of these results, we present the composition of fractional integrals (2-3) and (2-4):

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} I_{L^{-}}^{\alpha} f^{*}(x) \big|_{x=x_{i}} \approx \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{k=j}^{n} v_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} f_{k}^{*},$$
(5-1)

where coefficients $w_{i,j}^{(\alpha)}$ and $v_{i,j}^{(\alpha)}$ have the form

$$w_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{(\Delta x)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 0 \\ (i-1)^{\alpha+1} - i^{\alpha+1} + i^{\alpha}(\alpha+1) & \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } j = 0, \\ (i-j+1)^{\alpha+1} - 2(i-j)^{\alpha+1} + (i-j-1)^{\alpha+1} & \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } 0 < j < i, \\ 1 & \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } 0 < j < i, \\ \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } j = i \end{cases}$$
(5-2)
$$v_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{(\Delta x)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = n \text{ and } j = n, \\ (j-i+1)^{\alpha+1} - 2(j-i)^{\alpha+1} + (n-i)^{\alpha}(\alpha+1) & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n, \\ (j-i+1)^{\alpha+1} - 2(j-i)^{\alpha+1} + (j-i-1)^{\alpha+1} & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } i < j < n, \\ 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i. \end{cases}$$
(5-3)

Now we present the discrete form of the integral equation (4-13). For every grid node x_i , i = 0, 1, ..., n, we write the equation as

$$u_{i} = -\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha i}{n} - \alpha - 1\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_{n,j}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{k=j}^{n} v_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} f_{k}^{*} + (n-i)\Delta x \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_{n,j}^{(\alpha-1)} \sum_{k=j}^{n} v_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} f_{k}^{*} \right] + \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{k=j}^{n} v_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} f_{k}^{*}.$$
 (5-4)

One can observe that for the calculation of values u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_n we do not need to solve the system of n + 1 linear equations. This is an important advantage of the proposed scheme from the computational point of view.

5.2. *Method II: discretization of the differential equation.* In this case, we based the calculation on the discrete form of the composition of the left and right fractional derivatives of order α presented in

[Błaszczyk et al. 2011]:

$${}^{C}D_{L^{-}}^{\alpha}{}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x)\big|_{x=x_{i}} \approx \sum_{j=i-1}^{n} q_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} r_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} u_{k},$$
(5-5)

where coefficients $r_{i,j}^{(\alpha)}$ and $q_{i,j}^{(\alpha)}$ have the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} &= \frac{(\Delta x)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} \begin{cases}
 0 & \text{for } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 0, \\
 i^{2-\alpha} - (i-1)^{2-\alpha} & \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } j = 0, \\
 3(i-1)^{2-\alpha} - 2i^{2-\alpha} - (i-2)^{2-\alpha} & \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } j = 1, \\
 (i-j+2)^{2-\alpha} - 3(i-j+1)^{2-\alpha} & \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } 1 < j < i, \\
 2^{2-\alpha} - 3 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i, \\
 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i, \\
 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i, \\
 3(n-i-1)^{2-\alpha} - (n-i-1)^{2-\alpha} & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n, \\
 3(n-i-1)^{2-\alpha} - 2(n-i)^{2-\alpha} - (n-i-2)^{2-\alpha} & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n, \\
 (j-i+2)^{2-\alpha} - 3(j-i+1)^{2-\alpha} & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (j-i+2)^{2-\alpha} - 3(j-i+1)^{2-\alpha} & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = n-1, \\
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-1
 (2^{2-\alpha} - 3) & 1 & \text{for } i < n \text{ and } j = i-$$

Now we present the discrete form of the Euler–Lagrange Equation (3-7). For every grid node x_i , i = 2, 3, ..., n-2, we write the equation as

$$\sum_{j=i-1}^{n} q_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} r_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} u_k = f_i^*.$$
(5-8)

In this approach we have to solve the system of n + 1 algebraic equations.

