Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A BOUND FOR THE ORDERS OF THE COMPONENTS OF A SYSTEM OF ALGEBRAIC DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

BERNARD GREENSPAN

Vol. 9, No. 2

June 1959

A BOUND FOR THE ORDERS OF THE COMPONENTS OF A SYSTEM OF ALGEBRAIC DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

BERNARD GREENSPAN

1. The object of this paper is to obtain a bound for the orders of the components of a system of algebraic difference equations, *each component of which is of dimension zero*. In the analytic case, this roughly amounts to determining the maximum number of arbitrary functions of period unity which each corresponding manifold can possess.

2. We deal with difference polynomials in *n* indeterminates y_1, \dots, y_n having coefficients in an inversive difference field, \mathscr{F} , of characteristic zero. Transforms are denoted by means of a second subscript appended to Latin letters having a single subscript. Thus, for example, $A_{3,4}^{(2)}$ denotes the fourth transform of $A_3^{(2)}$. The symbol $\mathscr{F} \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ denotes the ring of difference polynomials in the indeterminates y_1, \dots, y_n . The perfect difference ideal generated by a system \mathscr{O} of difference polynomials is designated $\{\mathscr{O}\}$. Unless there is a possibility for confusion, the term "ideal" is used for the longer "reflexive difference ideal". It is well known that every perfect ideal is the intersection of a finite number of prime ideals, none of which contain any other, [4]. As in ordinary or in differential algebra, these prime ideals are termed components of the decomposition of the perfect ideal.

If Λ is a prime ideal in $\mathscr{F} \{u_1, \dots, u_q; y_1, \dots, y_p\}$, then the u_i are said to constitute a *parametric set* of *indeterminates*, or briefly *parameters*, of Λ if

(1) Λ contains no nonzero difference polynomial in the u_i alone;

(2) for each k, $1 \leq k \leq p$, there exists in Λ a nonzero difference polynomial in y_k and u_1, \dots, u_q .

It is shown in [1, p. 141] that all parametric sets of a given reflexive prime difference ideal Λ contain the same number of parameters. This number is known as the *dimension* of Λ , and is briefly denoted *dim* Λ . If the prime ideal has no parameters, we say its dimension is zero.

By the order of a prime ideal Λ in $\mathscr{F} \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, we mean the algebraic dimension of Λ , that is $\partial^0 \mathscr{F} (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n; \eta_{11}, \dots, \eta_{n1}; \eta_{12}, \dots, \eta_{n2}; \dots)/\mathscr{F}$ or $\partial^0 \mathscr{F} < \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n > /\mathscr{F}$, where η_1, \dots, η_n is a generic zero of Λ .

A system of difference (differential) polynomials in $\mathscr{F}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is said to be of type (r_1, \dots, r_n) if r_1, \dots, r_n are the maximum orders of the transforms (derivatives) of y_1, \dots, y_n respectively that appear in the system.

Received September 4, 1958. The author wishes to thank Professor R. M. Cohn for help in preparing this paper.

3. Ritt proved the following theorem in [2].

If Φ is a system of nonzero differential polynomials in $\mathscr{F} \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of type (r_1, \dots, r_n) and Σ is a component of $\{\Phi\}$ of dimension zero, then the order of Σ does not exceed $r_1 + \dots + r_n$.

We shall prove the following analogous, but weaker theorem for a system of difference polynomials.

THEOREM. Let \mathscr{F} be an inversive difference field of characteristic zero. If φ is a system of nonzero difference polynomials in $\mathscr{F} \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of type (r_1, \dots, r_n) and every component of $\{\varphi\}$ is of dimension zero, then the order of each component is at most $r_1 + \dots + r_n$.

4. LEMMA. Let A_1, \dots, A_p be a chain in $\mathscr{F}[u_1, \dots, u_q; y_1, \dots, y_p]$, A_i being of class q + i. Suppose A_1, \dots, A_{p-1} is a characteristic set of a prime ideal. Then there exist nonzero polynomials G_1, \dots, G_r with the following properties

(i) For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq r$, the set

$$A_1, \cdots, A_{p-1}; G_j$$

is a characteristic set of a prime ideal.

(ii) There exists a polynomial G in u_1, \dots, u_q and a product I of powers of initials of A_1, \dots, A_{p-1} such that $I(GA_p - G_1 \dots G_r)$ is a linear combination of A_1, \dots, A_{p-1} .

