Pacific Journal of Mathematics

RECURRENCE-TIME MOMENTS IN RANDOM WALKS

JOSEPH LAWSON HODGES, JR. AND MURRAY ROSENBLATT

Vol. 3, No. 1

March 1953

RECURRENCE-TIME MOMENTS IN RANDOM WALKS

J. L. HODGES, JR. AND M. ROSENBLATT

1. Introduction. Consider an irreducible time-homogeneous Markov chain with discrete time. The recurrence-time moments of the states of such stochastic processes are studied. We point out that if the recurrence time of one state has its first k moments finite, then the recurrence times of all the other states have their first k moments finite. We then specialize and investigate the recurrencetime moments of random walks. The main result of the paper consists of exhibiting random walks whose first k-1 recurrence-time moments exist and whose higher moments are infinite, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. A comparison theorem is derived that permits the moment properties of recurrence times of a large class of random walks to be determined.

2. Preliminary considerations. We begin with the following:

DEFINITION. By the *index* of the random variable X, denoted by I(X), we shall mean the largest integer k such that $E(X^k) < \infty$. If all moments of X are finite, we write $I(X) = \infty$. Clearly $I(X) \ge 0$. We shall consider only nonnegative random variables.

LEMMA 1.¹ (a) If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables, then $l(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \min \{l(X_1), \dots, l(X_n)\}.$

(b) If X has the geometric distribution

$$P(X = n) = p(1 - p)^{n-1} \qquad (p > 0),$$

then $I(X) = \infty$.

(c) If N, X_1, X_2, \cdots are independent random variables, N is positive integer valued, X_1, X_2, \cdots are identically distributed, and then

¹The proof of these simple facts is omitted.

Received April 28, 1952. This work has been carried out under ONR contract. *Pacific J. Math.* 3 (1953), 127-136

$$I(X_1 + \dots + X_N) = \min \{I(N), I(X_1)\}.$$

We adopt the terminology and notation for Markov chains used by Feller [1, Chap. 15]. Let E_1, E_2, \cdots be a denumerable set of states. We assume the chain is irreducible; i.e., for every pair E_i , E_j there exists n(i, j) such that transition from E_i to E_j in n(i, j) steps has positive probability. Let R_i be the random number of steps for first return to E_i , and let R_{ij} be the random number of steps for first passage from E_i to E_j , starting at E_i . It is well known [1] that if, for any j, $P(R_j < \infty) = 1$, then this holds true for all j, and we shall assume this to be the case; i.e., our process is assumed to be recurrent.

LEMMA 2.² (a) $l(R_i)$ has a constant value, say l(C), for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots$. (b) For every pair $i \neq j$,

$$I(R_i) = \min \{I(R_{ij}), I(R_{ji})\}.$$

This lemma is related to the well-known result that in a recurrent irreducible time-homogeneous Markov chain, if the expected time for first return to any state is finite, then the same holds for all states. In the language of [1, Chap. 15], if any state is null, then every state is null. The lemma extends this result from first moment to arbitrary moments.

Examples of Markov chains of index k are to be found in a class of Markov chains considered by Feller [1]. Let X(n) be the Markov chain, and let

$$p_{j} = P\{X(n) = E_{j+1} \mid X(n-1) = E_{j}\},$$

$$q_{j} = 1 - p_{j} = P\{X(n) = E_{1} \mid X(n-1) = E_{j}\}, j = 1, 2, \cdots$$

Then

$$P(R_1 = n) = (1 - p_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i - \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i.$$

We obviously obtain a Markov chain with $E(R_1^k) < \infty$, $E(R_1^{k+i}) = \infty$, where $i \ge 1$, if the p_i are such that

$$\prod_{1}^{n-1} p_{i} - \prod_{1}^{n} p_{i} = \frac{C}{n^{k+1+\epsilon}} \qquad (0 < \epsilon < 1),$$

²After obtaining this result we learned that it had been obtained earlier by K. L. Chung and by R. N. Snow, in a more general form (unpublished). We omit the proof.

where

$$C\sum_{1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^{k+1+\epsilon}} = 1.$$

3. Comparison theorem for random walks. We shall now specialize from Markov chains to random walks. There is no loss of generality in taking the states of the walk to be consecutive integers, and we shall let p_i denote the probability of transition from i to i + 1. To ensure irreducibility, we shall require $0 < p_i < 1$ for all interior states. To apply Lemma 2, we must have the walk recurrent, so we shall assume that the boundaries, if any, are reflecting, and that (if the walk is unbounded in one or both directions) the probability of escape to infinity is 0. Denote the walk by W and its index by I(W).

