# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE CHANGE OF INDEX FOR SUMMABLE SERIES

DIETER GAIER

Vol. 5, No. 4

December 1955

## ON THE CHANGE OF INDEX FOR SUMMABLE SERIES

#### DIETER GAIER

1. Introduction. Assume we have given a series

$$(1.1) a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots$$

and consider

(1.2) 
$$b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n + \dots$$
 with  $b_0 = 0$  and  $b_n = a_{n-1}$   $(n \ge 1);$ 

denote the partial sums by  $s_n$  and  $t_n$ , respectively. Since  $s_n = t_{n+1}$ , the convergence of (1.1) is equivalent to that of (1.2). However, if a method of summability V is applied to both series, the statements

(1.3) (a) 
$$V - \sum a_n = s$$
 (b)  $V - \sum b_n = s^{-1}$ 

need not be equivalent (for example, if V is the Borel method; see [4, p. 183]). If  $V(x; s_{\nu})$  and  $V(x; t_{\nu})$  denote the V-transforms of the sequences  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$ , respectively, it is therefore interesting to investigate, for which methods V and under what restrictions on  $\{a_n\}$  the relations

(1.4) (a) 
$$V(x; s_{\nu}) \cong K \cdot x^{q}$$
 (b)  $V(x; t_{\nu}) \cong K \cdot x^{q}$   
 $(x \longrightarrow x_{0}, K \text{ constant}; q \ge 0, \text{ fixed})^{2}$ 

are equivalent.

The cases  $V = C_k$  (Cesàro) and V = A (Abel) are quickly disposed of  $(\S 2)$ , while V = E (general Euler transform) and V = B (Borel) present some interest ( $\S \S 3-5$ ).

2. THEOREM 1. The statements (1.4.a) and (1.4.b) are equivalent for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We shall always let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \sum a_n$ .

 $x \longrightarrow x_0$  through values depending on the method V.

Received December 1, 1953. This work has been sponsored, in part, by the Office of Naval Research under contract N5ori-07634.

Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 529-539

 $V = C_k (k > -1)$  and  $V = A.^3$ 

Proof. If

$$S_n^{(k)} = C_k(n; s_{\nu}) \cdot \binom{n+k}{n}$$

and

$$T_n^{(k)} = C_k(n; t_{\nu}) \cdot \binom{n+k}{n},$$

we have by definition of the Cesàro means

(2.1) 
$$(1-x)^{k+1} \sum T_n^{(k)} x^n = \sum b_n x^n = x \cdot \sum a_n x^n = x (1-x)^{k+1} \sum S_n^{(k)} x^n$$

the series being convergent for |x| < 1. The proof of Theorem 1 now follows from the inner equality in (2.1) and the relation

$$\frac{T_n^{(k)}}{\binom{n+k}{n}} = \frac{S_{n-1}^{(k)}}{\binom{n+k}{n}} \cong \frac{S_{n-1}^{(k)}}{\binom{n-1+k}{n-1}} \qquad (n \longrightarrow \infty).$$

3. Let  $g(w) = \sum \gamma_n w^n$  be regular and schlicht in  $|w| \leq 1$ , and assume g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1. Then the *E*-transforms of  $\sum a_n$  and  $\sum b_n$  are obtained by the formal relations [5]

$$\sum a_n z^n = \sum a_n [g(w)]^n = \sum \alpha_n w^n; \quad E(n; s_{\nu}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\nu}$$
(3.1)  

$$\sum b_n z^n = \sum b_n [g(w)]^n = \sum \beta_n w^n; \quad E(n; t_{\nu}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \beta_{\nu}$$

THEOREM 2. The statements (1.4.a) and (1.4.b) are equivalent for V = E. Proof. First we note that if either

$$E(n; s_{\nu}) = O(n^{q}) \quad \text{or} \quad E(n; t_{\nu}) = O(n^{q}) \qquad (n \longrightarrow \infty);$$

530

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For q = 0 see [4, p. 102].

then the formal relations (3.1) are actually valid for |w| < 1 and also

(3.2) 
$$\sum \beta_n w^n = \sum b_n [g(w)]^n = g(w) \cdot \sum a_n [g(w)]^n = g(w) \cdot \sum \alpha_n w^n$$
  
( $|w| < 1$ ).