(iii) The G_j are of positive degree in y_p and the sum of these degrees is the degree of A_p in y_p .

Proof.¹ Let $(\gamma) = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_q; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1})$ be a generic zero of the prime ideal. Let C_1, \dots, C_r be the irreducible factors of $A_p(\gamma; y_p)$ in $\mathscr{F}(\gamma)[y_p]$. We note that when the coefficients of the C_j are written in the form φ/ψ , each ψ may be chosen to be a polynomial in the τ_i only, and each φ may be chosen of degree in y_i less than that of A_i in y_i , $(1 \leq i \leq p-1)$. Now there exist $G_j \in \mathscr{F}[u_1, \dots, u_q; y_1, \dots, y_p]$, $(1 \leq j \leq r)$, and $B \in \mathscr{F}[u_1, \dots, u_q; y_1, \dots, y_{p-1}]$ with $B(\gamma) \neq 0$, $C_j = G_j(\gamma; y_p)/B(\gamma)$, $deg_{y_p}G_j = deg_{y_p}C_j$. In particular, (iii) holds. Let $G = B^r$. Then $GA_p - G_1 \cdots G_r$ vanishes when $(u_1, \dots, u_q; y_1, \dots, y_q; y_q)$.

Let $G = B^r$. Then $GA_p - G_1 \cdots G_r$ vanishes when $(u_1, \cdots, u_q; y_1, \cdots, y_{p-1})$ is replaced by (γ) . For some I as described in the lemma

$$I(GA_p-G_1\cdots G_r)\equiv C, \qquad [A_1,\cdots,A_{p-1}]$$
 ,

where $C \in \mathscr{F}[u_1, \dots, u_q; y_1, \dots, y_p]$, $\deg_{y_p} C < \deg_{y_p} A_i$, $(1 \leq i \leq p-1)$.

¹ We are indebted to the referee for this proof, which is somewhat shorter than ours which consisted of a modification of an old proof of J. F. Ritt's.

Since $C(\gamma; y_p) = 0$, it follows that C = 0, so that (ii) holds.

Letting ζ_j be a root of C_j , we see that A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, G_j form a characteristic set of the prime ideal with generic zero $(\gamma; \zeta_j)$. This proves (i).

5.. We now prove the theorem. Let Σ be a component of $\{\emptyset\}$, If we treat the transforms of y_1, \dots, y_n as indeterminates in the algebraic sense, then difference polynomials of Σ can be thought of as ordinary polynomials. Let Σ_{u_1,\dots,u_n} denote the set of difference polynomials of Σ considered as algebraic polynomials in the ring $\mathscr{F}[y_1,\dots,y_{1u_1};$ $\dots; y_n,\dots, y_{nu_n}]$. It is readily seen that Σ_{u_1,\dots,u_n} is an algebraic ideal, and as Σ is prime, it is a prime ideal.

Denote $\Sigma_{r_1,...,r_n}$ by $\overline{\Sigma}$. Then $\overline{\Sigma} \supseteq \emptyset$. Assume $r_1 \ge r_2 \ge \cdots \ge r_n$. Let $r_i - r_{i+1} = k_i$, $(i = 1, \dots, n-1)$, and $m_i = \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} k_j$. Consider the following array.

$$(1) \begin{array}{c} y_{1}, \dots, y_{1k_{1}}, \dots, y_{1,k_{1}+k_{2}}, \dots, y_{1m_{1}}, \dots, y_{1r_{1}} \\ y_{2}, \dots, y_{2k_{2}}, \dots, y_{2m_{2}}, \dots, y_{2r_{2}} \\ y_{3}, \dots, y_{3m_{3}}, \dots, y_{3r_{3}} \\ \dots \\ y_{n}, \dots, y_{nr_{n}} \end{array}$$

For the purpose of constructing a characteristic set of Σ , let the indeterminates be ordered by reading the foregoing array columnwise. Thus, we have the ordering

(2)
$$\begin{array}{c}y_1, \cdots, y_{1k_1}, y_2, \cdots, y_{1,k_1+k_2}, y_{2k_2}, y_3, \cdots, \\y_{1m_1}, y_{2m_2}, y_{3m_3}, \cdots, y_n, \cdots, \\y_{1r_1}, y_{2r_2}, y_{3r_3}, \cdots, y_{nr_n}\end{array}$$

Let \mathfrak{A} denote the characteristic set of $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ which we are going to construct with respect to the ordering (2). Denote the polynomial of \mathfrak{A} which introduces y_{ij} by $A_i^{(j)}$. We shall show

(a1) If y_{ih} , $0 \leq h < r_i$, is introduced by a polynomial in \mathfrak{A} , then $y_{i,h+1}$ is introduced by a polynomial in \mathfrak{A} ;²

($\alpha 2$) y_{ir_i} , $(i = 1, \dots, n)$, is introduced by a polynomial of \mathfrak{A} .