THEOREM 1. (a) $l(R_{ij})$ has a constant value, say l^R , for all i > j, and a constant value, say l^L , for all i < j.

(b) Further,
$$I(W) = \min \{I^L, I^R\}$$
.

Proof. We shall first show that $I(R_{i+1,i}) = I(R_{i,i-1})$. Consider a walk starting at *i* and indefinitely prolonged. It is certain eventually to reach i - 1; let *M* denote the number of times the walk is at *i*, including the start, before reaching i - 1; *M* has the distribution

$$P(M = m) = (1 - p_i) p_i^{m-1};$$

and given M = m, the walk consists of m-1 steps from i to i+1, m-1 first passages from i+1 to i (denote these by $R_{i+1,i}^{(1)}, \dots, R_{i+1,i}^{(m-1)}$), and a terminal step from i to i-1. Thus

$$R_{i, i-1} = M + R_{i+1, i}^{(1)} + \cdots + R_{i+1, i}^{(M)}.$$

Apply Lemma 1 (b), (c), (a).

To complete the proof of (a), represent a walk from i to j, i > j, as the sum

$$R_{i,j} = R_{i,i-1} + R_{i-1,i-2} + \cdots + R_{j+1,j},$$

and apply Lemma 1 (a). As for (b), this follows from Lemma 2 (b).

It is clear that in a walk over finitely many states, the index is ∞ . (See [1, problem 8, p.345].) Similarly, passages away from a reflecting boundary

have moments of all orders. The interest attaches to passages away from an unbounded side, and by virtue of Theorem 1 (b) we may consider the two sides separately. We may therefore, without loss of generality, consider only passages to the left in semi-infinite walks unbounded on the right, and we may take the states to be nonnegative integers. We now approach the following general question: given the sequence of transition probabilities p_1, p_2, \cdots of such a walk, to determine the index of first passages from right to left.

This question is somewhat analogous to the question of determining the minimum integer k such that the series $\sum X_i^k$ is convergent. As in the convergence of series, all that matters is the performance of $\{p_i\}$ in the tail-any finite number of terms may be changed or suppressed without altering the result. We also have a "comparison" theorem.

THEOREM 2. Consider two random walks, W and V, with transition probabilities $\{p_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$, respectively. If $p_i \leq q_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, then $I(W) \geq I(V)$.

Proof. We may assume that the walks begin at the same state. Refer both walks to the same infinite process X_1, X_2, \ldots , where X_1, X_2, \ldots are mutually independent random variables, each uniformly distributed over (0, 1). If the walk W is at state *j* for trial *i*, it proceeds to the right if and only if $X_i < p_j$, and similarly for walk V, which proceeds to the right if and only if $X_i < q_j$.

Consider X_1, X_2, \cdots fixed at observed values x_1, x_2, \cdots . Observe that W and V always differ by an even number and that this difference changes by either 0 or 2 on each step. We shall show that walk W can never be to the right walk V. If it were, there would have to be a first time on which this occurred, and on the preceding time, say trial *i*, the walks would coincide, say at state *j*. But $p_j \leq q_j$, so that $x_i < p_j$ and $x_i \geq q_j$ are contradictory.

As an application, we remark: if $\lim \sup p_i < 1/2$, then the index is ∞ ; and if $\lim \inf p_i > 1/2$, when the walk is nonrecurrent. This fact follows from comparison with the classical walk with constant transition probability p.