6. Error analysis

Let us consider the following example:

$${}^{C}D_{1^{-}}^{\alpha}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(x) = C, (6-1)$$

where *C* is a constant. The exact solution of the considered problem (6-1) with boundary conditions (3-2) is

$$u(x) = x^{\alpha} \Big[(\alpha x - \alpha - 1)g_{\alpha,\alpha}(1) + (1 - x)g_{\alpha - 1,\alpha}(1) \Big] + g_{\alpha,\alpha}(x),$$
(6-2)

where

$$g_{\eta,\mu}(x) = I_{0^+}^{\eta} I_{1^-}^{\mu} C = I_{0^+}^{\eta} \frac{C(1-x)^{\mu}}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} = \frac{Cx^{\eta}}{\Gamma(\eta+1)\Gamma(\mu+1)} {}_2F_1(1,-\mu;\eta+1;x).$$
(6-3)

TOMASZ BLASZCZYK

			Method I		
п	$\alpha = 1.5$ p	$\alpha = 1.6$ p	$\alpha = 1.7$ p	$\alpha = 1.8$ p	$\alpha = 1.9$ p
80	$2.27 \cdot 10^{-6}$ –	$1.08 \cdot 10^{-6}$ –	$4.72 \cdot 10^{-7}$ -	$1.84 \cdot 10^{-7}$ –	$5.42 \cdot 10^{-8}$ –
160	$7.01 \cdot 10^{-7}$ 1.70	$3.10 \cdot 10^{-7}$ 1.80	$1.29 \cdot 10^{-7}$ 1.87	$4.84 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.93	$1.39 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.96
320	$2.08 \cdot 10^{-7}$ 1.75	$8.61 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.84	$3.42 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.91	$1.25 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.95	$3.53 \cdot 10^{-9}$ 1.98
640	$6.04 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.79	$2.34 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.88	$8.93 \cdot 10^{-9}$ 1.94	$3.18 \cdot 10^{-9}$ 1.97	$8.91 \cdot 10^{-10} 1.99$
1280	$1.72 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 1.81	$6.27 \cdot 10^{-9}$ 1.90	$2.30 \cdot 10^{-9}$ 1.95	$8.07 \cdot 10^{-10} 1.98$	$2.24 \cdot 10^{-10} 1.99$

			Method II		
n	$\alpha = 1.5$ p	$\alpha = 1.6$ p	$\alpha = 1.7$ p	$\alpha = 1.8$ p	$\alpha = 1.9$ p
80	$8.74 \cdot 10^{-3}$ –	$7.97 \cdot 10^{-3}$ –	7.13 \cdot 10^{-4} -	$5.61 \cdot 10^{-4}$ –	$3.05 \cdot 10^{-4}$ –
160	$5.15 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.75	$5.07 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.65	$5.11 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.48	$4.65 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.27	$2.91 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.07
320	$3.09 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.74	$3.20 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.66	$3.59 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.51	$3.75 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.31	$2.72 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.09
640	$1.89 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.70	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.67	$2.47 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.54	$2.96 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.34	$2.49 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.13
1280	$1.20 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.65	$1.27 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.67	$1.68 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.55	$2.31 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.35	$2.25 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.15

Table 1. Maximum absolute errors and experimental estimation of rates of convergence p for $\alpha \in \{1.5; 1.6; 1.7; 1.8; 1.9\}$ and $C = -1/\ell^{2(\alpha-2)}$.

In this case (when the exact solution is available) we can compute errors generated by the presented methods (5-4) and (5-8). Maximum errors were calculated based on the standard expression

$$\operatorname{err}(\Delta x) = \max_{i=0,\dots,n} (|u(x_i) - u_i|), \tag{6-4}$$

and the experimental rate of convergence was estimated by using the formula

$$p = \log_2\left(\frac{\operatorname{err}(\Delta x)}{\operatorname{err}(\Delta x/2)}\right). \tag{6-5}$$

The maximum absolute errors and the experimental rate of convergence p for various values of α and n are included in Table 1. One can note that errors decrease by increasing the number n. We also conclude that the results obtained by using Method I are much better than those from Method II. From these numerical tests, one may see that the rate of convergence p for scheme (5-4) is significantly higher than for scheme (5-8).