Denote by  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$  the partial sums of  $\sum \alpha_n$ ,  $\sum \beta_n$ ,  $\sum \gamma_n$ , respectively. We assume first

$$E(n; s_{\nu}) = A_n \cong K \cdot n^q \qquad (n \longrightarrow \infty).$$

Then, since by (3.2)  $\Sigma \beta_n$  is the Cauchy product of  $\Sigma \alpha_n$  and  $\Sigma \gamma_n$ , we have

$$E(n; t_{\nu}) = B_n = \gamma_n A_0 + \gamma_{n-1} A_1 + \cdots + \gamma_1 A_{n-1}$$

and for  $n \geq 1$ 

(3.3) 
$$\frac{B_n}{n^q} = \frac{\gamma_n}{n^q} A_0 + \gamma_{n-1} \frac{1^q}{n^q} \cdot \frac{A_1}{1^q} + \dots + \gamma_1 \frac{(n-1)^q}{n^q} \cdot \frac{A_{n-1}}{(n-1)^q} \cdot \frac{A_{n-1$$

For the matrix  $c_{n\nu}$  in this transformation of the convergent sequence  $\{A_n n^{-q}\}$  we have clearly

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} c_{n\nu} = 0 \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, \cdots).$$

Furthermore

$$\sum_{\nu} |c_{n\nu}| = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\gamma_{n-\nu}| \cdot \frac{\nu^{q}}{n^{q}} + \frac{|\gamma_{n}|}{n^{q}} \le \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} |\gamma_{\nu}| \le \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{\nu}| = M < \infty;$$

finally we prove

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} c_{n\nu} = 1.$$

For q = 0 this follows from

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} c_{n\nu} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \gamma_{\nu} \longrightarrow g(1) = 1 \qquad (n \longrightarrow \infty);$$

for q > 0

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} c_{n\nu} = \frac{\gamma_n}{n^q} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{n-\nu} \cdot \frac{\nu^q}{n^q} = \frac{\gamma_n}{n^q} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{\nu} \left(\frac{n-\nu}{n}\right)^q$$
$$= \frac{\gamma_n}{n^q} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_{\nu} \left[ \left(\frac{n-\nu}{n}\right)^q - \left(\frac{n-\nu-1}{n}\right)^q \right],$$

and the last term is a positive regular transformation of the sequence  $\{C_n\}$  tending to g(1) = 1, whence

$$\sum_{\nu} c_{n\nu} \longrightarrow 1 \qquad (n \longrightarrow \infty) .$$

Therefore the transformation (3.3) of  $\{A_n n^{-q}\}$  converges to K, which proves  $B_n \cong K \cdot n^q \ (n \longrightarrow \infty)$ .

Assume on the other hand  $B_n \cong Kn^q$   $(n \longrightarrow \infty)$ . Putting w = 0 in (3.2), one obtains  $\beta_0 = 0$ , so that

$$\sum \alpha_n w^n = [g(w)]^{-1} \sum \beta_n w^n = w [g(w)]^{-1} \sum \beta_{n+1} w^n$$

is regular in |w| < 1. Furthermore the expansion of the function  $w[g(w)]^{-1}$  for w = 1 converges absolutely to 1, since w = 0 is the only zero of g(w) in  $|w| \le 1$ . An argument similar to the one above shows then that  $B_{n+1} \cong Kn^q$   $(n \longrightarrow \infty)$  implies  $A_n \cong Kn^q$   $(n \longrightarrow \infty)$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

We add a few remarks about the assumptions on the function z = g(w) by which the *E*-method is defined.

a. Theorem 2 becomes false if only regularity of g(w) in |w| < 1, and continuity and schlichtness in  $|w| \le 1$  are assumed. For there exist such functions g(w) whose power series do not converge absolutely on |w| = 1 (cf. [2]). Therefore in (3.2) one could find a convergent  $\sum \alpha_n$  whose transform  $\sum \beta_n$  diverges.

b. All that was used about the function g(w) in the proof of Theorem 2 was that the power series of g(w) and of  $w[g(w)]^{-1}$  converge absolutely to the value 1 for w = 1. This can be guaranteed by the weaker assumption that g(w) with g(1) = 1 and g(0) = 0 is regular in |w| < 1, continuous and schlicht

in  $|w| \leq 1$ , and that the image of |w| = 1 under the mapping g(w) is a rectifiable Jordan curve. Because then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g'(e^{i\phi})| d\phi < \infty$$

and hence  $\sum |\gamma_n| < \infty$  [8, p. 158]; on the other hand also

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |G'(e^{i\phi})| d\phi < \infty,$$

where

$$G'(w) = \left[\frac{w}{g(w)}\right]' = \frac{g(w) - wg'(w)}{[g(w)]^2},$$

so that also the power series of G(w) converges absolutely to the value 1 for w = 1.

c. If

$$g(w) = w[(p+1) - pw]^{-1}$$
 (p \ge 0, fixed)

one has  $E = E_p$  as the familiar Euler method of order p, for which Theorem 2 is known in the case q = 0 [4, p. 180].

d. The function

$$g(w) = (2 - w) - 2(1 - w)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (g(0) = 0)$$

leads to the method of Mersman [6], as Scott and Wall showed [7, p. 270]. Here Theorem 2 is also applicable, since the more general conditions about g(w) in remark (b) are satisfied, as is readily seen.

