Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INTERIOR VARIATIONS AND SOME EXTREMAL PROBLEMS FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

VIKRAMADITYA SINGH

Vol. 7, No. 3 March 1957

INTERIOR VARIATIONS AND SOME EXTREMAL PROBLEMS FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

VIKRAMADITYA SINGH

- 1. Introduction. The theory of regular univalent functions in the unit circle U_z has been developed for various subclasses, for example, the class of real univalent functions which leads to symmetric domains, the class of bounded univalent functions whose image domain lies with in the unit circle and the functions for which the image domains are convex or star-like. The approach through the calculus of variations has been used very successfully towards the solution of extremal problems belonging to the various classes and also towards the determination of the extremal domains. The purpose of the present paper is to show how the method of interior variations due to Schiffer [1] can be adapted for the following subclasses:
- (i) The class V of symmetric regular univalent-functions f(z) in U_z which have the form $f(z) = z + \sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ with real a_n . In particular we show that if $\varphi(a_2, a_3, \cdots, a_n; \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_3, \cdots, \bar{a}_n)$ is a real valued function which is symmetric and analytic in a_{ν} and \bar{a}_{ν} ($\nu = 2, 3, \cdots, n$) and where $\{a_n\}$ are the coefficients in the power series expansion of the more general class V_1 of regular univalent functions then, under the assumption that the function f(z) whose coefficients $\{a_{\nu}\}$ maximize $\varphi(a_2, \cdots, a_n, \bar{a}_2, \cdots, \bar{a}_n)$ is symmetric, the functional differential equation satisfied by f(z) in the general class V_1 is the same as the functional differential equation satisfied by f(z) in the class V.
- (ii) The class S of bounded univalent functions f(z) in U_z which are normalized so that f(0)=0, $|f(z)| \leq 1$ and at a fixed point $\zeta \in U_z$, $f(\zeta)=\omega$. In particular we find the functions which maximize or minimize $|f'(\zeta)|$.
- (iii) The class Σ of bounded univalent functions f(z) in U_z which are real on the real axis and are normalized so that f(0)=0, $|f(z)| \leq 1$ and at a fixed point ζ on the real axis $f(\zeta)=\omega$. In particular we find the functions which maximize or minimize $f(\eta)$ for real $\eta \in U_z$.

We observe that the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of these problems is assured because the families of functions belonging to the classes V, S and Σ are normal and compact.

2. Real univalent functions. Let D be the image in the W-plane

Received October 15, 1956, Prepared under Contract Nonr-225 (11) (NR-041-086) Office of Naval Research.

 $W=f(z) \in V$ of $|z| \leq 1$ and let us consider the Schiffer variation

(1)
$$W_1^* = W + a\rho^2 \frac{W}{W_0(W - W_0)} + \bar{a}\rho^2 \frac{W}{W_0(W - W_0)},$$

where \overline{W}_0 is an interior point of D. It is easily seen that for small enough ρ say $\rho_1 < \rho$, $|W-W_0| = \rho_1$ and $|W-\overline{W}_0| = \rho_1$ lie entirely in D and W_1^* is univalent on the boundary C of D and maps it univalently on to the boundary C^* of the new domain D^* . Further, we see that $W_1^* = 0$ for W=0 and that W_1^* is real for real values of W. Thus if W is a symmetric univalent function which vanishes at the origin we have obtained another neighbouring function which also has the same properties. In order to be able to add some side conditions to the function W we consider the variation

(2)
$$W^* = W + \rho^2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{p} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_{\nu} W}{(W - W_{\nu}) W_{\nu}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{\nu} W}{(W - W_{\nu}) W_{\nu}} \right\} ,$$

where p is an integer ≥ 1 . This variation is of the same type as (1) and has the independent constants $(\alpha_{\nu})^p$ which can be used to satisfy the side conditions, if any.

The technique of getting the variation formula for f(z) under the variation (2) is similar to that used in [2] in getting the variation formula for f(z) under the variation $W^* = W + a\rho^2/(W - W_0)$. For the sake of completeness we mention that we first find the variation formula for the Green's function G(W, 0) of D under the variation (2). We thus have [3]

(3)
$$\delta G(W, 0) = \Re \left[\frac{\rho^2}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} p'(\eta, 0) p'(\eta, W) \varphi(\eta) d\eta \right] + O(\rho^3) ,$$

where

(4)
$$\varphi(W) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{p} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_{\nu}W}{(W-W_{\nu})W_{\nu}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{\nu}W}{(W-W_{\nu})W_{\nu}} \right\},$$

and $p(W, \eta)$ is the analytic function whose real part is the Green's function $G(W, \eta)$ and Γ is a curve system in D which is homotopic to C and such that $\varphi(W)$ is analytic in the ring system bounded by C and Γ . If now $z=\varphi(W)$ is the inverse function of W=f(z) then the relationship of the Green's function $G(W, \omega)$ to the function $\varphi(W)$ is given by

(5)
$$G(W, \omega) = -\log \left| \frac{\varphi(W) - \varphi(\omega)}{1 - \varphi(\omega)\varphi(W)} \right|;$$

and in particular

$$G(W, 0) = \log \frac{1}{|\varphi(W)|}$$
.

Proceeding in this way we find that the variation formula for f(z) is given by

$$(6) f^{*}(z) = f(z) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{p} \alpha_{\nu} \rho^{2} \left[\frac{z^{2}}{1-z} \frac{f'(z)}{t_{\nu}} + \frac{zf'(z)}{z_{\nu}f'^{2}(t_{\nu})} + \frac{zf'(z)}{z_{\nu}f'^{2}(z_{\nu})} \frac{1}{z_{\nu}-z} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{f(z)}{f(z_{\nu})(f(z)-f(z_{\nu}))} + \sum_{\nu} \bar{\alpha}_{\nu} \rho^{2} \left[\frac{z^{2}}{1-z\bar{z}_{\nu}} \frac{f'(z)}{\bar{z}_{\nu}\bar{f}'^{2}(z_{\nu})} + \frac{zf'(z)}{t_{\nu}f'^{2}(t^{\nu})} \frac{1}{t_{\nu}-z} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{f(z)}{f(t_{\nu})(f(z)-f(t_{\nu}))} + O(\rho^{3}),$$

where

$$t_{\nu} = \varphi(\overline{W}_{\nu})$$
 or $f(t_{\nu}) = f(\overline{z}_{\nu})$.

