Pacific Journal of Mathematics

NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF VIBRATION PROBLEMS IN TWO SPACE VARIABLES

S. D. CONTE

Vol. 7, No. 4

April 1957

NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF VIBRATION PROBLEMS IN TWO SPACE VARIABLES

S. D. Conte

1. Introduction. The classical theory of vibrating plates leads to the following non-dimensional fourth order partial differential equation in two space variables W(x, y, t) for the transverse vibrations:

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \Delta \Delta W + W_{tt} = 0 ,$$

where $\Delta \Delta$ is the biharmonic operator

$$arDelta \!=\! rac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} \!+\! 2 rac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \!+\! rac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4} \;.$$

Solutions of this equation for two dimensional regions of arbitrary shape are of course not known, but even for those plate problems for which analytic solutions in series form for this equation are available, the series do not lend themselves easily to numerical calculations. Direct numerical solutions of this equation are therefore of considerable impotance. It is the purpose of this paper to present a new finite difference approximation to this equation which is stable for all values of the mesh ratios $\overline{\Delta t}/\overline{\Delta x^2}$ and $\overline{\Delta t}/\overline{\Delta y^2}$ and which involves an amount of work which is entirely feasible on large-scale digital computers. The method is a generalization of a method prepared by Douglas and Rachford [1] for solving the two dimensional diffusion equation.

2. The differential and difference equations. We consider first the specific problem of determining the transverse vibrations of a square homogeneous thin plate hinged at its boundaries and subjected to an arbitrary initial condition. The boundary value problem may be written

a)
$$\frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial y^4} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t^2} = 0$$
, $(x, y) \in R$, $0 \le t \le T$,

b)
$$W(x, y, 0) = f(x, y)$$
, $(x, y) \in R$

c)
$$W_{\iota}(x, y, 0) = 0$$
, $(x, y) \in R$,

d)
$$W(x, y, t) = \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2}(x, y, t) = 0$$
, at $x=0$, 1 for $0 < y < 1$, $t > 0$,

e)
$$W(x, y, t) = \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial y^2}(x, y, t) = 0$$
, at $y = 0$, 1 for $0 < x < 1$, $t > 0$,

Received February 11, 1957. The author is currently on leave of absence from Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan.

where R is the open region [0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1]. Letting $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1/M$ we now lay a mesh over the region R and we introduce the following typical notation for difference operators

(3)
$$w(i\Delta x, j\Delta y, n\Delta t) = w_{ijn},$$

$$\Delta_{t}^{2}w_{ijn} = (w_{i,j,n+1} - 2w_{ijn} + w_{i,j,n+1})/\overline{\Delta t^{2}},$$

$$\Delta_{x}^{4}w_{ijn} = (w_{i+2,j,n} - 4w_{i+1,j,n} + 6w_{ijn} - 4w_{i-1,j,n} + w_{i-2,j,n})/\overline{\Delta x^{4}}.$$

We now approximate (2) by the following finite difference system:

a)
$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{4} [w_{i,j,n+1}^{*} + w_{i,j,n-1}] + 2\mathcal{A}_{x}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{2} w_{ijn} + \mathcal{A}_{y}^{4} w_{ijn} + \frac{w_{ijn+1}^{*} - 2w_{ijn} + w_{i,j,n-1}}{\mathcal{A}t^{2}} = 0,$$

b)
$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{4} [w_{i,j,n+1} + w_{ij,n-1}] = \mathcal{A}_{y}^{4} w_{ijn} - \frac{w_{i,j,n+1} - w_{i,j,n+1}^{*}}{\mathcal{A}t^{2}},$$

$$(i\mathcal{A}x, i\mathcal{A}y) \in E', \quad 0 \le n\mathcal{A}t \le T.$$

c)
$$w_{i,j,0} = W_{ij0} = f_{ij}$$
,
d) $w_{i,j,1} = W_{i,j,0}$,
 $(i, j=1, 2, \dots, M-1)$,
 $(i, j=1, 2, \dots, M-1)$,
 $(i, j=1, 2, \dots, M-1)$,