4. The Borel method is defined by the transformation

$$B(x; s_{\nu}) = e^{-x} \sum \frac{s_{\nu} x^{\nu}}{\nu!} \qquad (x \ge 0),$$

where the power series is assumed to define an entire function. It is known that  $B(x; s_{\nu}) \longrightarrow K$   $(x \longrightarrow \infty)$  implies  $B(x; t_{\nu}) \longrightarrow K$   $(x \longrightarrow \infty)$ , but not conversely [4, p. 183]. We now prove more generally

THEOREM 3. The relation

$$B(x;s_{\nu}) \simeq Kx^{q} \qquad (x \longrightarrow \infty)$$

implies

$$B(x;t_{\nu}) \simeq Kx^{q} \qquad (x \longrightarrow \infty).$$

Proof. We have for x > 0 [4, p. 196]

(4.1) 
$$x^{-q}B(x;t_{\nu}) = x^{-q}e^{-x}\sum \frac{t_{\nu}x^{\nu}}{\nu!} = x^{-q}e^{-x}\sum \frac{s_{\nu}x^{\nu+1}}{(\nu+1)!}$$
$$= x^{-q}e^{-x}\int_{0}^{x}\sum \frac{s_{\nu}t^{\nu}}{\nu!}dt = x^{-q}\int_{0}^{x}e^{-(x-t)}t^{q}\frac{B(t;s_{\nu})}{t^{q}}dt.$$

This transformation of the convergent function  $B(t; s_{\nu})t^{-q}$   $(t \longrightarrow \infty)$  by means of the 'matrix'

$$c(x,t) = e^{-(x-t)} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{q} \qquad (0 \le t \le x)$$

is regular, since

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |c(x,t)| dt \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (x \longrightarrow \infty; t_1, t_2 > 0, \text{ fixed})$$

and

$$\int_0^x |c(x,t)| dt = \int_0^x c(x,t) dt = e^{-x} \int_0^x e^t \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^q dt \longrightarrow 1 \qquad (x \longrightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore  $B(x; t_{\nu}) \cong Kx^q (x \longrightarrow \infty)$ .

We discuss now the converse of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. The relation

$$B(x;t_{\nu}) \cong Kx^{q} \qquad (x \longrightarrow \infty)$$

implies

$$B(x;s_{\nu}) \simeq Kx^{q} \qquad (x \longrightarrow \infty),$$

if

$$(4.2) \qquad \qquad \lim \sup |a_n|^{1/n} < \infty,$$

that is, if the series  $\sum a_n z^n$  has a positive radius of convergence.

*Proof.* Using (4.1) we have for x > 0

$$F(x) = x^{-q} B(x; t_{\nu}) = x^{-q} e^{-x} \int_0^x e^t B(t; s_{\nu}) dt.$$

Consider now F(x) as function of the complex variable x for  $\Re(x) \ge 1$ . Then (4.2) implies  $|t_n| \le M^n$  for some constant M > 0 and hence in  $\Re(x) \ge 1$ 

$$|B(x;t_{\nu})| \leq e^{-1} \sum \frac{M^n |x|^n}{n!} = e^{-1+M|x|},$$

and also

$$(4.3) |F(x)| \leq \alpha e^{\beta |x|} \Re(x) \geq 1$$

for positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Hence one knows that

$$F(x) \longrightarrow K \qquad (x \longrightarrow +\infty)$$

implies

$$F'(x) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (x \longrightarrow +\infty), \quad 4$$

that is,

$$x^{-q} B(x; s_{\nu}) + \int_{0}^{x} e^{t} B(t; s_{\nu}) dt \left[ -1 - \frac{q}{x} \right] e^{-x} x^{-q}$$
$$= x^{-q} B(x; s_{\nu}) - K + o(1) = o(1) \qquad (x \longrightarrow +\infty),$$

from which the result follows.

