Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE COMPLEX ZEROS OF FUNCTIONS OF STURM-LIOUVILLE TYPE

CHOY-TAK TAAM

Vol. 3, No. 4 June 1953

ON THE COMPLEX ZEROS OF FUNCTIONS OF STURM-LIOUVILLE TYPE

CHOY-TAK TAAM

1. Let Q(z) be an analytic function of the complex variable z in a region D. In the present paper only those solutions of

$$(1.1) \qquad \qquad \mathbb{W}'' + Q(z)\mathbb{W} = 0$$

which are distinct from the trivial solution ($\equiv 0$) shall be considered.

In this paper the following results shall be established.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) the circle |z| < R is contained in D,
- (b) W(z) is a solution of (1.1), $W(0) \neq 0$,
- (c) n(r) is the number of zeros of V(z) in $|z| \leq r$, r < R.

Then n(r) satisfies the inequality

$$(1.2) n(r) \leq (\log (Rr^{-1}))^{-1} [\log (1 + R | W'(0)) | | W(0) |^{-1})$$

+
$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R (R-t) |Q(t e^{i\theta})| dt d\theta$$
].

COROLLARY 1.1. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) Q(z) is a polynomial of degree k,
- (b) conditions (b) and (c) of Theorem 1 hold.

Then W(z) is an integral function of order at most k+2. Furthermore, as $r \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$n(r) = O(r^{k+2}).$$

Obviously the result of Theorem 1 is not good if r is close to R. Also it

Received August 30, 1952.

does not apply to a solution which vanishes at the origin. The following theorem is free of these restrictions.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) S is a closed region contained in D,
- (b) the boundary C of S is a closed contour,
- (c) the maximum value of |Q(z)| on C is M,
- (d) S can be divided into n subregions such that each subregion has a diameter not greater than $\pi M^{-1/2}$; and for any two points z_1 and z_2 of a subregion, the linear segment $z_1 z_2$ lies in S (we agree that the common boundary of two subregions belongs to both subregions).

Then

- (e) if Q(z) is not a constant, the number of zeros of any solution W(z) of (1.1) in S is not greater than n,
- (f) more accurately, if Q(z) is not a constant, each solution W(z) of (1.1) has at most one zero in each subregion, and when it is known that W(z) has some zero z_i which belongs to n_i $(n_i > 1)$ different subregions, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, its total number of zeros in S is not greater than $n + k (n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k)$,
- (g) if some solution of (1.1) has more than one zero in some subregion, Q(z) must be a constant and |Q(z)| = M > 0 in D.

We may observe that if Q(z) is not a constant, M must be positive, according to the principle of the maximum modulus. If Q(z) is a constant, the problem is trivial as the distribution of the zeros is known.

2. To prove Theorem 1, we need the following known results.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) f(x) and g(x) are real-valued functions, continuous and nonnegative for $x \ge 0$,
 - (b) M is a positive constant,

(c)
$$f(x) \leq M + \int_0^x f(t)g(t)dt$$
, $x \geq 0$.

Then we have

$$f(x) \leq M e^{\int_0^x g(t)dt} \qquad x \geq 0.$$

This lemma is due to R. Bellman. For a proof of it see [1] or [5].

LEMMA 2. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) f(z) is analytic for |z| < R, $f(0) \neq 0$,
- (b) the moduli of the zeros of f(z) in the circle $|z| \leq R$ are r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k arranged as a nondecreasing sequence (a zero of order p is counted p times). Then we have

$$\log \left[R^k (r_1 r_2 \cdots r_k)^{-1} \right] = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(R e^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |f(0)|.$$

Lemma 2 is known as Jensen's theorem (see [4]).

