Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON MATRICES HAVING THE SAME CHARACTERISTIC EQUATION

Elmer Edwin Osborne

ON MATRICES HAVING THE SAME CHARACTERISTIC EQUATION

Elmer E. Osborne

1. Introduction. Let A and B be $n \times n$ matrices whose elements lie in an infinite perfect¹ field F. Alfred Brauer [1] and W. V. Parker [2] have considered the question: "When do A and B have the same characteristic equation?" Their results have been sufficiency conditions with special forms of A and B. W. T. Reid [3] has considered a related problem.

The present paper is concerned with the following theorem that contains the results of Brauer and Parker as special cases.

THEOREM. A necessary and sufficient condition for matrices A and B to have the same characteristic equation is that there exist a nonsingular matrix P (with elements in F) such that for $N = A - P^{-1}BP$:

Every polynomial g in A and N, each term of which contains N at least once, is nilpotent.

We introduce a special canonical form in $\S2$ and give the proof in $\S3$.

2. Canonical forms. For any matrix A, there exists a nonsingular matrix P_1 , with elements in F, such that

(2.1)
$$P_1^{-1}AP_1 = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_k,$$

where the characteristic equation of A_i is $[p_i(x)]^{\alpha_i} = 0$, and $p_i(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial over F. Moreover, for each A_i we have the decomposition by the nonsingular matrix P_{2i} with elements in F:

(2.2)
$$P_{2i}^{-1} A_i P_{2i} = A_{i1} + A_{i2} + \dots + A_{ik_i},$$

in which each $A_{i\mu}$ is nonderogatory with characteristic equation $[p_i(x)]^{a_{i\mu}} = 0$ and is of the form [4, p.750]

¹Every irreducible equation over F is separable.

Received June 21, 1951. The author gratefully acknowledges the encouragement and suggestions of Professor Lowell J. Paige of the University of California, Los Angeles, in the preparation of this paper.

Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 227-230

(2.3)
$$A_{i\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} C_i & I_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & C_i & I_i & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & I_i \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & C_i \end{pmatrix};$$

where C_i is the companion matrix of $p_i(x)$, and occurs $\alpha_{i\mu}$ times down the main diagonal; I_i is the identity matrix of order the degree of $p_i(x)$. Clearly

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} \alpha_{i\mu} = \alpha_i.$$

Letting $P_2 = P_{21} + P_{22} + P_{2k}$ and $P = P_1 P_2$, we have a direct sum decomposition of A into matrices $A_{i\mu}$ of form (2.3). We shall indicate this by

(2.4)
$$P^{-1}AP = \div \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}.$$

It should be pointed out that the existence of the canonical form (2.3) depends only on the perfectness of the field F.

3. Proof of the theorem. Necessity. Suppose A and B have the same characteristic equation

$$m(x) = \prod [P_i(x)]^{\alpha_i} = 0.$$

We may then find matrices P_a and P_b (see §2) such that

(3.1)
$$P_{a}^{-1}AP_{a} = \vdots \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_{i}} A_{i\mu},$$
$$P_{b}^{-1}BP_{b} = \vdots \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\mu=1}^{h_{i}} A_{i\mu}^{*};$$

where $A_{i\mu}$ and $A_{i\mu}^*$ (for the same subscript *i*) are of the form (2.3) and thus have the same blocks C_i on the main diagonal. Moreover $\div \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}$ and $\div \sum_{\mu=1}^{h_i} A_{i\mu}^*$ have the same order since A and B have the same characteristic equation.

Clearly $\div \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}$ is contained in the algebra of all $\alpha_i \times \alpha_i$ matrices, with elements in the field $F(C_i)$, whose elements below the main diagonal are

zero. Moreover,

$$N_i = \div \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu} - \div \sum_{\mu=1}^{h_i} A_{i\mu}^*$$

is in the radical of this algebra since all elements on or below the main diagonal are zero. Thus $g(\ddagger \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}, N_i)$, for g satisfying the conditions of the theorem, is a radical element and thus nilpotent. Hence, letting

$$N^{1} = N_{1} + N_{2} + \cdots + N_{k} = P_{a}^{-1}AP_{a} - P_{b}^{-1}BP_{b},$$

we see that $g(P_a^{-1}AP_a, N^1)$ is nilpotent. Finally, letting

$$P = P_b P_a^{-1}$$
 and $N = P_a N^1 P_a^{-1} = A - P^{-1} B P$,

we have the result that

(3.2)
$$P_a g(P_a^{-1} A P_a, N^1) P_a^{-1} = g(A, N)$$

is nilpotent. This completes the proof of the necessity.

