Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME THEOREMS ON BERNOULLI NUMBERS OF HIGHER ORDER

L. CARLITZ

Vol. 2, No. 2

February 1952

SOME THEOREMS ON BERNOULLI NUMBERS OF HIGHER ORDER

L. CARLITZ

1. Introduction. We define the Bernoulli numbers of order k by means of [3, Chapter 6]

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1}\right)^k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} B_m^{(k)} \qquad (|t| < 2\pi);$$

in particular, $B_m = B_m^{(1)}$ denotes the ordinary Bernoulli number. Not much seems to be known about divisibility properties of $B_m^{(k)}$. Using different notation, S. Wachs [4] proved a result which may be stated in the form

(1.1)
$$B_{p+2}^{(p+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

where p is a prime \geq 3. In attempting to simplify Wachs' proof, the writer found the stronger result

(1.2)
$$B_{p+2}^{(p+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$$
 $(p > 3).$

We remark that $B_5^{(4)} = -9$.

The proof of (1.2) depends on some well-known properties of the Bernoulli numbers and factorial coefficients; in particular, we make use of some theorems of Glaisher and Nielsen. The necessary formulas are collected in §2; the proof of (1.2) is given in §3. In §4 we prove

(1.3)
$$B_p^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} p^2 \pmod{p^3}$$
 $(p \ge 3);$

the proof of this result is somewhat simpler than that of (1.2). For the residue of $B_p^{(p)} \pmod{p^4}$, see (4.5) below.

In §5 we prove several formulas of a similar nature (p > 3):

Received August 14, 1951. Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 127-139

(1.4)
$$B_{p+1}^{(p)} \equiv -p \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} + \frac{1}{24} p^2 \pmod{p^3},$$

(1.5)
$$B_{p+2}^{(p)} \equiv p^2 \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} \pmod{p^4},$$

(1.6)
$$B_{p+1}^{(p+1)} \equiv \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{1}{24} p \pmod{p^2}.$$

In §6 we discuss the number $B_m^{(p)}$ for arbitrary *m*; this requires the consideration of a number of cases. In particular, we mention the following special results (p > 3):

(1.7)
$$B_{p^{r}}^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} p^{r+1} (p-1) B_{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

for r > 1;

(1.8)
$$B_m^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) B_{m-1} \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

for $m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r(p-1)}$.

It also follows from the results of §6 that $B_m^{(p)}$ is integral (mod p), $p \ge 3$, unless $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ and $m \equiv 0$ or $p-1 \pmod{p}$, in which case $pB_m^{(p)}$ is integral.

The number $B_m^{(p+1)}$ requires a more detailed discussion than $B_m^{(p)}$; this will be omitted from the present paper. However, we note the special formula

(1.9)
$$B_{p^{r}}^{(p+1)} \equiv p^{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} p(p+1) \frac{B_{p^{r-1}}}{p^{r}-1} + p! \frac{B_{p^{r-p}}}{p^{r}-p} \right\} \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

for p > 3, r > 1. The residue (mod p^{r+3}) can be specified.

2. Some preliminary results. We first state a number of formulas involving $B_m^{(k)}$ which may be found in [3, Chapter 6].

(2.1)
$$B_m^{(k+1)} = (k+1) \binom{m}{k+1} \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^{k-s} \binom{k}{s} B_s^{(k+1)} \frac{B_{m-s}}{m-s}$$

(2.2)
$$(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-m) = \sum_{s=0}^{m} {m \choose s} B_{s}^{(m+1)} x^{m-s}$$

We shall require the special values

(2.3)
$$B_1^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2}k, \ B_2^{(k)} = \frac{1}{12}k(3k-1), \ B_3^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{8}k^2(k-1).$$

If we define the factorial coefficients by means of

$$(x + 1) \cdots (x + m - 1) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} C_s^{(m)} x^{m-1-s},$$

we see at once that

(2.4)
$$(-1)^{s} {m \choose s} B_{s}^{(m+1)} = C_{s}^{(m+1)}$$

We have also the recurrence formula

(2.5)
$$C_s^{(m+1)} = C_s^{(m)} + mC_{s-1}^{(m)}.$$

In the next place [1, p. 325; 2, p. 328] for p a prime > 3,

(2.6)
$$C_{2r}^{(p)} \equiv -p \frac{B_{2r}}{2r} \pmod{p^2}$$
 $(2 \leq 2r \leq p-3),$

(2.7)
$$C_{2r+1}^{(p)} \equiv p^2 \frac{(2r+1)B_{2r}}{4r} \pmod{p^3}$$
 $(r \ge 1),$

(2.8)
$$C_{p-1}^{(p)} = (p-1)! \equiv p(-1+B_{p-1}) \pmod{p^2}.$$

It follows immediately from (2.8) and Wilson's theorem that

(2.9)
$$p(p+1) B_{p-1} \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p^2}$$
.