Let A_j denote the *j*th polynomial of \mathfrak{A} . Take A_1 as irreducible. Assume $h \neq r_i$ and $A_i^{(h)} = A_a$. In the construction of \mathfrak{A} , suppose all letters of (2) up to but not including $y_{i,h+1}$ have been considered. Thus, if

² $A_i^{(0)}$ will denote the polynomial of \mathfrak{A} which introduces y_i . The symbol " y_{i0} " sometimes will be used to designate y_i .

is the beginning of the characteristic set of $\overline{\Sigma}$ so far constructed, then $1 \leq a \leq b$. Consider the difference polynomials of Σ as ordinary algebraic polynomials and let Ω_j , $(j = 1, \dots, b)$, denote the set of all polynomials of Σ of class not more than the class of A_j relative to the ordering (2). Ω_j will then be a prime algebraic ideal having A_1, \dots, A_j as its characteristic set.

Let R be the algebraic remainder of A_{a1} with respect to (3). Then there is a relation

$$R = BA_{a1} + K_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + \cdots + K_{\scriptscriptstyle b}A_{\scriptscriptstyle b}$$
 ,

where B is a product of powers of the algebraic initials of A_1, \dots, A_b , and K_1, \dots, K_b are polynomials. Since $A_a \in \Sigma$, $A_{a1} \in \Sigma$; and as $h < r_i$, $A_{a1} \in \overline{\Sigma}$. Therefore, $R \in \overline{\Sigma}$. Let γ be the highest power of $y_{i,n+1}$ that appears in A_{a1} and let \overline{R} be the coefficient of $y_{i,n+1}^{\gamma}$ in R. Then

$$ar{R}=Bar{A}_{a1}+ar{K_1}A_1+\cdots+ar{K}_bA_b$$
 ,

where \overline{A}_{a1} is the transform of the algebraic initial of A_a and $\overline{K}_1, \dots, \overline{K}_b$ are the coefficients of $y_{i,h+1}^{\gamma}$ in K_1, \dots, K_b respectively. Now as $B \notin \overline{\Sigma}$, we see that $B, A_{a1} \notin \Sigma$. Thus, as each of A_1, \dots, A_b belongs to Σ , it follows that $\overline{R} \notin \Sigma$, whence a fortiori is not zero. Therefore, R effectively involves $y_{i,h+1}$, and \overline{R} is its algebraic initial.

Now

may be a characteristic set of some prime algebraic ideal. If not, then by the lemma of $\S4$, there is a polynomial G such that

$$C(GR-G_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cdots G_{\scriptscriptstyle r})\equiv 0, \qquad \qquad [A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\cdots,A_{\scriptscriptstyle b}] \ ,$$

where C is a product of nonnegative integral powers of the initials of A_1, \dots, A_b and the G_j are nonzero polynomials such that the sum of the degrees in $y_{i;h+1}$ is the degree of R in $y_{i,h+1}$. Moreover, for each j, $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$A_1, \cdots, A_b; G_j$$

is a characteristic set of a prime ideal. Since A_1, \dots, A_n , R belong to $\overline{\Sigma}$, while C does not, at least one of G_1, \dots, G_r is in $\overline{\Sigma}$, say G_1 .

If (4) is a characteristic set of a prime algebraic ideal, designate this ideal by Ω_{b+1} and rename R, A_{b+1} . If not, let G_1 be A_{b+1} and Ω_{b+1} be the prime algebraic ideal of which A_1, \dots, A_b, G_1 is the characteristic set. Thus, a polynomial A_{b+1} in $\overline{\Sigma}$ has been obtained such that

is a characteristic set of some prime algebraic ideal, Ω_{b+1} in $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \cdots, y_{i,b+1}]$.³ The initial, \overline{A}_{b+1} , of A_{b+1} is reduced with respect to A_1, \cdots, A_b and is lower than A_{b+1} , whence is not contained in $\overline{\Sigma}$. Let the set of all polynomials (considered algebraically) of Σ of class not exceeding that of A_{b+1} be designated Σ' . Then

$$(6) \qquad \qquad \Omega_{b+1} \subseteq \Sigma' ,$$

since the polynomials (5) are in Σ' , while their initials are not.

