

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**RECURRENCE-TIME MOMENTS IN RANDOM WALKS**

JOSEPH LAWSON HODGES, JR. AND MURRAY ROSENBLATT

# RECURRENCE-TIME MOMENTS IN RANDOM WALKS

J. L. HODGES, JR. AND M. ROSENBLATT

**1. Introduction.** Consider an irreducible time-homogeneous Markov chain with discrete time. The recurrence-time moments of the states of such stochastic processes are studied. We point out that if the recurrence time of one state has its first  $k$  moments finite, then the recurrence times of all the other states have their first  $k$  moments finite. We then specialize and investigate the recurrence-time moments of random walks. The main result of the paper consists of exhibiting random walks whose first  $k-1$  recurrence-time moments exist and whose higher moments are infinite, for  $k=1, 2, \dots$ . A comparison theorem is derived that permits the moment properties of recurrence times of a large class of random walks to be determined.

**2. Preliminary considerations.** We begin with the following:

**DEFINITION.** By the *index* of the random variable  $X$ , denoted by  $I(X)$ , we shall mean the largest integer  $k$  such that  $E(X^k) < \infty$ . If all moments of  $X$  are finite, we write  $I(X) = \infty$ . Clearly  $I(X) \geq 0$ . We shall consider only nonnegative random variables.

**LEMMA 1.**<sup>1</sup> (a) *If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variables, then*

$$I(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \min \{I(X_1), \dots, I(X_n)\}.$$

(b) *If  $X$  has the geometric distribution*

$$P(X = n) = p(1-p)^{n-1} \quad (p > 0),$$

*then  $I(X) = \infty$ .*

(c) *If  $N, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent random variables,  $N$  is positive integer valued,  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are identically distributed, and then*

---

<sup>1</sup>The proof of these simple facts is omitted.

Received April 28, 1952. This work has been carried out under ONR contract.

*Pacific J. Math.* 3 (1953), 127-136

$$I(X_1 + \dots + X_N) = \min \{I(N), I(X_1)\}.$$

We adopt the terminology and notation for Markov chains used by Feller [1, Chap. 15]. Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  be a denumerable set of states. We assume the chain is irreducible; i.e., for every pair  $E_i, E_j$  there exists  $n(i, j)$  such that transition from  $E_i$  to  $E_j$  in  $n(i, j)$  steps has positive probability. Let  $R_i$  be the random number of steps for first return to  $E_i$ , and let  $R_{ij}$  be the random number of steps for first passage from  $E_i$  to  $E_j$ , starting at  $E_i$ . It is well known [1] that if, for any  $j$ ,  $P(R_j < \infty) = 1$ , then this holds true for all  $j$ , and we shall assume this to be the case; i.e., our process is assumed to be recurrent.

LEMMA 2.<sup>2</sup> (a)  $I(R_i)$  has a constant value, say  $I(C)$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

(b) For every pair  $i \neq j$ ,

$$I(R_i) = \min \{I(R_{ij}), I(R_{ji})\}.$$

This lemma is related to the well-known result that in a recurrent irreducible time-homogeneous Markov chain, if the expected time for first return to any state is finite, then the same holds for all states. In the language of [1, Chap. 15], if any state is null, then every state is null. The lemma extends this result from first moment to arbitrary moments.

Examples of Markov chains of index  $k$  are to be found in a class of Markov chains considered by Feller [1]. Let  $X(n)$  be the Markov chain, and let

$$p_j = P\{X(n) = E_{j+1} \mid X(n-1) = E_j\},$$

$$q_j = 1 - p_j = P\{X(n) = E_1 \mid X(n-1) = E_j\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$P(R_1 = n) = (1 - p_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i - \prod_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

We obviously obtain a Markov chain with  $E(R_1^k) < \infty$ ,  $E(R_1^{k+i}) = \infty$ , where  $i \geq 1$ , if the  $p_i$  are such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i - \prod_{i=1}^n p_i = \frac{C}{n^{k+1+\epsilon}} \quad (0 < \epsilon < 1),$$

<sup>2</sup>After obtaining this result we learned that it had been obtained earlier by K. L. Chung and by R. N. Snow, in a more general form (unpublished). We omit the proof.