7. Example of computations

On the basis of the numerical scheme (5-4) presented in the paper, we implemented an algorithm in Maple and carried out computational simulations for various values of parameters α , ℓ , and a different type of function f. In all presented examples we consider a nondimensional case and assumed L = 1, E = 1, I = 1, $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and $\Delta x = 0.001$. Two cases are considered:

(i) The beam with central load

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1/\varepsilon, & \text{for } L/2 - \varepsilon/2 \le x \le L/2 + \varepsilon/2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$
(7-1)

(ii) The beam with asymmetric load

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1/\varepsilon, & \text{for } 3L/4 - \varepsilon/2 \le x \le 3L/4 + \varepsilon/2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$
(7-2)

The numerical results are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

Analyzing the results presented in Figures 1 and 2, we observe that when length scale ℓ increases in comparison to beam length *L*, the nonlocal effects are more noticeable. If ℓ is close to 0 or if the order of Caputo derivative α tends to 2, the fractional Euler–Bernoulli beam equation reduces to the classical model. It is also clearly seen that when values of α decrease the difference between the classical and fractional result increases.



Figure 1. Comparison of the static deflections for a beam with central load (case (i)) for a various order of fractional derivatives α and the length scale ℓ .



Figure 2. Comparison of the static deflections for a beam with asymmetric load (case (ii)) for a various order of fractional derivatives α and the length scale ℓ .

8. Conclusions

In this paper a new formulation of the Euler–Bernoulli beam equation utilizing fractional calculus was presented. It should be stressed that this is the first time the fractional variational approach was used to obtain the Euler–Bernoulli beam equation. Therefore, the spatial fractional operator appearing in this differential equation is the composition of left and right Caputo derivatives and this operator is defined on the finite interval. The obtained equation was transformed into its equivalent integral form and then was solved. We received both the exact and numerical solution of the integral equation. The errors generated by the presented numerical scheme (Method I) and the experimental rate of convergence were calculated and compared with numerical results obtained by discretizing the Euler–Lagrange equation (Method II) for a particular case. We also carried out simulations to demonstrate how parameters ℓ and α affect the static deflections of the beam.

References

- [Alotta et al. 2015] G. Alotta, G. Failla, and M. Zingales, "Finite-element formulation of a nonlocal hereditary fractional-order timoshenko beam", *J. Eng. Mech.-ASCE* (2015), D4015001.
- [Atanackovic and Stankovic 2009] T. M. Atanackovic and B. Stankovic, "Generalized wave equation in nonlocal elasticity", *Acta Mech.* **208**:1-2 (2009), 1–10.
- [Baleanu et al. 2012] D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas, and J. J. Trujillo, *Fractional calculus and numerical methods*, Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos **3**, World Scientific, Hackensack, New Jersey, 2012.
- [Baleanu et al. 2015] D. Baleanu, J. H. Asad, and I. Petras, "Numerical solution of the fractional Euler–Lagrange's equations of a thin elastica model", *Nonlinear Dynam.* **81**:1-2 (2015), 97–102.
- [Błasik and Klimek 2015] M. Błasik and M. Klimek, "Numerical solution of the one phase 1D fractional Stefan problem using the front fixing method", *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **38**:15 (2015), 3214–3228.
- [Błaszczyk and Ciesielski 2015] T. Błaszczyk and M. Ciesielski, "Fractional oscillator equation—transformation into integral equation and numerical solution", *Appl. Math. Comput.* **257** (2015), 428–435.
- [Błaszczyk and Ciesielski 2016] T. Błaszczyk and M. Ciesielski, "Fractional oscillator equation—analytical solution and algorithm for its approximate computation", *J. Vib. Control* 22:8 (2016), 2045–2052.
- [Błaszczyk et al. 2011] T. Błaszczyk, M. Ciesielski, M. Klimek, and J. Leszczynski, "Numerical solution of fractional oscillator equation", *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**:6 (2011), 2480–2488.
- [Carpinteri et al. 2011] A. Carpinteri, P. Cornetti, and A. Sapora, "A fractional calculus approach to nonlocal elasticity", *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **193**:1 (2011), 193–204.
- [Carpinteri et al. 2014] A. Carpinteri, P. Cornetti, and A. Sapora, "Nonlocal elasticity: an approach based on fractional calculus", *Meccanica* **49**:11 (2014), 2551–2569.
- [Ciesielski and Błaszczyk 2015] M. Ciesielski and T. Błaszczyk, "Numerical solution of non-homogenous fractional oscillator equation in integral form", *J. Theor. Appl. Mech.* **53**:4 (2015), 959–968.
- [Drapaca and Sivaloganathan 2012] C. S. Drapaca and S. Sivaloganathan, "A fractional model of continuum mechanics", *J. Elasticity* **107**:2 (2012), 105–123.
- [Durajski 2014] A. P. Durajski, "Phonon-mediated superconductivity in compressed NbH4 compound", *Eur. Phys. J. B* 87:9 (2014), 1–6.
- [Durajski 2015] A. P. Durajski, "Influence of hole doping on the superconducting state in graphane", *Supercond. Sci. Tech.* **28**:3 (2015), 35002–35009.
- [Eringen 2002] A. C. Eringen, Nonlocal continuum field theories, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [Freundlich 2013] J. Freundlich, "Vibrations of a simply supported beam with a fractional viscoelastic material model supports movement excitation", *Shock and Vibration* **20**:6 (2013), 1103–1112.