In the characteristic set, (5), of Ω_{b+1} , let A_b , A_{b+1} respectively introduce the *c*th and *d*th letters of the ordering (2). Now, as is well known, the dimension of an ideal equals the number of indeterminates diminished by the length of a characteristic set. Consequently,

(7)
$$\dim \Omega_{b+1} = d - (b+1)$$
.

Since A_1, \dots, A_b are polynomials at the beginning of a characteristic set of $\overline{\Sigma}$,

$$\dim \Sigma' \ge c - b$$

Combining (7), (6), and (8), we secure

 $d-b-1=\dim arOmega_{b+1}\geqq \dim arOmega'\geqq c-b$.

If d-c=1, then dim $\Omega_{b+1}=c-b=\dim \Sigma'$. Now suppose d-c>1. No characteristic set of $\overline{\Sigma}$ contains a polynomial introducing the d-c-1 letters between the *c*th and *d*th letters of the ordering (2). Therefore, it follows that the length of any characteristic set of Σ' cannot exceed b+1. Consequently, it cannot be that dim $\Sigma'=c-b+j$, $(j=0, 1, \cdots, d-c-2)$, for then every characteristic set of Σ' would have length greater than b+1. Hence,

 $\dim \Sigma' = \dim \Omega_{b+1} \; .$

This, together with (6) imply

Thus, (5) is the beginning of a characteristic set of $\overline{\Sigma}$. A_{b+1} effectively involves $y_{i;h+1}$ since R does. Therefore, A_{b+1} introduces $y_{i;h+1}$ and may be considered as $A_i^{(h+1)}$. Consequently, our assertion (α 1) is established.

We now turn to proving $(\alpha 2)$. By way of contradiction, suppose

is a characteristic set of $\overline{\Sigma}$ and that y_{i,r_i} is introduced for f values of

³ The dots in " $\mathscr{G}[y_1, \dots, y_{i,h+1}]$ " represent the letters between y_1 and $y_{i,h+1}$ in the ordering (2).

i, where f < n. Let these values be designated $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_f$ and suppose $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_f$. Extend the rows of (1) to include all transforms of y_1, \dots, y_n . Then reading this columnwise, we get an infinite extension of the ordering (2). For convenience we make the following definitions. A polynomial in the ring $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \dots, y_{ij}]$, where the dots represent the letters between y_1 and y_{ij} in the extension of the ordering (2),⁴ will be said to be of type (i, j); and if y_{ij} effectively appears in the polynomial, it will be said to be of effective type (i, j). Let the set of all polynomials (considered algebraically) of Σ of class not exceeding that of A_i be denoted Σ_i , $(i = 1, \dots, e)$. We have previously obtained a prime algebraic ideal $\Omega_i = \Sigma_i$, $(i = 1, \dots, e)$ having A_1, \dots, A_i as its characteristic set. However, although the method used for getting Ω_i cannot be continued beyond i = e, the process will be modified slightly so that an infinite set of prime algebraic ideals Ω_{e+j} , $(j = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ will be determined.

Before proceeding, let us make a few observations. Let $A_{\sigma_1}^{(r_{\sigma_1})} = A_g$. Suppose $g \neq 1$. Now if $U_1 \in \Omega_j$, where j < g, A_j and A_k are respectively of effective types (u, v) and (u, v + 1), then $U_{11} \in \Omega_k$. This follows at once since $U_{11} \in \Sigma_k$. On the other hand, if $U_1 \notin \Omega_j$, where j < g, and is of class not exceeding A_j , then $U_{11} \notin \Omega_k$, since otherwise U_{11} would belong to Σ_k and U_1 would be in $\Sigma_j = \Omega_j$.