We shall require the following special case of Kummer's congruence [2, Chapter 14]:

(2.10)
$$\frac{B_{m+p-1}}{m+p-1} \equiv \frac{B_m}{m} \pmod{p} \qquad (p-1 \neq m);$$

also, the Staudt-Clausen theorem [3, 32] which we quote in the following form:

(2.11) $pB_m \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ $(p-1 \mid m).$

A formula of a different sort that will be used is [3, p.146, formula (83)]

$$B_{m} = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^{m} (-1)^{s} {m \choose s} B_{s} B_{m-s}.$$

In particular, replacing m by 2m, this becomes

(2.12)
$$(2m+1) B_{2m} + \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} {\binom{2m}{2t}} B_{2t} B_{2m-2t} = 0$$

provided m > 1, a formula due to Euler. The formula [3, p. 145]

(2.13)
$$B_m^{(k+1)} = \left(1 - \frac{m}{k}\right) B_m^{(k)} - m B_{m-1}^{(k)}$$

will also be employed. In particular, we note that

$$(2.14) B_m^{(m+1)} = (-1)^m m!$$

3. Proof of (1.2). Let p be a prime > 3. In (2.1), taking k = p, m = p + 2, we get

$$B_{p+2}^{(p+1)} = (p+1)(p+2)\sum_{s=0}^{p} (-1)^{p-s} {p \choose s} \frac{B_{p+2-s}}{p+2-s} B_{s}^{(p+1)}$$

(3.1)
$$= (p+1) (p+2) \sum_{t=0}^{(p-1)/2} {p \choose 2t+1} \frac{B_{p+1-2t}}{p+1-2t} B_{2t+1}^{(p+1)}$$

= (p + 1) (p + 2) A,

say. We break the sum A into several parts:

(3.2)
$$A = u_0 + u_1 + \sum_{t=2}^{(p-3)/2} u_t + u_{(p-1)/2},$$

where

$$u_{t} = {p \choose 2t+1} B_{2t+1}^{(p+1)} \frac{B_{p+1-2t}}{p+1-2t} \qquad (0 \le t \le p-1).$$

Then by (2.2) and (2.3) we have

(3.3)
$$u_0 = pB_1^{(p+1)} \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} = -\frac{1}{2} pB_{p+1};$$

and

(3.4)
$$u_{(p-1)/2} = \frac{1}{2} B_2 B_p^{(p+1)} = -\frac{1}{12} p!$$

by (2.14). As for u_1 we have, by (2.3),

$$\binom{p}{3} B_3^{(p+1)} = -\frac{1}{48} p^2 (p+1)^2 (p-1) (p-2)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{48} (p^3 + 2p^2) \pmod{p^4};$$

thus, by (2.8),

$$u_{1} \equiv -\frac{1}{48} \left(p^{2} + 2p \right) \frac{pB_{p-1}}{p-1} \equiv -\frac{1}{48} \left(p^{2} + 2p \right) \frac{(p-1)!}{p^{2}-1}$$

(3.5)
$$\equiv \frac{1}{48} (p^2 + 2p) (p-1)! \pmod{p^3}.$$

In the next place, by (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ 2t+1 \end{pmatrix} B_{2t+1}^{(p+1)} = -C_{2t+1}^{(p+1)} = -C_{2t+1}^{(p)} - pC_{2t}^{(p)}$$

$$= -p^2 \left(\frac{2t+1}{4t} - \frac{1}{2t}\right) B_{2t}$$

$$= -p^2 \left(\frac{2t-1}{4t} - \frac{1}{2t}\right) B_{2t} \pmod{p^3}$$

for $2 \leq t \leq (p-3)/2$. Hence

$$u_t \equiv p^2 \frac{B_{2t}}{4t} B_{p+1-2t} \pmod{p^3},$$

so that

(3.6)
$$\sum_{t=2}^{(p-3)/2} u_t \equiv p^2 \sum_{t=2}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{1}{4t} B_{2t} B_{p+1-2t} \pmod{p^3}.$$

