

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ABELIAN GROUPS CHARACTERIZED BY THEIR
INDEPENDENT SUBSETS**

WU-CHUNG HSIANG

ABELIAN GROUPS CHARACTERIZED BY THEIR INDEPENDENT SUBSETS

WU-CHUNG HSIANG

1. Introduction. Recently, the theory of abelian groups has become an active field. This note is devoted to it. One of the important theorems, called "the theorem on the subgroups of a free abelian group U_n of finite rank n [2, p. 145] will be studied here. From now on the term "group" will be used instead of "abelian group", for simplicity. Since the notations, the definitions, and the terminologies vary for different authors, we refer to Kurosh [2] and Kaplansky [1] as standards. For example, for the definitions of the height of an element x in a primary group G (denoted by $h_G(x)$), of the lowest layer of a primary group we refer to [2], and the definitions, of $Z(n)$, of $Z(p^\infty)$ we refer to [1]. Moreover, the subgroups spanned by the subset $\{u_\alpha\}$ of a given group G is denoted by $(\{u_\alpha\})$, and in a primary group we write $o(x) = n$ if the order of the element x is equal to p^n .

For convenience, the following terminology is adopted.

I. A group G has property (A), if for any non-zero element x of G there exists a cyclic direct summand of G containing x .

II. A group G has property (B), if G is a direct sum of cyclic groups, and for any subgroup H of G there exists a basis $\{h_\alpha\}$ of H and a basis $\{g_\beta\}$ of G such that for any $h_\alpha \in \{h_\alpha\}$ we can find a $g_\alpha \in \{g_\beta\}$ with the property $h_\alpha \in (g_\alpha)$.

III. A group G has property (C), if for any independent subset $\{h_\alpha\}$ of G there exists another independent subset $\{g_\alpha\}$ of G such that $h_\alpha \in (g_\alpha)$ and $(\{g_\alpha\})$ is a direct summand of G .

The purpose of this paper is to give an analysis of these classes of groups. In particular, we show that a free group U_n of finite rank $n \geq 2$ has properties (A) and (B) but not (C).

GENERAL LEMMA. *A torsion group G has property (A), (B) or (C) respectively, if and only if each of its primary components has property (A), (B) or (C) respectively.*

Proof. We decompose G into its primary components,

$$G = \sum_p \bigoplus G_p .$$

We prove that G has property (C) if and only if each G_p has property (C). If for an independent subset $\{x_\alpha^{(p)}\} \subset G_p$, we can find an independent subset $\{g_\alpha\} \subset G$ such that $x_\alpha^{(p)} \in (g_\alpha)$ for all α , and the subgroup

$$\sum_{\alpha} \oplus (g_{\alpha})$$

is a direct summand of G , then we can find a subset $\{g_{\alpha}^{(p)}\} \subset G_p$ such that $x_{\alpha}^{(p)} \in (g_{\alpha}^{(p)})$ and $\sum_{\alpha} \oplus (g_{\alpha}^{(p)})$ is a direct summand of G_p . To find the desired subset $\{g_{\alpha}^{(p)}\} \subset G_p$, we need only consider the p -components of g_{α} 's, since $x_{\alpha}^{(p)} \in (g_{\alpha})$ implies that $x_{\alpha}^{(p)}$ is contained in the p -component of (g_{α}) which is a cyclic subgroup of G_p generated by the p -component of g_{α} .

Conversely, if for every p -component $\{x_{\alpha}^{(p)}\} \subset G_p$ of an independent subset $\{x_{\alpha}\} \subset G$, we can find an independent subset $\{g_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}$ such that $x_{\alpha}^{(p)} \in (g_{\alpha}^{(p)})$ for all α 's and the subgroup $\sum_{\alpha} \oplus (g_{\alpha}^{(p)})$ is a direct summand of G_p , then there exists an independent subset $\{g_{\alpha}\} \subset G$ such that $x_{\alpha} \in (g_{\alpha})$ for all α 's and the subgroup $\sum_{\alpha} \oplus (g_{\alpha})$ is a direct summand of G . Since every x_{α} has only a finite number of p -components different from zero, we can set $g_{\alpha}^{(p)} = 0$ when $x_{\alpha}^{(p)} = 0$. To find the desired subset $\{g_{\alpha}\} \subset G$, we need only set

$$g_{\alpha} = \sum_p g_{\alpha}^{(p)} .$$

The independence of $\{g_{\alpha}\}$ follows from the independence of $\{g_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}$ for all p , and $x_{\alpha} \in (g_{\alpha})$ follows from

$$(x_{\alpha}) = \sum_p \oplus (x_{\alpha}^{(p)}) \subset \sum_p \oplus (g_{\alpha}^{(p)}) = (g_{\alpha}) .$$

The other two parts of the lemma are proved in an analogous manner.