3. Now we shall prove Theorem 1. Along a fixed ray radiating out from the origin, $z = r \exp(i\theta)$, equation (1.1) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbb{W}}{dr^2} + e^{2i\theta} Q(re^{i\theta}) \mathbb{W} = 0.$$

Integrating (3.1) twice from 0 to r, we obtain

$$(3.2) W(re^{i\theta}) = W(0) + W'(0)e^{i\theta}r - e^{2i\theta}\int_0^r \int_0^h Q(te^{i\theta})W(te^{i\theta})dtdh,$$

where $W'(0) \exp(i\theta)$ is the value of dW/dr at the origin. Integration by parts of the integral in (3.2) gives

$$(3.3) W(re^{i\theta}) = W(0) + W'(0)e^{i\theta}r - e^{2i\theta}\int_0^r (r-t)Q(te^{i\theta})W(te^{i\theta})dt.$$

For $r \leq R$, (3.3) yields

$$|W(re^{i\theta})| \leq |W(0)| + |W'(0)|R + \int_0^r (R-t)|Q(te^{i\theta})W(te^{i\theta})|dt.$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (3.4), we have

$$(3.5) |W(Re^{i\theta})| \le (|W(0)| + |W'(0)|R)e^{\int_0^R (R-t)|Q(te^{i\theta})|dt}.$$

Let the moduli of the zeros of $\mathbb{V}(z)$ in the circle $|z| \leq r < R$ be r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k , arranged as a nondecreasing sequence. Then an appeal to Lemma 2 gives

$$(3.6) \quad \log \left[R^k (r_1 r_2 \cdots r_k)^{-1} \right] \leq (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |W(Re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta - \log |W(0)|.$$

Clearly

(3.7)
$$\log \left[R^k (r_1 r_2 \cdots r_k)^{-1} \right] \ge \log \left[R^{n(r)} r^{-n(r)} \right]$$
$$= n(r) \log \left(R r^{-1} \right), \qquad r < R,$$

where n(r) is the number of zeros of W(z) in $|z| \le r$. On the other hand, (3.5) gives

(3.8)
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |W(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq 2\pi \log [|W(0)| + |W'(0)|R] + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{R} (R-t) |Q(te^{i\theta})| dt d\theta.$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8), we have

(3.9)
$$n(r) \log (Rr^{-1}) \le \log [|W(0)| + |W'(0)|R] - \log |W(0)| + (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R (R-t) |Q(te^{i\theta})| dt d\theta$$

for r < R. But (3.9) is equivalent to (1.2), so that this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

If Q(z) is a polynomial of degree k, then W(z) is analytic except at infinity and, from (3.5),

$$|W(Re^{i\theta})| = O(e^{A \cdot R^{k+2}}), \qquad R \longrightarrow \infty,$$

where A is a constant. Hence W(z) is an integral function of order at most k+2. Finally if we set R=2r in (3.9), it is clear that

$$n(r) = O(r^{k+2}).$$

This proves Corollary 1.1.

4. To prove Theorem 2, we need the following known result. On the real axis, equation (1.1) becomes

$$\frac{d^2W}{dx^2} + Q(x)W = 0,$$

where x is the real part of the complex variable z. Denote by $q_1(x)$ the real part of Q(x).

LEMMA 3. Let $\mathbb{V}(x)$ be a solution of (4.1), $\mathbb{V}(0) = 0$. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied.

- (a) max $q_1(x) = m > 0$ in [0, a], $0 < a \le \pi m^{-1/2}$, and $Q(x) \ne m$ in [0, a],
 - (b) $q_1(x) \leq 0$ in [0, a].

Then $W(x) \neq 0$ in (0, a].

This lemma was proved in [3; Theorems 5.1, 5.2]. Part (b) is also covered by a theorem of Hille [2, p.512 ff.]. Its proof remains valid even if Q(x) is assumed only to be a continuous (complex-valued) function of a real variable x; consequently the lemma remains true under such an assumption on Q(x).

We first prove (f) of Theorem 2.