Let f(z) have the following power series expansion

(7)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
,

where the a_n are real. Then denoting by a_n^* the coefficient of z^n in $f^*(z)$ and substituting these expansions in (6) and equating the coefficients of z^n on both sides we get

(8)
$$\frac{a_n^*}{a_1^*} = a_n + 2\Re\left\{\rho^2 \sum_{\nu=1}^p \alpha_\nu \left[A_\nu \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} (z_\nu^m + z_\nu^{-m} (n-m) a_{n-m} + n_{\sigma n} + z_\nu^{n-1} + z_\nu^{-(n-1)} + T_n(f(z_\nu)) \right] \right\} + O(\rho^3),$$

where

$$A_{\scriptscriptstyle{oldsymbol{
u}}} = rac{1}{z_{\scriptscriptstyle{
u}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{2}} f^{\prime \scriptscriptstyle{2}}\!(z_{\scriptscriptstyle{
u}})}$$

and $T_n(f(z))$ are given by the formula

(9)
$$\frac{f(z)}{f(t_{\nu})(f(z)-f(t_{\nu}))} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n} T_{n}(f(t_{\nu})).$$

We remark that (8) is the variation formula for the coefficient a_n given by (7) and for $\nu=1$ it agrees with the variation formula obtained by Schiffer [2] for $|a_n|$ when a_n are complex. Further, if we put $\delta a_n = a_n^*/a_1^* - a_n$ then $da_n = \delta a_n + O(\rho^3)$ and we find that δa_n in the present case is twice $\delta \alpha_n$ in the general case $a_n = \alpha_n + i\beta_n$.

Now let us consider a function $\varphi(a_2, \dots, a_n, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ which is symmetric and analytic in a_{ν} and \bar{a}_{ν} and has real coefficients. Then, because $a_{\nu}=\alpha_{\nu}+i\beta_{\nu}$, we can write $F(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)=\varphi(a_2, \dots, a_n; \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$, and the function F will contain only even powers of β_{ν} 's and

(10)
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta_{\nu}} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \beta_{2} = \beta_{3} = \cdots = \beta_{n} = 0.$$

Further, the condition for the extremum of F in the general case when a_{ν} are complex is

$$\sum_{\nu=2}^{n} \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial lpha_{
u}} dlpha_{
u} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial eta_{
u}} deta_{
u} \right] = 0$$
 ,

which in the limit when $\rho \to 0$ can be written as

(11)
$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_{\nu}} \delta \alpha_{\nu} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta_{\nu}} \delta \beta_{\nu} \right] = 0.$$

If a_{ν} are real then in view of (10), (11) reduces to

(12)
$$\sum_{\nu=2}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_{\nu}} \delta \alpha_{\nu} = 0.$$

We will obtain the same equation if we look for the solution of the extremum problem in the particular class V of real functions. Thus under the assumption that the extremum function is real the functional differential equation in the general case will coincide with the differential equation in the symmetric case. We know from compactness arguments that the problem $\varphi=\max$ has a solution in V. We also know that the same problem has a solution in the general class V_1 . What we have shown is that both extremum functions satisfy the same functional differential equation. This implies that either there are many solutions of the problem in the class V_1 or that the solution lies in the class V. Thus in particular the coefficient problem $a_n \bar{a}_n = \max$ leads to the same functional differential equation for real univalent functions as for the general class.

3. Bounded univalent functions. We now consider a variation which transforms a function of the class S into another function of the class S. We will first obtain a variation which keeps the origin fixed and also keeps the unit circumference fixed. We will then add the side condition that for a fixed ζ , $f(\zeta) = \omega$. Let

(13)
$$W_{2}^{*} = W + a\rho^{2} \frac{W}{W - W_{0}} - \frac{\bar{a}\rho^{2}W^{2}}{1 - W_{0}W} + O(\rho^{4}),$$

where $O(\rho^4)$ can be suitably chosen and W_0 is an interior point of the image domain of $|z| \leq 1$ by f(z). For small enough ρ , W_2^* is a univalent function of W outside $|W-W_0|=\rho_1 < \rho$ such that $W_2^*=0$ for W=0 and keeps the unit circumference |W|=1 fixed. In fact, to prove the latter, we observe that when

$$|W|=1$$
, $W=\frac{1}{W}$

and

(14)
$$|W_{2}^{*}| = \left[1 + 4\rho^{4} \left\{\Im\left(\frac{a}{W - W_{0}}\right)\right\}^{2}\right]^{1/2} + O(\rho^{4}).$$

So to the order ρ^2 the unit circumference is kept fixed. By adding to (13) term of the order of ρ^4 one could make the unit circumference fixed. To see this let us denote by D^* the boundary of the domain to which W_2^* maps the unit circumference |W|=1. Now by the Riemann mapping theorem there exists an analytic function $S(W_2^*)$ which vanishes at the origin and maps D^* univalently on the unit circumference $|S(W_2^*)|=1$, $W_2^* \in D^*$. From the boundary behavior (14) of W_2^* when $W_2^* \in D^*$ we then conclude that

(15)
$$\left| \frac{S(W_{\frac{\pi}{2}})}{W_{\frac{\pi}{2}}} \right| \simeq 1 + O(\rho^4) .$$

Since $\log |S(W_2^*)/W_2^*|$ is harmonic in $|W_2^*| < 1$, we conclude, by the maximum principle that (15) holds everywhere inside the unit circle. Thus

$$S(W_2^*) = S^*(W) = W_2^* + O(\rho^4)$$

for |W|=1. We have thus obtained a function $S^*(W)$ which maps the unit circumference |W|=1 onto itself and differs from W_2^* by $O(\rho^4)$.

A more general type of variation which can take care of some extra side conditions can be written in the form

(16)
$$W^* = W + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \left[\frac{a_{\nu} \rho^2 W}{W - W_{\nu}} - \frac{\bar{a}_{\nu} \rho^2 W^2}{1 - W_{\nu} W} \right] + O(\rho^4) .$$

Taking n=1 we get according to the procedure outlined in § 2 the following variation formula

(17)
$$\varphi^*(W) = \varphi(W) - a_0 \rho^2 A(W, W_0) + \bar{a}_0 \rho^2 B(W, \overline{W}_0)$$

$$-a_1\rho^2A(W, W_1)+\vec{a}_1\rho^2B(W, \overline{W}_1)+O(\rho^4)$$

where

(18)
$$A(W, W_0) = \frac{W\varphi'(W)}{W - W_0} + \frac{W_0 \varphi'^2(W_0) \varphi(W)}{\varphi(W_0) (\varphi(W_0) - \varphi(W))},$$

and

(19)
$$B(W, \overline{W}_0) = \frac{W^2 \varphi'(W)}{1 - WW_0} - \frac{\varphi^2(W) \overline{W_0 \varphi'^2(W_0)}}{\varphi(W_0)(1 - \varphi(W) \varphi(W_0))}.$$

Since we require that for all $f(z) \in S$ and fixed ζ , $f(\zeta) = \omega$, we must have $\varphi^*(\omega) = \varphi(\omega) = \zeta$. Thus we obtain the determining relation between a_0 and a_1

(20)
$$-a_0A(\omega, W_0) + \overline{a}_0B(\omega, \overline{W}_0) - a_1A(\omega, W_1) + \overline{a}_1B(\omega, \overline{W}_1) + O(\rho^2) = 0$$
.