e)
$$\begin{cases} w_{0,j,n} = w_{M,j,n} = 0 \\ w_{i+1,j,n} = -w_{i-1,j,n} \quad (i=0, M) \end{cases} \quad (j=1, \dots, M-1; \ 0 \le n \varDelta t \le T) ,$$

f)
$$\begin{cases} w_{i,0,n} = w_{i,M,n} = 0 \\ w_{i,j+1,n} = -w_{i,j-1,u} \quad (j=0, M) \end{cases} \quad (i=1, \dots, M-1; \ 0 \le n \varDelta t \le T) ,$$

where R' is the set of lattice points $(i\Delta x, j\Delta y)$ in R and in condition e) and f) $w_{ijn}^* = w_{ijn}$.

Equation 4a) is implicit in x alone while equation 4b) is implicit in y alone. The numerical procedure consists of first solving equations 4a) to obtain $w_{ij,n+1}^*$. A system of (M-1) equations in (M-1) unknowns is obtained for the unknowns along a single line in the x-direction. The matrix of this system of equations has at most 5 non-zero elements in any one row (either on the main diagonal or on two adjacent diagonals). We shall call such matrices quidiagonal. These quidiagonal systems can be solved efficiently by an extension of an algorithm for solving tridiagonal matrices due to L. H. Thomas and involve about twice the amount of work as for tridiagonal matrices.

Use of equation 4a) above, however, is not sufficient to yield good values of w over a wide range in t because as will be shown the finite difference approximation is unstable. Equation 4b) then provides a corrective process which combined with 4a) does provide a stable, convergent process. Equation 4b) is implicit along lines parallel to the y-axis and again for rectangular regions yields M-1 systems of equations each

(4)

involving M-1 unknowns. The matrices of these equations are again quidiagonal in form.

By eliminating $w_{ij,n+1}^*$ from equations 4a) and 4b) we obtain the following implicit finite difference equation

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{4} [w_{ij,n+1} + w_{ij,n-1}] + 2\mathcal{A}_{x}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{2} w_{ijn} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{4} [w_{ij,n+1} + w_{ij,n-1}] \\ + \frac{w_{ij,n+1} - 2w_{ijn} + w_{ij,n-1}}{\overline{\Delta t^{2}}} + \frac{1}{4} \overline{\Delta t}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{4} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{4} [w_{ij,n+1} - 2w_{ijn} + w_{ij,n-1}] = 0,$$

which lends itself more readily to a stability and convergence analysis.

3. Stability considerations. Let v(x, y, t) be the error due to roundoff. Then since equation (5) is linear, it follows that v_{ijn} will satisfy the system

a)
$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{4} [v_{ij,n+1} + v_{ij,n-1}] + 2 \mathcal{A}_{x}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{2} v_{ijn} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{4} [v_{ij,n+1} + v_{ij,n-1}] \\ + \frac{v_{ij,n+1} - 2v_{ijn} + v_{ij,n-1}}{\overline{\Delta}t^{2}} + \frac{1}{4} \overline{\Delta t}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{4} \mathcal{A}_{y}^{4} [v_{ij,n+1} - 2v_{ijn} + v_{ij,n-1}] = 0 ,$$

(6) b)
$$v_{i,j,0}$$
 and $v_{i,j,1}$ arbitrary
($i, j=1, \dots, M-1$),
($i, j=1, \dots, M-1$),
($i, j=1, \dots, M-1$),
($i=1, \dots, M-1$; $0 \le n \varDelta t \le T$),
($i=1, \dots, M-1$; $0 \le n \varDelta t \le T$).