On the other hand, by (2.12),

$$(p+2) B_{p+1} + \sum_{t=1}^{(p-1)/2} {p+1 \choose 2t} B_{2t} B_{p+1-2t} = 0,$$

which implies

$$(p+2)B_{p+1} + \frac{1}{6}p(p+1)B_{p-1} \equiv p(p+1)\sum_{2}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{B_{2t}}{2t} \frac{B_{p+1-2t}}{p+1-2t}$$

(3.61)
$$\equiv 2p \sum_{2}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{1}{2t} B_{2t} B_{p+1-2t} \pmod{p^2};$$

the last congruence is a consequence of

$$\frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{p+1-2t} \equiv \frac{1}{2t(p+1-2t)} \pmod{p}.$$

Now using (3.6) we see that

$$\sum_{2}^{(p-3)/2} u_t \equiv \frac{1}{4} p(p+2) B_{p+1} + \frac{1}{24} p^2(p+1) B_{p-1}$$

(3.7)

$$\equiv \frac{1}{4} p(p+2) B_{p+1} + \frac{1}{24} p(p-1)! \pmod{p^3}$$

by (2.9). Collecting from (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), and (3.7) we get, after some simplification,

$$A = \frac{1}{4} p^2 B_{p+1} + \frac{1}{48} p^2 (p-1)!$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{4} p^2 \left(\frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{B_2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{48} p^2 + \frac{1}{48} p^2 (p-1)!.$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$$

by (2.10). Therefore, by (3.1), $B_{p+2}^{(p+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$. It would be of interest to determine the residue of $B_{p+2}^{(p+1)} \pmod{p^4}$. We have already noted that $B_5^{(4)} \neq 0 \pmod{3^3}$; for small p at least, it can be verified that $B_{p+2}^{(p+1)} \neq 0 \pmod{p^4}$.

4. Proof of (1.3). We now take m = p > 3, k = p - 1 in (2.1), so that

$$B_{p}^{(p)} = p \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{s} {p-1 \choose s} \frac{B_{p-s}}{p-s} B_{s}^{(p)}$$

(4.1)

$$= p \left\{ \frac{1}{2} p B_{p-1} - \frac{1}{2} (p-1)! - \sum_{t=1}^{(p-3)/2} {p-1 \choose 2t+1} \frac{B_{p-1-2t}}{p-1-2t} B_{2t+1}^{(p)} \right\}$$

by (2.3) and (2.4). Now, again using (2.4), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} p-1\\ 2t+1 \end{pmatrix} B_{2t+1}^{(p)} = -C_{2t+1}^{(p)}$$
$$= -p^2 \frac{(2t+1) B_{2t+1}}{4t} \pmod{p^3}.$$

Hence, the sum Q in the right member of (4.1) satisfies $Q \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$; more precisely, we see that

(4.2)
$$Q \equiv p^2 \sum_{1}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{1}{4t} B_{2t} B_{p-1-2t} \pmod{p^3},$$

to which we return presently. Thus, it is clear that (4.1) reduces to

$$B_p^{(p)} \equiv \frac{p}{2} (pB_{p-1} - (p-1)!) \pmod{p^3}.$$

But by (2.8) this implies

(4.3)
$$B_p^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} p^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

L. CARLITZ

Since $B_3^{(3)} = -9/4 \equiv 9/2 \pmod{27}$, (4.3) holds for $p \ge 3$. To determine the residue of $B_p^{(p)} \pmod{p^4}$ we make use of [2, p.366, formula (10)],

(4.4)
$$\sum_{t=1}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{1}{2t} B_{2t} B_{p-1-2t} \equiv \frac{1}{p} (W_p - K_p) - W_p \pmod{p},$$

where W_p , K_p are defined by

$$(p-1)! + 1 = pW_p, \quad a^{p-1} - 1 = pk(a)$$
 $(p \neq a),$
 $K_p = k(1) + k(2) + \dots + k(p-1).$

Then, by (4.1) and (4.2),

$$B_p^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} p \left\{ p B_{p-1} + 1 - p K_p - p^2 W_p \right\} \pmod{p^4}$$

since $W_p \equiv K_p \pmod{p}$, this may also be put in the form

(4.5)
$$B_p^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} p^2 \left\{ B_{p-1} + \frac{1}{p} - (p+1) K_p \right\} \pmod{p^4}.$$

That (4.5) includes (4.3) is easily verified.