We shall see that in general we can prescribe W_0 , W_1 and a_0 arbitrarily and adjust a_1 such that (20) holds.

Again, as $\varphi'(W)=1/f'(z)$ we see that the minimum and maximum of $|f'(\zeta)|$ would be given by the maximum and minimum of $|\varphi'(\omega)|$. Thus the necessary condition for the extremum of $|f'(\zeta)|$ is

(21)
$$\Re\left[a_{0}\frac{A'(\omega,W_{0})}{\varphi'(\omega)} - \overline{a}_{0}\frac{B'(\omega,\overline{W}_{0})}{\varphi'(\omega)} + a_{1}\frac{A'(\omega,W_{1})}{\varphi'(\omega)} - \overline{a}_{1}\frac{B'(\omega,\overline{W}_{1})}{\varphi'(\omega)}\right] + O(\rho^{2}) = 0.$$

where $A'(\omega, W_0)$ and $B'(\omega, \overline{W}_0)$ are, respectively, the derivatives of $A(\omega, W_0)$ and $B(\omega, \overline{W}_0)$ with respect to the first argument.

The extremum condition (21) can also be written in the form

(22)
$$a_0 C(\omega, W_0) - \overline{a}_0 \overline{C(\omega, W_0)} + a_1 C(\omega, W_1) - \overline{a}_1 \overline{C(\omega, W_1)} + O(\rho^2) = 0$$
,

where

$$C(\omega, W_0) = \frac{A'(\omega, W_0)}{\varphi'(\omega)} - \frac{\overline{B'(\omega, W_0)}}{\varphi'(\omega)}.$$

From (20) it is clear that for a fixed value of a_0 , a_1 is a linear function of a_0 and \overline{a}_0 and can be written as

(23)
$$a_{1} = \frac{a_{0}(\overline{B_{0}}B_{1} - A_{0}\overline{A_{1}}) + \overline{a_{0}}(B_{0}\overline{A_{1}} - \overline{A_{0}}B_{1})}{|B_{1}|^{2} - |A_{1}|^{2}} + O(\rho^{2}),$$

if

$$(24) |B_1|^2 \neq |A_1|^2 ,$$

where we have put $A_0 = A(\omega, W_0)$, $A_1 = A(\omega, W_1)$, and similarly for B_0 and B_1 . We will show later that (24) can always be taken to be valid. Taking the case when (24) holds, we get on substituting this value of a_1 in (22) that

(25)
$$a_0[C(\omega, W_0) + \overline{\lambda}\overline{B}_0 - \lambda A_0] + \overline{a}_0[\overline{C(\omega, W_0)} + \lambda B_0 - \overline{\lambda}\overline{A}_0] + O(\rho^2) = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda = \overline{B_1} \overline{C(\omega, W_1)} + \overline{A_1} C(\omega, W_1) \over |B_1|^2 - |A_1|^2}$$
.

This holds for all sufficiently small values of ρ ; hence because a_0 is arbitrary, in the limit $\rho \to 0$ the extremum function satisfies the equation

(26)
$$C(\omega, W_0) = \lambda A(\omega, W_0) - \overline{\lambda} \overline{B(\omega, W_0)},$$

where λ is independent of W_0 . Again, because W_0 is an arbitrary point from (26), the equation satisfied by the extremum function can be written in the form

$$(27) \frac{(1+\alpha)(\omega-W)-\omega}{(\omega-W)^{2}} - \frac{\bar{\omega}(2+\bar{\alpha})(1-\bar{\omega}W)+\bar{\omega}W}{(1-\bar{\omega}W)^{2}} - \frac{\lambda\omega\varphi'(\omega)}{\omega-W} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\omega}^{2}\varphi'(\omega)}{1-\bar{\omega}W}$$

$$= \frac{W\varphi'^{2}(W)}{\varphi(W)} \left[-\frac{\varphi(W)}{(\varphi(W)-\varphi(\omega))^{2}} - \frac{\varphi(\omega)(2-\varphi(\omega)\varphi(W))}{(1-\varphi(\omega)\varphi(W))^{2}} + \frac{\lambda\varphi(\omega)}{\varphi(W)-\varphi(\omega)} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}\overline{\varphi^{2}(\omega)}}{1-\varphi(\omega)\varphi(W)} \right],$$

where

$$\alpha = \omega \varphi''(\omega)/\varphi'(\omega) = -f(\zeta)f''(\zeta)$$
.

We now prove the following.

Lemma. For the extremum functions of the class S which satisfy the equation (27), we have

(28)
$$\Im\{1 + \omega \varphi''(\omega) / \varphi'(\omega) - \lambda \omega \varphi'(\omega)\} = 0$$

and

(29)
$$\mathfrak{J}(\lambda\varphi(\omega)) = 0.$$

Proof. Let us consider the variation

(30)
$$W^* = W + \frac{a_0 \rho^2 W}{W - W_0} - \frac{\bar{a}_0 \rho^2 W^2}{1 - W_0 W} + \frac{a_1 \rho^2 W}{W - W_1} - \frac{\bar{a}_1 \rho^2 W^2}{1 - W_1 W} + iT\rho^2 W + O(\rho^4),$$

where T is real. It is easily seen that this variation keeps the origin and the unit circumference fixed and, for small enough ρ , is univalent on the boundary. So this is an acceptable variation. Under this variation the variation formula (17) will have the additional term $iT\rho^2W\varphi'(W)$ on the right-hand side. This will give rise to an additional term $iT\rho^2\omega\varphi'(\omega)$ in (19), and to $iT\{1+\omega\varphi''(\omega)/\varphi'(\omega)\}$ in (21). Then, because (26) holds, the equation corresponding to (26) in this case will give rise to (28).

To prove (29) we observe that the derivation of the variational equation (17) leaves an arbitrariness which permits us to add a term $ik\varphi(W)$, for k real, to the right hand side of (17). The addition of this term does affect the extremum condition (21), but it does appear as $ik\varphi(\omega)$ in the equation (20). The equation corresponding to (26) will then have an extra term $ik(\lambda\varphi(\omega)-\lambda\varphi(\omega))$, which must vanish since (26) has been proved to be the equation for the extremum function.