where

$$C \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{k+1+\epsilon}} = 1.$$

**3. Comparison theorem for random walks.** We shall now specialize from Markov chains to random walks. There is no loss of generality in taking the states of the walk to be consecutive integers, and we shall let  $p_i$  denote the probability of transition from  $i$  to  $i + 1$ . To ensure irreducibility, we shall require  $0 < p_i < 1$  for all interior states. To apply Lemma 2, we must have the walk recurrent, so we shall assume that the boundaries, if any, are reflecting, and that (if the walk is unbounded in one or both directions) the probability of escape to infinity is 0. Denote the walk by  $W$  and its index by  $I(W)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** (a)  $I(R_{ij})$  has a constant value, say  $I^R$ , for all  $i > j$ , and a constant value, say  $I^L$ , for all  $i < j$ .

(b) Further,  $I(W) = \min \{ I^L, I^R \}$ .

*Proof.* We shall first show that  $I(R_{i+1, i}) = I(R_{i, i-1})$ . Consider a walk starting at  $i$  and indefinitely prolonged. It is certain eventually to reach  $i - 1$ ; let  $M$  denote the number of times the walk is at  $i$ , including the start, before reaching  $i - 1$ ;  $M$  has the distribution

$$P(M = m) = (1 - p_i) p_i^{m-1};$$

and given  $M = m$ , the walk consists of  $m - 1$  steps from  $i$  to  $i + 1$ ,  $m - 1$  first passages from  $i + 1$  to  $i$  (denote these by  $R_{i+1, i}^{(1)}, \dots, R_{i+1, i}^{(m-1)}$ ), and a terminal step from  $i$  to  $i - 1$ . Thus

$$R_{i, i-1} = M + R_{i+1, i}^{(1)} + \dots + R_{i+1, i}^{(M)}.$$

Apply Lemma 1 (b), (c), (a).

To complete the proof of (a), represent a walk from  $i$  to  $j$ ,  $i > j$ , as the sum

$$R_{i, j} = R_{i, i-1} + R_{i-1, i-2} + \dots + R_{j+1, j},$$

and apply Lemma 1 (a). As for (b), this follows from Lemma 2 (b).

It is clear that in a walk over finitely many states, the index is  $\infty$ . (See [1, problem 8, p.345].) Similarly, passages away from a reflecting boundary

have moments of all orders. The interest attaches to passages away from an unbounded side, and by virtue of Theorem 1 (b) we may consider the two sides separately. We may therefore, without loss of generality, consider only passages to the left in semi-infinite walks unbounded on the right, and we may take the states to be nonnegative integers. We now approach the following general question: given the sequence of transition probabilities  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  of such a walk, to determine the index of first passages from right to left.

This question is somewhat analogous to the question of determining the minimum integer  $k$  such that the series  $\sum X_i^k$  is convergent. As in the convergence of series, all that matters is the performance of  $\{p_i\}$  in the tail—any finite number of terms may be changed or suppressed without altering the result. We also have a “comparison” theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Consider two random walks,  $W$  and  $V$ , with transition probabilities  $\{p_i\}$  and  $\{q_i\}$ , respectively. If  $p_i \leq q_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $I(W) \geq I(V)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that the walks begin at the same state. Refer both walks to the same infinite process  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , where  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are mutually independent random variables, each uniformly distributed over  $(0, 1)$ . If the walk  $W$  is at state  $j$  for trial  $i$ , it proceeds to the right if and only if  $X_i < p_j$ , and similarly for walk  $V$ , which proceeds to the right if and only if  $X_i < q_j$ .

Consider  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  fixed at observed values  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ . Observe that  $W$  and  $V$  always differ by an even number and that this difference changes by either 0 or 2 on each step. We shall show that walk  $W$  can never be to the right of walk  $V$ . If it were, there would have to be a first time on which this occurred, and on the preceding time, say trial  $i$ , the walks would coincide, say at state  $j$ . But  $p_j \leq q_j$ , so that  $x_i < p_j$  and  $x_i \geq q_j$  are contradictory.