The fact just cited indicates that the interest will lie in those sequences $\{p_i\}$ for which lim sup $p_i \ge 1/2$ and lim inf $p_i \le 1/2$. We shall in the next section investigate a class of walks in which lim $p_i = 1/2$, and these will serve, together with the comparison theorem, to handle a large class of problems.

4. A class of random walks. We now consider a class of random walks which are related to the ordinary unbiased coin tossing. The random walk has as its possible states the integers r, r + 1, r + 2, \cdots . Let

$$p_{k} = P\{X(n) = k+1 \mid X(n-1) = k\},\$$

$$1 - p_{k} = q_{k} = P\{X(n) = k-1 \mid X(n-1) = k\},\$$

131

$$q_{k,n} = P\{\text{going from } k \text{ to } r \text{ for the first time in } n \text{ steps}\}$$
 $(k > r).$

Then

$$q_{k,n+1} = p_k q_{k+1,n} + q_k q_{k-1,n}$$
 (k > r).

The state r will be an absorbing barrier. This implies that

$$q_{r,0} = 1$$
, while $q_{r,n} = 0$ if $n > 0$.

We shall set $q_{r-1,n} = 0$ for all *n* as a convenient convention. Note that

$$q_{r+1,1} = q_{r+1}$$
, while $q_{k,1} = 0$ if $k > r + 1$;
 $q_{k,0} = 0$ if $k > r$.

Define

$$G_{k}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{k,n} s^{n} \qquad (k = r - 1, r, r + 1, \cdots),$$

and observe that

$$G_{r-1}(s) = 0, \quad G_r(s) = 1.$$

Let

$$p_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r}{k} \right), \quad q_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{r}{k} \right) \qquad (k = r, r+1, \cdots).$$

Note that r = 0 gives us the ordinary case of unbiased coin tossing.

The generating functions satisfy the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(s) &= p_k s G_{k+1}(s) + q_k s G_{k-1}(s) & (k > r), \\ G_r(s) &= p_r s G_{r+1}(s) + q_r s G_{r-1}(s) + 1 = q_r s G_{r-1}(s) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$G(t, s) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} G_k(s) t^k = \frac{s}{2} \left[\frac{G(t, s) - t^r}{t} + tG(t, s) \right] + t^r + \frac{sr}{2} \left[\int_0^t G(t, s) dt - \int_0^t \frac{G(t, s) - t^r}{t^2} dt \right].$$

We now differentiate with respect to $t \ (0 < t < 1)$, use $[1 - (s/2) (1/t + t)]^{r+1}$ as an integrating factor, and integrate the differential equation between the limits t and $\sigma = [1 - (1 - s^2)^{1/2}]/s$, where $0 < t < \sigma$. We then obtain

(1)
$$-G(t, s) \left[1 - \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{1}{t} + t \right) \right]^{r+1} = \int_{t}^{\sigma} \left[\frac{s \tau^{r-2}}{2} + r \tau^{r-1} \right] \left[1 - \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} + \tau \right) \right]^{r} d\tau.$$

Let $_{c}\mu_{k}$ denote the *c*th factorial moment of the first passage time from *k* to *r*. It is easily seen that the $_{c}\mu_{k}$ (*c* fixed), if they are finite, cannot increase with *k* more than exponentially. Therefore, if $_{c}\mu_{k} < \infty$ for some positive *c* and some k > r, then

$$\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} c^{\mu_k} t^{k-c}$$

is convergent for sufficiently small t. Therefore

$$\lim_{s \to 1^-} \frac{d^c}{ds^c} \quad G(t, s) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} c \mu_k t^{k-c}$$

exists for sufficiently small t if and only if $_c\mu_k < \infty$ for some k > r (and hence for all k > r).

It is now easily shown that the first r derivatives of the integral on the right of (1) with respect to s are bounded in the closed interval (t, 1), and hence have finite limits as $s \rightarrow 1-$. The (r+1)st derivative, however, contains a term with the factor $d\sigma/ds$, which diverges as $s \rightarrow 1-$.