Transforming (27) in terms of f(z) and using (28) and (29) we find that the extremum function statisfies the differential equation

(31)
$$\frac{f'^{2}(z)(f(z) - \alpha_{1})(f(z) - \alpha_{2})}{f(z)(f(z) - \omega)^{2}(1 - \overline{\omega}f(z))^{2}} = D \frac{(z - \beta_{1})(z - \beta_{2})}{z(z - \zeta)^{2}(1 - \overline{\zeta}z)^{2}} ,$$

where the constants α_1 , α_2 , D, β_1 and β_2 are obtained from (27) in the following form:

(32)
$$D = \frac{\overline{\zeta}(-2|\zeta|^2 + \lambda \zeta(|\zeta|^2 - 1))}{\overline{\omega}(\beta(1 - |\omega|^2) - (1 + |\omega|^2))},$$

(33)
$$f^{2}(z) + \frac{f(z)}{\bar{\omega}} \frac{4|\omega|^{2} + \beta(-1 + |\omega|^{4})}{\beta(1 - |\omega|^{2}) - (1 + |\omega|^{2})} + \frac{\omega}{\bar{\omega}} \equiv (f(z) - \alpha_{1})(f(z) - \alpha_{2}) ,$$

(34)
$$z^{2} + \frac{z}{\zeta} \frac{-1 + 4|\zeta|^{2} + |\zeta|^{4} + \lambda \zeta (1 - |\zeta|^{4})}{-2|\zeta|^{2} + A(|\zeta|^{2} - 1)} + \frac{\zeta}{\zeta} \equiv (z - \beta_{1})(z - \beta_{2}),$$

and

$$\beta = 1 + \omega \varphi''(\omega)/\varphi'(\omega) - \lambda \omega \varphi'(\omega)$$
.

One further finds from (33) and (34) that $|\alpha_1\alpha_2|=1$ and $|\beta_1\beta_2|=1$. In order to fix λ which remains arbitrary, as yet, we need the geometry of the extremum domain. In particular we prove the following.

THEOREM. If f(z) is a function of the class S for which $|f'(\zeta)|$ is

either a maximum or a minimum, then f(z) maps the unit circle |z| < 1 onto a slit domain.

Proof. If the theorem were not true, then there would exist a point W_0 , $|W_0| < 1$ such that a neighborhood of W_0 is contained in |W| < 1 and does not belong to the image domain. In the variation (16) taking W_0 and W_1 to be two such points we get the following variation formula for f(z):

(35)
$$f^*(z) = f(z) + \rho^2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{1} \left[\frac{a_{\nu} f(z)}{f(z) - f(z_{\nu})} - \frac{\bar{a}_{\nu} f^2(z)}{1 - f(z) f(z_{\nu})} \right] + O(\rho^4).$$

The requirement that for all f(z), $f(\zeta)=f(\zeta)=\omega$, yields that

(36)
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{1} \left[\frac{a_{\nu}\omega}{\omega - f(z_{\nu})} - \frac{\bar{a}_{\nu}\omega^{2}}{1 - \omega f(z_{\nu})} \right] + O(\rho^{2}) = 0,$$

and the condition for the extremum of $|f'(\zeta)|$ leads to

(37)
$$\Re\left\{\sum_{\nu=0}^{1}\left[\frac{-a_{\nu}f(z_{\nu})}{(\omega-f(z_{\nu}))^{2}}-\frac{\bar{a}_{\nu}(2-\omega f(z_{\nu}))}{(1-\omega f(z_{\nu}))^{2}}\right]\right\}+O(\rho^{2})=0.$$

Thus, because

$$\left| \frac{1}{\omega - f(z_{\scriptscriptstyle
u})} \right|^2 > \left| \frac{\omega}{1 - \omega f(z_{\scriptscriptstyle
u})} \right|^2 \, ,$$

we see that the extremal function satisfies the equation

(38)
$$\frac{f(z)}{(\omega - f(z))^2} + \frac{\bar{\omega}(2 - \bar{\omega} f(z))}{(1 - \bar{\omega} f(z))^2} = \frac{\lambda \omega}{\omega - f(z)} - \frac{\bar{\lambda} \bar{\omega}^2}{(1 - \bar{\omega} f(z))^2}.$$

But this is impossible since the left hand side has a second order pole at $z=\zeta$, where as the right hand side has only a first order pole.

As a consequence of this theorem it follows that (24) can always be assumed to hold. Indeed, if it were not so, we could find no point z_1 in $|z| \leq 1$ such that $|A_1| \neq |B_1|$. Hence, because A_1 and \overline{B}_1 are analytic functions of z_1 and as equality is to hold for all z_1 , we have

(39)
$$A(\omega, f(z)) = \mu \overline{B(\omega, f(z))}, \qquad |\mu| = 1.$$

(39) gives the following differential equation for f(z):

(40)
$$f'^{2}(z) \left[(\omega f'^{2}(\zeta) - \mu \bar{\omega}^{2} f'(\zeta)) - f(z) (|\omega|^{2} f'^{2}(\zeta) - \mu \bar{\omega}^{2} f'(\zeta)) \right]$$

$$f'^{2}(\zeta) f(\zeta) f(z) (f(z) - \omega) (1 - \bar{\omega} f(z))$$

$$= \frac{\zeta(1-\mu\bar{\zeta}^2) - z(|\zeta|^2 - \mu\bar{\zeta}^2)}{z(z-\zeta)(1-\zeta z)} \ .$$

But the function given by the differential equation (40) does not map the unit circle onto a slit domain, because at one end of the slit f'(z) will have a first order zero. Hence the right hand side of (40) should have a second order zero on the unit circumference. Since this is obviously not so, we have shown that (24) can always be taken to be valid.

We have thus shown that all extremal functions f(z) which belong to S, and for which $|f'(\zeta)|$ is a maximum or minimum, satisfy the differential equation (31). As the extremal function f(z) maps $|z| \leq 1$ onto a slit domain, at one end of the slit f'(z) will have a first order zero. To this zero of f'(z) there need to be a corresponding zero on the right-hand side of (31), and as it is on the unit circumference |z|=1, we must have $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = e^{i\tau}$ in (31). Further, because the slit will make an angle θ with the unit circle such that $|\theta| < \pi$, we get from simple geometric considerations and the fact that the right hand side of (31) has no pole at any point on the unit circumference that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = e^{i\theta}$. Geometrically this means that the slit starts from the unit circumference |W|=1, making an angle $\pi/2$ with it.

As a result of the equality of α_1 , α_2 and β_1 , β_2 , we have from (33) and (34) that

(41)
$$f(\zeta)f''(\zeta) - \lambda \frac{f(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} = \pm \frac{2|\omega|}{1 - |\omega|^2} - 1,$$

and

(42)
$$\lambda \zeta = 1 \mp \frac{2|\zeta|}{1 - |\zeta|^2}.$$

Eliminating λ from both these equations one finds that, at the fixed point ζ , the extremum function satisfies the equation

(43)
$$\zeta f''(\zeta) f'(\zeta) - \left(1 \mp \frac{2|\zeta|}{1 - |\zeta|^2}\right) = \frac{\zeta f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} \left[-1 \pm \frac{2|f(\zeta)|}{1 - |f(\zeta)|^2}\right].$$

The differential equation (31) now reduces to

(44)
$$f'^{2}(z)(f(z)\overline{\omega} \pm |\omega|)^{2} + \frac{(1 \mp |\omega|)^{2}}{\overline{\omega}} = \frac{(1 \mp |\zeta|)^{2}}{\overline{\zeta}} \frac{(z\overline{\zeta} \mp |\zeta|)^{2}}{z(z-\zeta)^{2}(1-\zeta z)^{2}} ,$$

where on each side either the upper or the lower sign is to be taken at one time.

