ON LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF SEQUENCES IN A BANACH SPACE

Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus

ON LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF SEQUENCES IN A BANACH SPACE

P. Erdös and E. G. Straus

1. A. Dvoretzky has raised the following problem:

Let x_1, x_2, $\cdots$, x_n, $\cdots$ be an infinite sequence of unit vectors in a Banach space which are linearly independent in the algebraic sense; that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i x_{n_i} = 0 \implies c_i = 0 \qquad (i = 1, \cdots, k).$$

Does there exist an infinite subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ which is linearly independent in a stronger sense?

We may consider three types of linear independence of a sequence of unit vectors in a normed linear space:

I.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n = 0 \implies c_n = 0 \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

II. If $\phi(k) > 0$ is any function defined for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, then

$$|c_n^{(k)}| < \phi(k) \qquad (n, k = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(k)} x_n = 0$$

imply

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} c_n^{(k)} = 0 \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

III. $\lim\limits_{k \to \infty} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(k)} x_n = 0 \implies \lim\limits_{k \to \infty} c_n^{(k)} = 0$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

It is obvious that III implies both II and I; and if

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \phi(k) > 0$$

then II implies I. It is easy to show that the converse implications do not hold.

In this note we give an affirmative answer to Dvoretzky's question if independence is defined in the sense I or even II for arbitrary $\phi(k)$. However the answer is in the negative if indepence is defined in the sense III.

2. The negative part is proved by the following example due to G. Szegö [**1** ; I, p. 86] :

THEOREM. *If* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is a sequence of positive number with* $\lambda_n \longrightarrow \infty$, *then the functions* $\{1/(x + \lambda_n)\}$ *are complete in every finite positive interval.*

Obviously every infinite subsequence of $\{1/(x + \lambda_n)\}$ satisfies the condition of the theorem and is therefore complete.

3. For the affirmative part of our result we prove the following:

THEOREM. *Let* $\{x_{n_i}\}$ *be an infinite sequence of algebraically linearly independent unit vectors in a Banach space and let* $\phi(k) > 0$ *be any function defined for* $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. *Then there exists an infinite subsequence* $\{x_{n_i}\}$ *such that* $|c_i^{(m)}| < \phi(i)$ $(i, m = 1, 2, \cdots)$ *and*

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^{(m)} x_{n_i} = 0$$

imply

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} c_i^{(m)} = 0$$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

It was pointed out to us by the referee that it suffices to prove the theorem for a separable Hilbert space. The separability may be assumed since we may restrict our attention to the subspace spanned by $\{x_n\}$. Now every separable Banach space can be imbedded isometrically in the space $C(0, 1)$ of continuous

functions over the interval $(0, 1)$; and $C(0, 1) \subset L_2(0, 1)$, where linear independence, in any of the above defined senses, in L_2 implies the same independence in C. Let $\{z_n\}$ be the orthonormal sequence obtained from $\{x_n\}$ by the Gram-Schmidt process; then

$$x_n = \sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{nm} z_m,$$

with $|a_{nm}| \leq 1$ and $a_{nn} \neq 0$.

Since $\{a_{nm}\}$ is bounded for fixed m, we can select a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} a_{n_i m} = b_m$$

exists for every m.

If we prove the theorem for $\psi(k) \geq \phi(k)$, then it is proved *a fortiori* for $\phi(k)$. Hence we may set

$$\psi(n) = \max\{1, \phi(1), \cdots, \phi(n)\},$$

so that $\psi(n) \geq 1$ and $\psi(n)$ is nondecreasing.

If the theorem we false then for every infinite subsequence $\{y_k\}$ of $\{x_{n_i}\}$ there would exist a sequence of sequences $\{c_k^{(m)}\}$ with

$$|c_k^{(m)}| < \psi(k) \qquad\qquad (k, m = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} y_k = Q$$

while

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} |c_{k_0}^{(m)}| \neq 0 \quad \textit{for some fixed } k_0.$$

We can then select a subsequence of sequences $\{c_k^{(m_i)}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} c_k^{(m_i)} = c_k$$

exists for every k, and $c_{k_0} \neq 0$. For convenience of notation we assume

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} c_k^{(m)} = c_k.$$

Since $c_{k_0} \neq 0$, there would exist a least $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that

$$|c_k| < 2^{k-k_0} \psi(k) |c_{k_0}| \qquad\qquad \text{for all } k > k_1.$$

This implies

(1) $|c_k^{(m)}| \leq 2^{k-k_1} \psi(k) |c_{k_1}^{(m)}|$ for all $k \geq k_1$; $m > m_0$.

Case A: $b_{n_{i_j}} = 0$ *for* $j = 1, 2, \cdots$.