As an application, we remark: if  $\limsup p_i < 1/2$ , then the index is  $\infty$ ; and if  $\liminf p_i > 1/2$ , when the walk is nonrecurrent. This fact follows from comparison with the classical walk with constant transition probability  $p$ .

The fact just cited indicates that the interest will lie in those sequences  $\{p_i\}$  for which  $\limsup p_i \geq 1/2$  and  $\liminf p_i \leq 1/2$ . We shall in the next section investigate a class of walks in which  $\lim p_i = 1/2$ , and these will serve, together with the comparison theorem, to handle a large class of problems.

**4. A class of random walks.** We now consider a class of random walks which are related to the ordinary unbiased coin tossing. The random walk has as its possible states the integers  $r, r + 1, r + 2, \dots$ . Let

$$p_k = P\{X(n) = k + 1 \mid X(n - 1) = k\},$$

$$1 - p_k = q_k = P\{X(n) = k - 1 \mid X(n - 1) = k\},$$

$$q_{k,n} = P\{\text{going from } k \text{ to } r \text{ for the first time in } n \text{ steps}\} \quad (k > r).$$

Then

$$q_{k,n+1} = p_k q_{k+1,n} + q_k q_{k-1,n} \quad (k > r).$$

The state  $r$  will be an absorbing barrier. This implies that

$$q_{r,0} = 1, \text{ while } q_{r,n} = 0 \text{ if } n > 0.$$

We shall set  $q_{r-1,n} = 0$  for all  $n$  as a convenient convention. Note that

$$q_{r+1,1} = q_{r+1}, \text{ while } q_{k,1} = 0 \text{ if } k > r + 1;$$

$$q_{k,0} = 0 \text{ if } k > r.$$

Define

$$G_k(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{k,n} s^n \quad (k = r - 1, r, r + 1, \dots),$$

and observe that

$$G_{r-1}(s) = 0, \quad G_r(s) = 1.$$

Let

$$p_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r}{k}\right), \quad q_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right) \quad (k = r, r + 1, \dots).$$

Note that  $r = 0$  gives us the ordinary case of unbiased coin tossing.

The generating functions satisfy the following equations:

$$G_k(s) = p_k s G_{k+1}(s) + q_k s G_{k-1}(s) \quad (k > r),$$

$$G_r(s) = p_r s G_{r+1}(s) + q_r s G_{r-1}(s) + 1 = q_r s G_{r-1}(s) + 1.$$

Let

$$G(t, s) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} G_k(s) t^k =$$

$$\frac{s}{2} \left[ \frac{G(t, s) - t^r}{t} + tG(t, s) \right] + t^r + \frac{sr}{2} \left[ \int_0^t G(t, s) dt - \int_0^t \frac{G(t, s) - t^r}{t^2} dt \right].$$

We now differentiate with respect to  $t$  ( $0 < t < 1$ ), use  $[1 - (s/2)(1/t + t)]^{r+1}$  as an integrating factor, and integrate the differential equation between the limits  $t$  and  $\sigma = [1 - (1 - s^2)^{1/2}]/s$ , where  $0 < t < \sigma$ . We then obtain

$$(1) \quad -G(t, s) \left[ 1 - \frac{s}{2} \left( \frac{1}{t} + t \right) \right]^{r+1} \\ = \int_t^\sigma \left[ \frac{s\tau^{r-2}}{2} + r\tau^{r-1} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{s}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau} + \tau \right) \right]^r d\tau.$$

Let  ${}_c\mu_k$  denote the  $c$ th factorial moment of the first passage time from  $k$  to  $r$ . It is easily seen that the  ${}_c\mu_k$  ( $c$  fixed), if they are finite, cannot increase with  $k$  more than exponentially. Therefore, if  ${}_c\mu_k < \infty$  for some positive  $c$  and some  $k > r$ , then

$$\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} {}_c\mu_k t^{k-c}$$

is convergent for sufficiently small  $t$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1-} \frac{d^c}{ds^c} G(t, s) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} {}_c\mu_k t^{k-c}$$

exists for sufficiently small  $t$  if and only if  ${}_c\mu_k < \infty$  for some  $k > r$  (and hence for all  $k > r$ ).