5. Proof of (1.4), (1.5), (1.6). In the remainder of the paper let p > 3. In (2.13) take k = p, m = p + 2; then

$$B_{p+2}^{(p+1)} = \left(1 - \frac{p+2}{p}\right) B_{p+2}^{(p)} - (p+2) B_{p+1}^{(p)}.$$

Therefore, by (1.2),

(5.1)
$$\frac{2}{p} B_{p+2}^{(p)} + (p+2) B_{p+1}^{(p)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Now take k = p - 1, m = p + 2 in (2.1), so that

$$B_{p+2}^{(p)} = p \binom{p+2}{p} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s \binom{p-1}{s} B_s^{(p)} \frac{B_{p+2-s}}{p+2-s}$$

•

Clearly only odd values of s need be considered; we get, using (2.4),

$$B_{p+2}^{(p)} = (p+2) \binom{p+1}{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{(p-3)/2} C_{2t+1}^{(p)} \frac{B_{p+1-2t}}{p+1-2t}$$
$$= (p+2) \binom{p+1}{p-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} p \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} + \frac{1}{8} p^2 \frac{B_{p-1}}{p-1} \right) \pmod{p^3}$$

by (2.3) and (2.7); next, by (2.9) and (2.10), we get

(5.2)
$$B_{p+2}^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{12} p^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

In view of (5.1) we have also

(5.3)
$$B_{p+1}^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{1}{12} p \pmod{p^2},$$

However, (5.2) and (5.3) do not imply (5.1) but only the weaker result with modulus p^2 .

To improve these results we follow the method of §3. Thus

(5.4)
$$B_{p+1}^{(p)} = p(p+1) \sum_{s=0}^{(p-1)/2} C_{2s}^{(p)} \frac{B_{p+1-2s}}{p+1-2s} = p(p+1)A,$$

and

$$A = \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} + C_2^{(p)} \frac{B_{p-1}}{p-1} + \sum_{t=2}^{(p-3)/2} C_{2t}^{(p)} \frac{B_{p+1-2t}}{p+1-2t} + \frac{1}{12} (p-1)!$$

But, by (3.61),

$$\sum_{t=2}^{(p-3)/2} C_{2t}^{(p)} \frac{B_{p+1-2t}}{p+1-2t} \equiv -p \sum_{2}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{B_{2t}}{2t} \frac{B_{p+1-2t}}{p+1-2t}$$
$$\equiv -\frac{p+2}{p+1} B_{p+1} - \frac{1}{6} pB_{p-1} \pmod{p^2},$$

so that after some simplification we get

$$A \equiv -\frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} + \frac{1}{8} p \pmod{p^2},$$

and therefore, by (5.4) and (2.10),

(5.5)
$$B_{p+1}^{(p)} \equiv -p \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} + \frac{1}{24} p^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

In view of (5.1) this implies

(5.6)
$$B_{p+2}^{(p)} \equiv p^2 \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} \pmod{p^4}.$$

That (5.5) and (5.6) include (5.3) and (5.2) is evident; also (5.5) and (5.6) imply (1.2).

We remark also that using (2.13), (5.5), and (1.3) we get

(5.7)
$$B_{p+1}^{(p+1)} \equiv \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{1}{24} p \pmod{p^2}.$$

6. Discussion of $B_m^{(p)}$. Let first m > p be odd, so that (2.1) implies

(6.1)
$$B_m^{(p)} = p\binom{m}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{(p-3)/2} C_{2t+1}^{(p)} \frac{B_{m-1-2t}}{m-1-2t}$$

Now let $m \equiv a \pmod{p}$, $0 \leq a < p$; $m \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, $0 \leq b < p-1$. Also, let $p^r \mid m-a$, $p^{r+1} \neq m-a$, so that the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{p}$ is divisible by exactly p^{r-1} . Clearly b is odd. Now by a well-known theorem [2, p. 252], if $a \neq b$, the quotient $B_{m-a}/(m-a)$ is integral (mod p). Thus, by (2.7), the right member of (6.1), except for the terms corresponding to t = 0, (b-1)/2, is a multiple of p^{r+2} . As for the exceptional terms

(6.2)
$$u_1 = p \begin{pmatrix} m \\ p \end{pmatrix} C_1^{(p)} \frac{B_{m-1}}{m-1}, \quad u_b = p \begin{pmatrix} m \\ p \end{pmatrix} C_b^{(p)} \frac{B_{m-b}}{m-b},$$

there are several possibilities.