ANALYTICAL AND NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE FRACTIONAL EULER-BERNOULLI BEAM EQUATION 33

- [Kilbas et al. 2006] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **204**, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [Klimek 2001] M. Klimek, "Fractional sequential mechanics—models with symmetric fractional derivative", *Czechoslovak J. Phys.* **51**:12 (2001), 1348–1354.
- [Kukla and Siedlecka 2015] S. Kukla and U. Siedlecka, "Laplace transform solution of the problem of time-fractional heat conduction in a two-layered slab", *J. Appl. Math. Comput. Mech.* **14**:4 (2015), 105–113.
- [Lazo and Torres 2013] M. J. Lazo and D. F. M. Torres, "The DuBois–Reymond fundamental lemma of the fractional calculus of variations and an Euler–Lagrange equation involving only derivatives of Caputo", *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **156**:1 (2013), 56–67.
- [Lazopoulos 2006] K. A. Lazopoulos, "Non-local continuum mechanics and fractional calculus", *Mech. Res. Comm.* 33:6 (2006), 753–757.
- [Leszczyński 2011] J. Leszczyński, An introduction to fractional mechanics, Publishing Office of Czestochowa University of Technology, 2011.
- [Malinowska et al. 2015] A. B. Malinowska, T. Odzijewicz, and D. F. M. Torres, *Advanced methods in the fractional calculus of variations*, Springer Briefs in Applied Sciences and Technology, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2015.
- [Paola and Zingales 2008] M. D. Paola and M. Zingales, "Long-range cohesive interactions of non-local continuum faced by fractional calculus", *Int. J. Solids Struct.* **45**:21 (2008), 5642–5659.
- [Paola et al. 2013] M. D. Paola, R. Heuer, and A. Pirrotta, "Fractional visco-elastic Euler–Bernoulli beam", *Int. J. Solids Struct.* **50**:22–23 (2013), 3505–3510.
- [Pirrotta et al. 2015] A. Pirrotta, S. Cutrona, and S. Di Lorenzo, "Fractional visco-elastic Timoshenko beam from elastic Euler–Bernoulli beam", *Acta Mech.* 226:1 (2015), 179–189.
- [Podlubny 1999] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering **198**, Academic Press, San Diego, California, 1999.
- [Samko et al. 1993] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives: theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [Siedlecki et al. 2015] J. Siedlecki, M. Ciesielski, and T. Błaszczyk, "Transformation of the second order boundary value problem into integral form—different approaches and a numerical solution", *J. Appl. Math. Comput. Mech.* **14**:3 (2015), 103–108.
- [Sumelka 2014a] W. Sumelka, "Application of fractional continuum mechanics to rate independent plasticity", *Acta Mech.* **225**:11 (2014), 3247–3264.
- [Sumelka 2014b] W. Sumelka, "Thermoelasticity in the framework of the fractional continuum mechanics", *J. Therm. Stresses* **37**:6 (2014), 678–706.
- [Sumelka and Błaszczyk 2014] W. Sumelka and T. Błaszczyk, "Fractional continua for linear elasticity", *Arch. Mech. (Arch. Mech. Stos.*) 66:3 (2014), 147–172.
- [Sumelka et al. 2015] W. Sumelka, T. Błaszczyk, and C. Liebold, "Fractional Euler–Bernoulli beams: theory, numerical study and experimental validation", *Eur. J. Mech. A Solids* **54** (2015), 243–251.
- [Tarasov 2006] V. E. Tarasov, "Continuous limit of discrete systems with long-range interaction", J. Phys. A **39**:48 (2006), 14895–14910.
- [Torres 2015] C. Torres, "Ground state solution for differential equations with left and right fractional derivatives", *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **38**:18 (2015), 5063–5073.
- [Vázquez 2004] L. Vázquez, "A fruitful interplay: from nonlocality to fractional calculus", pp. 129–133 in *Nonlinear waves: Classical and quantum aspects. Proceedings of the NATO advanced research workshop* (Estoril, Portugal), edited by F. K. Abdullaev and V. V. Konotop, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [Zhang et al. 2016] Y. Zhang, L. Chen, D. M. Reeves, and H. Sun, "A fractional-order tempered-stable continuity model to capture surface water runoff", *J. Vib. Control* **22**:8 (2016), 1993–2003.
- [Zingales and Failla 2015] M. Zingales and G. Failla, "The finite element method for fractional non-local thermal energy transfer in non-homogeneous rigid conductors", *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **29**:1-3 (2015), 116–127.