The eigenfunctions of (6) are of the form

$$v_{ijn} = a_n \sin \pi p x_i \sin \pi q y_j$$
, $p, q = 1, \cdots, M-1$,

where $x_i = i\Delta x$, $y_j = j\Delta y$. It is easily shown that, for example,

$$\overline{\varDelta x^{i}} \varDelta_{x}^{4} v_{ijn+1} = 16 \sin^{4} \pi p \frac{\varDelta x}{2} v_{ij,n+1} ,$$

$$\overline{\varDelta x^{2}} \overline{\varDelta y^{2}} \varDelta_{x}^{2} \varDelta_{y}^{2} v_{ijn} = 16 \sin^{2} p \pi \frac{\varDelta x}{2} \sin^{2} q \pi \frac{\varDelta y}{2} v_{ijn}$$

Applying this to equation (6a) and rearranging we obtain the following recurrence relation in a_n :

$$(7) a_{n+1} - 2\alpha a_n + a_{n-1} = 0,$$

where

(8)
$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \rho^2 s_p^4 s_q^4 - 2\rho s_p^2 s_q^2}{1 + \rho^2 s_p^4 s_q^4 + \rho (s_p^4 + s_q^4)} = \frac{(1 - \rho s_p^2 s_q^2)^2}{(1 - \rho s_p^2 s_q^2)^2 + \rho (s_p^2 + s_q^2)^2}$$

and $s_p = \sin \frac{p\pi}{2M}$, $s_q = \sin \frac{q\pi}{2M}$, $\rho = 8 \frac{\overline{\Delta t^2}}{\overline{\Delta x^4}} = 8 \frac{\overline{\Delta t^2}}{\overline{\Delta y^4}} = 8r^2$. The difference equation (6) will be stable provided that the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\xi^2 - 2\alpha\xi + 1 = 0$$

corresponding to (7) are at most equal to one in absolute value. These roots are equal to one in absolute value if $|\alpha| \leq 1$, a condition which follows at once from the definitions of s_p , s_q and ρ . Thus the finite difference system (4) is stable for all values of the mesh ratio ρ and for all values of p and q.

It should be pointed out that if (2) is replaced by an explicit finite difference approximation, a stability analysis leads to the requirement that

$$r \!=\! \frac{\varDelta t}{\varDelta x^2} \!=\! \frac{\varDelta t}{\varDelta y^2} \!\leq\! 1/4$$
 .

This restriction on the time step ordinarily leads to an amount of computing time which is not feasible even with the most modern computers. On the other hand a straightforward implicit finite difference approximation to (2), while simpler than (4) and also stable for all values of the mesh ratio, leads to a system of $(M-1)^2$ equations in $(M-1)^2$ unknowns which must be solved at each time step. Even a 20×20 interior grid leads to a system of 400 equations in 400 unknowns again involving an unreasonable amount of computing time.

Finally if one attempts to use 4a) without the corrective equation 4b) the same stability analysis given above leads to the characteristic equation

$$\xi^2 - 2\beta\xi + 1 = 0$$

where

$$eta\!=\!rac{1\!-\!
ho(s_q^4\!+\!2s_p^2\!s_q^2)}{1\!+\!
ho s_p^4}$$

It is easily verified that for some values of p and q, $|\beta| > 1$ and hence equation 4a) is not stable for all values of ρ .

4. Treatment of other boundary conditions. The stability analysis of § 3 depends upon the existence of a set of eigenfunctions of the difference operator given in (6a) which satisfy the boundary conditions (6c) and (6d). If the boundary conditions (2d) and (2e) corresponding to the difference conditions (6c) and (6d) change, the eigenfunctions of the system (6) will also change. Let us consider then the error equation (6a) with the boundary conditions (6c), (6d) replaced by the general homogeneous conditions:

(9)
$$L_m(v_{ijn})=0$$
, $(i, j) \in S^1$. $(m=1, 2, 3, 4)$,

where S^1 is the set of boundary points affected by the conditions L_m . Assume a set of eigenfunctions of (6a) of the form

$$v_{ijn}(p, q) = a_n \phi_{ij}(p, q)$$
, $p, q = 1, \dots, M-1$.

