# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS IN THE SUM OF TWO SETS OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

HENRY B. MANN

Vol. 1, No. 2

December 1951

## ON THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS IN THE SUM OF TWO SETS OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

### HENRY B. MANN

1. Introduction. Let  $A, B, \cdots$  be sets of nonnegative integers. We define  $A + B = \{a + b\}_{a \in A, b \in B}$ . By  $A^0, B^0, \cdots$  we shall denote the union of  $A, B, \cdots$  and the number 0, by A(n) the number of positive a's that do not exceed n. We further put

(1) g.l.b. 
$$\frac{A(n)}{n} = \alpha$$
,

(2) g.1.b. 
$$\frac{A(n)}{n+1} = \alpha^*$$
,

(3) 
$$\lim \inf \frac{A(n)}{n} = \overline{\alpha} .$$

If  $1, 2, \dots, k-1 \in A$ ,  $k \notin A$ , we further put

(4) 
$$g.1.b._{n\geq k} \cdot \frac{A(n)}{n+1} = \alpha_1 \cdot$$

The real number  $\alpha$  is called the *density* of A,  $\alpha_1$  the *modified density*, and  $\overline{\alpha}$  the *asymptotic density* of A. Densities of A, B, C,  $\cdots$  will be denoted by the corresponding Greek letters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\cdots$ .

Besicovitch [1] introduced  $\alpha^*$ , and Erdös [2]  $\alpha_1$ .

The author [3] proved: If  $C = A^0 + B$  for  $B \ni 1$  and  $A^0 + B^0$  otherwise, then for all  $n \notin C$  we have

(5) 
$$C(n) \ge \alpha^* n + B(n) .$$

It was also shown [3] that in (5),  $\alpha^*$  cannot be replaced by  $\alpha$ .

Received November 13, 1950.

Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), 249-253.

It is the purpose of the present note to improve (5) to the relation

(6) 
$$C(n) \ge \alpha_1 n + B(n).$$

The proof of (6) requires only a modification of the proof of (5), but will be given in full to make the present note self-sufficient.

The inequality (6) immediately yields

(7) 
$$\overline{\gamma} \ge \alpha_1 + \overline{\beta}$$

if C has infinitely many gaps.

Now (7) is sometimes better and sometimes not as good as Erdös' [2] inequality

(8) 
$$\overline{\gamma} \ge \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta}/2$$

for the case  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $B \ni 1$ ,  $C = A^0 + B^0$ . (To establish (8) it is really sufficient to assume that there is at least one  $b^0$  such that  $b^0 + 1 \in B$ .) However (7) holds also for  $C = A^0 + B$  if  $B \ni 1$ , and for  $C = A^0 + B^0$  without any restriction on B.

2. Proof. We shall now give a proof of (6) for the case  $C = A^0 + B$ ,  $B \ni 1$ , and then shall indicate the changes which have to be made if nothing is assumed about B but if  $C = A^0 + B^0$ . By a, b, c,  $\cdots$  we shall denote unspecified integers in A, B, C,  $\cdots$ .

Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$  be all the gaps in C. Put  $n_r = n$ ,  $n - n_i = d_i$  for i < r. If there is one  $e \in B$  such that

$$(9) a + e + d_i = n_i$$

form all numbers  $e + d_t$  for which

(10) 
$$a + e + d_t = n_s$$
,  $t < r$ ,  $s < r$ .

Let T be the set of indices occurring in (10). Put  $B^* = \{e + d_s\}_{s \in T}$ . It is not difficult to prove the following propositions.

**PROPOSITION 1.** The intersection  $B \cap B^*$  is empty.

**PROPOSITION 2.** The integer n is not of the form  $a + e + d_s$  for any s.

Since (10) also implies

$$(10') a+e+d_s=n_t,$$

it follows that  $B^*$  contains as many numbers as there are gaps in C which precede n and which are not gaps in  $A + B \cup B^*$ . Hence we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 3. If  $B \cup B^* = B_1$ ,  $A + B_1 = C_1$ , then

(11) 
$$C_1(n) - C(n) = B_1(n) - B(n)$$
.

Thus we have proved the following lemma.

LEMMA. If there is at least one equation of the form  $a + b + d_i = n_j$ , then there exists a  $B_1 \supset B$  such that  $C_1 = A + B_1$  does not contain n, and such that

(12) 
$$C_1(n) - C(n) = B_1(n) - B(n) > 0$$
.

Now let  $C = A^0 + B$ ,  $B \supset 1$ . Clearly,  $n_1 > 1$ . The numbers smaller than  $n_1$  are either in B, or of the form  $n_1 - a$ , or of neither of these two sorts. Also  $n_1 \notin B$ , since  $C \supset B$ . Hence we have

(13) 
$$C(n_1) = n_1 - 1 \ge A(n_1 - 1) + B(n_1).$$

Since  $B \ni 1$ , we must have  $n_1 - 1 \notin A$ ,  $(n_1 - 1) \ge k$ . Thus, we obtain

(14) 
$$C(n_1) \geq \alpha_1 n_1 + B(n_1).$$

We proceed by induction and assume (6) proved, when n is the *j*th gap, j < r. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1:  $d_{r-1} < n_1$ . Then

$$C \ni n_1 - d_{r-1} = a + b .$$

We now apply the lemma. Let n be the jth gap in  $C_1$ . Then j < r, and we have, by induction,

$$(15) C_1(n) \ge \alpha_1 n + B_1(n),$$

and, by the lemma,

(16) 
$$C_1(n) - C(n) = B_1(n) - B(n)$$
.

