

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE TWO-ADIC DENSITY OF REPRESENTATIONS BY
QUADRATIC FORMS**

IRMA REINER

ON THE TWO-ADIC DENSITY OF REPRESENTATIONS BY QUADRATIC FORMS

IRMA REINER

1. Introduction. The problem of determining $A_q(S, T)$, the number of solutions of $X'SX \equiv T \pmod{q}$, where $S^{(m)}$ and $T^{(n)}$ are symmetric integral matrices, has been considered by C. L. Siegel [2, pp. 539-547]. He obtained explicit formulas for $A_q(S, T)$ when $q=p^a$, where p is a prime not dividing $2|S||T|$. We wish to determine both $A_2(S, T)$ and $A_8(S, T)$ when $|S||T|$ is odd. Siegel has shown that the calculation of $A_8(S, T)$, for $|S||T|$ odd, is sufficient to give results when the modulus is replaced by a higher power of 2. Moreover, his work for composite moduli does not exclude a power of 2 as a factor.

We shall follow the pattern of Siegel's work, modifying it by the use of canonical forms established by B. W. Jones [1, pp. 715-727] and Gordon Pall for symmetric matrices in G_2 , the ring of 2-adic integers. (Clearly, $A_q(S, T)$ depends only on the classes of S and T in G_q , the ring of q -adic integers). We shall calculate $A_2(S, T)$ combinatorially and $A_8(S, T)$ by the use of exponential sums.

2. Recursion formula. For convenience, we state here the following theorem of Jones:

Every quadratic form with matrix in G_2 and with unit determinant, D , is equivalent to one of the following:

$$(a) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + ax_{r-2}^2 + bx_{r-1}^2 + cx_r^2,$$

where a, b, c take one of the following sets of values:

- (1, 1, 1) or (1, 3, 3) for $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$,
- (1, 1, 5) or (1, 3, 7) for $D \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$,
- (1, 1, 3) or (3, 3, 3) for $D \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$,
- (1, 1, 7) or (3, 3, 7) for $D \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$,

while if $r=2$, b and c take one of the following sets of values:

- (1, 1) or (3, 3) for $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$,
- (1, 5) or (3, 7) for $D \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$,
- (1, 3) for $D \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$,
- (1, 7) for $D \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.

(b) A sum of binary forms of the two types: $f = 2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2$,

Received October 3, 1955. The author wishes to thank Professor Irving Reiner for helpful suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

$g=2x_1x_2$. Here, we may at will choose one of types f and g and require that all but at most one of the binary forms be of that type.

When (a) applies, we will call the matrix of the form *even*; when (b) applies, we will call the matrix *odd*.

We assume hereafter that $|S||T|$ is odd. Then we remark immediately, as in Siegel's paper, that all representations of T by S modulo 2^a , where $a=1$ or 3 , are primitive. Following the line of Siegel's proof, we now obtain the recursion formula.

Taking $T=T_0^{(r)}+T_1^{(n-r)}$, from the canonical forms above, we let \mathfrak{x} designate the first r columns of X , where $X'SX \equiv T \pmod{2^a}$. Then

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{x}'S\mathfrak{x} \equiv T_0 \pmod{2^a} .$$

As remarked above, any solution α of (1) is primitive, and so can be completed to a unimodular matrix $U_1=(\alpha A)$ in G_2 . We wish to alter U_1 so that

$$(2) \quad U_1SU_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & N' \\ N & S_1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2^a} ,$$

with N designating an $m-r$ by r null matrix. To do this, we call E the matrix obtained from $U_1'SU_1$ by deleting the first r columns and the last $m-r$ rows. Then, noting that the determinant of T_0 is a 2-adic unit, we multiply U_1 by

$$\begin{pmatrix} I^{(r)} & -T_0^{-1}E \\ N & I^{(m-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

to achieve the desired form (2).