First we determine Ω_{e+1} ; the other Ω_{e+j} will be obtained inductively. Let A_g and A_e respectively introduce y_{u_1,v_1-1} and y_{u_2,v_2} . In the extension of the ordering (2), let $y_{u_3v_3}$ be the letter that immediately follows $y_{u_2v_2}$, and $y_{u_4v_4}$ the one that immediately precedes $y_{u_1v_1}$. If R_g is the algebraic remainder of A_{g1} with respect to (10), then there is a relation

(11)
$$I_e A_{g_1} - R_g \equiv 0, \qquad [A_1, \cdots, A_e],$$

where I_e is a product of nonnegative integral powers of the initials of A_1, \dots, A_e . Therefore,

$$I_ear{A}_{g1}-ar{R}_g\equiv 0, \qquad \qquad [A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,\cdots,\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle e}] \; ,$$

where \overline{A}_{g_1} and \overline{R}_{g} denote the coefficients in A_{g_1} and R_{g} respectively of the highest power of $y_{u_1v_1}$ in A_{g_1} .

Let Ω'_e be the prime ideal in $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \dots, y_{u_1v_1}]$ generated by Ω_e . The polynomials of Ω'_e are those polynomials in $y_{u_3v_3}, \dots, y_{u_1v_1}$ having coefficients in Ω_e . It may, of course, happen that u_3, v_3 are respectively equal to u_1, v_1 , in which case " $y_{u_3v_3}, \dots, y_{u_1v_1}$ " is to be regarded as simply " $y_{u_1v_1}$ ". At any rate, we have

(12)
$$I_e \bar{A}_{g_1} - \bar{R}_g \in \Omega'_e$$

⁴ Here and elsewhere, where no confusion can result, the dots represent the letters of the extension of the ordering (2) between the given letters.

Now, as I_e is free of $y_{u_3v_3}, \dots, y_{u_1v_1}$ and $\notin \Omega_e$, it follows that $I_e \notin \Omega'_e$. We claim that $\overline{A}_{q1} \notin \Omega'_e$. To prove this, we write \overline{A}_{q1} as a polynomial in the letters $y_{u_3v_3}, \dots, y_{u_1v_1}$. Its coefficients are of type (u_2, v_2) . Regarding \overline{A}_g as a polynomial in $y_{u_3v_3^{-1}}, \dots, y_{u_1v_1^{-1}}$, its coefficients are of type $(u_2, v_2 - 1)$ unless $v_2 = 0$. If $v_2 = 0$, then the coefficients are of type (u_5, v_5) , where in the array (1), $y_{u_5v_5}$ is the last letter which appears in the column headed by $y_{1,r_1^{-1}}$. In any case, since these coefficients are reduced with respect to A_1, \dots, A_{g-1} , they do not belong to Ω_{g-1} . Therefore, their transforms are not in Ω_e . (If g = 1, we still see that the transforms of the coefficients of \overline{A}_g are not in Ω_e . For, if they were in Ω_e , then they would belong to Σ , whence \overline{A}_1 would belong to Σ , a contradiction.) Consequently, $\overline{A}_{g1} \notin \Omega'_e$, as was asserted. It now follows from (12) that $\overline{R}_g \notin \Omega'_e$. This means $\overline{R}_g \neq 0$, and so that R_g effectively involves $y_{u_1v_1}$, that is $y_{\sigma_1,\sigma_1^{+1}}$. Hence, \overline{R}_g is the algebraic initial of R_g . From (11) we see $R_g \in \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{If}$$

is a characteristic set of a prime algebraic ideal, we denote this ideal by Ω_{e+1} and R_g by A_{e+1} . If (13) is not a characteristic set of any prime algebraic ideal, then by the lemma of §4, there is a polynomial H such that

$$(14) J_e(HR_g - H_1 \cdots H_q) \equiv 0, [A_1, \cdots, A_e],$$

where J_e is a product of powers of the initials of A_1, \dots, A_e and the H_j are polynomials of positive degree in $y_{u_1v_1}$ such that the sum of these degrees is the degree of R_q in $y_{u_1v_1}$. Moreover, for each j, $1 \leq j \leq q$,

 $A_1, \cdots, A_e; H_j$

is a characteristic set of a prime ideal. From (14) it is seen that some H_j , say H_1 , belongs to Σ . Let H_1 be A_{e+1} and Ω_{e+1} be the prime algebraic ideal of which A_1, \dots, A_e, H_1 is the characteristic set. Thus, a polynomial A_{e+1} in Σ has been obtained such that

$$(15) A_1, \cdots, A_{e+1}$$

is a characteristic set of some prime algebraic ideal Ω_{e+1} in $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \dots, y_{u_1v_1}]$.

Now let us assume as inductive hypotheses:

(β 1) If $U_1 \in \Omega_h$, h = g - 2 + j, and A_h and A_k are respectively of effective types (u, v) and (u, v + 1), then $U_{11} \in \Omega_k$.