5. We now show that Theorem 4 is best possible in a certain sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If F(x) is regular in  $\Re(x) \ge 1$  and (4.3) holds, then  $F(x) \longrightarrow A(x \longrightarrow +\infty)$  implies  $F'(x) \longrightarrow 0$   $(x \longrightarrow +\infty)$ . This lemma was used also in [3], where Theorem 4 was proved for q = 0.

THEOREM 5. In Theorem 4 the Condition (4.2) cannot be replaced by

(5.1) 
$$\limsup n^{-\epsilon} |a_n|^{1/n} < \infty \qquad (\epsilon > 0).$$

For the proof we need the following

LEMMA. For every  $\beta > 1$ , there exists an entire function f(z) of order  $\beta$  satisfying

(5.2) 
$$f(x) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (x \longrightarrow +\infty), f'(x) \not \longrightarrow 0 \quad (x \longrightarrow +\infty) \quad (z = x + iy).$$

*Proof.* Put  $\alpha = \beta^{-1}$  and consider the Mittag-Leffler function

$$E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha n)},$$

which is an entire function of order  $\alpha^{-1} = \beta$ . Let *m* be the integer with

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \le m < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + 1.$$

We first study the derivatives of  $E_{\alpha}(z)$  of order 1, 2, ..., *m* on the line arg  $z = \alpha \pi/2$  for large |z|. For these *z* (assume for definiteness |z| > 2) one has [1, pp. 272-275]

(5.3) 
$$E_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \, \alpha} \int_{L} e^{t^{1/\alpha}} \frac{dt}{t-z} + \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{z^{1/\alpha}},$$

the path L being

$$t = re^{-i\phi_0} \left( \infty > r \ge 1, \, \alpha \pi > \phi_0 > \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right), \ t = e^{i\phi} \left( -\phi_0 \le \phi \le + \phi_0 \right),$$
$$t = re^{i\phi_0} \quad (1 \le r < \infty);$$

 $t^{1/\alpha}$  is the branch which is positive for t > 0. The *k*th derivative of the integral part in (5.3) can then be estimated as follows

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i \alpha} \int_{L} e^{t^{1/\alpha}} \frac{k!}{(t-z)^{k+1}} dt \right| \leq \frac{k!}{2\pi \alpha |z|^{k+1}} \int_{L} |e^{t^{1/\alpha}}| \frac{|dt|}{|1-(t/z)|^{k+1}} = O(|z|^{-k-1}) = o(1) \quad (|z| \longrightarrow \infty),$$

since for our values of z one has  $|1 - (t/z)| \ge \delta > 0$  and on the straight line segments of L

$$|e^{t^{1/\alpha}}| = e^{|t|^{1/\alpha} \cdot \cos \phi_0 / \alpha}$$
 with  $\cos \frac{\phi_0}{\alpha} < 0$ .

Therefore

$$E_{\alpha}'(z) = o(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} e^{z^{1/\alpha}} z^{1/\alpha - 1}$$

$$E_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime}(z) = o(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{3}} e^{z^{1/\alpha}} z^{(1/\alpha-1)2}$$

(5.4)

$$E_{\alpha}^{(m-1)}(z) = o(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{m}} e^{z^{1/\alpha}} z^{(1/\alpha-1)(m-1)}$$

$$E_{\alpha}^{(m)}(z) = o(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{m+1}} e^{z^{1/\alpha}} z^{(1/\alpha - 1)m}.$$

Now we consider the function

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[ E_{a}^{(m-1)}(z) - E_{a}^{(m-1)}(0) \right],$$

which is again an entire function of order  $\alpha^{-1}$ . For  $|z| \longrightarrow \infty$  on arg  $z = \alpha \pi / 2$  we have by (5.4)

$$F(z) = o(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{m}} e^{z^{1/\alpha}} z^{(1/\alpha-1)(m-1)-1} = o(1);$$

however

$$F'(z) = o(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{m+1}} e^{z^{1/\alpha}} z^{(1/\alpha-1)m-1},$$

and herein  $|e^{z^{1/\alpha}}| = 1$  and  $((1/\alpha) - 1)m - 1 \ge 0$ , so that  $F'(z) \not\rightarrow 0$  $(|z| \rightarrow \infty \text{ on arg } z = \alpha \pi/2)$ . For the lemma it is therefore sufficient to take

$$f(z) = F(ze^{i \alpha \pi/2}).$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Define the  $\{a_n\}$  of (1,1) by

$$f(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} \sum \frac{a_{\nu} t^{\nu}}{\nu!} dt = \int_0^x e^{-t} a(t) dt,$$

with the f(x) of the above lemma and  $\beta = (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}$ . Since f(x) is of order  $\beta > 1$ , so is a(t), and therefore [1, p. 238]<sup>5</sup>

$$\limsup n^{1/\beta} \left| \frac{a_n}{n!} \right|^{1/n} = e \limsup n^{-\epsilon} |a_n|^{1/n} < \infty,$$

that is, (5.1) is fulfilled. Furthermore

$$f(x) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (x \longrightarrow +\infty),$$

which is equivalent to

$$B(x; t_{\nu}) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (x \longrightarrow +\infty).$$