Now if there exists a C , with its first r columns congruent to $\alpha \pmod{2^a}$, such that $C'SC \equiv T \pmod{2^a}$, we complete C to a unimodular matrix in G_2 , say $U_2=(CA_1)$. Since U_1 and U_2 are both completions of α , consideration of $U_1^{-1}U_2$ shows us that

$$(3) \quad C \equiv U_1 \begin{pmatrix} I^{(r)} & B \\ N & C_1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2^a} ,$$

where C_1 and the r -rowed B are in G_2 . Using (2) and (3) in $C'SC \equiv T \pmod{2^a}$, we find that B is null and that $C_1'S_1C_1 \equiv T_1 \pmod{2^a}$. Thus, we obtain each different solution $X \pmod{2^a}$ exactly once by first determining all different solutions $\mathfrak{x} \pmod{2^a}$ of (1), then finding a U_1 as above for each such \mathfrak{x} , and finally determining for the corresponding S_1 all different solutions of $X'S_1X \equiv T_1 \pmod{2^a}$. Thus

$$A_{2^a}(S, T) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{2^a}(S_1, T_1) .$$

3. Combinatorial calculation of $A_2(S, T)$. We use canonical forms,

taken modulo 2, in the following cases:

Case 1. We assume T even and S odd. Here we clearly have no solution.

Case 2. We assume both S and T even.

2.1. For $n=1$, $A_2(S, T)=2^{m-1}$.

Proof. We seek solutions $\{x_i\}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Since a parity change in one x_i changes the parity of the sum, we see that $A_2(S, T)$ is half of 2^m .

2.2. For $n=2$, $A_2(S, T)=2^{m-1} \cdot 2^{m-2}$, for even m .

$A_2(S, T)=(2^{m-1}-1) \cdot 2^{m-2}$, for odd m .

Proof. We use Case 2.1 with the recursion formula. We wish to show that for every solution α of (4), except one where m is odd and each component of α is 1, $A_2(S, T) > 0$; that is, S_1 is even. Here we have the additional conditions:

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

But there is an obvious $\{y_i\}$ satisfying (5) and (6) with any solution $\{x_i\}$ of (4) which has a zero element; and clearly there is no such $\{y_i\}$ if all the elements of $\{x_i\}$ are 1. Hence, we have our result.

2.3. For general m and n , ($n > 1$),

$$A_2(S, T) = F(m) \cdot F(m-1) \cdots F(m-n+2) \cdot 2^{m-n},$$

where $F(m)=2^{m-1}$ for even m and $F(m)=2^{m-1}-1$ for odd m .

Proof. Now S_1 depends only on α and not on n , so that Case 2.2 tells us that S_1 is even except when m is odd and each element of α is 1. Then the above result follows easily from the recursion formula.

Case 3. We assume both S and T odd.

3.1. For $n=2$, $A_2(S, T)=(2^m-1) \cdot 2^{m-1}$.

Proof. We want solutions, $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$, of

$$(7) \quad x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 + \cdots + x_{m-1} y_m + x_m y_{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Now $\{x_i\}$ cannot be null if (7) is to hold; also there is an obvious $\{y_i\}$ satisfying (7) for each non-null $\{x_i\}$. Let us fix a non-null $\{x_i\}$ and call any $\{y_i\}$ satisfying (7) with our fixed $\{x_i\}$ a “solution”, otherwise a “non-solution”. Then, since, modulo 2, the sum of two “solutions” is a “non-solution” and the sum of a “solution” with a “non-solution” is a “solution”, we have our result.

3.2. For general m and n ,

$$A_2(S, T) = (2^m - 1) \cdot 2^{m-1} (2^{m-2} - 1) \cdot 2^{m-3} \dots (2^{m-n+2} - 1) \cdot 2^{m-n+1} .$$

Proof. Equivalent matrices in G_2 have the same parity, which is clearly unchanged when the matrices are taken modulo 2. Thus, from (2), since S is odd, so is

$$S_1 \dot{+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Hence S_1 is odd, and our result follows.

Case 4 We assume that S is even and T odd.

4.1. For $n=2$, $A_2(S, T) = (2^{m-1} - 1)2^{m-2}$, if m is odd.

$$A_2(S, T) = (2^{m-1} - 2)2^{m-2}, \text{ if } m \text{ is even.}$$

Proof. We want solutions $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$, of

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2 \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i \equiv 1,$$

all taken modulo 2. Let us fix $\{x_i\}$ satisfying the first of these and consider the 2^{m-1} incongruent $\{y_i\}$ which satisfy the second. Of these $\{y_i\}$, we call those satisfying the final congruence with our fixed $\{x_i\}$ “solutions” and those not doing so “non-solutions”. By an argument similar to that used in Case 3.1, we see that exactly half the 2^{m-1} choices of $\{y_i\}$ are “solutions”, except when $\{x_i\}$ is the null vector or, with m even, $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. There is no “solution” $\{y_i\}$ corresponding to either of these exceptional $\{x_i\}$.

