Pacific Journal of Mathematics

NOTE ON SOME TAUBERIAN THEOREMS OF O. SZÃSZ

C. T. RAJAGOPAL

Vol. 2, No. 3

March 1952

NOTE ON SOME TAUBERIAN THEOREMS OF O. SZÁSZ

C. T. RAJAGOPAL

1. Introduction. The object of this note is to record extensions of the Tauberian theorems for Abel summability which form the subject of a recent paper of Szász in this journal [6, Theorem 2]. The extensions given as Theorems II, III', concern a process of summability which may be called the (Φ, λ) --process, discussed elsewhere [3] and defined below. Theorems II, III' include similar results, given as Theorems I, III, which are implicit in [3].

The process of (Φ, λ) --summability is defined for any real series $\sum a_n$ as follows.

Let $\phi(u)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- C(i) $\phi(u)$ is positive, continuous and monotonic decreasing in $(0, \infty)$;
- C(ii) $\phi(0) = 1$, $\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \{\phi(u)/u\} du$ is convergent for every $\epsilon > 0$;
- C(iii) $\phi(u)$ has a continuous derivative $-\psi(u)$ in $(0,\infty)$, this derivative being, on account of (i), negative and such that

$$\phi(u) = \int_u^\infty \psi(x) dx;$$

C(iv) $\psi(u)$ is monotonic decreasing and has a continuous derivative in $(0, \infty);$

$$C(v) \qquad \int_0^\infty u^{ix} \psi(u) \, du \neq 0 \qquad (-\infty < x < \infty).$$

Let

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \phi(\lambda_n t), \quad t > 0, \quad 0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots, \quad \lambda_n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is said to be (Φ, λ) -summable if

$$\lim_{t \to +0} \Phi_{\lambda}(t) \quad \text{exists.}$$

Received January 22, 1952.

Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 377-384

Examples of $\phi(u)$ which satisfy the conditions (C) are furnished by

$$\phi(u) = e^{-u} \text{ [Abel-Laplace kernel],}$$

$$\phi(u) = (1 + u)^{-\rho}, \rho > 0 \text{ [Stieltjes kerenel],}$$

$$\phi(u) = u/(e^{u} - 1), u > 0, \phi(0) = 1 \text{ [Lambert kernel].}$$

The theorems of this note rest primarily on a result proved in my note already referred to [3, Theorem 2] and running as follows:

THEOREM A. Let $\phi(u)$ fulfil the conditions C(i)-(v). Suppose that A(u) is a function of bounded variation in every finite interval of $(0, \infty)$, A(0) = 0. If

(1)
$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\inf \frac{1}{u}\int_0^u u \ d\{A(u)\} > -\infty,$$

and

(2)
$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^\infty \phi(ut) d\{A(u)\}$$
 exists for $t > 0$ and converges as $t \to +0$,

then we have

(3)
$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{A_1(u)}{u} = \lim_{t\to+0} \Phi(t), \text{ where } A_1(u) \equiv \int_0^u A(x) dx.$$

2. Extensions of Szász's Tauberian theorems. It is clear that Theorem A can be restated for a λ -step function defined thus:

(4)
$$A(u) = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda_n \leq u < \lambda_{n+1},$$
$$A(u) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq u < \lambda_1.$$

For such a step function Szasz has proved the following result [5, pp. 126-127].

LEMMA 1. If A(u) defined by (4) is $(R, \lambda, 1)$ -summable to s, that is, if

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\lambda_{\nu} \leq x} (x - \lambda_{\nu}) a_{\nu} \equiv \frac{A_1(x)}{x} \longrightarrow s \quad as \quad x \longrightarrow \infty,$$

 $A_1(x)$ being alternatively defined as in (3), and if, as $n \to \infty$, we have

(5)
$$\sum_{1}^{n} (|a_{\nu}| - a_{\nu})^{p} \lambda_{\nu}^{p} (\lambda_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu-1})^{1-p} = O(\lambda_{n}) \qquad (p > 1),$$

$$\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow 1.$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges to s.

If, in Theorem A, A(u) is the step function of (4), then (1) is a consequence of

(1')
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\lambda_n} a_{\nu} > -\infty,$$

which in turn is a consequence of the first condition in (5), as shown by Szász [5, p. 126], while (2) becomes the hypothesis of (Φ, λ) -summability of $\sum a_n$. Thus Theorem A, in conjunction with Lemma 1, yields the following result.