2. Groups with property (A). To discuss groups with property (A), we need several lemmas.

LEMMA I. *If G is a primary cyclic group of the type $Z(p^n)$, and x is a non-zero element of G , then*

$$o(x) + h_{\alpha}(x) = n .$$

This is clear.

LEMMA II. *If G is a primary group of the type*

$$Z(p^{h_1}) \oplus Z(p^{h_2}) \oplus H$$

where $3 \leq h_1 + 2 \leq h_2$, then it is not a group with property (A).

Proof. Let u_1, u_2 be the generators of $Z(p^{h_1}), Z(p^{h_2})$ respectively. Therefore,

$$o(u_2) = h_2 \geq h_1 + 2 = o(u_1) + 2 .$$

To prove Lemma II, it is sufficient to show that there does not exist

any cyclic direct summand of G containing $p^{h_1-1}u_1 + p^{h_1}u_2$. Suppose the contrary. Then, we can find a cyclic direct summand $V = (v)$ containing $p^{h_1-1}u_1 + p^{h_1}u_2$. Since $h_2 - 2 \geq h_1$, it is clear that the lowest layers of V and of the cyclic group $(p^{h_1-1}u_1 + p^{h_1}u_2)$ are both equal to $(p^{h_2-1}u_2)$, and $o(p^{h_1-1}u_1 + p^{h_1}u_2) = h_2 - h_1$. Let $o(v) = k$. Since (v) and (u_2) are direct summands of G ,

$$h_G(p^{k-1}v) = h_V(p^{k-1}v) = k - 1$$

and

$$h_G(p^{h_2-1}u_2) = h_V(p^{h_2-1}u_2) = h_2 - 1.$$

But since the lowest layer of (v) is $(p^{k-1}v) = (p^{h_2-1}u_2)$, we have $k - 1 = h_2 - 1$, and $o(v) = k = h_2$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma I that

$$h_V(p^{h_1-1}u_1 + p^{h_1}u_2) = h_2 - (h_2 - h_1) = h_1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$h_G(p^{h_1-1}u_1 + p^{h_1}u_2) = \min(h_1 - 1, h_1) = h_1 - 1.$$

This is a contradiction since $V = (v)$, being a direct summand, is a pure subgroup of G , so that for $x \in V$, $h_V(x) = h_G(x)$.

LEMMA III. *A primary group G has property (A) if and only if it is of the type*

$$\sum_p \oplus Z_p(p^h) \oplus \sum_\lambda \oplus Z_\lambda(p^{h+1}).$$

Proof. First, G must be a reduced group. If this is not the case, we can find a non-zero x of G which is of infinite height in G . It is clear that x cannot be contained in a cyclic direct summand (g) of G . Second, G must be of bounded order, therefore G is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Otherwise, by [1, Theorem 9] there exists a direct summand $(u_1) \oplus (u_2)$ of G of the type

$$Z(p^{h_1}) \oplus Z(p^{h_2}), \quad 3 \leq h_1 + 2 \leq h_2.$$

Then, by Lemma II, G is not a group with property (A). Moreover, the bounded group G must be of the type

$$\sum_p \oplus Z_p(p^h) \oplus \sum_\lambda \oplus Z_\lambda(p^{h+1}).$$

Conversely, if G is of the type

$$\sum_p \oplus Z_p(p^h) \oplus \sum_\lambda \oplus Z_\lambda(p^{h+1}),$$

we claim that it is a group with property (A). Let $\{u_p\}$ and $\{u_\lambda\}$ be

the generators of the cyclic subgroups of the type $Z_\rho(p^h)$ and $Z_\lambda(p^{h+1})$ respectively. Then, for any non-zero $x \in G$, we can express it as

$$\sum_1^s a_i p^{l_i} u_{\rho_i} + \sum_{s+1}^t b_j p^{l_j} u_{\lambda_j}$$

such that $(a_i, p) = (b_j, p) = 1$ and $0 \leq l_i < h$, $0 \leq l_j \leq h$.