4.2. For general m and n ,

$$A_2(S, T) = (2^{m-1} - p)2^{m-2} (2^{m-3} - p)2^{m-4} \dots (2^{m-n+1} - p)2^{m-n},$$

where $p=1$ for odd m and $p=2$ for even m .

Proof. Using (2) again, we observe that S_1 is even. (See Case 3.2.). Then the recursion formula implies our result.

4. Determination of $A_s(S, T)$. We will assume throughout the fol-

lowing cases that S and T are in appropriate canonical forms as given in § 2.

Case 1. We assume T is even.

Clearly, $8A_8(S, T)=0$ for S odd and T even; so we will also assume S is even.

1.1. Let $n=1$. Here $T=(t)$. For ω a primitive 8th root of unity, we have

$$(8) \quad 8A_8(S, T) = \sum_{n, a \pmod{8}} \omega^Y, \quad Y = h(a_1 s_1^2 + \cdots + a_m s_m^2 - t),$$

where h and the elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m of the vector a run through a complete residue system modulo 8, and where the diagonal elements of S are the odd s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m . Calling

$$\sum_{a \pmod{8}} \omega^{h a^2 s} = [hs],$$

we get

$$(9) \quad 8A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h=1}^7 [hs_1][hs_2] \cdots [hs_m] \omega^{-ht} + 8^m.$$

We observe that $[hs_i] = 4\omega^{hs_i}$, for odd h ; $[hs_i] = 0$, for $h \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$; $[hs_i] = 4\sqrt{2}\omega$, for $hs_i \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$; and $[hs_i] = 4\sqrt{2}\omega^7$, for $hs_i \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$.

Then, let us call $u \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m s_i - t \pmod{8}$, and define $f(u) = 1$ for $u \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, $f(u) = -1$ for $u \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, and $f(u) = 0$ for $u \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Also define

$$K \equiv (-1)^{(s_1-1)/2} + (-1)^{(s_2-1)/2} + \cdots + (-1)^{(s_m-1)/2} - 2t \pmod{8}.$$

Then direct calculation gives from (9),

$$8A_8(S, T) = 8^m + 4^{m+1} f(u) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^m \cos \frac{K\pi}{4}.$$

1.2. Let $n=2$. We will (a) ascertain when S is even and (b) show that two even S_1 's corresponding to different solutions a are equivalent in G_2 . Then the result follows from the recursion formula.

(a) Let $T = t_1 + t_2$. Since parity is the same modulo 2 or modulo 8, we see from § 3, Case 2.2, that of all solutions, a , of $\chi' S \chi \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$, those and only those which reduce, modulo 2, to the vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ will yield odd S_1 's. For such an a , $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$. But, equally well, if S and t_1 are such that $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$,

then $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$ holds for arbitrary odd α_i . Thus, if $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$, we get 4^m number of α 's, solutions of $\alpha' S \alpha \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$, which yield odd S_1 's; otherwise, none.

(b) Now let α be such that S_1 is even. From [1], we see that two even matrices of odd determinant, which are congruent modulo 8, are in the same class in G_2 . Thus, using (2), we obtain:

$$t_1 |S_1| \equiv |S| \pmod{8} \text{ and } \lambda(t_1 + S_1) = \lambda(S),$$

where $\lambda(S)$ is the class invariant defined as 1 if $4j$ or $4j+1$ of the diagonal elements of a diagonalized form of S are congruent to 3 modulo 4 and -1 if $4j+2$ or $4j+3$ are congruent to 3 modulo 4. These two conditions determine uniquely, independently of α , the class of S_1 in G_2 .

EXAMPLE. Let S be of type (1, 3, 3) as given in § 2, $m > 3$, and $t_1 = 5$. Then the determinantal relation gives an even S_1 of type (1, 1, 5) or (1, 3, 7). But the λ -condition admits only the second of the two, so any even S_1 is of type (1, 3, 7).