From (44) one can get the information regarding the nature of the extremum domain. On account of the slit character of the extremum domain, the unit circumference |W|=|f(z)|=1 is definitely a part of the boundary. Further, if $z=e^{i\theta}$ we get from (44) that

$$\frac{z^2 f'^2(z) (f(z)\overline{\omega} \pm |\omega|)^2}{f(z) (f(z) - \omega)^2 (1 - \overline{\omega} f(z))^2} \frac{(1 \mp |\omega|)^2}{\overline{\omega}}$$

is real. Hence, writing W(t)=f(z) and making a proper choice of the parameter t, we can put it in the form

(45)
$$\frac{W'^2}{W(W-\omega)^2(1-\bar{\omega}W)^2} \frac{(1\mp|\omega|)^2}{\bar{\omega}} = C,$$

C being some real constant. We now observe that this is an ordinary differential equation of the first order and hence has only one solution. Further, the straight line $W=r|\omega|/\bar{\omega}$, where r is a real parameter, does satisfy the differential equation. Since there is only one slit, this line corresponds to the slit and we conclude that the boundary of the image domain consists of the unit circumference and a radial slit pointing inwards at the points $\pm |\omega|/\bar{\omega}$.

Taking the square root and integrating (44) we obtain

(46)
$$\left[\pm \log \frac{\sqrt{f(z)} - \sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{f(z)} + \sqrt{\omega}} + \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{\overline{\omega}} f(z)}{1 - \sqrt{\overline{\omega}} f(z)}\right] + \text{const.}$$

$$= \pm \left[\pm \log \frac{\sqrt{z} - \sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{z} - \sqrt{\zeta}} + \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{\zeta} z}{1 - \sqrt{\zeta} z}\right].$$

The various possibilities arising from different combinations of signs on the two sides of (46) are to be taken in such a way that the singularities at $f(z)=\omega$ and $z=\zeta$ on the two sides of (46) balance each other. We are thus left with only four possible combinations which after some simple algebra give rise to the following equations for the extremal functions:

(47)
$$\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)\omega f(z)}{(\omega-|\omega|f(z))^2} = \frac{(1\mp|\zeta|)^2 z\zeta}{(\zeta\mp|\zeta|z)^2} ,$$

and

(48)
$$\frac{(1+|\omega|)^2 \omega f(z)}{(\omega+|\omega| f(z))^2} = \frac{(1\pm|\zeta|)^2 z\zeta}{(\zeta\pm|\zeta|z)^2} .$$

Equations (47) and (48), respectively, give rise to the following values of $f'(\zeta)$:

(49)
$$f'(\zeta) = \frac{\omega}{\zeta} \frac{1 \pm |\zeta|}{1 \mp |\zeta|} \frac{1 - |\omega|}{1 + |\omega|},$$

and

(50)
$$f'(\zeta) = \frac{\omega}{\zeta} \frac{1 \mp |\zeta|}{1 \pm |\zeta|} \frac{1 + |\omega|}{1 - |\omega|}.$$

As $|\omega| \leq |\zeta|$, one easily sees that the maximum value of $|f'(\zeta)|$ is given by

(51)
$$f'(\zeta) = \frac{\omega}{\zeta} \frac{1 + |\zeta|}{1 - |\zeta|} \frac{1 + |\omega|}{1 - |\omega|},$$

and that the function f(z) corresponding to it is given by

(52)
$$\frac{(1+|\omega|)^2 \omega f(z)}{(\omega+|\omega|f(z))^2} = \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^2 z \zeta}{(\zeta-|\zeta|z)^2}$$

Also, the minimum of $|f'(\zeta)|$ is given by

(53)
$$f'(\zeta) = \frac{\omega}{\zeta} \frac{1 - |\zeta|}{1 + |\zeta|} \frac{1 - |\omega|}{1 + |\omega|},$$

and the function corresponding to it is given by

(54)
$$\frac{(1-|\omega|)^2 \omega f(z)}{(\omega-|\omega|f(z))^2} = \frac{(1+|\zeta|)^2 z \zeta}{(\zeta+|\zeta|z)^2} .$$

We have thus proven the following.

THEOREM. Let S denote the family of regular univalent functions f(z) defined in the unit circle $|z| \le 1$ such that $|f(z)| \le 1$, f(0)=0 and, for some fixed point ζ in |z| < 1, $f(\zeta) = \omega$. Then the maximum and minimum values of $|f'(\zeta)|$ are given by

(55)
$$f'(\zeta) = \frac{\omega}{\zeta} \frac{1 \pm |\zeta|}{1 \mp |\zeta|} \frac{1 \pm |\omega|}{1 \mp |\omega|},$$

and the corresponding maximizing and minimizing functions are, respectively, given by the equation

(56)
$$\frac{(1 \pm |\omega|)^2 \omega f(z)}{(\omega \pm |\omega| f(z))^2} = \frac{(1 \mp |\zeta|)^2 z \zeta}{(\zeta \mp |\zeta| z)^2},$$

where the upper signs on both sides give the maximal function and the lower signs on both sides given the minimal function. The boundaries of the maximal and the minimal domains consist of the unit circumference together with radial slits starting, respectively, at the points $\pm |\omega|/\bar{\omega}$, the end points of the slits being the images of the points $\mp |\zeta|/\bar{\zeta}$ by the corresponding functions given by (56).

We now remark that we could as well have tried to solve the following problem:

In the class S of regular univalent functions f(z) in $|z| \leq 1$ statisfying the normalization f(0) = 0, $|f(z)| \leq 1$ and, $f(\zeta) = \omega$ at some fixed point ζ in |z| < 1, to find the function which maximizes $|f(\gamma)|$ at some $\gamma \neq \zeta$ in |z| < 1.

The existence and uniqueness of the solution is easily proven. It can also be readily shown that the extremal domain will be a slit damain. The variational equation for the extremum as obtained from (17) can be written as

(57)
$$\Re\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ccc} a_{0}A(\omega_{1}, f(z_{0})) & -\overline{a}_{0}B(\omega_{1}, f(z_{0})) \\ \omega_{1} & + \frac{a_{1}A(\omega_{1}, f(z_{1}))}{\omega_{1}} \\ \\ & - \overline{a}_{1}B(\omega_{1}, \overline{f(z_{1})}) \\ \end{array}\right]\right\} = 0,$$

where $\omega_1 = f(\eta)$, and we have replaced $\varphi(\omega)$ by ζ and W_0 by $f(z_0)$, $\varphi(W_0) = z_0$, $\varphi'(W_0)$ by $1/f'(z_0)$, and similarly for W_1 .