Substituting into (6a) and rearranging, we obtain

$$a_{n+1} - 2\alpha_{pq}a_n + a_{n-1} = 0$$

where

$$\alpha_{pq} = \frac{-\overline{\Delta t^2} \Delta_x^2 \Delta_y^2 \phi_{ij} + \phi_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \overline{\Delta t^4} \Delta_x^4 \Delta_y^4 \phi_{ij}}{\frac{1}{2} \overline{\Delta t^2} (\Delta_x^4 \phi_{ij} + \Delta_y^4 \phi_{ij}) + 1 + \frac{1}{4} \overline{\Delta t^4} \Delta_x^4 \Delta_y^4 \phi_{ij}} = \frac{H[\phi_{ij}]}{K[\phi_{ij}]}$$

Now let H and K have a common set of eigenfunctions subject to the condition (9), i. e.

$$egin{aligned} H[\phi_{ij}] = \lambda_{pq}\phi_{ij} \ , & L_m(\phi_{ij}) = 0 \ , \ & K[\phi_{ij}] = eta_{pq}\phi_{ij} \ , & L_m(\phi_{ij}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\alpha_{pq} = \frac{\lambda_{pq}}{\beta_{pq}}$$

and the condition for stability is simply that for all p and q

$$|lpha_{pq}|{\leq}1$$
 .

Thus the stability analysis of § 3 can be applied for any boundary conditions for which the operators H and K have common eigenfunctions.

5. A mean square convergence theorem for the square region. For the problem considered in §2 assume that the function f(x, y), is sufficiently regular in the closed region \overline{R} to guarantee the existence and boundedness of

$$rac{\partial^6 w}{\partial x^6}$$
 , $rac{\partial^6 w}{\partial y^6}$, $rac{\partial^6 w}{\partial y^4 \partial t^2}$, $rac{\partial^6 w}{\partial x^4 \partial t^2}$, $rac{\partial^4 w}{\partial t^2}$

in \overline{R} . Then it can be shown following the usual series expansions that

(10)
$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{4}[w_{ij,n+1} + w_{ij,n-1}] + 2\mathcal{A}_{x}^{2}\mathcal{A}_{y}^{2}w_{ijn} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_{y}^{4}[w_{ij,n+1} + w_{ij,n-1}] \\ + \frac{w_{ij,n+1} - 2w_{ijn} + w_{ij,n-1}}{\overline{\varDelta t^{2}}} = 0(\overline{\varDelta x^{2}} + \overline{\varDelta t^{2}}),$$

and moreover that

(11)
$$\overline{\varDelta t^2} \varDelta_x^4 \varDelta_y^4 [w_{ij,n+1} - 2w_{ijn} + w_{ij,n-1}] = 0(\overline{\varDelta t^2}) .$$

Hence the difference operator (5) approximates the differential equation (2) to terms which are $0(\overline{\Delta x^2} + \overline{\Delta t^2})$. In the notation of [2] the elementary truncation error h_{ijn} is

(12)
$$h_{ijn} = 0(\overline{\Delta x^6} + \overline{\Delta x^4} \overline{\Delta t^2})$$

and by Theorem 1 of [2] we have

(13)
$$\|W_{ijn} - w_{ijn}\| = 0 \left(\frac{\overline{\Delta x^6}}{\overline{\Delta t^2}} + \overline{\Delta t^4} \right)$$

uniformly in n, where

(14)
$$||W_{ijn} - w_{ijn}|| = \frac{1}{(M-1)} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^{M-1} |W_{ijn} - w_{ijn}|^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

It thus follows that if the boundary value problem (2) is sufficiently well defined in the sense that the derivatives mentioned above exist boundedly in the closed region \overline{R} , then the solution of (4) converges in the mean to the solution of (2) with errors given by (13) as Δx and Δt tend to zero.

The convergence proof given above holds for a rectangular region only. In practice one is usually interested in point-wise convergence rather than convergence in the mean square sense. Section 6 establishes point-wise convergence of the solution of the difference system to the solution of the differental system.

6. Point-wise convergence. A solution of the boundary value problem (2) can be given in series form

(15)
$$W(x, y, t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A_{pq} \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \cos (p^2 + q^2) \pi^2 t .$$

The initial condition (2b) will be satisfied provided that A_{pq} are taken to be the Fourier coefficients of f(x, y), i.e.