THEOREM I. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (Φ, λ) - summable to s, then (5) implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent to s.

Theorem I was proved by Szasz in the case $\phi(u) = e^{-u}$ [5, Theorem 4(a)]. It is the case $\lambda_n = n$, $\phi(u) = e^{-u}$ of this theorem which he has recently generalized [6, Theorem 2]. Repeating his arguments, in a slightly more general form, we obtain the following extension of Theorem I.

THEOREM II. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (Φ, λ) -summable to s and if, as $n \to \infty$, we have

(6)
$$U_n \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_{\nu} (|a_{\nu}| - a_{\nu}) = O(\lambda_n), \qquad \lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \longrightarrow 1,$$

and

(7)
$$\frac{U_m}{\lambda_m} - \frac{U_n}{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ whenever } \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow 1,$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent to s.

Theorem II is an extension of Theorem I in the sense that the hypotheses of the former cover those of the latter. This observation, contained in the next lemma, can be substantiated exactly as in its particular case for which $\lambda_n = n$

379

[6, p.119].

LEMMA 2. The first relation in (5) implies the first relation in (6), while (5) as a whole implies (7) through the relation

$$\frac{U_m - U_n}{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad as \quad \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow 1 \qquad (n \longrightarrow \infty).$$

For the proof of Theorem II we require a further lemma which is virtually contained in Szasz's proof of the case $\lambda_n = n$, $\phi(u) = e^{-u}$ of Theorem II.

LEMMA 3. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is $(R, \lambda, 1)$ – summable to s, and the second condition of (6) holds along with (7), then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent to s.

Proof. The hypothesis of $(R, \lambda, 1)$ -summability of $\sum a_n$ to s implies that

$$\sigma_n \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\lambda_{\nu+1} - \lambda_{\nu}) s_{\nu} \longrightarrow s, \text{ where } s_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{\nu}.$$

Now, we have the identities

$$s_{n-1} - \sigma_{n+k} = \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+k+1} - \lambda_n} (\sigma_{n+k} - \sigma_{n-1})$$

$$- \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+k+1} - \lambda_n} \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^k (\lambda_{n+k+1} - \lambda_{n+\nu}) a_{n+\nu},$$

$$s_n - \sigma_{n-k-1} = \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_{n-k}} (\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-k-1})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_{n-k}} \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^k (\lambda_{n-\nu} - \lambda_{n-k}) a_{n-\nu}.$$

By using these identities, exactly as they have been used by Szász in the case $\lambda_n = n \lfloor 6, \text{ pp. 118-119, pp. 120-121} \rfloor$, we can prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup s_n \leq s, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf s_n \geq s,$$

whence the conclusion of Lemma 3 follows at once.

380

Proof of Theorem II. From the first condition in (6) we get

$$-\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \lambda_{\nu} a_{\nu} \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \lambda_{\nu} (|a_{\nu}| - a_{\nu}) \equiv U_{n} = O(\lambda_{n}).$$

Therefore, defining A(u) in Theorem A as the λ -step function of (4), we observe that hypothesis (1) of the theorem obtains as a result of (1'). Since hypothesis (2) also holds, in the form of the (Φ, λ) -summability of $\sum a_n$, we are led to conclusion (3) in the form of the $(R, \lambda, 1)$ -summability of $\sum a_n$. The desired conclusion now follows from Lemma 3.

3. A second generalization of Theorem I. There is a generalization of Theorem I, different from Theorem II and very similar to a theorem of Delange [1, Théorème 8] which may be regarded as one more result of employing the technique of Szasz embodied in Theorem A and in certain arguments, already cited, involving $(R, \lambda, 1)$ -summability [5, pp. 126-127].

THEOREM I'. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is a series (Φ, λ) -summable to s, and if

(5')
$$\sum_{1}^{n} (|a_{\nu}| - a_{\nu})^{p} \lambda_{\nu}^{p} (\lambda_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu-1})^{1-p} = O(\lambda_{n}), \qquad p > 1, n \longrightarrow \infty,$$

then $\{s_n\}$, the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, is such that

(8)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} s_n = s, \qquad \liminf_{n \to \infty} s_n = s - l$$

where

$$l = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left(\left| a_n \right| - a_n \right) / 2.$$

The proof of Theorem I' is like that of Theorem I, but uses (in conjunction with Theorem A) the following lemma instead of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 1'. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable $(R, \lambda, 1)$ to s and satisfies (5'), then the sequence $\{s_n\}$ of its partial sums behaves as in (8).