Sufficiency. Assume that a P exists such that every polynomial g, satisfying the conditions of the theorem, is nilpotent. Define

$$A_{\theta} = A - \theta N \qquad (N = A - P^{-1}BP),$$

 $m_{\theta}(\lambda) = |\lambda I - A_{\theta}| \equiv \lambda^{n} + a_{1}(\theta) \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(\theta)\lambda + a_{n}(\theta);$ where θ is an indeterminate and $a_{i}(\theta)$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ are polynomials in θ with coefficients in F.

Clearly, $m_0(\lambda) = 0$ and $m_1(\lambda) = 0$ are the characteristic equations of $A_0 = A$ and $A_1 = P^{-1}BP$, respectively.

If we now let θ assume values from F we have

$$m_0(A_{\theta}) = m_0(A) + h_{\theta}(A, N) = h_{\theta}(A, N);$$

moreover $h_{\theta}(A, N)$ contains N in each term and is nilpotent by hypothesis.

The characteristic roots of $m_0(A_{\theta})$ are $m_0(\alpha_{\theta}^i)$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$, where the α_{θ}^i are the characteristic roots of A_{θ} . Since $m_0(A_{\theta})$ is nilpotent we must have

(3.3)
$$m_0(\alpha_{\theta}^i) = 0$$
 $(i = 1, \dots, n).$

From (3.3) it is clearly seen that there can be only a finite number of different

characteristic equations $m_{\theta}(\lambda) = 0$, since all the characteristic roots of A_{θ} are roots of $m_0(\lambda) = 0$. Since F is assumed to be infinite, this implies that $a_i(\theta)$ is a constant independent of θ . Thus $m_0(\lambda) \models m_1(\lambda)$, and the proof of the sufficiency is complete.

References

1. Alfred Brauer, On the characteristic equations of certain matrices, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 605-607.

2. W. V. Parker, On matrices whose characteristic equations are identical, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 464-466.

3. W. T. Reid, A note on the characteristic polynomials of certain matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 584-585.

4. John Williamson, The idempotent and nilpotent elements of a matrix, Amer. J. Math. 58 (1936), 747-758.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

R. M. ROBINSON

University of California Berkeley 4, California *R. P. DILWORTH

California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH, Managing Editor

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

*During the absence of Herbert Busemann in 1952.

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. P. DILWORTH	P. R. HALMOS	BØRGE JESSEN	J. J. STOKER
HERBERT FEDERER	HEINZ HOPF	PAUL LÉVY	E.G.STRAUS
MARSHALL HALL	R. D. JAMES	GEORGE PÓLYA	KÔSAKU YOSIDA

SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIAUNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIACALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGYSTANFORD UNIVERSITYUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEYWASHINGTON STATE COLLEGEUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVISUNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTONUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES• • • •UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARAAMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETYOREGON STATE COLLEGENATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS,UNIVERSITY OF OREGONINSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. F. Beckenbach, at the address given above.

Authors are entitled to receive 100 free reprints of their published papers and may obtain additional copies at cost.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December, by the University of California, Berkeley 4, California. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$2.50. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS . BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1952 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 2, No. 2 February, 1952

L. Carlitz, Some theorems on Bernoulli numbers of higher order	127
Watson Bryan Fulks, On the boundary values of solutions of the heat	
equation	141
John W. Green, On the level surfaces of potentials of masses with fixed	
center of gravity	147
Isidore Heller, Contributions to the theory of divergent series	153
Melvin Henriksen, On the ideal structure of the ring of entire functions	179
James Richard Jackson, Some theorems concerning absolute neighborhood	
retracts	185
Everett H. Larguier, Homology bases with applications to local	
connectedness	191
Janet McDonald, Davis's canonical pencils of lines	209
J. D. Niblett, Some hypergeometric identities	219
Elmer Edwin Osborne, On matrices having the same characteristic	
equation	227
Robert Steinberg and Raymond Moos Redheffer, Analytic proof of the	
Lindemann theorem	231
Edward Silverman, Set functions associated with Lebesgue area	243
James G. Wendel, Left centralizers and isomorphisms of group algebras	251
Kosaku Yosida, On Brownian motion in a homogeneous Riemannian	
space	263