(i) Suppose b = 1, so that the two terms in (6.2) coincide. Then if $a \neq 1$, we see that the term in question is exactly divisible by p^r . On the other hand if a = 1, the term is integral (mod p) but not divisible by p.

(ii) If $b \neq 1$, u_1 and u_b in (6.2) are distinct. There are several cases to consider. If a = b, then u_1 is divisible by p^{r+1} , while u_b is divisible by exactly p^{r+1} . Thus, in this sub-case $B_m^{(p)} = 0 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$; for m = p + 2 this is less precise than (5.2).

In the next place, let m be even and define a, b, r as above so that b is now

even.. Then we have

(6.3)
$$B_m^{(p)} = p\binom{m}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{(p-1)/2} C_{2t}^{(p)} \frac{B_{m-2t}}{m-2t} = \sum_{t=0}^{(p-1)/2} u_{2t} .$$

Then by (2.6) the right member, except for the terms u_0 , u_b , u_{p-1} , is a multiple of p^{r+1} . We consider a number of cases.

(iii) If b = 0, there are only two distinct terms u_0 , u_{p-1} . If a = 0, we find that pu_0 is integral (mod p); indeed $pu_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ by the Staudt-Clausen theorem (2.11). On the other hand, u_{p-1} is divisible by p^{r-1} ; indeed $u_{p-1} \equiv m/(m-p+1) \pmod{p^r}$. If a = p-1, then $u_0 \equiv (m-p+1)/m \pmod{p^r}$ while $pu_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. If $a \neq 0$ or p-1 then it can be verified that $u_0 + u_{p-1}$ is divisible by p^r .

(iv) If $b \neq 0$, then all three terms u_0 , u_b , u_{p-1} are distinct. By means of Kummer's congruence (2.10) we find that $u_0 + u_{p-1} \equiv 0$ (p^{r+1}); in other words;

(6.4)
$$B_m^{(p)} \equiv u_b \pmod{p^{r+1}}$$
 $(b \neq 0).$

As for u_b , there are several possibilities. If a = b, it is easily seen that u_b is integral (mod p); moreover, by (2.6), $u_b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if and only if $B_b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If $a \neq b$, then u_b is divisible by p^r at least; indeed using (6.4) we get

(6.5)
$$B_m^{(p)} \equiv B_b \quad \frac{m-a}{b(m-b)} \pmod{p^{r+1}} \quad (a \neq b, b \neq 0).$$

This result evidently includes (5.3) but not (5.5).

We remark that $B_m^{(p)}$ is integral (mod p) in cases (i), (ii), (iv). In case (iii), however, if a = 0 or p - 1, then $B_m^{(p)}$ is no longer integral, but $pB_m^{(p)}$ is integral; indeed it is easily verified that

$$pB_m^{(p)} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & (a = 0), \\ +1 \pmod{p} & (a = p - 1). \end{cases}$$

7. Some special cases. Clearly $m = p^r$, r > 1, falls under (i) above with a = 0, b = 1. Thus,

(7.1)
$$B_{p^{r}}^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} p^{r-1} (p-1) B_{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^{r+2}},$$

and in particular,

$$B_{p^r}^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} p^r \pmod{p^{r+1}}.$$

For $m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r (p-1)}$, we have a = b = 1 which also falls under (i); we now have

(7.3)
$$B_m^{(p)} = \frac{1}{2} (p-1) p B_{m-1} \pmod{p^{r+2}}.$$

For $m = cp^r$, where c is odd, $p \neq c$, we have a = 0, $c \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, which evidently falls under (i) or (ii). Thus, we get $(r \geq 1)$

(7.4)
$$B_{cpr}^{(p)} = \frac{1}{2} cp^{r+1} \frac{p-1}{cp^r-1} B_{cpr-1} \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

for $c \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$;

(7.5)
$$B_{cpr}^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} cp^{r+1} \left(B_{cpr-b} + \frac{1}{b-1} \right) \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

for $c \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, $b \neq 1$.