Proof. The first half of Szász's arguments [5, p. 126] proving Lemma 1, without any modification, establishes the first conclusion of (8).

To obtain the second conclusion of (8), we note that $l \ge 0$ by definition and $l < \infty$ by (5') as shown in Lemma 4 which follows this proof. From the fact

$$a_n \ge - \frac{|a_n| - a_n}{2}$$

we then infer that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf a_n \geq -l.$$

We thereafter employ the second half of the aforesaid arguments of Szasz [5, pp. 126-127] and reach the conclusion

(9)
$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} s_n \ge s-l.$$

When l = 0, (9) and the universal relation, $\lim \inf s_n \leq s$, together establish the second conclusion of (8). When l > 0, we see that there is an increasing sequence of positive integers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r, \dots$ such that, as $r \to \infty$, $|a_{n_r}| - a_{n_r} \to 2l$ which implies (since l > 0) that a_{n_r} is ultimately negative and $a_{n_r} \to -l$. Hence, when l > 0,

(10)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf s_n \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} s_{n_r} = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \sup (s_{n_r-1} + a_{n_r})$$
$$= \limsup_{r \to \infty} s_{n_r-1} - l \leq s - l.$$

Consequently, in the case l > 0, the second conclusion of (8) follows from (9) and (10). The proof of Lemma 1' is now complete.

Theorem I is a special case of Theorem I' with l = 0 as we can see from the following plain statement.

LEMMA 4. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is any (real) series satisfying (5'), then

$$|a_n| - a_n = O\left\{\left(\frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^{(p-1)/p}\right\}.$$

In particular, (5') and the condition $\lim \lambda_n/\lambda_{n-1} = 1$ together imply that $l \equiv \limsup (|a_n| - a_n)/2 = 0$.

Now, in any (real) series $\sum a_n$,

$$-\frac{|a_n| - a_n}{2} = \min(0, a_n)$$

382

so that $\liminf a_n \ge 0$ implies l = 0 and conversely. Thus, from Theorem I', we can say that there is a variant of Theorem I with the second condition of (5) replaced by $\liminf a_n \ge 0$; in fact, we can say more as follows.

For series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ summable (Φ, λ) and satisfying the Tauberian condition (5'), a necessary and sufficient convergence condition is $\lim \inf a_n > 0$.

In the above statement, (5') can be replaced by the following simpler condition which implies (5'):

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf \frac{a_n\,\lambda_n}{\lambda_n-\lambda_{n-1}} > -\infty.$$

4. A generalization of Theorem II. Following Szasz, we have seen that conditions (6) and (7) of Theorem II together include the corresponding condition (5) of Theorem I. Following Szasz further [6, \S 4.5], we see that conditions (6) and (7) together can be expressed in the Schmidt form, and the following equivalent of Theorem II obtained.

THEOREM III. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (Φ, λ) -summable to s and if, as $n \to \infty$,

(11)
$$\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow \infty$$
, $\sum_{\nu=n+1}^m (|a_{\nu}| - a_{\nu}) \longrightarrow 0$ whenever $\frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow 1$,

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent to sum s.

A generalization of Theorem III, related to it as Theorem I' is to Theorem I, may now be stated in a familiar form as under.

THEOREM III'. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is a series (Φ, λ) -summable to s and such that

either
$$\lim_{\delta \to \pm 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_{\nu} \leq \lambda_m < (1+\delta) \lambda_n} (|a_{\nu}| - a_{\nu}) = 0,$$

(12)

or
$$\lim_{\delta \to +0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \min_{\substack{\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_{\nu} \leq \lambda_m \leq (1+\delta) \ \lambda_n}} \sum_{\lambda_{\nu} \geq 0} a_{\nu} \geq 0,$$

then the sequence $\{s_n\}$ of partial sums of the series satisfies (8), provided that

$$l \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left(\left| a_n \right| - a_n \right) / 2 < \infty.$$

Since $-a_{\nu} \leq |a_{\nu}| - a_{\nu}$, the first alternative of (12) implies the second, and the second is the only alternative that need be considered. Now the second

alternative clearly implies that, on the assumption $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$, we have lim inf $a_n \geq 0$ and hence l = 0. Therefore, in Theorem III', we can drop the explicit assumption $l < \infty$ and assume instead, either

$$\lim \lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n = 1$$
, or $\liminf a_n \ge 0$,

getting the two cases of l = 0 in the following corollary of which the first case is Theorem III.