Thus we have

$$8^2 \cdot A_8(S, T) = (8^m + 4^{m+1} f(u_0) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^m \cos(K_0 \pi/4) - 8 \cdot 4^m h(u_0)) \\ \times (8^{m-1} + 4^m f(u_1) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^{m-1} \cos(K_1 \pi/4)),$$

where u_0 and K_0 are arguments obtained from S and t_1 as above; u_1 and K_1 are arguments similarly obtained from S_1 and t_2 ; and $h(u_0)$ is defined as 1 if $u_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and as 0 otherwise.

1.3. Let $n \geq 2$. Since the process of obtaining an S_1 from a given pair, S and t_1 , is the same for $n=2$ and for $n > 2$, we may use 1.2 above to obtain

$$8^n A_8(S, T) = (8^{m-n+1} + 4^{m-n+2} f(u_{n-1}) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^{m-n+1} \cos(\pi K_{n-1}/4)) \\ \times \prod_{j=m-n+2}^m (8^j + 4^{j+1} f(u_{m-j}) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^j \cos(\pi K_{m-j}/4) - 8 \cdot 4^j h(u_{m-j})),$$

where, for each i , u_i and K_i come from S_i and t_{i+1} , as above.

(The process of finding successive S_i and t_i , and hence of successive K_i , $f(u_i)$, and $h(u_i)$, is easy in practice, as evidenced by the example above. Explicit but complicated formulas could be given.)

Case 2. We assume S and T are both odd. We will first take $n=2$.

2.1. We suppose that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b=0$ or 2 . Then we seek solutions of:

$$F(x) = 2(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{m-1}x_m) \equiv b \pmod{8}$$

$$G(y) = 2(y_1y_2 + y_3y_4 + \cdots + y_{m-1}y_m) \equiv b \pmod{8}$$

$$H(x, y) = x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3 + \cdots + x_{m-1}y_m + x_my_{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}.$$

Thus

$$8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{\substack{h, k, l \\ \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{y}}} \omega^{(F-b)h + (G-b)k + (H-1)l},$$

where $\omega = e^{\pi i/4}$; and h, k, l , and the components of the vectors \mathfrak{z} and \mathfrak{y} all run through complete residue systems modulo 8. Then, letting

$$(10) \quad R = \sum_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \pmod{8}} \omega^{EXP}, \quad EXP = 2x_1x_2h + 2y_1y_2k + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1),$$

we get

$$(11) \quad 8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h, k, l \pmod{8}} R^{m/2} \omega^{-l - bh - bk}.$$

We note that, for l odd, replacement of h by lh , of k by lk , of x_1 by lx_1 , and of y_1 by ly_1 in EXP , the displayed exponent of (10), shows that $\sum_{h, k} R^{m/2}$ is independent of l . A similar argument works for $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

For $l \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$R = 2^{4+r(h)} \cdot 2^{4+r(k)},$$

where $r(t) = 0$ if $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $r(t) = 1$ if $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $r(t) = 2$ if $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

For $l = 4 \pmod{8}$ and h odd, we let $z \equiv x_2h + 2y_2 \pmod{8}$, and replace y_2 by z as a variable in EXP . Then, summing first on x_1 , we get

$$R = 2^{8+r(k)}.$$

For $l = 4 \pmod{8}$ and $h = 2h_1$, we let $z \equiv x_2h_1 + y_2 \pmod{8}$ and again replace y_2 by z as a variable in EXP . Summing first on x_1 and z , we readily get

$$R = 2^9, \text{ for } h_1k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$R = 2^{10}, \text{ for } h_1k \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ or for } h_1k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$R = 2^{11}, \text{ for } h_1k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Summing first on l in (11), we get by straightforward calculation:

$$A_8(S, T) = 2^{5m-7}(2^m + 2^{m/2} - 2), \quad \text{for } b=0.$$

$$A_8(S, T) = 2^{5m-7}(2^m - 3 \cdot 2^{m/2} + 2), \quad \text{for } b=2.$$

2.2. We suppose that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using the same R as before and letting

$$V = \sum_{x,y,u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega^P,$$

where $P = 2(xy + x^2 + y^2)h + 2(uv + u^2 + v^2)k + (uy + vx + 2ux + 2vy)l$, we get

$$(12) \quad 8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} R^{(m-2)/2} V \omega^{-l-bh-bk}.$$