Received 5 Jan 2016. Revised 13 May 2016. Accepted 27 May 2016.

TOMASZ BLASZCZYK: tomasz.blaszczyk@im.pcz.pl Institute of Mathematics, Czestochowa University of Technology, Al. Armii Krajowej 21, 42-200 Czestochowa, Poland

34



JOURNAL OF MECHANICS OF MATERIALS AND STRUCTURES

msp.org/jomms

Founded by Charles R. Steele and Marie-Louise Steele

EDITORIAL BOARD

ADAIR R. AGUIAR	University of São Paulo at São Carlos, Brazil	
KATIA BERTOLDI	Harvard University, USA	
DAVIDE BIGONI	University of Trento, Italy	
Yibin Fu	Keele University, UK	
Iwona Jasiuk	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA	
C. W. LIM	City University of Hong Kong	
THOMAS J. PENCE	Michigan State University, USA	
GIANNI ROYER-CARFAGNI	Università degli studi di Parma, Italy	
DAVID STEIGMANN	University of California at Berkeley, USA	
PAUL STEINMANN	Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany	

ADVISORY BOARD

J. P. CARTER	University of Sydney, Australia
D. H. HODGES	Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
J. HUTCHINSON	Harvard University, USA
D. PAMPLONA	Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
M. B. RUBIN	Technion, Haifa, Israel

PRODUCTION production@msp.org

SILVIO LEVY Scientific Editor

Cover photo: Ev Shafrir

See msp.org/jomms for submission guidelines.

JoMMS (ISSN 1559-3959) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #6840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published in 10 issues a year. The subscription price for 2017 is US \$615/year for the electronic version, and \$775/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues, and changes of address should be sent to MSP.

JoMMS peer-review and production is managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY mathematical sciences publishers nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/ © 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures

Volume 12, No. 1 January 2017

Special issue on Coupled Field Problems and Multiphase Materials

Preface CORINA S. DR	kapaca, Stefan Hartman Sivabal Sivaloganathan	IN, JACEK LESZCZYŃSKI, N and WOJCIECH SUMELKA	1
Variational methods for th problems R10	e solution of fractional discr CARDO ALMEIDA, AGNIESZ M. LUÍSA MORGADO	ete/continuous Sturm–Liouville KA B. MALINOWSKA, and TATIANA ODZIJEWICZ	3
Analytical and numerical s	solution of the fractional Eul	er–Bernoulli beam equation TOMASZ BLASZCZYK	23
Fractional calculus in neur	onal electromechanics	CORINA S. DRAPACA	35
Time-adaptive finite eleme temperature-depend MATTHIAS GR.	nt simulations of dynamical ent materials AFENHORST, JOACHIM RAN	problems for NG and STEFAN HARTMANN	57
Computer simulation of th the Trefftz method	e effective viscosity in Brink JAN ADAM KOŁODZIEJ, M and JA	man filtration equation using AGDALENA MIERZWICZAK KUB KRZYSZTOF GRABSKI	93
Numerical simulations of r tomography Ryszard	nechanical properties of alu Zdzisław Nowak, M Pęcherski, Marek Porc	mina foams based on computed IARCIN NOWAK, DCZEK and ROMANA ŚLIWA	107
Gradient-enhanced large s localization simulation	train thermoplasticity with a ons JERZY PAMIN and KATARZYN	automatic linearization and , BALBINA WCISŁO A KOWALCZYK-GAIFWSKA	123