It is now easily shown that the first  $r$  derivatives of the integral on the right of (1) with respect to  $s$  are bounded in the closed interval  $(t, 1)$ , and hence have finite limits as  $s \rightarrow 1-$ . The  $(r+1)$ st derivative, however, contains a term with the factor  $d\sigma/ds$ , which diverges as  $s \rightarrow 1-$ .

The same techniques can be used to prove the following result:

THEOREM 3. *If one has a one-sided random walk with*

$$p_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{k} \right), \quad q_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{k} \right)$$

$\alpha > 0$  ( $k = \alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots$ ) then  $r\mu_k < \infty$  if  $r - 1/2 < \alpha \leq r + 1/2$  and  $k > \alpha$ , while  $c\mu_k = \infty$  for  $c > r$  and  $k > \alpha$ .

We shall now consider walks with  $p_n > 1/2$ . It is clear from Theorem 2 that for such walks the index is 0. The interest now attaches to the question of whether first passages are certain to occur.

LEMMA 3.<sup>3</sup> *Consider a random walk over the states 0, 1, 2, ... . Assume  $p_k \geq \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . The walk is recurrent if and only if the series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k}$$

*is divergent.*

*Proof.* Consider first the finite walk over 0, 1, 2, ..., n, and let  $P_k^{(n)}$  denote the probability that the walk, starting at k, reaches n before reaching 0. Clearly

$$P_0^{(n)} = 0, \quad P_n^{(n)} = 1,$$

and

$$P_k^{(n)} = p_k P_{k+1}^{(n)} + q_k P_{k-1}^{(n)} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1).$$

We may solve for  $P_2^{(n)}, P_3^{(n)}, \dots, P_{n-1}^{(n)}$  in terms of  $P_1^{(n)}$ , getting

$$q_k (P_k^{(n)} - P_{k-1}^{(n)}) = p_k (P_{k+1}^{(n)} - P_k^{(n)}) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1),$$

and hence

$$P_k^{(n)} = (1 + b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_{k-1}) P_1^{(n)},$$

where  $b_j = (q_1 q_2 \cdots q_j) / (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_j)$ . Now suppose  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j = \infty$ . Since

<sup>3</sup>We were informed by the referee that this lemma and the following theorem have also been obtained by T. E. Harris.

$$P_1^{(n)} = P_{n-1}^{(n)} / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k \right) \leq 1 / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k \right),$$

we must have  $P_1^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We conclude that the walk is recurrent.

Conversely, suppose  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < \infty$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = b_0$ , where  $b_0$  is some positive number. Using the fact that

$$P_{n-1}^{(n)} = p_{n-1} + q_{n-1} P_{n-2}^{(n)},$$

we have

$$\left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k \right) P_1^{(n)} = p_{n-1} + q_{n-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} b_k \right) P_1^{(n)},$$

and therefore

$$P_1^{(n)} = 1 / \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} b_k + b_{n-1} / p_{n-1} \right].$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_1^{(n)} = 1/b_0 > 0,$$

whence the walk is nonrecurrent.

As an illustration, consider a random walk over the nonnegative integers with reflecting barrier 0 and

$$p_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta k} \right), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots; \beta > 1).$$

**THEOREM 4.** *The walk is recurrent if and only if  $\beta \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$P_k = P \{ \text{walk never reaches 0, given that it starts at } k \} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We have

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) P_2, \quad P_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\beta k} \right) P_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta k} \right) P_{k+1} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Rewriting, we have

$$P_2 - P_1 = \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} P_1, \quad P_{k+1} - P_k = \frac{\beta k - 1}{\beta k + 1} (P_k - P_{k-1}) \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Thus

$$P_k = P_1 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} \cdot \frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta + 1} + \dots + \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} \dots \frac{(k-1)\beta - 1}{(k-1)\beta + 1} \right) \right\}.$$

Recalling the preceding lemma, we see that the recurrence of the walk is equivalent to the divergence of

$$1 + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} \cdot \frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta + 1} + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} \cdot \frac{2\beta - 1}{2\beta + 1} \cdot \frac{3\beta - 1}{3\beta + 1} + \dots = f(\beta).$$