(16)
$$A_{pq} = 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \, dx dy \; .$$

The conditions on f(x, y) are assumed to be such that the series (15) converges and is the unique solution of the boundary value problem (2). A solution w(x, y, t) of the finite difference system consisting of (4a, b, e, f) can be obtained by separation of variables as follows:

(17)
$$w(x, y, t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} B_{pq} \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \cos \frac{M^2 t}{r} \arccos \frac{\lambda_1(p, q)}{\lambda_2(p, q)}$$

where

$$egin{aligned} &\lambda_1(p,\,q)\!=\!(1\!-\!
ho s_p^2 s_q^2)^2 \;, \ &\lambda_2(p,\,q)\!=\!(1\!-\!
ho s_p^2 s_q^2)^2\!+\!
ho(s_p^2\!+\!s_q^2)^2 \;, \ &\Delta x\!=\!\varDelta y\!=\!1/M \;, \end{aligned}$$

and B_{pq} are arbitrary constants. The series (17) satisfies the finite difference system (4) except for the initial condition 4c).

We will now show that it is possible to choose the coefficients B_{pq} so that the solution w(x, y, t) of the difference system will converge to the solution W(x, y, t) of the differential system as $M \to \infty$. We first define an integer k(M) such that $k(M) < M^{1/5}$ and $\lim_{M \to \infty} k(M) = \infty$. We then choose the B_{pq} so that $B_{pq}=0$ for p > k(M), q > k(M) and the remaining B_{pq} so that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $M_1(\varepsilon)$ such that for $M > M_1$,

(18)
$$|B_{pq}-A_{pq}| < \varepsilon M^{-2/5}$$
 uniformly for $p, q=1, \cdots, k(M)$.

One way of satisfying (18) for instance is to choose $B_{pq}=A_{pq}$ for $p, q=1, \dots, k(M)$. An exact solution of the difference equation then is

(19)
$$w_{M}(x, y, t) = \sum_{p=1}^{k(M)} \sum_{q=1}^{k(M)} B_{pq} \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \cos \frac{M^{2}t}{r} \arccos \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}$$

This solution satisfies the initial condition

(20)
$$w_{M}(x, y, 0) = \sum_{p=1}^{k} \sum_{q=1}^{k} B_{pq} \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y$$

and of course does not satisfy the exact initial condition w(x, y, 0) = f(x, y). However, it will satisfy this initial condition in the limit as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 1. For any $\rho > 0$, $0 \leq z_1 \leq \frac{\pi}{2} M^{-4/5}$, $0 \leq z_2 \leq \frac{\pi}{2} M^{-4/5}$, there exists an $M_2(\rho)$ such that for $M > M_2$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$

(21)
$$\left|4r(z_1^2+z_2^2)-\arccos\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right| \leq \frac{r\varepsilon}{M^3},$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = (1 - \rho \sin^2 z_1 \sin^2 z_2)^2 ,$$

$$\lambda_2 = (1 - \rho \sin^2 z_1 \sin^2 z_2)^2 + \rho (\sin^2 z_1 + \sin^2 z_2)^2 .$$

Proof. We first choose $M_3(\rho)$ such that $M > M_3$ and for all admissible z_1, z_2

(22)
$$1-\rho \sin^2 z_1 \sin^2 z_2 > 0$$

Let

$$F(z_1, z_2) = 4r(z_1^2 + z_2^2) - \arccos \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$$