It is obvious that

$$h_G(x) = \min (l_1, \dots, l_s, l_{s+1}, \dots, l_t)$$

$$o(x) = \max (h - l_1, \dots, h - l_s, h + 1 - l_{s+1}, \dots, h + 1 - l_t).$$

If $o(x)$ is equal to a certain $h - l_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$, that is $h - l_1$, then the generator \tilde{x} of the lowest layer of (x) is of height $h - 1$ in G . Since

$$h - l_1 \geq h - l_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq s)$$

$$h - l_1 \geq h + 1 - l_j \quad (s + 1 \leq j \leq t),$$

we have

$$l_1 \leq l_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq s)$$

$$l_1 < l_j \quad (s + 1 \leq j \leq t).$$

Hence, the cyclic subgroup

$$\left(\sum_1^s a_i p^{l_i - l_1} u_{\rho_i} + \sum_{s+1}^t b_j p^{l_j - l_1} u_{\lambda_j} \right)$$

is pure, and by [1, Theorem 7] is a direct summand, which contains the element x . The cyclic subgroup is pure by [1, Lemma 7].

If $o(x)$ is equal to a certain $h + 1 - l_j (s + 1 \leq j \leq t)$, that is $h + 1 - l_{s+1}$, but not equal to any $h - l_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$, then the generator \tilde{x} of the lowest layer of (x) is of height h in G . We have

$$l_i > l_{s+1} - 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq s)$$

$$l_j \geq l_{s+1} \quad (s + 1 \leq j \leq t).$$

Consequently, by the same argument as we used above,

$$\left(\sum_1^s a_i p^{l_i - l_{s+1}} u_{\rho_i} + \sum_{s+1}^t b_j p^{l_j - l_{s+1}} u_{\lambda_j} \right)$$

is the desired direct summand containing x . This proves our lemma.

THEOREM A₁. *A group G with property (A) cannot be mixed, i.e., it is either torsion or torsion-free. Moreover, a torsion group G has property (A) if and only if G is of the type*

$$\sum_p \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha_p} \oplus Z_{\alpha_p}(p^{h_p}) \oplus \sum_{\beta_p} \oplus Z_{\beta_p}(p^{h_p+1}) \right).$$

Proof. If G is a torsion group, the theorem follows from the General lemma, and Lemma III. Now, we are going to prove that G cannot be mixed. If G has property (A), then the torsion subgroup $T(G)$ of G must be of the type

$$\sum_p \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha_p} \oplus Z_{\omega_p}(p^{h_p}) \oplus \sum_{\beta_p} \oplus Z_{\beta_p}(p^{h_p+1}) \right).$$

If this is not the case, $T(G)$ does not have property (A), and there exists a non-zero element $x \in T(G)$ which is not contained in any cyclic direct summand (g) of $T(G)$, and therefore, it cannot be contained in a cyclic direct summand of G . We shall prove that if $T(G) \neq 0$, then $G = T(G)$. Suppose the contrary. There exists a non-zero $x \in G$, such that we can find an infinite cyclic direct summand (u_1) of G containing x . Select a non-zero primary component $T_{p_1}(G)$ of G , which is of the type

$$\sum_{\lambda_{p_1}} \oplus Z_{\lambda_{p_1}}(p_1^{h_{p_1}}) \oplus \sum_{\mu_{q_1}} \oplus Z_{\mu_{p_1}}(p_1^{h_{p_1}+1}).$$

We have

$$G = (u_1) \oplus T_{p_1}(G) \oplus G',$$

by [1 Theorem 7]. Consider the element $p_1^s u_1 + \alpha_{p_1}$, where $s > h_{p_1} + 1 \geq 1$ and $h_{T_{p_1}(G)}(\alpha_{p_1}) = 0$. Since G has property (A), there exists a cyclic direct summand (g) containing $p_1^s u_1 + \alpha_{p_1}$. We have

$$g = l_1 u_1 + b_{p_1} + g', \text{ where } g' \in G', b_{p_1} \in T_{p_1}(G).$$

By the hypothesis, there exists an integer l such that

$$lg = ll_1 u_1 + lb_{p_1} + lg' = p_1^s u_1 + \alpha_{p_1}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$l = p_1^c, l_1 = p_1^d, \text{ and } g' = 0, \text{ where } c + d = s.$$