By arguments similar to those which lead to the equation (26) we can again assert that if

(58)
$$C_0 = \frac{A(\omega_1, f(z_0))}{\omega_1} - \frac{A(\overline{\omega}_1, f(z_0))}{\overline{\omega}_1},$$

then the extremum function will satisfy the equation

(59)
$$C_0 = \mu A(\omega, f(z_0)) - \overline{\mu} \overline{B(\omega, f(z_0))},$$

where μ is independent of $f(z_0)$.

As in the lemma we can again show that $\mu f(\zeta)/f'(\zeta)$ and $\mu \zeta$ are real. The differential equation for the extremum function can now be written as

(60)
$$f'^{2}(z)(f(z)-c_{1})(f(z)-d_{1})$$

$$f(z)(f(z)-\omega_{1})(f(z)-\omega)(1-\overline{\omega}f(z))(1-\overline{\omega}_{1}f(z))$$

$$= K \frac{(z-e_{1})(z-e_{2})}{z(z-\gamma)(z-\zeta)(1-\zeta z)(1-\overline{\gamma}z)} ,$$

where $|c_1d_1|=1$, and $|e_1e_2|=1$ and c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , e_2 and K can be determined by a comparison with (59).

From geometric considerations and the fact that the extremal domain will be a slight domain, one easily deduces that $c_1=d_1=e^{i\theta}$ and $e_1=e_2=e^{i\gamma}$. These conditions lead to

(61)
$$[(1-|\omega_1|^2)(1+|\omega|^2)-\mu_1(1-|\omega|^2)(1+|\omega_1|^2)]^2=4|(1-|\omega_1|^2)\omega-\mu_1(1-|\omega|^2)\omega_1|^2,$$

and

(62)
$$[(1-|\eta|^2)(1+|\zeta|^2)\alpha_1 - \mu\zeta(1-|\zeta|^2)(1+|\eta|^2)]^2 = 4|(1-|\eta|^2)\zeta\alpha_1 - \mu\zeta\eta(1-|\zeta|^2)|^2,$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \mu f(\zeta)/f'(\zeta)$$

and

$$\alpha_1 = \eta f'(\eta)/f(\eta)$$
.

Eliminating μ from (61) and (62), we get

(63)
$$\frac{\zeta f'(\zeta) [|\omega - \omega_1| \pm |1 - \overline{\omega}\omega_1|]^2}{f(\zeta) (1 - |\omega|^2) (1 - |\omega_1|^2)} = \frac{\eta f'(\eta) [|\zeta - \eta| \pm |1 - \overline{\zeta}\eta|]^2}{f(\eta) (1 - |\zeta|^2) (1 - \eta|^2)} .$$

From the slit character of the extremal domain and geometric considerations we prove that the boundary of the extremal domain consists of the unit circumference with a slit that starts at right angles to the circumference. But we can no longer claim that this slit is radial. Also, because the integration of (60) involves hyperelliptic integrals it is not possible to get analytically any further information about the nature of the image domain. However, if one could show that the image domain is symmetric one could obtain an explicit result at least when ζ and η are real. We are thus lead to reformulate the problem for bounded symmetric univalent functions.

4. Symmetric bounded univalent functions. We now want to construct a variation which keeps the unit circumference and the real axis fixed and which maps the origin into the origin. Evidently such a variation will be a combination of the variations considered in §§ 2 and 3. One easily deduces that any such variation will be of the form

$$(64) \qquad W_1^* = W + \frac{a_0 \rho^2 W}{W - W_0} - \frac{\bar{a}_0 \rho^2 W^2}{1 - W_0 W} + \frac{\bar{a}_0 \rho^2 W}{W - W_0} - \frac{a_0 \rho^2 W^2}{1 - W_0 W} + O(\rho^4) ,$$

where $O(\rho^4)$ can be suitably chosen.

In order to be able to get a variation which can take account of some side conditions we need to take a linear combination of the variational terms in (64) with different a_0 and W_0 . Thus, we get the variation

(65)
$$W^* = W + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \left[\frac{a_{\nu} \rho^2 W (1 - W^2)}{(W - W_{\nu})(1 - W_{\nu} W)} + \frac{\bar{a}_{\nu} \rho^2 W (1 - W^2)}{(W - W_{\nu})(1 - W_{\nu} W)} \right] + O(\rho^4).$$

The variation formula for f(z) in this case is

(66)
$$f^{*}(z) = f(z) + \rho^{2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \left[\frac{a_{\nu} f(z)(1 - f^{2}(z))}{(f(z) - f(z_{\nu}))(1 - f(z)f(z_{\nu}))} + \frac{\bar{a}_{\nu} f(z)(1 - f^{2}(z))}{(f(z) - f(z_{\nu}))(1 - f(z)f(z_{\nu}))} + \frac{a_{\nu} f'(z)z(1 - z^{2})}{(z_{\nu} - z)(1 - z_{\nu}z)} \frac{f(z_{\nu})}{z_{\nu} f'^{2}(z_{\nu})} + \frac{\bar{a}_{\nu} f'(z)z(1 - z^{2})}{(1 - \bar{z}_{\nu}z)(\bar{z}_{\nu} - z)} \frac{f(z_{\nu})}{\bar{z}_{\nu} f'^{2}(z_{\nu})} \right] + O(\rho^{4}).$$

If we require $f^*(\zeta) = f(\zeta) = \omega$, ζ real, we get, using that f(z) is symmetric,

(67)
$$\Re\left[\sum a_{\nu}\left\{\frac{\omega(1-\omega^{2})}{(\omega-f(z_{\nu}))(1-\omega f(z_{\nu}))} - \frac{\zeta(1-\zeta^{2})f'(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z_{\nu})(1-\zeta z_{\nu})}\frac{f(z_{\nu})}{z_{\nu}f'^{2}(z_{\nu})}\right\}\right] + O(\rho^{2}) = 0.$$

Also, if η is real, then the condition for the extremum of $f(\eta) = \omega_1$ is

(68)
$$\Re\left[\sum a_{\gamma}\left\{\frac{\omega_{1}(1-\omega_{1}^{2})}{(\omega_{1}-f(z_{\gamma}))(1-\omega_{1}f(z_{\gamma}))}-\frac{\gamma(1-\gamma^{2})f'(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z_{\gamma})(1-\gamma z_{\gamma})z_{\gamma}f'^{2}(z_{\gamma})}\right\}\right]+O(\rho^{2})=0.$$

Thus the extremum function satisfies the equations (67) and (68). By Lagrange's method of multipliers we see that the equation satisfied by the extremum function is

(69)
$$\frac{zf'^{2}(z)}{f(z)} \left[\frac{(1-\omega_{1}^{2})}{(\omega_{1}-f(z))(1-\omega_{1}f(z))} - \frac{\lambda(1-\omega^{2})}{(\omega-f(z))(1-\omega f(z))} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(1-\eta^{2})}{(\eta-z)(1-\eta z)} - \frac{\alpha_{1}\lambda(1-\zeta^{2})}{(\zeta-z)(1-\zeta z)} ,$$

where

$$\alpha = \eta f'(\eta)/f(\eta)$$

and

$$\alpha_1 = \zeta f'/(\zeta)'f(\zeta)$$
.