It is obvious that F(0, 0)=0 and it can be shown by direct calculation that the partial derivatives of $F(z_1, z_2)$ up to and including those of order 3 all vanish at $z_1=0$, $z_2=0$. Thus in the Taylor series expansion of $F(z_1, z_2)$ the remainder term is

$$R(z_1, z_2) = a_{41}z_1^4 + a_{42}z_1^3z_2 + a_{43}z_1^2z_1^2 + a_{44}z_1z_2^3 + a_{45}z_2^4$$
,

where the coefficients $a_{4i}(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2)$, $i=(1, \dots, 5)$, are related to the fourth derivatives of $F(z_1, z_2)$ and $0 < \bar{z}_1 < \frac{\pi}{2} M^{-4/5}$, $0 \leq \bar{z}_2 < \frac{\pi}{2} M^{-4/5}$. Using the inequality (22) it is possible to show that the a_{4i} are bounded functions of ρ . Thus using the extreme limits of z_1 , z_2 we have

$$|F(z_1,z_2)|\!\leq\!|R(z_1,z_2)|\!\leq\!A(
ho)\;.~~rac{\pi^4}{2^4}\!\cdot\!M^{_{-16/5}}\;,$$

and hence it follows that there exists an $M_2(\rho)$ such that for $M > M_2$,

$$|F(z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},z_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})|{<}rac{arepsilon r}{M^3}$$
 ,

as the lemma asserts.

Now multiplying (21) by $\frac{M^2 t}{r}$ and putting $z_1 = \frac{p\pi}{2M}$, $z_2 = \frac{q\pi}{2M}$ we have

$$\left|rac{M^2t}{r}rc \cosrac{\lambda_1(p,\,q)}{\lambda_2(p,\,q)}\!-\!\pi^2(p^2\!+\!q^2)t
ight|\!<\!rac{\epsilon t}{M}$$
 ,

and therefore

(23)
$$\left|\cos\frac{M^2t}{r} \arccos\frac{\lambda_1(p,q)}{\lambda_2(p,q)} - \cos\pi^2(p^2+q^2)t\right| < \frac{\epsilon t}{M}$$

THEOREM 1 (THE CONVERGENCE THEOREM). Under the assumptions

- a) $t\!>\!0$, $0\!<\!x\!<\!1$, $0\!<\!y\!<\!1$; $\rho\!>\!0$; $\rho,\,t,\,x,\,y$ fixed ;
- b) $|A_{pq}| \leq P$, P constant, for all $p, q=1, 2, \dots, \infty$;

c)
$$k(M) < M^{1/5}$$
, $\lim_{M \to \infty} k(M) = \infty$;
d) $|B_{pq} - A_{pq}| < \varepsilon M^{-2/5}$, $1 \le p, q \le k(M)$,

we have

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} w_M(x, y, t) = W(x, y, t)$$

or

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{k(M)}\sum_{q=1}^{k(M)}B_{pq}(M)\sin p\pi x\sin q\pi y\cos \frac{M^2t}{r}\arccos \frac{\lambda_1(p,q)}{\lambda_2(p,q)}$$
$$=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}A_{pq}\sin p\pi x\sin q\pi y\cos (p^2+q^2)\pi^2t .$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} w_{M}(x, y, t) - W(x, y, t) &= \sum_{p=1}^{k} \sum_{q=1}^{k} (B_{pq} - A_{pq}) \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \cos (p^{2} + q^{2}) \pi^{2} t \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^{k} \sum_{q=1}^{k} (B_{pq} - A_{pq}) \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \Big[\cos \frac{M^{2} t}{r} \arccos \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}} - \cos (p^{2} + q^{2}) \pi^{2} t \Big] \\ &+ \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{k} A_{pq} \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \Big[\cos \frac{M^{2} t}{r} \arccos \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}} - \cos (p^{2} + q^{2}) \pi^{2} t \Big] \\ &+ \sum_{k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k+1}^{\infty} A_{pq} \sin p\pi x \sin q\pi y \cos (p^{2} + q^{2}) \pi^{2} t , \\ &= I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4} . \end{split}$$

By conditions c) and d) above and Lemma 1,

$$egin{aligned} &|I_1|\!\leq\!k^{\!2}\!(M)\!\cdot\!arepsilon\!M^{-2/5}\!\leq\!arepsilon\;\;,\ &|I_2|\!\leq\!k^{\!2}\!(M)\cdotarepsilon\!M^{-2/5}rac{arepsilon t}{M}\!\leq\!rac{arepsilon^2}{M}\!\leq\!arepsilon^2\!t\;\;. \end{aligned}$$

By condition b) and c) and Lemma 1,

$$|I_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}| {\leq} P {f \cdot} k^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\!(M) {f \cdot} rac{arepsilon t}{M} {\leq} P arepsilon t$$
 ,

and because the series for w(x, y, t) converges there exists an M_4 such that for $M > M_4$

 $|I_{\scriptscriptstyle 4}|\!<\!arepsilon$.