Thus

$$g = b_{p_1} + p_1^d u_1, \text{ and } \alpha_{p_1} = p_1^c b_{p_1}.$$

Since $h_{T_{p_1}(G)}(\alpha_{p_1}) = 0$, we must have also $c = 0$, that is $l = 1$ and $g = p_1^d u_1 + \alpha_{p_1}$. Hence $(p_1^d u_1 + \alpha_{p_1})$ is a direct summand of G . Since

$$(p_1^d u_1 + \alpha_{p_1}) \subset T_{p_1}(G) \oplus (u_1),$$

we have that $(p_1^d u_1 + \alpha_{p_1})$ is also a cyclic direct summand of $T_{p_1}(G) \oplus (u_1)$. It is clear that

$$T_{p_1}(G) \oplus (u_1) = T_{p_1}(G) \oplus (p_1^d u_1 + \alpha_{p_1}).$$

Then

$$u_1 = f(p_1^s u_1 + a_{p_1}) + b_{p_1} = f p_1^s u_1 + f a_{p_1} + b_{p_1}$$

for a non-zero integer f , and an element $b_{p_1} \in T_{p_1}(G)$. It follows that $u_1 = f p_1^s u_1$, which contradicts $s > 1$. Therefore the proof is complete.

THEOREM A₂. *A free group G has property (A).*

Proof. Since $G = \sum_{\nu} \oplus (u_{\nu})$, each $x \neq 0$ in G can be written $x = a_1 u_{\nu_1} + a_2 u_{\nu_2} + \cdots + a_n u_{\nu_n}$. Hence

$$G = \sum_1^n \oplus (u_{\nu_i}) \oplus \sum_{\nu \neq \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n} \oplus (u_{\nu}),$$

and it follows from the theorem on the subgroups of a free group of rank n [2, p. 145], that there exist a basis v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n of $\sum_1^n \oplus (u_{\nu_i})$ such that $x \in (v_1)$. But (v_1) is a direct summand of G , so that G has property (A).

REMARK.¹ A torsion-free group with property (A) need not be a free group. The counter-example is the unrestricted direct sum of infinitely many infinite cyclic groups. This group has property (A) and is not free [2, p. 216].

EXAMPLE. Let G be the unrestricted direct sum of infinitely many infinite cyclic groups. Then G has property (A).

Proof. Let $g \in G$, $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i a_i$, where G is the unrestricted direct sum of infinite cyclic groups (a_i) . Let $m = (k_i, l = 1, 2, \dots)$. Then g.c.d. there exists a finite subset of the k_i , say k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n , such that g.c.d. $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) = m$. Then we have

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m l_i a_i = m \left[\sum_{i=1}^n l_i a_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} l_i a_i \right]$$

where g.c.d. $(l_1, \dots, l_n) = 1$. Let

$$g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i a_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} l_i a_i$$

and

$$H = \left\{ h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i a_i \in G \mid \alpha_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Then G/H is isomorphic to $\sum_n^n \oplus (a)$, a free group of rank n . Now,

$$(H, g_1)/H = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n l_i a_i + H \right)$$

¹ This remark and the following example are due to R. A. Beaumont.

is a cyclic subgroup of G/H . By the theorem on subgroups of a free group of rank n , there exists a basis v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n of G/H such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n l_i a_i + H \in (v_1) .$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n l_i a_i + H = e v_1 = e \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i a_i + H ,$$

so that $(e\beta_i - l_i)a_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $l_i = e\beta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and

$$\text{g.c.d.}(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n) = \text{g.c.d.}(e\beta_1, e\beta_2, \dots, e\beta_n) = e = 0 .$$

We have proved $\sum_{i=1}^n l_i a_i + H = v_1$, so that

$$G/H = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n l_i a_i + H \right) \oplus (v_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (v_n) = (g_1 + H) \oplus G'/H .$$

Then $G = (g_1) \oplus G'$, and $g = m g_1 \in (g_1)$. The problem of characterization of torsion-free groups with property (A) is still open.