It is easily proven in this case that the image domain is a slit domain. Thus, as in § 3, from geometric considerations and the fact that the image domain is a slit domain we conclude that numerators on both the sides of (69) should be perfect squares. We thus have that either

(70)
$$\alpha(1+\eta)(1-\zeta) = \alpha_1 \lambda(1+\zeta)(1-\eta),$$

or

(71)
$$\alpha(1-\eta)(1+\zeta) = \alpha_1 \lambda(1-\zeta)(1+\eta);$$

and either

(72)
$$(1+\omega_1)(1-\omega) = \lambda(1+\omega)(1-\omega_1)$$

or

(73)
$$(1 - \omega_1)(1 + \omega) = \lambda(1 - \omega)(1 + \omega_1) .$$

The differential equation finally reduces to the form

(74)
$$\frac{(1 \pm \omega_{1})(\omega - \omega_{1})(1 - \omega\omega_{1})f'^{2}(z)(f(z) \mp 1)^{2}}{(1 \mp \omega_{1}f(z))(\omega - f(z))(\omega_{1} - f(z))(1 - \omega f(z))(1 - \omega_{1}f(z))}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(1 \pm \eta)(\zeta - \eta)(1 - \zeta\eta)(z \mp 1)^{2}}{(1 \mp \eta)z(\zeta - z)(\eta - z)(1 - \zeta z)(1 - \eta z)},$$

where the upper or the lower sign on each side is to be taken at one time.

The alternative in (70), (71) and (72), (73) arises on account of the ambiguity of sign of the root in (74).

In the left hand side of (74) let us make the transformation

(75)
$$f(z) = \mp \frac{W+1}{W-1},$$

according as we take the upper or the lower sign in (74). Then the left hand side transforms either to

(76)
$$-\frac{16W'^2W^2(1+\omega_1)(\omega-\omega_1)(1-\omega\omega_1)}{(1+\omega_1)^2(1+\omega_1)^2(W^2-1)(W^2-\beta_1^2)(W^2-\beta_2^2)} ,$$

or

(77)
$$\frac{16W'^2W^2(1-\omega_1)(\omega-\omega_1)(1-\omega\omega_1)}{(1-\omega)^2(1-\omega_1)^2(W^2-1)(W^2-\beta_1^{-2})(W^2-\beta_2^{-2})} ,$$

respectively, where $\beta_1 = (1+\omega)/(1-\omega)$ and $\beta_2 = (1+\omega_1)/(1-\omega_1)$.

Similarly, making the transformation

$$(78) z = \mp \frac{y+1}{y-1} ,$$

according as we take the upper or the lower sign in the right hand side of (74), we get either

(79)
$$-\frac{16\alpha y'^2 y^2 (1+\eta)(1-\zeta \eta)(\zeta -\eta)}{(y^2-1)(y^2-\gamma_1^2)(y^2-\gamma_2^2)(1-\eta)}$$

or

(80)
$$-\frac{16\alpha y'^2 y^2 (1-\eta)(1-\zeta \eta)(\zeta-\eta)}{(y^2-1)(y^2-\gamma_1^{-2})(y^2-\gamma_2^{-2})(1+\eta)},$$

respectively, where $\gamma_1 = (1+\zeta)/(1-\zeta)$ and $\gamma_2 = (1+\eta)/(1-\eta)$. We note that (77) is obtained from (76) by changing the signs of ω and ω_1 , and similarly (80) is obtained from (79) by changing the signs of ζ and η . Thus it is enough to consider the cases

(81)
$$c_1 \frac{W'W}{V(W^2-1)(W^2-\beta_1^2)(W^2-\beta_2^2)} = \pm c_2 \frac{y'y}{V(y^2-1)(y^2-\gamma_1^2)(y^2-\gamma_2^2)}$$
,

where

$$c_2 = \left[\frac{\alpha(1+\eta)(\zeta-\eta)(1-\zeta\eta)}{(1-\eta)(1+\zeta)^2(1+\eta)^2} \right]^{1/2},$$

and

$$c_1 = \left[\frac{(1+\omega_1)(\omega-\omega_1)(1-\omega\omega_1)}{(1+\omega)^2(1+\omega_1)^2} \right]^{1/2}.$$

Putting

$$W_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \! = \! W^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \! - rac{1}{3} (1 \! + \! eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \! + \! eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2})$$
 ,

and

$$X=y^2-rac{1}{3}(1+\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)$$
 ,

we have from (81), on integration,

$$c_1 v = c_2 u + \text{const.}$$

where $p(v)=W_2$ and $p^*(u)=X$, p and p^* being the Weierstrass's p-functions.

Since f(0)=0, $f(\zeta)=\omega$ and $f(\eta)=\omega_1$, we get, using the periodicity and homogeneity property of the *p*-functions,

$$W_2 = \frac{c_1^2}{c_2^2} X.$$

Transforming back to z and f(z), we can write (82) in the form

(83)
$$\left[\frac{f(z) - 1}{f(z) + 1} \right]^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1 - \omega}{1 + \omega} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1 - \omega_{1}}{1 + \omega_{1}} \right)^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{c_{2}^{2}} \left[\left(\frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1 - \zeta}{1 + \varepsilon} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \varepsilon} \right)^{2} \right\} \right].$$

Since f(0)=0 we have from (83) that

$$(84) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1 - \omega}{1 + \omega} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 - \omega_1}{1 + \omega_1} \right)^2 \right\} = \frac{c_1^2}{c_2^2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1 - \zeta}{1 + \zeta} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \eta} \right)^2 \right\} \right].$$

This gives us ω_1 , but it involves α which is not yet known in terms of ζ , ω and η . Towards this we observe that on subtracting (84) from (83) we have

$$\frac{4f(z)}{(f(z)+1)^2} = \frac{c_1^2}{c_2^2} \frac{4z}{(1+z)^2},$$

and because $f(\zeta) = \omega$ we have

(85)
$$\frac{\omega}{(1+\omega)^2} = \frac{c_1^2}{c_2^2} \frac{4\zeta}{(1+\zeta)^2} ,$$

and finally

(86)
$$\frac{f(z)(1+\omega)^2}{\omega(1+f(z))^2} = \frac{z(1+\zeta)^2}{(1+z)^2\zeta}.$$

Now, putting $z=\eta$ and $f(\eta)=\omega_1$, we get for ω_1 the required equation

(87)
$$\frac{(1+\omega)^2\omega_1}{\omega(1+\omega_1)^2} = \frac{\eta}{(1+\eta)^2} \frac{(1+\zeta)^2}{\zeta} .$$

Observing that all the possible extremal functions could be obtained by changing the signs of ω , ω_1 , ζ and η and taking all the combinations, we see that

(88)
$$\frac{(1 \pm \omega)^2}{\omega} \frac{f(z)}{(1 \pm f(z))^2} = \pm \frac{z}{(1 \pm z)^2} \frac{(1 \pm \zeta)^2}{\zeta} ,$$

gives all the extremal functions and

(89)
$$\frac{(1\pm\omega)^2\omega_1}{\omega(1\pm\omega_1)^2} = \pm \frac{\eta}{(1\pm\eta)^2} \frac{(1\pm\zeta)^2}{\zeta} ,$$

the corresponding values of ω_1 , where at one time either the upper or the lower sign is to be taken on each side of (88) and (89).