Let S_i be one of the subregions of S with a diameter not greater than $\pi M^{-1/2}$. Suppose that W(z) is a solution of (1.1) which vanishes at a point z_0 , say, of S_i . Consider a fixed ray radiating out from z_0 , $z - z_0 = r \exp(i\theta)$. Along this ray, equation (1.1) becomes

(4.2)
$$\frac{d^2W}{dr^2} + e^{2i\theta} Q(z_0 + re^{i\theta})W = 0.$$

By virtue of the principle of the maximum modulus, we have

$$|e^{2i\theta}Q(z)| = |Q(z)| \leq M$$

for any point z of S on this ray. Hence on a segment of this ray between z_0 and any other point of S_i (by assumption, this segment lies in S) the maximum value m, say, of the real part of $\exp((2i\theta)Q(z))$ is not greater than M. If m is positive, then $\pi m^{-1/2} \ge \pi M^{-1/2}$. Since Q(z) is not a constant, $\exp((2i\theta)Q(z)) \ne m$ on this segment. By virtue of the fact that the diameter of S_i is not greater

than $\pi M^{-1/2}$ and Lemma 3, it is clear that W(z) does not vanish again on that part of the ray in S_i , regardless of the sign of m. Repeating this process for each ray radiating out from z_0 , we see clearly that W(z) cannot vanish again in S_i . Since S_i is an arbitrary subregion, W(z) can vanish at most at one point of each subregion.

On the other hand, if W(z) has a zero z_i which belongs to n_i $(n_i > 1)$ different subregions, then W(z) cannot vanish again in any of these n_i subregions, as the foregoing proof shows. If it is known that there are k such zeros z_i , each z_i belonging to n_i subregions, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, it is clear that the total number of zeros of W(z) in S is not greater than $n + k - (n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k)$.

To prove (g), let W(z) be a solution of (1.1) having two zeros, say z_0 and z_1 , in some subregion S_i . Let the argument of $z_1 - z_0$ be θ . Then along the linear segment $z_0 z_1$, equation (1.1) becomes (4.2). According to Lemma 3, the maximum value m of the real part of $\exp(2i\theta)Q(z)$ on the linear segment $z_0 z_1$ must be positive. Further, since

$$|z_1 - z_0| \le \pi M^{-1/2} \le \pi m^{-1/2},$$

 z_0 and z_1 can both be the zeros of V(z) only if

$$(4.4) e^{2i\theta} Q(z) = m$$

on the linear segment $z_0 z_1$, by Lemma 3 again. But if (4.4) is true, the general solution of (4.2) is $A \sin(m^{1/2}r + B)$, A and B being constants. If a solution of (4.2) has two zeros, the distance between them must not be less than $\pi m^{-1/2}$. In other words, the equality signs in (4.3) must hold. That is, M = m. From (4.4), we have $\exp(2i\theta)Q(z) \equiv M$ on the linear segment $z_0 z_1$. Since Q(z) is an analytic function and constant on the linear segment $z_0 z_1$, Q(z) is a constant in D. Obviously |Q(z)| = M; and since m is positive, so is M. This proves (g).

Clearly (e) follows from (f), and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

5. Added in proof. The author is indebted to a referee for calling his attention to the fact that, in connection with Corollary 1.1, an entire function which satisfies a linear differential equation with coefficients which are rational functions of z is always of finite rational order and of perfectly regular

growth. (See G. Valiron, Lectures on the theory of integral functions, Toulouse, 1923, p. 106 ff.)

REFERENCES

- 1. R. Bellman, The boundedness of solutions of linear differential equations, Duke Math. J. 14 (1947), 83-97.
 - 2. E. L. Ince, Ordinary differential equations, London, 1927.
 - 3. C. T. Taam, Oscillation theorems, Amer. J. Math. 74 (1952), 317-324.
 - 4. E. C. Titchmarsh, The theory of functions, Oxford, 1944.
 - 5. H. Weyl, Comment on the preceding paper, Amer. J. Math. 68 (1946), 7-12.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI AND

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

The editors gratefully acknowledge the services of the following persons not members of the Editorial Staff who have been consulted concerning the preparation of the third volume of this Journal:

