

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**MEAN VALUES OF HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON
HOMOTHETIC CURVES**

JOHN W. GREEN

MEAN VALUES OF HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON HOMOTHETIC CURVES

JOHN W. GREEN

1. Introduction. It is well known indeed that if $U(P)$ is harmonic in the plane the mean value of U taken over the perimeter or the area of a circle with center P_0 equals $U(P_0)$. A related result is that of Ásgeirsson [1] which states that mean of U over the area of any one of a family of confocal ellipses equals that over any other. The same is true for the means over the perimeters, provided the means are weighted by integrating with respect to the anomaly angle instead of arc length.

It would be interesting to know if there are any other simple families of curves over which the perimeter or area average is constant. The simplest families to try are homothetic families, and in the following we show that under suitable regularity assumptions, there are none of these except circles.

2. Perimeter means. Let C be a closed simple rectifiable curve containing O in its interior. We suppose that C is smooth enough that its Green's function $g(P)$ with pole at O is continuously differentiable on C , as will be the case if C has a continuously turning tangent line. By C_λ we mean the curve obtained from C through the homothetic transformation $x'=\lambda x$, $y'=\lambda y$. If we expect that for $\lambda<1$, C_λ is inside C , we should assume that C is star-shaped about O , although this is not essential to what follows. A positive continuous weight function $w(P)$ is given, and we suppose that the mean of U with weight w over all C_λ is constant, provided U is harmonic inside and on C .

We immediately note two things. In the first place, letting λ tend to zero, we see that the mean value of U over C_λ must be $U(O)$. In the second place, from obvious continuity considerations, we see that U need only be harmonic inside C and continuous on C for the mean over C_λ to be constant. Since U may be given arbitrary continuous values on C and determined inside so as to be harmonic, it follows that

$$U(O) = \frac{1}{W} \int_C U(P)w(P)ds$$

for every continuous U , where $W = \int_C w(P)ds$. But also

Received April 22, 1955.

$$U(O) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\sigma} U(P) \frac{\partial g}{\partial n}(P) ds,$$

and so $\int_{\sigma} U \{ \partial g / \partial n - (2\pi/W)w \} ds = 0$ for continuous U .

Thus

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial n} = \frac{2\pi w}{W};$$

that is, the weight function must be the obvious one, $\partial g / \partial n$. In the case of the ordinary perimeter mean, $w=1$ and $\partial g / \partial n = \text{constant}$. This implies that C is a circle. For consider $f(z) = e^{-(\sigma + ih)}$ which maps the interior of C into the unit circle. On C , $f'(z)$ remains continuous and $|f'(z)| = \partial h / \partial s = \partial g / \partial n = \text{constant}$. Thus $f' = \text{constant}$ and $f(z) = \text{constant } z$ and the result is obvious.

3. Area means. Let the equation in polar coordinates of C be $r=f(\theta)$. Saying that the mean of U over the interior of C_{λ} is constant for all $\lambda \leq 1$ amounts to saying that

$$U(O) = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\lambda f(\theta)} U(r, \theta) r dr}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\lambda f(\theta)} r dr}.$$

If the derivative of the right hand member with respect to λ is computed for $\lambda=1$ and equated to zero, there results

$$U(O) = k \int_0^{2\pi} U(f(\theta), \theta) f^2(\theta) d\theta = k \int_{\sigma} U f \cos \psi ds,$$

where ψ is the angle between radius vector and normal to C and k is a constant. Thus the problem is reduced to one involving a perimeter mean, and as in § 2 we see that

$$2\pi k f \cos \psi = \partial g / \partial n.$$

This equation says, among other things, that $\partial g / \partial n$ has a lesser value at the nearest than at the farthest points of C from O . In the next section we show that this can be true only if C is a circle about O .

4. A lemma. Let P and Q be a nearest and farthest point, respectively, of C from O . To complete our discussion of the area means we shall show that $(\partial g / \partial n)_Q \leq 1/OQ < 1/OP \leq (\partial g / \partial n)_P$, which will certainly contradict the result obtained in the previous section unless

$OP=OQ$ and C is a circle. To this end we prove¹ the following lemma, which can be interpreted as a special case of Julia's theorem [2]. The present proof is included because it avoids the use of conformal mapping, and also because its proof is somewhat shorter than the steps required to derive the lemma from the usual statements of Julia's theorem.