To evaluate V , we use repeatedly:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega^{2au^2+au} &= 0, \text{ if } d \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ or if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ &= -4\omega^{2a} + 4, \text{ if } d \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \\ &= 4\omega^{2a} + 4, \text{ if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain:

- (i) For l odd, $V = 64$.
- (ii) V is the same for $l \equiv 2$ and $l \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$.
- (iii) For $l \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, $V = g(h)g(k)$, where we define $g(t) = 64$ for $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $g(t) = 16$ for $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and $g(t) = -32$ for $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.
- (iv) For $l \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, we have:
 - (a) When h is odd, $V = 16g(k)$.
 - (b) When h or $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $V = 2^{10}$.
 - (c) When $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $V = -2^9$, when k is odd, and $V = -2^{11}$, when $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

We sum first on l in (12), using our results for R and considering only $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} A_8(S, T) &= 2^i (2 \cdot 2^{6(m-2)} - 2^{11(m-2)/2} - 2^{5(m-2)}), & \text{for } b=0. \\ A_8(S, T) &= 2^i (2 \cdot 2^{6(m-2)} + 3 \cdot 2^{11(m-2)/2} + 2^{5(m-2)}), & \text{for } b=2. \end{aligned}$$

For $n > 2$, when S and T are odd, we will use our results for $n = 2$, along with the recursion formula. The successive canonical forms of T, T_1, \dots are clear; that is, T_1 is obtained from T by removing the initial binary block, etc. T_1 is thus odd and known. From

$$S_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv U_1 S U_1 \pmod{8},$$

we deduce $-|S_1| \equiv |S| \pmod{8}$ and the oddness of S_1 . Thus S_1 is easily determined classwise uniquely. The same holds true, of course, for successive S_i .

Case 3. We assume S is even and T is odd. Considering first

$n=2$, we let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m be the diagonal elements in the canonical form of S , and let T be

$$\begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b=0$ or 2 . Then we seek solutions of:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1^2 s_1 + x_2^2 s_2 + \dots + x_m^2 s_m \equiv b \pmod{8} \\ v &= y_1^2 s_1 + y_2^2 s_2 + \dots + y_m^2 s_m \equiv b_m \pmod{8} \\ r &= x_1 y_1 s_1 + x_2 y_2 s_2 + \dots + x_m y_m s_m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h, k, l, \mathfrak{f}} \omega^{h(u-b) + k(v-b) + l(r-1)}.$$

Let $\omega^{s_i} = \omega_i$ and call

$$f_i(h, k, l) = \sum_{x, y} \omega_i^{hx^2 + lxy + ky^2}.$$

Then

$$(13) \quad 8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h, k, l} f_1 f_2 \dots f_n \omega^{-hb - kb - l}.$$

We calculate f_i , considering the value of $l \pmod{8}$, and note that as before we need consider only $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We get:

h	k	$l \pmod{8}$	f_i
odd	odd	0	$c = 16\omega_i^{h+k}$
		4	$-c = -16\omega_i^{h+k}$
odd	even	0	$d = 16\omega_i^{h+k} + 16\omega_i^h$
		4	$e = -16\omega_i^{h+k} + 16\omega_i^h$
even	even	0	$p = 16(\omega_i^{h+k} + \omega_i^h + \omega_i^k + 1)$
		4	$q = 16(-\omega_i^{h+k} + \omega_i^h + \omega_i^k + 1)$.

Then from (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 8^3 A_8(S, T) &= 2 \sum_{\substack{h \text{ odd} \\ k \text{ even}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m d - \prod_{i=1}^m e \right) \omega^{-hb - kb} + (1 - (-1)^m) \left(\sum_{h, k \text{ odd}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m c \right) \omega^{-hb - kb} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{h, k \text{ even}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m p - \prod_{i=1}^m q \right) \omega^{-hb - kb}, \end{aligned}$$

where all the sum indices are taken modulo 8. Replacement of k by $k+4$ in the first summand merely changes the sign of the expression, so the first sum is zero. The second sum is easily seen to be $16^{m+1} \cdot \alpha(1 - (-1)^m)$, where $\alpha=1$ if $\sum s_i \equiv b \pmod{4}$ and $\alpha=0$ otherwise.

We consider particular contributions to the third sum, using $\omega_j^{2k} = i^{sjk}$ and adjusting so that h and k run through a complete residue system modulo 4.