R.P. Agnew, C.B. Allendoerfer, T.M. Apostol, Richard Arens, Nachman Aronszajn, R. Baer, R.E. Bellman, Stefan Bergman, Garrett Birkhoff, L.M. Blumenthal, R.P. Boas, Jr., H.E. Bray, F.E. Browder, R.C. Buck, C. Chevalley, I.S. Cohen, H.V. Craig, J.L. Doob, R.J. Duffin, Nelson Dunford, W.F. Eberlein, Arthur Erdelyi, H. Federer, Werner Fenchel, N.J. Fine, Harley Flanders, G.E. Forsythe, I.S. Gal, P.R. Garabedian, Wallace Givens, L.M. Graves, J.W. Green, W. Gustin, M. Hall, T.E. Harris, Olaf Helmer, I.N. Herstein, J.D. Hill, E. Hille, P. Hodge, R.C. James, R.D. James, F. John, S. Johnson, Jan Kalicki, Irving Kaplansky, W. Karush, M.S. Knebelman, R.M. Lakness, D.H. Lehmer, N. Levinson, Lee Lorch, M.M. Loève, R.C. Lyndon, G.R. MacLane, J.C.C. McKinsey, N.H. McCoy, Wilhelm Magnus, H.B. Mann, W.R. Mann, E. Michael, C.B. Morrey, Jr., T. Motzkin, Ivan Niven, L.J. Paige, H. Rademacher, R.M. Redheffer, W.T. Reid, J. Riordan, M.S. Robertson, J. Robinson, W.E. Roth, H.S. Ruse, Peter Scherk, W. Seidel, H.N. Shapiro, H. Shniad, D.C. Spencer, N.E. Steenrod, J.J. Stoker, D. Swift, Gabor Szegö, E.W. Titt, A.W. Tucker, F.A. Valentine, H.S. Vandiver, R. Wagner, J.L. Walsh, S.S. Walters, Morgan Ward, H.F. Weinberger, A. Weinstein, A.L. Whiteman, L.R. Wilcox, Frantisek Wolf, J. Wolfowitz, D. Zelinsky, M. Zorn, A. Zygmund.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

R. M. ROBINSON

University of California Berkeley 4, California

E. HEWITT

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

B. P. DILWORTH

California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California

E. F. BECKENBACH

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

H. BUSEMANN

P. R. HALMOS

HEINZ HOPF

BØRGE JESSEN

J. J. STOKER

HERBERT FEDERER MARSHALL HALL

R. D. JAMES

PAUL LEVY GEORGE POLYA E. G. STRAUS

KÔSAKU YOSIDA

SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA OREGON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE STANFORD UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS. INSTITUTE FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors except Robinson, whose term expires with the completion of the present volume; they might also be sent to M.M. Schiffer, Stanford University, Stanford, California, who is succeeding Robinson. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. F. Beckenbach, at the address given above.

Authors are entitled to receive 100 free reprints of their published papers and may obtain additional copies at cost.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$2.50. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office. Berkeley, California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS · BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

COPYRIGHT 1953 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 3, No. 4

June, 1953

Paul Erdős and Gilbert Agnew Hunt, Jr., Changes of sign of sums of random	
variables	673
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, On linear independence of sequences in	
a Banach space	689
Haim Hanani, On sums of series of complex numbers	695
Melvin Henriksen, On the prime ideals of the ring of entire functions	711
Irving Kaplansky, Completely continuous normal operators with property	
L	721
Samuel Karlin, Some random walks arising in learning models. I	725
William Judson LeVeque, On uniform distribution modulo a	
subdivision	757
Lee Lorch, Derivatives of infinte order	773
Ernest A. Michael, Some extension theorems for continuous functions	789
Tyre Alexander Newton, A note on the Hölder mean	807
Raymond Moos Redheffer, On a theorem of Plancherel and Pólya	823
Choy-Tak Taam, On the complex zeros of functions of Sturm-Liouville	
type	837