Similarly, for $m = cp^r$, c even, $p \neq c$, we have a = 0, $c \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, which falls under (iii) or (iv). We consider only the case $p-1 \neq c$; that is, $b \neq 0$. Then, by (6.5), we have

(7.6)
$$B_{cp^{r}}^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{c}{b^{2}} p^{r} B_{b} \pmod{p^{r+1}}.$$

Again for $m = cp^r + a$, c odd, a even, we find

(7.7)
$$B_m^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} c p^{r+1} (p-1) \frac{B_{m-1}}{m-1} \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

for b = 1, while

(7.8)
$$B_m^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} c p^{r+1} \left(\frac{B_{m-1}}{a-1} - \frac{b}{(b-1)(b-a)} \right) \pmod{p^{r+2}}$$

for $b \neq 1$; in these two formulas we have 0 < a < p-1, $b \equiv c + a \pmod{p-1}$. For c even, a odd, (7.7) holds; but (7.8) requires modification. For c and a both odd or both even, there are several cases; in particular, by (6.5) we have

(7.9)
$$B_m^{(p)} \equiv \frac{cp}{b(a-b)} \pmod{p^{r+1}}$$

for $a \neq b$, $b \neq 0$.

For p = 2, 3 it follows at once from (2.1) that

$$B_m^{(2)} = -m(m-1)\left(\frac{B_m}{m} + \frac{B_{m-1}}{m-1}\right),$$
$$B_m^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)(m-2)\left(\frac{B_m}{m} + 3\frac{B_{m-1}}{m-1} + 2\frac{B_{m-2}}{m-2}\right),$$

by means of which numerous special formulas can easily be obtained, for example,

$$B_{m}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} -(m-1) B_{m} & (m \text{ even } > 2), \\ -m B_{m-1} & (m \text{ odd }), \end{cases}$$

$$B_m^{(3)} = \frac{3}{2} m(m-2) B_{m-1} \qquad (m \text{ odd} > 1).$$

References

1. J. W. L. Glaisher, On the residues of the sums of products of the first p-1 numbers, and their powers, to modulus p^2 or p^3 , Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **31** (1900), 321-353.

2. Niels Nielsen, Traité élémentaire des nombres de Bernoulli, Paris, (1923).

3. N. E. Nörlund, Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung, Berlin, (1924).

4. S. Wachs, Sur une propriété arithmétique des nombres de Cauchy, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 71 (1947), 219-232.

DUKE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

R. M. ROBINSON

University of California Berkeley 4, California *R. P. DILWORTH

California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH, Managing Editor

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

*During the absence of Herbert Busemann in 1952.

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. P. DILWORTH	P. R. HALMOS	BØRGE JESSEN	J. J. STOKER
HERBERT FEDERER	HEINZ HOPF	PAUL LÉVY	E. G. STRAUS
MARSHALL HALL	R. D. JAMES	GEORGE PÓLYA	KOSAKU YOSIDA

SPONSORS

CALIFORNIA
EGE
ON
•
SOCIETY
ANDARDS,
AL ANALYSIS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. F. Beckenbach, at the address given above.

Authors are entitled to receive 100 free reprints of their published papers and may obtain additional copies at cost.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December, by the University of California, Berkeley 4, California. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$2.50. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS . BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1952 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 2, No. 2 February, 1952

L. Carlitz, Some theorems on Bernoulli numbers of higher order	127
Watson Bryan Fulks, On the boundary values of solutions of the heat	1 / 1
	141
John W. Green, On the level surfaces of potentials of masses with fixed	
center of gravity	147
Isidore Heller, <i>Contributions to the theory of divergent series</i>	153
Melvin Henriksen, On the ideal structure of the ring of entire functions	179
James Richard Jackson. Some theorems concerning absolute neighborhood	
retracts	185
Everett H. Larguier, <i>Homology bases with applications to local</i>	
connectedness	191
Janet McDonald. <i>Davis's canonical pencils of lines</i>	209
J. D. Niblett, <i>Some hypergeometric identities</i>	219
Elmer Edwin Osborne. <i>On matrices having the same characteristic</i>	
equation	227
Robert Steinberg and Raymond Moos Redheffer Analytic proof of the	
Lindemann theorem	231
Edward Silverman Set functions associated with Labasauc area	2/2
Edward Shverman, Set junctions associated with Lebesgue area	243
James G. Wendel, Left centralizers and isomorphisms of group algebras	251
Kosaku Yosida, On Brownian motion in a homogeneous Riemannian	
<i>space</i>	263