LEMMA. *Let D_1 and D_2 be domains with smooth boundaries, with $O \in D_1 \subset D_2$. Let the corresponding Green's functions be g_1 and g_2 . If P is on both boundaries, then $\partial g_2 / \partial n \geq \partial g_1 / \partial n$.*

Let the boundaries be C_1 and C_2 , and set $h = g_2 - g_1$. Then h is harmonic in D_1 , and on the boundary C_1 , $h = g_2 \geq 0$. Thus $h \geq 0$ in D_1 . Since $h = 0$ at P , clearly $0 \leq \partial h / \partial n = \partial g_2 / \partial n - \partial g_1 / \partial n$, and the lemma follows.

Returning to the matter discussed at the beginning of this section, we see that by comparing C first with its largest inscribed circle with center at O , and then with the smallest circumscribed circle, the stated inequalities follow immediately.

5. Concluding remarks. These results have considerable room for improvement in the direction of lightening the restriction on C . One would suppose that in the perimeter case, C should only be required to be rectifiable, and in the area case, no condition at all on C need be put.

The lemma provides an alternate way, avoiding conformal mapping, of completing the discussion of the perimeter means. With this in mind, it would be quite easy to extend the whole discussion to harmonic functions in more than two dimensions.

It is interesting to note another and quite different form in which the area problem can be cast. If the area means of any harmonic function over the homothetic transforms of $r \leq f(\theta)$ are to be constant, this must be true for the simplest ones, $U = r^n e^{\pm in\theta}$. This leads immediately to the equations

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f^{n+2}(\theta) \cos n\theta \, d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} f^{n+2}(\theta) \sin n\theta \, d\theta = 0$$

for $n=1, 2, \dots$. Our results show indirectly that if f is positive and sufficiently smooth, the above orthogonality conditions imply that $f = \text{constant}$. It would be interesting to obtain a direct proof of this without the intervention of potential theory.

¹ The proof given, which is somewhat simpler than that originally devised by the author, is due to Professor David Gilbarg.

REFERENCES

1. L. Ásgeirsson, *Über eine Mittelwertseigenschaft von Lösungen homogener linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen 2. Ordnung mit konstanten Koeffizienten*, Math. Ann. **113** (1937), 321–346.
2. L. Bieberbach, *Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie*, Vol. II, pp. 112–114. Teubner., Leipzig, 1921.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. L. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

E. HEWITT
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

R. P. DILWORTH
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California

A. HORN*
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	M. HALL	M. S. KNEBELMAN	J. J. STOKER
C. E. BURGESS	P. R. HALMOS	I. NIVEN	G. SZEKERES
H. BUSEMANN	V. GANAPATHY IYER	T. G. OSTROM	F. WOLF
H. FEDERER	R. D. JAMES	M. M. SCHIFFER	K. YOSIDA

SPONSORS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. Manuscripts intended for the outgoing editors should be sent to their successors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Alfred Horn at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, c/o University of California Press, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 10, 1-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

* During the absence of E. G. Straus.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
COPYRIGHT 1956 BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 6, No. 2

December, 1956

Louis Auslander, <i>Remark on the use of forms in variational calculations</i>	209
Hubert Spence Butts, Jr. and Henry B. Mann, <i>Corresponding residue systems in algebraic number fields</i>	211
L. Carlitz and John Herbert Hodges, <i>Distribution of matrices in a finite field</i>	225
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>Invariant functionals</i>	231
David James Dickinson, Henry Pollak and G. H. Wannier, <i>On a class of polynomials orthogonal over a denumerable set</i>	239
Bernard Friedman and Luna Mishoe, <i>Eigenfunction expansions associated with a non-self-adjoint differential equation</i>	249
Luna Mishoe and G. C. Ford, <i>On the uniform convergence of a certain eigenfunction series</i>	271
John W. Green, <i>Mean values of harmonic functions on homothetic curves</i>	279
Charles John August Halberg, Jr. and Angus E. Taylor, <i>On the spectra of linked operators</i>	283
Chuan Chih Hsiung, <i>Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces in Riemannian space</i>	291
Norman D. Lane, <i>Differentiable points of arcs in conformal n-space</i>	301
Louis F. McAuley, <i>A relation between perfect separability, completeness, and normality in semi-metric spaces</i>	315
G. Power and D. L. Scott-Hutton, <i>The slow shearing motion of a liquid past a semi-infinite plane</i>	327
A. C. Schaeffer, <i>Entire functions</i>	351
Edward Silverman, <i>An intrinsic inequality for Lebesgue area</i>	363
Choy-Tak Taam, <i>Asymptotic relations between systems of differential equations</i>	373
Ti Yen, <i>Quotient algebra of a finite AW^*-algebra</i>	389