3. Groups with property (B). We prove first that for primary groups, properties (A) and (B) are equivalent.

LEMMA IV. *A primary group G has property (B) if and only if it is of the type*

$$\sum_{\lambda} \oplus Z_{\lambda}(p^k) \oplus \sum_{\mu} \oplus Z_{\mu}(p^{h+1}) .$$

Proof. The necessary condition follows from Lemma III since property (B) implies property (A). Conversely, suppose that $G \cong M \oplus N$ where

$$M \cong \sum_{\lambda} \oplus Z_{\lambda}(p^k) \text{ and } N \cong \sum_{\mu} \oplus Z_{\mu}(p^{h+1}) .$$

Let H be a subgroup of G . Then,² $(\overline{H} \cap \overline{M}) \subset \overline{H}$. Since both $\overline{H} \cap \overline{M}$ and \overline{H} are of bounded height in H , by [1, Lemma 11], applied to the primary group H , we have a basis $\{h_{\alpha_1}\} \cup \{h_{\alpha_2}\}$ of H such that

$$\overline{\sum_{\alpha_1} \oplus (h_{\alpha_1})} = (\overline{H} \cap \overline{M})$$

and

$$\overline{\sum_{\alpha_1} \oplus (h_{\alpha_1}) \oplus \sum_{\alpha_2} \oplus (h_{\alpha_2})} = \overline{H} .$$

² We denote the lowest layer of a primary group K by \overline{K} . Thus $\overline{K} = \{x \in K \mid px = 0\}$.

By Lemma III, every h_α is contained in a cyclic direct summand (g_α) of G . We are going to prove that $\{g_\alpha\} = \{g_{\alpha_1}\} \cup \{g_{\alpha_2}\}$ is a pure independent subset of G . The independence of $\{g_\alpha\}$ follows from the independence of $\{h_{\alpha_1}\} \cup \{h_{\alpha_2}\}$. We need only prove that

$$G_1 = \sum_{\alpha} \oplus (g_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha_1} \oplus (g_{\alpha_1}) \oplus \sum_{\alpha_2} \oplus (g_{\alpha_2})$$

is pure in G . It is sufficient to prove that every $g_1 \in \overline{G}_1$ has the same height in G_1 as in G , by [1, Lemma 7]. The element $g_1 (\neq 0) \in \overline{G}_1$ can be written as

$$g_1 = p^{h-1} \left[\sum_1^s l_i g_{\alpha_i} \right] + p^h \left[\sum_{s+1}^t l_j g_{\alpha_j} \right]$$

where $(l_i, p) = (l_j, p) = 1$.

If the first sum does not occur in the above expression for g_1 , $h_{G_1}(g_1) = h$, which is the maximal height of the element of order p in G , and we have $h_{G_1}(g_1) = h_G(g_1)$.

If the first sum does occur then $g_1 = g_1^{(M)} + g_1^{(N)}$ where $g_1^{(M)} (\neq 0) \in \overline{M}$ and $g_1^{(N)} \in \overline{N}$.

Since $G = M \oplus N$, it follows that

$$h_G(g_1) = \min (h_M(g_1^{(M)}), h_N(g_1^{(N)})) = h - 1$$

which is the minimal height of the element of order p in G_1 , hence $h_G(g_1) = h_{G_1}(g_1)$.

Therefore, $\{g_\alpha\}$ is a pure independent subset of G . By [1, Lemma 11] the set $\{g_\alpha\}$ can be enlarged to a basis $\{g_\beta\}$ of G , which is the desired one.

LEMMA V. *A torsion free group G has property (B) if and only if it is a free group of finite rank.*

Proof. If G is a free group of finite rank, by the theorem on the subgroups of a free group of finite rank, it has property (B).

It is well known that a group of order σ is isomorphic to a factor group of a free group of rank σ , and for any infinite cardinal ρ there exists a group of order ρ which is not a direct sum of cyclic groups. Since the group G with property (B) is a free group, we shall show that it must be of finite rank. Suppose to the contrary that G is of infinite rank. Then, select a subgroup V of G such that G/V is not a direct sum of cyclic groups. It is clear that G cannot have property (B) with respect to V .

THEOREM B. *A group G has property (B) if and only if either*

- (a) *G is a free group of finite rank, or*
- (b) *G is of the type*

$$\sum_p \oplus \left(\sum_{\lambda_p} \oplus Z_{\lambda_p}(p^{h_\nu}) \oplus \sum_{\mu_p} \oplus Z_{\mu_p}(p^{h_{\nu+1}}) \right).$$

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Lemma IV, Lemma V and the General lemma. Since (B) implies (A), G must be a torsion-free group or a group of the type (b) by Theorem A₁. If G is torsion-free, by Lemma V, G must be a free group of finite rank. Therefore, the condition is also necessary.