(β 2) If $U_1 \notin \Omega_h$, h = g - 2 + j, U_1 is of type (u, v), A_h and A_k are of effective type (u, v) and (u, v + 1), respectively, then $U_{11} \notin \Omega_k$.

(β 3) $\Omega_{e+1}, \dots, \Omega_{e+j}$ have been constructed by a process similar to the one described on the preceding pages. That is, if

is a characteristic set of Ω_{e+j-1} , the characteristic set of Ω_{e+j} will be

$$A_1, \cdots, A_{e+j-1}, A_{e+j},$$

where A_{e+j} is either the algebraic remainder, R_{g+j-1} , of $A_{g+j-1,1}$ with respect to (16) or else is one of the F_i obtained from a factorization equation of the type

(17)
$$J_{e+j-1}(FR_{g+j-1}-F_1\cdots F_r)\equiv 0,$$
 $[A_1,\cdots,A_{e+j-1}],$

where J_{e+j-1} is a product of nonnegative integral powers of the initials of A_1, \dots, A_{e+j-1} and the F_i and F are polynomials having properties analogous to those of the G_i and G, respectively, of the lemma of §4.

Our hypotheses have been shown to hold when j = 1 if g > 1; and, in fact, it has been proven that (β 3) is true even if g = 1. We now verify (β 1) and (β 2) for h = 1, g = 1; that is, for j = 2, g = 1. Thus, we must prove:

 $(\varphi 1)$ If g = 1 and $U_1 \in \Omega_1$, then $U_{11} \in \Omega_{e+1}$.

(φ 2) If g = 1 and $U_1 \notin \Omega_1$, where U_1 is of type (σ_1, r_{σ_1}) , then $U_{11} \notin \Omega_{e+1}$. If $U_1 \in \Omega_1$, then for a suitable power N_1 , of the initial \overline{A}_1 of A_1 , we have

$$N_1 U_1 \equiv 0,$$
 $[A_1]$.

Consequently,

$$N_{11}U_{11} \equiv 0$$
, $[A_{11}]$.

If $N_{11} \in \Omega_{e+1}$, it would then follow that $N_1 \in \Sigma$, which is false. Therefore, $N_{11} \notin \Omega_{e+1}$. Now either A_{e+1} equals the algebraic remainder, R_1 , of A_{11} with respect to (10), or else A_{e+1} is an H_i resulting from a factorization equation of the type (14). In either case, we see that $R_1 \in \Omega_{e+1}$, whence, from (11), $A_{11} \in \Omega_{e+1}$. Thus, $U_{11} \in \Omega_{e+1}$ and (φ 1) is proven.

On the other hand, if U_1 is of type (σ_1, r_{σ_1}) and $\notin \Omega_1$, then $U_1 \notin \Sigma$, whence $U_{11} \notin \Sigma$. Therefore, $U_{11} \notin \Omega_{e+1}$ and $(\varphi 2)$ is proven.

We are now ready for our induction. We shall prove $(\gamma 1)$, $(\gamma 2)$, $(\gamma 3)$, where these respectively are like $(\beta 1)$, $(\beta 2)$, $(\beta 3)$ with j + 1 replacing j,

Let $U_1 \in \Omega_{h+1}$ and A_{h+1} be of effective type (u, v). Since h=g-2+jand e=g-1+f, it follows that h+1+f=e+j. Therefore, by hypothesis (β 3), Ω_{h+1+f} and A_{h+1+f} have been determined. Obviously, A_{h+1+f} is of effective type (u, v + 1). Now for a suitable product of powers, M_1 , of the initials of A_1, \dots, A_{h+1} , we have

$$M_1U_1 \equiv 0, \qquad \qquad [A_1, \cdots, A_{h+1}].$$

Consequently,

(18)