We easily see that

$$f(2) = 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \dots = \infty,$$

so the walk is recurrent when  $\beta = 2$ . That it is recurrent for  $\beta > 2$  then follows from the lemma. The series  $f(\beta)$  ( $1 < \beta < 2$ ), may be shown to be convergent as follows. For  $1 < \beta < 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\beta) &= 1 + \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1} + \frac{\beta - 1}{2\beta + 1} \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{\beta + 1} \right) + \frac{\beta - 1}{3\beta + 1} \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{\beta + 1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{2\beta + 1} \right) + \dots \\ &< 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{3} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{5} \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{3} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{5} \right) \dots \left( 1 - \frac{2 - \beta}{2k + 1} \right) < e^{-(2 - \beta)(1/3 + 1/5 + \dots + 1/2k + 1)}$$

$$< e^{[-(2 - \beta)/4] \log(2k + 1)} = (2k + 1)^{-(2 - \beta)/4}.$$

Thus

$$f(\beta) < 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3^{(2-\beta)/4}} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{5^{(2-\beta)/4}} + \dots < \infty.$$

The preceding class of examples of random walks together with the comparison theorem (Theorem 2) now permit us to determine the moment properties of a large class of random walks. For example, the one-sided walks with

$$p_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n^\beta} \right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

have the same moment index as the walk with  $p_n = 1/2$  if  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 1$ , while if  $\beta < 1$ ,  $\alpha < 0$  the index is infinity (all moments exist). For  $\alpha > 0$ , the walk will be certain to return if  $\beta < 1$ , but not if  $\beta > 1$ .

#### REFERENCE

1. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Wiley, New York, 1950.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

R. M. ROBINSON  
University of California  
Berkeley 4, California

E. HEWITT  
University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

R. P. DILWORTH  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH  
University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

H. BUSEMANN

HERBERT FEDERER

MARSHALL HALL

P. R. HALMOS

HEINZ HOPF

R. D. JAMES

BØRGE JESSEN

PAUL LÉVY

GEORGE PÓLYA

J. J. STOKER

E. G. STRAUS

KÔSAKU YOSIDA

## SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA

OREGON STATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

\* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS,

INSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Vari-Type Composition by

Elaine Barth

Delores Wierman

With the cooperation of

E. F. Beckenbach

E. G. Straus

Printed in the United States of America by  
Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS \* BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1953 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Herbert Busemann, <i>Volume in terms of concurrent cross-sections</i> .....	1
L. Carlitz, <i>Some special equations in a finite field</i> .....	13
Homer V. Craig and Billie Braden Townsend, <i>On certain metric extensors</i> .....	25
Philip J. Davis and Henry Pollak, <i>Linear functionals and analytic continuation problems</i> .....	47
Jacob C. E. Dekker, <i>The constructivity of maximal dual ideals in certain Boolean algebras</i> .....	73
Harley M. Flanders, <i>The norm function of an algebraic field extension</i> .....	103
Marshall Hall, <i>Subgroups of free products</i> .....	115
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein, <i>Finite multiplicative subgroups in division rings</i> .....	121
Joseph Lawson Hodges, Jr. and Murray Rosenblatt, <i>Recurrence-time moments in random walks</i> .....	127
Alfred Horn, <i>The normal completion of a subset of a complete lattice and lattices of continuous functions</i> .....	137
Fulton Koehler, <i>Estimates for the errors in the Rayleigh-Ritz method</i> .....	153
M. H. Martin, <i>The Monge-Ampère partial differential equation <math>rt - s^2 + \lambda^2 = 0</math></i> .....	165
John E. Maxfield, <i>Normal <math>k</math>-tuples</i> .....	189
Jack E. McLaughlin, <i>Structured theorems for relatively complemented lattices</i> .....	197
William H. Mills, <i>A system of quadratic Diophantine equations</i> .....	209
T. S. Motzkin, Ernst Gabor Straus and F. A. Valentine, <i>The number of farthest points</i> .....	221
G. Power, <i>Forces on the boundary of a dielectric</i> .....	233
Ralph Gordon Selfridge, <i>Approximations with least maximum error</i> .....	247