4. Groups with property (C). We note first that (C) implies (A) and (B). It is immediate that (C) implies (A). Let G have property (C) and let $\{h_\alpha\}$ be a maximal independent set in G . Then there exists another independent subset $\{g_\alpha\}$ of G such that $h_\alpha \in \langle g_\alpha \rangle$, and $\langle \{g_\alpha\} \rangle$ is a direct summand of G . Since $\{h_\alpha\}$ is maximal, $G = \langle \{g_\alpha\} \rangle$, that is G is a direct sum of cyclic groups. If $\{h_\alpha\}$ is a basis of a subgroup H of G , then the independent set $\{g_\alpha\}$ such that $h_\alpha \in \langle g_\alpha \rangle$ is pure (since $\langle \{g_\alpha\} \rangle$ is a direct summand) and can be enlarged to a basis of G by [1, Lemma 11]. Hence (C) implies (B).

LEMMA VI. *A primary group G has property (C) if and only if it is of the type*

$$\sum_\lambda \oplus Z_\lambda(p^h).$$

Proof. Since (C) implies (A), the group G is of the type

$$\sum_\lambda \oplus Z_\lambda(p^h) \oplus \sum_\mu \oplus Z_\mu(p^{h+1}).$$

If both sets of indices for λ, μ are non-empty, then there exists $\langle u_1 \rangle \oplus \langle u_2 \rangle$, a direct summand of G such that $o(u_1) = h \geq 1$ and $o(u_2) = h + 1$.

Now, consider the independent subset $\{p^{h-1}u_1, p^{h-1}u_1 + p^h u_2\}$ of G . It is clear that

$$p^h u_2 \in \langle p^{h-1}u_1, p^{h-1}u_1 + p^h u_2 \rangle.$$

If there exists a direct summand $V = \langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle \oplus \langle \tilde{u}_2 \rangle$ of G such that $p^{h-1}u_1 \in \langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle, p^{h-1}u_1 + p^h u_2 \in \langle \tilde{u}_2 \rangle$, then

$$\langle p^{h-1}u_1 \rangle = \overline{\langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle}, \langle p^{h-1}u_1 + p^h u_2 \rangle = \overline{\langle \tilde{u}_2 \rangle}.$$

Since $p^h u_2 \in V$, we must have

$$h_v(p^h u_2) = h = \min. (h_v(p^{h-1}u_1), h_v(p^{h-1}u_1 + p^h u_2)) = h - 1,$$

which is a contradiction, therefore the condition is necessary.

Conversely, if G is of the type

$$\sum_\lambda \oplus Z_\lambda(p^h),$$

then it follows from Lemma III, that any element h_α of an independent subset $\{h_\alpha\}$ of G can be contained in a cyclic direct summand of G , viz., (u_α) . Now, we are going to prove that $\{u_\alpha\}$ is a pure independent subset of G , hence by [1, Theorem 7], $U = \sum_\alpha \bigoplus (u_\alpha)$ is a direct summand of G . The independence of $\{u_\alpha\}$ follows immediately from the independence of $\{h_\alpha\}$. Since every element x of order p in U has height $h - 1$ in U which is the height of x in G , U is pure in G , by [1, Lemma 7]. Thus, G has property (C).

LEMMA VII. *A torsion free group has property (C), if and only if $G = I$ (the integers).*

Proof. If $G = I$, it clearly has property (C). Conversely, we shall prove that $G = I$ is also necessary. Suppose to the contrary that G is a free group of rank not less than 2. (Since (C) implies (B), G is a free group of finite rank.) Then, there exists a subgroup V of G such that