In order to simplify notation we assume $b_{n_i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ by omitting all terms with $n_i \neq n_{i_j}$ from our subsequence. We select the subsequence $\{y_k\}$ as follows:

$$y_1 = x_{n_1}, \quad y_{k+1} = x_{n_{i_k+1}}$$

where

$$|a_{n_{i_{k+1}}, n_j}| < \frac{|a_{n_j, n_j}|}{4^{k+1} \psi(k+1)} \qquad\qquad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, i_k.$$

We write $y_k = x_{l_k}$.

If the theorem were false then there would exist a sequence of sequences $\{c_k^{(m)}\}$ with the above properties such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} y_k \right\| = \epsilon_m \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

If we take the k_1 defined in (1), then

(2) $$\left| \sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} a_{l_k, l_{k_1}} \right| \leq \epsilon_m;$$

but for all $m > m_0$ we have

$$|c_k^{(m)}| \le 2^{k-k_1} \, \psi(k) \, |c_{k_1}| \qquad\qquad (k = k_1, \; k_1 + 1, \; \cdots),$$

and hence

$$\left| \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} a_{l_k, \, l_{k_1}} \right|$$

$$< \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k-k_1} \, \psi(k) \, |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}|}{4^k \, \psi(k)} = 2^{-2k_1} |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| \; .$$

We can now choose m so large that

$$|c_{k_1}^{(m)} - c_{k_1}| < 2^{-4k_1} |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| \quad \text{and} \quad \in_m < 2^{-4k_1} |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| \; .$$

Then for the left side of (2) we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} a_{l_k, \, l_{k_1}} \right| \ge |c_{k_1}^{(m)}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| - \left| \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} a_{l_k, \, l_{k_1}} \right|$$

$$\ge |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| - 2^{-4k_1} |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| - 2^{-2k_1} |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}|$$

$$> 2^{-4k_1} |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| \; ,$$

while for the right side of (2) we have

$$\in_m < 2^{-4k_1} |c_{k_1}| \, |a_{l_{k_1}, \, l_{k_1}}| \; ,$$

a contradiction.

Case B: $b_{n_i} \ne 0$ *except for a finite number of* i.

Without loss of generality we may assume $b_{n_i} \ne 0$ for all i by omitting a finite number of elements from $\{x_{n_i}\}$. We select the subsequence $\{y_k\}$ as follows:

$$y_1 = x_{n_1}, \quad y_{k+1} = x_{n_{i_{k+1}}},$$

where

$$|a_{n_{i_k+1}, n_j} - b_{n_j}| < \frac{|b_{n_{i_k+1}}|}{4^{k+1} \psi(k+1)} \qquad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, i_k.$$

For simplicity we again write $y_k = x_{l_k}$.

If the theorem were false then there would exist sequences $\{c_k^{(m)}\}$ with the foregoing properties such that

$$|| \sum c_k^{(m)} y_k || = \in_m \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

If we let k_1 be defined as in (1), then on the one hand we have

$$Q = \left| \frac{1}{b_{l_{k_1}}} \sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} a_{l_k, l_{k_1}} - \frac{1}{b_{l_{k_1+1}}} \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} a_{l_k, l_{k_1+1}} \right|$$

$$\geq |c_{k_1}^{(m)}| - \frac{|c_{k_1}^{(m)}|}{4^{k_1}} - \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} \frac{2|c_k^{(m)}|}{4^k \psi(k)}$$

$$\geq |c_{k_1}^{(m)}| \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^{k_1}} - \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \cdot 2^{k-k_1} \psi(k)}{4^k \psi(k)} \right) > \frac{1}{2} |c_{k_1}^{(m)}| > \frac{1}{4} |c_{k_1}| > 0$$

for all $m > m_0$; on the other hand, we have

$$Q \leq \frac{1}{b_{l_{k_1}}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} y_k \right\| + \frac{1}{b_{l_{k_1+1}}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} y_k \right\|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{b_{l_{k_1}}} + \frac{1}{b_{l_{k_2}}} \right) \in_m < \frac{1}{4} |c_{k_1}|$$

for all sufficiently large m, a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. R. Courant, D. Hilbert, *Mothoden der mathematischen Physik*, Berlin, 1931.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, LOS ANGELES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors except Robinson, whose term expires with the completion of the present volume; they might also be sent to M. M. Schiffer, Stanford University, Stanford, California, who is succeeding Robinson. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. F. Beckenbach, at the address given above.

Authors are entitled to receive 100 free reprints of their published papers and may obtain additional copies at cost.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $2.50. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues, $1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 3, No. 4   June, 1953