(a) For $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and all $k \pmod{4}$, we have contributed $-4\alpha(32)^m$.

(b) For $h \equiv k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we get $-(-32)^m$.

(c) For $h \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $k \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$, we obtain

$$16^m \cdot 2^{m+1} \cdot i^{-b(2^{m/2})} \cos(\pi B/4) - 1, \quad \text{where } B = \sum_{j=1}^m (i)^{s_j j^{-1}}.$$

(d) For h and k odd, with $h \equiv k \pmod{4}$, we get

$$16^m (-2^{m+1} 2^{m/2} \cos(\pi B/4) + 2^{m+1} \cos(\pi B/2)).$$

(e) For h and k odd, with $h \equiv -k \pmod{4}$, we get $2(32)^m$.

(f) For $h \equiv k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we have $16^m(2^{2m} - 2^m)$.

Thus, here

$$8^3 A_8(S, T) = 16^{m+1} \alpha (1 - (-1)^m) + 32^m (-8\alpha + (-1)^m + 4i^{-b(2^{m/2})} \cos(\pi B/4) - 1) + 32^m (2 \cos(\pi B/2) - 2^{1+(m/2)} \cos(\pi B/4) + 2 + 2^m - 1).$$

For $n > 2$, where S is even and T odd, we use the recursion formula with the results for $n=2$. The successive diagonal forms of T are clear. From

$$(14) \quad S_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv U_1' S U_1 \pmod{8},$$

we see firstly that S_1 is even and secondly, that its determinant is determined modulo 8. Again, using (14) and the remarks of § 4, 1.2 b, we see from the following transformations that the number of 3's, modulo 4, in a diagonal form of S_1 is one less than the number of 3's modulo 4, in a diagonal form of S ; hence, $\lambda(S_1)$ is known:

$$\begin{aligned} ax^2 + 2yz &\rightarrow a(x+y)^2 + 2yz = ax^2 + ay^2 + 2y(ax+z) \rightarrow \\ &ax^2 + ay^2 + 2yz \equiv ax^2 + a(y+az)^2 - az^2 \rightarrow ax^2 + ay^2 - az^2, \end{aligned}$$

where a is odd, the congruence is taken modulo 8, and \rightarrow indicates 2-adic equivalence. Thus S_1 is classwise unique and easily determined.

REFERENCES

1. B. W. Jones, *Duke Math. J.* **11** (1944), 715-727.
2. C. L. Siegel, *Ann. of Math.* **36** (1935), 527-606.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. L. ROYDEN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

E. HEWITT

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

R. P. DILWORTH

California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California

E. G. STRAUS

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

C. E. BURGESS

H. BUSEMANN

H. FEDERER

M. HALL

P. R. HALMOS

V. GANAPATHY IYER

R. D. JAMES

M. S. KNEBELMAN

I. NIVEN

T. G. OSTROM

M. M. SCHIFFER

J. J. STOKER

G. SZEKERES

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. Manuscripts intended for the outgoing editors should be sent to their successors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. G. Straus at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 10, 1-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Seymour Ginsburg, <i>On mappings from the family of well ordered subsets of a set</i>	583
Leon Ehrenpreis, <i>Some properties of distributions on Lie groups</i>	591
Marion K. Fort, Jr., <i>A geometric problem of Sherman Stein</i>	607
Paul R. Garabedian, <i>Calculation of axially symmetric cavities and jets</i>	611
Walter Mossman Gilbert, <i>Completely monotonic functions on cones</i>	685
William L. Hart and T. S. Motzkin, <i>A composite Newton-Raphson gradient method for the solution of systems of equations</i>	691
C. W. Mendel and I. A. Barnett, <i>A functional independence theorem for square matrices</i>	709
Howard Ashley Osborn, <i>The problem of continuous programs</i>	721
William T. Reid, <i>Oscillation criteria for linear differential systems with complex coefficients</i>	733
Irma Reiner, <i>On the two-adic density of representations by quadratic forms</i>	753
Shoichiro Sakai, <i>A characterization of W^*-algebras</i>	763
Robert Steinberg, <i>Note on a theorem of Hadwiger</i>	775
J. Eldon Whitesitt, <i>Construction of the lattice of complemented ideals within the unit group</i>	779
Paul Civin, <i>Correction to "Some ergodic theorems involving two operators"</i>	795