$$G/V \cong Z(p) \oplus Z(p^3).$$

Let u_1 and u_2 be the generators of $Z(p)$ and $Z(p^3)$, respectively. Let H be the complete inverse image of $(u_1 + pu_2)$ with respect to the homomorphic mapping of G onto $Z(p) \oplus Z(p^3)$. Then, H is a free group of finite rank, therefore, a group with property (B). There exists a basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ of V and a basis $\{h_\beta\}$ of H such that for any v_α there is an $h_\alpha \in \{h_\beta\}$ with the property $v_\alpha \in (h_\alpha)$. If G is a group with the property (C), then there exists a basis $\{g_\gamma\}$, such that $h_\beta \in (g_\beta)$ for all β , where $\beta_\beta \in \{g_\gamma\}$. Hence $G/V = \sum_\alpha \bigoplus (g_\alpha)/(v_\alpha)$ and $H/V = \sum_\alpha \bigoplus (h_\alpha)/(v_\alpha)$ where $(h_\alpha)/(v_\alpha) \subset (g_\alpha)/(v_\alpha)$. Since $H/V \cong (u_1 + pu_2)$ is cyclic, $H/V = (h_{\alpha_1})/(v_{\alpha_1})$ consists of a single summand of order p^2 which is contained in a cyclic direct summand of $G/V \cong Z(p) \oplus Z(p^3)$ of order p^3 . Thus $u_1 + pu_2 = p\bar{u}_2$, where (\bar{u}_2) is a direct summand of G/V . This implies that $h_{G/V}(\bar{u}_1 + p\bar{u}_2) = 1$, but on the other hand it is clear that $h_{G/V}(u_1 + pu_2) = 0$. This contradiction completes the proof.

THEOREM C. *A group G has property (C), if and only if either*

- (a) $G = I$, or
- (b) G is of the type

$$\sum_p \bigoplus \left(\sum_{\lambda_p} \bigoplus Z_{\lambda_p}(p^{\lambda_p}) \right).$$

Proof. Since (C) implies (A), the theorem follows from Theorem A₁, Lemma VI, Lemma VII and the General lemma.

5. Conclusion. We have analyzed the groups characterized by

their independent subsets. The classical theorem on the subgroups of a free group U_n of finite rank is obviously a particular case of Theorem B; Theorem A, Theorem A₁ and Theorem C are its generalizations.

I extend my sincerest appreciation to Professor Ross. A. Beaumont for his suggestions, comments and corrections in the preparation of this paper. And I wish to thank my friends for their encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, University of Michigan Publ. Math., No. 2, 1954.
2. A. G. Kurosh, *Theory of Groups*, I, 2nd edition. New York, 1955. (English translation)

TAIWAN UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University
Stanford, California

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

E. G. STRAUS

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

C. E. BURGESS

M. HALL

E. HEWITT

A. HORN

V. GANAPATHY IYER

R. D. JAMES

M. S. KNEBELMAN

L. NACHBIN

I. NIVEN

T. G. OSTROM

H. L. ROYDEN

M. M. SCHIFFER

G. SZEKERES

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
THE RAMO-WOOLDRIDGE CORPORATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any of the editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, E. G. Straus at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Michael Israel Aissen, <i>A set function defined for convex plane domains</i>	383
Robert Ellis, <i>Distal transformation groups</i>	401
Ciprian Foias, <i>On a commutative extension of a commutative Banach algebra</i>	407
Jerry William Gaddum, <i>Linear inequalities and quadratic forms</i>	411
Allen A. Goldstein and Elliott Ward Cheney, Jr., <i>A finite algorithm for the solution of consistent linear equations and inequalities and for the Tchebycheff approximation of inconsistent linear equations</i>	415
William L. Hart and T. S. Motzkin, <i>Proof of the fundamental theorem on implicit functions by use of composite gradient corrections</i>	429
Henry Berge Helson, <i>Conjugate series and a theorem of Paley</i>	437
Wu-Chung Hsiang, <i>Abelian groups characterized by their independent subsets</i>	447
John W. Lamperti, <i>On the isometries of certain function-spaces</i>	459
Karel DeLeeuw and Walter Rudin, <i>Extreme points and extremum problems in H_1</i>	467
Eugene Lukacs, <i>Some extensions of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz</i>	487
George W. Mackey, <i>Multiplicity free representations of finite groups</i>	503
Eben Matlis, <i>Injective modules over Noetherian rings</i>	511
John William Neuberger, <i>Continuous products and nonlinear integral equations</i>	529
Lawrence Edward Payne and Hans F. Weinberger, <i>New bounds for solutions of second order elliptic partial differential equations</i>	551
William T. Reid, <i>A Prüfer transformation for differential systems</i>	575
Howard L. Rolf, <i>The free lattice generated by a set of chains</i>	585
K. M. Saksena, <i>Inversion and representation theorems for a generalized Laplace integral</i>	597
Daniel Shanks, <i>Two theorems of Gauss</i>	609
Paul Slepian, <i>On the Lebesgue area of a doubled map</i>	613
Otto Szász and Nelson Paul Yeadley, Jr., <i>The representation of an analytic function by general Laguerre series</i>	621
Alan C. Woods, <i>On two-dimensional convex bodies</i>	635