Further, on account of the continuity and univalence of f(z) on the

real axis, f(z) will have the same sign or different sign as z according as ω has the same or different sign as ζ . Thus (88) and (89), respectively, reduce to

(90)
$$\frac{(1\pm\omega)^2}{\omega} \frac{f(z)}{(1\pm f(z))^2} = \frac{z}{(1\pm z)^2} \frac{(1\pm \zeta)^2}{\zeta} ,$$

and

(91)
$$\frac{(1 \pm \omega)^2}{\omega} \frac{\omega_1}{(1 \pm \omega_1)^2} = \frac{\eta}{(1 \pm \eta)^2} \frac{(1 \pm \zeta)^2}{\zeta} .$$

The following different cases need to be considered: (i) $\omega > 0$, $\zeta > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ (ii) $\omega > 0$, $\zeta > 0$ and $\eta < 0$ (iii) $\omega > 0$, $\zeta < 0$ and $\eta > 0$ and (iv) $\omega > 0$, $\zeta < 0$ and $\eta < 0$. We observe that (ii) can be easily deduced from (i) for in this case $\omega_1 < 0$, and the maximum and the minimum of ω_1 in this case will be the same as the minimum and maximum of ω_1 in (i). A similar relationship exists between (iii) and (iv). So we need to consider only the two cases (i) and (iii).

We now observe that if |x| < 1 and x is real then x+1/x is a monotonic decreasing function of x, and also that

(92)
$$\frac{\eta + 1/\eta - 2}{\zeta + 1/\zeta - 2} \geqslant \frac{\eta + 1/\eta + 2}{\zeta + 1/\zeta + 2},$$

according as $\eta \ge \zeta > 0$.

With these considerations we can prove the following.

THEOREM. Let Σ be the class of bounded, symmetric univalent functions f(z) which are normalized so that $|f(z)| \leq 1$, $|z| \leq 1$, |f(0)| = 0 and $|f(\zeta)| = \omega$ where ζ is a fixed real point in |z| < 1. Further let $\omega > 0$, $\zeta > 0$ and for some real point η let $|f(\eta)| = \omega_1$. Then the maximum and the minimum values of ω_1 , when $\eta > \zeta$, are given by

(93)
$$\frac{\omega_1 + 1/\omega_1 \pm 2}{\omega + 1/\omega \pm 2} = \frac{\eta + 1/\eta \mp 2}{\zeta + 1/\zeta \mp 2} ,$$

and the corresponding maximizing and minimizing functions are respectively given by

(94)
$$\frac{(1\pm\omega)^2}{\omega} \frac{f(z)}{(1\pm f(z))^2} = \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} \frac{(1\mp\zeta)^2}{\zeta} ,$$

where the upper signs on both sides give the maximum and the lower signs the minimum. However, if $\eta < \zeta$ then the maximum and minimum values given by (93) and the corresponding function given by (94) are interchanged.

If $\omega < 0$, $\zeta < 0$ and $\eta > 0$ then the maximum and the minimum values of ω_1 are given by

(95)
$$\frac{\omega_{t}+1/\omega_{t}\pm2}{\omega+1/\omega\pm2} = \frac{\eta+1/\eta\pm2}{\zeta+1/\zeta\pm2},$$

and the corresponding maximizing and minimizing functions are given by

(96)
$$\frac{(1\pm\omega)^2}{\omega} \frac{f(z)}{(1\pm f(z))^2} = \frac{z}{(1\pm z)^2} \frac{(1\pm \zeta)^2}{\zeta} ,$$

where, as before, the upper sign on both sides gives the maximum and the lower sign gives the minimum.

The boundary of the extremal domain in each case consists of the unit circumference with a radial slit starting either at W=1 or W=-1. The length of the slit differs in various cases.

My thanks are due to Professor M. Schiffer for his interest and help in the progress and completion of the paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Schiffer, A method of variation within the family of simple functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **44** (1938), 430-449.
- 2. ——, Variation of Green's function and theory of p-valued functions, Amer. J. Math. **65** (1943), 341-360.
- 3. Applications of variational methods in the theory of conformal mapping (To be published in The Proceedings of the Symposia in Applied Mathematics 1956).

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. L. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. A. Beaumont

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

E. G. STRAUS

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

C. E. BURGESS M. HALL

E. HEWITT

A. HORN

V. GANAPATHY IYER R. D. JAMES

M. S. KNEBELMAN

L. NACHBIN

G. SZEKERES F. WOLF K. YOSIDA

T. G. OSTROM M. M. SCHIFFER

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY THE RAMO-WOOLDRIDGE CORPORATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E.G. Straus at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 10, 1-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 7, No. 3

March, 1957

Silvio Aurora, <i>Multiplicative norms for metric rings</i>	1279
Ross A. Beaumont and John Richard Byrne, On the construction of	
R-modules and rings with polynomial multiplication	1305
Fred Brafman, An ultraspherical generating function	
Howard Ernest Campbell, On the Casimir operator	1325
Robert E. Edwards, Representation theorems for certain functional	
operators	1333
Tomlinson Fort, <i>The five-point difference equation with periodic</i>	
coefficients	1341
Isidore Heller, On linear systems with integral valued solutions	1351
Harry Hochstadt, Addition theorems for solutions of the wave equation in	
parabolic coordinates	1365
James A. Hummel, <i>The coefficient regions of starlike functions</i>	1381
Fulton Koehler, Estimates for the eigenvalues of infinite matrices	1391
Henry Paul Kramer, Perturbation of differential operators	1405
R. Sherman Lehman, <i>Development of the mapping function at an analytic</i>	
corner	1437
Harold Willis Milnes, Convexity of Orlicz spaces	1451
Vikramaditya Singh, <i>Interior variations and some extremal problems for</i>	
certain classes of univalent functions	1485
William Lee Stamey, On generalized euclidean and non-euclidean	
· -	1505
Alexander Doniphan Wallace, Retractions in semigroups	1513
	1519