The same techniques can be used to prove the following result:

132

THEOREM 3. If one has a one-sided random walk with

$$p_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k} \right), \quad q_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{k} \right)$$

 $\alpha > 0$ ($k = \alpha$, $\alpha + 1$, ...) then $_{r}\mu_{k} < \infty$ if $r - 1/2 < \alpha \le r + 1/2$ and $k > \alpha$, while $_{c}\mu_{k} = \infty$ for c > r and $k > \alpha$.

We shall now consider walks with $p_n > 1/2$. It is clear from Theorem 2 that for such walks the index is 0. The interest now attaches to the question of whether first passages are certain to occur.

LEMMA 3.³ Consider a random walk over the states 0, 1, 2, Assume $p_k \ge \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. The walk is recurrent if and only if the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k}$$

is divergent.

Proof. Consider first the finite walk over 0, 1, 2, ..., n, and let $P_k^{(n)}$ denote the probability that the walk, starting at k, reaches n before reaching 0. Clearly

$$P_0^{(n)} = 0, \ P_n^{(n)} = 1,$$

and

$$P_k^{(n)} = p_k P_{k+1}^{(n)} + q_k P_{k-1}^{(n)} \qquad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

We may solve for $P_2^{(n)}$, $P_3^{(n)}$, \cdots , $P_{n-1}^{(n)}$ in terms of $P_1^{(n)}$, getting

$$q_k \left(P_k^{(n)} - P_{k-1}^{(n)} \right) = p_k \left(P_{k+1}^{(n)} - P_k^{(n)} \right) \qquad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1),$$

and hence

$$P_k^{(n)} = (1 + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_{k-1}) P_1^{(n)},$$

where $b_j = (q_1 q_2 \cdots q_j)/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_j)$. Now suppose $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j = \infty$. Since

³We were informed by the referee that this lemma and the following theorem have also been obtained by T. E. Harris.

$$P_1^{(n)} = P_{n-1}^{(n)} / \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k \right) \le 1 / \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k \right),$$

we must have $P_1^{(n)} \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. We conclude that the walk is recurrent.

Conversely, suppose $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < \infty$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = b_0$, where b_0 is some positive number. Using the fact that

$$P_{n-1}^{(n)} = p_{n-1} + q_{n-1} P_{n-2}^{(n)},$$

we have

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k\right) P_1^{(n)} = p_{n-1} + q_{n-1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} b_k\right) P_1^{(n)},$$

and therefore

$$P_1^{(n)} = 1 / \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} b_k + b_{n-1} / p_{n-1} \right].$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{1}^{(n)} = 1/b_{0} > 0,$$

whence the walk is nonrecurrent.

As an illustration, consider a random walk over the nonnegative integers with reflecting barrier 0 and

$$p_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta k} \right),$$
 (k = 1, 2, ...; $\beta > 1$).

THEOREM 4. The walk is recurrent if and only if $\beta \geq 2$.

Proof. Let

 $P_k = P$ {walk never reaches 0, given that it starts at k} (k = 1, 2, ...).

We have

134

$$P_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) P_{2}, \quad P_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta k} \right) P_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta k} \right) P_{k+1} \qquad (k = 2, 3, \cdots).$$

Rewriting, we have

$$P_2 - P_1 = \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} P_1, \ P_{k+1} - P_k = \frac{\beta k - 1}{\beta k + 1} (P_k - P_{k-1}) \qquad (k = 2, 3, \cdots).$$

Thus

$$P_{k} = P_{1} \bigg\{ 1 + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} \cdot \frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta + 1} + \dots + \bigg(\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} \cdots \frac{(k - 1)\beta - 1}{(k - 1)\beta + 1} \bigg) \bigg\}.$$

Recalling the preceding lemma, we see that the recurrence of the walk is equivalent to the divergence of

$$1 + \frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1} + \frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1} \cdot \frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta+1} + \frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1} \cdot \frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta+1} \cdot \frac{3\beta-1}{3\beta+1} + \dots = f(\beta).$$