Subtracting (16) from (15), we obtain (6).

Case 2:  $d_{r-1} \geq n_1$ . Now

$$n-n_{r-1}-1\geq n_1-1\in A.$$

Hence we have

$$A(n - n_{r-1} - 1) \ge \alpha_1(n - n_{r-1}).$$

The numbers between  $n_{r-1}$  and n are either of the form n - a, or in B, or of neither of these two sorts. But  $n \notin B$ ; hence,

(17) 
$$n - n_{r-1} - 1 \ge A(n - n_{r-1} - 1) + B(n) - B(n_{r-1})$$
$$\ge \alpha_1(n - n_{r-1}) + B(n) - B(n_{r-1}).$$

By induction we have

(18) 
$$C(n_{r-1}) = n_{r-1} - (r-1) \ge \alpha_1 n_{r-1} + B(n_{r-1}).$$

Adding (17) and (18), we obtain (6).

1

From the proof it is evident that we may obtain the even stronger inequality

(6') 
$$C(n) \geq \alpha_1 n + B(n) + \min_{n_i \leq n} \left[ \frac{A(n_i - 1)}{n_i} - \alpha_1 \right] n_i .$$

To establish (6) for  $C = A^0 + B^0$  without the restriction  $B \ni 1$ , we first remark that in (13) the term  $A(n_1 - 1)$  can be replaced by  $A(n_1)$ . The cases to be distinguished are  $d_{r-1} \leq n_1$  and  $d_{r-1} > n_1$ . The proof of Case 1 is then word by word the same when we replace B by  $B^0$  and  $B_1$  by  $B_1^0$ . In Case 2 we have

$$n-n_{r-1}-1\geq n_1\geq k$$

so that  $A(n - n_{r-1} - 1) \ge \alpha_1(n - n_{r-1})$ ; the remainder of the argument remains unchanged. For  $C = A^0 + B^0$ , we can obtain the even stronger inequality

(6") 
$$C(n) \geq \alpha_1 n + B(n) + \min_{n_i \leq n} \left[ \frac{A(n_i)}{n_i} - \alpha_1 \right] n_i$$
,

which again implies the even stronger result

$$C(n) \ge \max \left\{ \alpha_1 n + B(n) + \left[ \frac{A(n_1)}{n_1} - \alpha_1 \right] n_1 , \right.$$
$$A(n) + \beta_1 n + \min_{n_i \le n} \left[ \frac{B(n_i)}{n_i} - \beta_1 \right] n_i$$

252

To establish (7), it is sufficient to show that for any set S we have

$$\frac{S(m)}{m} > \frac{S(n)}{n}$$

if m > n,  $n \notin S$ , S(m) - S(n) = m - n. However, this can easily be verified. Thus if S has infinitely many gaps, then

$$\overline{\sigma} = \lim \inf \frac{S(m)}{m} = \lim \inf_{\substack{n \notin S}} \frac{S(n)}{n}$$
.

It thus appears that in (7) we may replace  $\overline{\beta}$  by

$$\liminf_{\substack{n \notin C}} \frac{B(n)}{n} \geq \tilde{\beta} .$$

If  $C = A^0 + B^0$ , we may of course write

$$\overline{\gamma} \geq \max (\alpha_1 + \overline{\beta}, \quad \overline{\alpha} + \beta_1).$$

### References

1. A. S. Besicovitch, On the density of the sum of two sequences of integers, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), 246-248.

2. P. Erdös, On the asymptotic density of the sum of two sequences, Ann. of Math. 43 (1942), 65-68.

3. H. B. Mann, A proof of the fundamental theorem on the density of sums of sets of positive integers, Ann. of Math. 43 (1942), 523-527.

**Ohio State University** 

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

HERBERT BUSEMANN University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California R. M. ROBINSON University of California Berkeley 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH, Managing Editor University of California Los Angeles 24, California

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. P. DILWORTH	P. R. HALMOS	BØRGE JESSEN	J. J. STOKER
HERBERT FEDERER	HEINZ HOPF	PAUL LÉVY	E. G. STRAUS
MARSHALL HALL	R. D. JAMES	GEORGE PÓLYA	KÔSAKU YOSIDA

### SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY	WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES	* * *
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE	NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS,
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	INSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. F. Beckenbach, at the address given above. Authors are entitled to receive 100 free reprints of their published papers and may obtain additional copies at cost.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$2.50. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS · BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1951 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 1, No. 2 December, 1951

Tom M. (Mike) Apostol, <i>On the Lerch zeta function</i>	161
Ross A. Beaumont and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>A characterization of the subgroups of the additive rationals</i>	169
Richard Bellman and Theodore Edward Harris, <i>Recurrence times for the</i> <i>Ehrenfest model</i>	179
Stephen P.L. Diliberto and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the approximation of a function of several variables by the sum of functions of fewer</i>	
variables	195
Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr. and D. V. Widder, <i>Convolution transforms with complex kernels</i>	211
Irving Kaplansky, A theorem on rings of operators	227
W. Karush, An iterative method for finding characteristic vectors of a symmetric matrix	233
Henry B. Mann, On the number of integers in the sum of two sets of positive integers	249
William H. Mills, A theorem on the representation theory of Jordan algebras	255
Tibor Radó, An approach to singular homology theory	265
Otto Szász, On some trigonometric transforms	291
James G. Wendel, On isometric isomorphism of group algebras	305
George Milton Wing, On the $L^p$ theory of Hankel transforms	313