However, in order that

$$B(x;s_{\nu}) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (x \longrightarrow +\infty),$$

it would be necessary and sufficient to have [4, pp. 182-183]

$$e^{-x}a(x) = f'(x) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (x \longrightarrow +\infty),$$

which by our lemma is not fulfilled. So we have given an example of a series  $\sum a_n$  for which  $B(x; t_{\nu}) \longrightarrow 0$   $(x \longrightarrow +\infty)$  does not imply  $B(x; s_{\nu}) \longrightarrow 0$   $(x \longrightarrow +\infty)$  and for which (5.1) holds.

#### References

L. Bieberbach, Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie, 2. ed., vol. II, Leipzig, 1931.
 D. Gaier, Schlichte Potenzreihen, die auf | z | = 1 gleichmässig, aber nicht absolut konvergieren, Math. Zeit. 57 (1953), 349-350.

3. \_\_\_\_, Zur Frage der Indexverschiebung beim Borel-Verfahren, Math. Zeit. 58 (1953), 453-455.

 $<sup>^5 \</sup>rm Prof.$  Lösch (Stuttgart) suggested to me the relation to the coefficient problem for entire functions.

<sup>4.</sup> G. H. Hardy, Divergent series, Oxford, 1949.

5. K. Knopp, Über Polynomentwicklungen im Mittag-Lefflerschen Stern durch Anwendung der Eulerschen Reihentransformation, Acta Math. 47 (1926), 313-335.

6. W. A. Mersman, A new summation method for divergent series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1938), 667-673.

7. W. T. Scott and H.S. Wall, The transformation of series and sequences, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1942), 255-279.

8. A. Zygmund, Trigonometrical series, Warsaw, 1935.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H.L. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

E. HEWITT

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington R.P. DILWORTH

California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California

\* Alfred Horn

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

H. BUSEMANN	P.R. HALMOS	R.D. JAMES	GEORGE POLYA	
HERBERT FEDERER	HEINZ HOPF	BØRGE JESSEN	J.J. STOKER	
MARSHALL HALL	ALFRED HORN	PAUL LEVY	KOSAKU YOSIDA	
SPONSORS				

#### UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA OREGON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SHELL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. Manuscripts intended for the outgoing editors should be sent to their successors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Alfred Horn, at the University of California Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50; back numbers (Volumes 1,2,3) are available at \$2.50 per copy. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to the publishers, University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Ann Arbor, Michigan. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Berkeley, California.

\*During the absence of E.G. Straus.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS · BERKELEY AND LOS ANGELES

#### COPYRIGHT 1955 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 5, No. 4 December, 1955

Richard Horace Battin, <i>Note on the "Evaluation of an integral occurring in servomechanism theory"</i>	481
Frank Herbert Brownell, III, An extension of Weyl's asymptotic law for eigenvalues	483
Wilbur Eugene Deskins, On the homomorphisms of an algebra ontoFrobenius algebras	501
James Michael Gardner Fell, <i>The measure ring for a cube of arbitrary dimension</i>	513
Harley M. Flanders, <i>The norm function of an algebraic field extension.</i> <i>II</i>	519
Dieter Gaier, On the change of index for summable series	529
Marshall Hall and Lowell J. Paige, <i>Complete mappings of finite groups</i> Moses Richardson, <i>Relativization and extension of solutions of irreflexive</i>	541
relations	551
Peter Scherk, An inequality for sets of integers	585
W. R. Scott, On infinite groups	589
A. Seidenberg, On homogeneous linear differential equations with arbitrary constant coefficients	599
Victor Lenard Shapiro, Cantor-type uniqueness of multiple trigonometric integrals	607
Leonard Tornheim, <i>Minimal basis and inessential discriminant divisors for</i> <i>a cubic field</i>	623
Helmut Wielandt, On eigenvalues of sums of normal matrices	633