We easily see that

$$f(2) = 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots = \infty$$
,

so the walk is recurrent when $\beta = 2$. That it is recurrent for $\beta > 2$ then follows from the lemma. The series $f(\beta)$ $(1 < \beta < 2)$, may be shown to be convergent as follows. For $1 < \beta < 2$,

$$f(\beta) = 1 + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} + \frac{\beta - 1}{2\beta + 1} \left(1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{\beta + 1} \right) + \frac{\beta - 1}{3\beta + 1} \left(1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{\beta + 1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{2\beta + 1} \right) + \cdots$$
$$< 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{3} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{5} \right) + \cdots$$

But

$$\left(1 - \frac{2-\beta}{3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2-\beta}{5}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{2-\beta}{2k+1}\right) < e^{-(2-\beta)(1/3 + 1/5 + \dots + 1/2k+1)}$$
$$< e^{\left[-(2-\beta)/4\right] \log (2k+1)} = (2k+1)^{-(2-\beta)/4}.$$

Thus

$$f(\beta) < 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3^{(2-\beta)/4}} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{5^{(2-\beta)/4}} + \cdots < \infty.$$

The preceding class of examples of random walks together with the comparison theorem (Theorem 2) now permit us to determine the moment properties of a large class of random walks. For example, the one-sided walks with

$$p_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n^{\beta}} \right) \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

have the same moment index as the walk with $p_n = 1/2$ if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 1$, while if $\beta < 1$, $\alpha < 0$ the index is infinity (all moments exist). For $\alpha > 0$, the walk will be certain to return if $\beta < 1$, but not if $\beta > 1$.

Reference

1. W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Wiley, New York, 1950.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

R. M. ROBINSON

University of California Berkeley 4, California

E. HEWITT University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington R. P. DILWORTH California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

H. BUSEMANN	P. R. HALMOS	BØRGE JESSEN	J. J. STOKER
HERBERT FEDERER	HEINZ HOPF	PAUL LEVY	E. G. STRAUS
MARSHALL HALL	R. D. JAMES	GEORGE POLYA	KÔSAKU YOSIDA

SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE	
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY	
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS	WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE	
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON	
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA	* * *	
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY	
OREGON STATE COLLEGE	NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS,	
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	INSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS	

Vari-Type Composition by Elaine Barth Delores Wierman

With the cooperation of E. F. Beckenbach E. G. Straus

Printed in the United States of America by Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS * BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES COPYRIGHT 1953 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 3, No. 1 March, 1953

Herbert Busemann, Volume in terms of concurrent cross-sections	1
L. Carlitz, Some special equations in a finite field	13
Homer V. Craig and Billie Braden Townsend, On certain metric	
extensors	25
Philip J. Davis and Henry Pollak, <i>Linear functionals and analytic</i> continuation problems	47
Jacob C. E. Dekker, The constructivity of maximal dual ideals in certain	
Boolean algebras	73
Harley M. Flanders, <i>The norm function of an algebraic field extension</i>	103
Marshall Hall, Subgroups of free products	115
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein, <i>Finite multiplicative subgroups in division rings</i>	121
Joseph Lawson Hodges, Jr. and Murray Rosenblatt, <i>Recurrence-time</i> moments in random walks	127
Alfred Horn, The normal completion of a subset of a complete lattice and	
lattices of continuous functions	137
Fulton Koehler, Estimates for the errors in the Rayleigh-Ritz method	153
M. H. Martin, The Monge-Ampère partial differential equation	
$rt - s^2 + \lambda^2 = 0$	165
John E. Maxfield, Normal k-tuples	189
Jack E. McLaughlin, Structured theorems for relatively complemented	
lattices	197
William H. Mills, A system of quadratic Diophantine equations	209
T. S. Motzkin, Ernst Gabor Straus and F. A. Valentine, <i>The number of</i>	
farthest points	221
G. Power, Forces on the boundary of a dielectric	233
Ralph Gordon Selfridge, <i>Approximations with least maximum error</i>	247