COROLLARY III'. If a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (Φ, λ) -summable and such that (12) holds along with

either
$$\lim \lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n = 1$$
, or $\lim \inf a_n \ge 0$,

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent to s.

Corollary III', in the case $\phi(u) = e^{-u}$, is a classic theorem of Szász [4, p. 338]. Theorem III' in the same case and in an incomplete form has been already obtained by me [2, Theorem F]; its proof readily suggests the following proof of Theorem III' in its generality.

Proof of Theorem III'. Confining ourselves, as we may, to the second alternative of (12), we can show that this alternative and the condition $l < \infty$ (equivalent to lim inf $a_n > -\infty$) together imply (1'), using an argument indicated elsewhere [2, Lemma 1]. After this we can appeal to Theorem A and infer that $\sum a_n$ is $(R, \lambda, 1)$ -summable to s. The subsequent completion of the proof is along the lines of the proof of Lemma 1'.

References

1. H. Delange, Sur les théorèmes inverses des procédés de sommation des series divergentes (Deuxième Mémoire), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3), 67 (1950), 199-242.

2. C. T. Rajagopal, On some extensions of Ananda Rau's converse of Abel's theorem, J. London Math. Soc., 23 (1948), 38-44.

3. _____, A note on generalized Tauberian theorems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2 (1951), 335-349.

4. O. Szász, Verallgemeinerung und neurer Beweis einiger Sätze Tauberscher Art, Sitzungsber. Bayer. Akad. Wiss., Naturw. Abt. München, 59 (1929), 325-340.

5. _____, Converse theorems of summability for Dirichlet series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 39(1936), 117-130.

6. _____, On a Tauberian theorem for Abel summability, Pacific J. Math., 1(1951), 117-125.

Ramanujan Institute of Mathematics Madras, India

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

R. M. ROBINSON

University of California Berkeley 4, California *R. P. DILWORTH

California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH, Managing Editor

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

*During the absence of Herbert Busemann in 1952.

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. P. DILWORTH	P. R. HALMOS	BØRGE JESSEN	J. J. STOKER
HERBERT FEDERER	HEINZ HOPF	PAUL LÉVY	E. G. STRAUS
MARSHALL HALL	R. D. JAMES	GEORGE PÓLYA	KOSAKU YOSIDA

SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY	WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES	• • •
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE	NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS,
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	INSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. F. Beckenbach, at the address given above.

Authors are entitled to receive 100 free reprints of their published papers and may obtain additional copies at cost.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December, by the University of California, Berkeley 4, California. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$2.50. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers. University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS · BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1952 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 2, No. 3 March, 1952

Lars V. Ahlfors, <i>Remarks on the Neumann-Poincaré integral equation</i>	271
Leonard P. Burton, Oscillation theorems for the solutions of linear,	
nonhomogeneous, second-order differential systems	281
Paul Civin, Multiplicative closure and the Walsh functions	291
James Michael Gardner Fell and Alfred Tarski, On algebras whose factor	
algebras are Boolean	297
Paul Joseph Kelly and Lowell J. Paige, Symmetric perpendicularity in	
Hilbert geometries	319
G. Kurepa, On a characteristic property of finite sets	323
Joseph Lehner, A diophantine property of the Fuchsian groups	327
Donald Alan Norton, Groups of orthogonal row-latin squares	335
R. S. Phillips, On the generation of semigroups of linear operators	343
G. Piranian, Uniformly accessible Jordan curves through large sets of	
relative harmonic measure zero	371
C. T. Rajagopal, Note on some Tauberian theorems of O. SzÃ _i sz	377
Halsey Lawrence Royden, Jr., A modification of the Neumann-Poincaré	
method for multiply connected regions	385
George H. Seifert, A third order irregular boundary value problem and the	
associated series	395
Herbert E. Vaughan, Well-ordered subsets and maximal members of ordered	
sets	407
Hans F. Weinberger, An optimum problem in the Weinstein method for	
eigenvalues	413
Shigeki Yano, Note on Fourier analysis. XXXI. Cesàro sunmability of	
Fourier series	419