Thus for $M > \max(M_1, M_2, M_4)$,

$$|w_{\mathfrak{M}}(x, y, t) - W(x, y, t)| \leq \varepsilon (2 + \varepsilon t + Pt)$$
.

This establishes the convergence theorem.

References

1. J. Douglas and H. Rachford, On the numerical solutions of heat conduction problems in two and three space variables, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (1956), 421-439.

2. J. Douglas, On the relation between stability and convergence in the numerical solution of linear parabolic and hyperbolic differential equations, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math., 4 (1956), 20-38.

THE RAMO-WOOLDRIDGE CORPORATION

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. L. ROYDEN Stanford University Stanford, California

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

E. G. STRAUS

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	A. HORN	L. NACHBIN	G. SZEKERES
C. E. BURGESS	V. GANAPATHY IYER	I. NIVEN	F. WOLF
M. HALL	R. D. JAMES	T. G. OSTROM	K. YOSIDA
E. HEWITT	M. S. KNEBELMAN	M. M. SCHIFFER	

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF UTAH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY * * UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA OREGON STATE COLLEGE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY THE RAMO-WOOLDRIDGE CORPORATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. G. Straus at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 10, 1-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 7, No. 4 April, 1957

Robert Geroge Buschman, A substitution theorem for the Laplace	
transformation and its generalization to transformations with	
	1529
S. D. Conte, <i>Numerical solution of vibration problems in two space variables</i>	1535
Paul Dedecker, A property of differential forms in the calculus of	
variations	1545
H. Delange and Heini Halberstam, A note on additive functions	1551
Jerald L. Ericksen, <i>Characteristic direction for equations of motion of</i> <i>non-Newtonian fluids</i>	1557
Avner Friedman, On two theorems of Phragmén-Lindelöf for linear elliptic	
and parabolic differential equations of the second order	1563
Ronald Kay Getoor, Additive functionals of a Markov process	1577
U. C. Guha, (γ, k) -summability of series	1593
Alvin Hausner, The tauberian theorem for group algebras of vector-valued	
functions	1603
Lester J. Heider, <i>T</i> -sets and abstract (L)-spaces	1611
Melvin Henriksen, Some remarks on a paper of Aronszajn and	
Panitchpakdi	1619
H. M. Lieberstein, On the generalized radiation problem of A. Weinstein	1623
Robert Osserman, <i>On the inequality</i> $\Delta u \ge f(u) \dots$	1641
Calvin R. Putnam, On semi-normal operators	
Binyamin Schwarz, Bounds for the principal frequency of the	
non-homogeneous membrane and for the generalized Dirichlet	
integral	1653
Edward Silverman, <i>Morrey's representation theorem for surfaces in metric</i>	
spaces	1677
V. N. Singh, Certain generalized hypergeometric identities of the	
Rogers-Ramanujan type. II	1691
R. J. Smith, A determinant in continuous rings	1701
Drury William Wall, <i>Sub-quasigroups of finite quasigroups</i>	1711
Sadayuki Yamamuro, Monotone completeness of normed semi-ordered	
linear spaces	1715
C. T. Rajagopal, Simplified proofs of "Some Tauberian theorems" of	
Jakimovski: Addendum and corrigendum	1727
N. Aronszajn and Prom Panitchpakdi, <i>Correction to: "Extension of</i>	
uniformly continuous transformations in hyperconvex metric	
spaces"	1729
Alfred Huber, <i>Correction to: "The reflection principle for polyharmonic</i>	
functions"	1731