$$M_{11}U_{11}\equiv 0, \qquad \qquad [A_{11},\cdots,A_{h+1,1}].$$

By inductive hypothesis (β 1), $A_{11}, \dots, A_{h1} \in \Omega_{h+f} \subset \Omega_{h+1+f}$. Let Ω'_{h+f} be the prime algebraic ideal in $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \dots, y_{u,v+1}]$ generated by Ω_{h+f} . We know that A_h introduces some indeterminate, say the letter immediately preceding $y_{\overline{uv}}$ in the extension of the ordering (2). Also, we know that A_{h+1} introduces y_{uv} . We assert that $M_{11} \notin \Omega_{h+1+f}$. To prove this, suppose otherwise. Then M_{11} has zero remainder with respect to A_1, \dots, A_{h+1+f} , and since M_{11} is free of $y_{u,v+1}$, it has in fact zero remainder with respect to A_1, \dots, A_{h+f} . Thus, $M_{11} \in \Omega'_{h+f}$. Hence, if we consider M_{11} as a polynomial in $y_{\overline{u},\overline{v}+1}, \dots, y_{u,v+1}$, its coefficients belong to Ω_{h+f} . By the induction hypothesis (β 2), therefore, the coefficients of M_1 , considered as a polynomial in $y_{\overline{u},\overline{v}}, \dots, y_{uv}$, are contained in $\Omega_h \subset \Omega_{h+1}$. But then $M_1 \in \Omega_{h+1}$, a contradiction. Hence, our assertion that $M_{11} \notin \Omega_{h+1+f}$ is proved.

If we show that $A_{h+1,1} \in \mathcal{Q}_{h+1+f}$, then by (18) we shall have $U_{11} \in \mathcal{Q}_{h+1+f}$ and so (γ 1). Now A_{h+1+f} either equals the algebraic remainder, R_{h+1} , of $A_{h+1,1}$ with respect to A_1, \dots, A_{h+f} or else is some polynomial F_i resulting from a factorization equation of the type (17). That is, we have either

(19)
$$I_{h+f}A_{h+1,1} - A_{h+1+f} \equiv 0, \qquad [A_1, \cdots, A_{h+f}]$$

or taking A_{h+1+f} to be F_1 ,

(20)
$$J_{h+f}(FR_{h+1} - A_{h+1+f} \cdot F_2 \cdots F_s) \equiv 0, \quad [A_1, \cdots, A_{h+f}],$$

where I_{h+f} and J_{+f} are each products of powers of the initials of A_1, \dots, A_{n+f} and F is a polynomial having properties analogous to G of the lemma of §4.

If (19) is the case, it is immediate that $A_{h+1,1} \in \mathcal{Q}_{h+1+j}$. On the other hand, if we have (20), then $R_{i+1} \in \mathcal{Q}_{h+1+j}$, in which case once again we have $A_{h+1,1} \in \mathcal{Q}_{h+1+j}$. The proof of (γ 1) is therefore complete.

We turn now to $(\gamma 2)$. Let $U_1 \notin \Omega_{h+1}$, U_1 be of type (u, v), and A_{h+1} be of effective type (u, v). Then A_{h+1+f} is of effective type (u, v+1). Since every component of the ideal $[U_1, \Omega_{h+1}]$ is of lower dimension than dim Ω_{h+1} , a polynomial, V_1 , in the parameters of Ω_{h+1} can be found such that

(21)
$$V_1 = W_1 U_1 + X_1$$
,

where $X_1 \in \Omega_{h+1}$ and W_1 is of type (u, v). From (21) we secure

$$V_{{}_{11}}=\,W_{{}_{11}}U_{{}_{11}}+X_{{}_{11}}\;.$$

Since we just proved (γ 1), we see that $X_{11} \in \Omega_{h+1+f}$. If we prove that $V_{11} \notin \Omega_{h+1+f}$, we would have $W_{11}U_{11} \notin \Omega_{h+1+f}$. Then $U_{11} \notin \Omega_{h+1+f}$ and (γ 2) would immediately follow.

By way of contradiction, suppose $V_{11} \in \Omega_{h+1+f}$. Then V_{11} has zero remainder with respect to A_1, \dots, A_{h+1+f} . Let $A_{\pi_1}, \dots, A_{\pi_f}$ be those A's of the characteristic set, (10), of $\overline{\Sigma}$ which respectively introduce the least transforms of $y_{\sigma_1}, \dots, y_{\sigma_f}$. Suppose $A_{\tau_i} = A_{\sigma_i}^{(t_i)}, (i = 1, \dots, f)$. Note that it is not necessarily the case that $\pi_1 < \pi_2 < \cdots < \pi_f$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ be π_1, \dots, π_t arranged in order of increasing magnitude. Then $\lambda_1 = 1$. Now the class of V_{11} in each of the letters $y_{\sigma_1}, \dots, y_{\sigma_T}$ does not exceed the class of $A_{\pi_1}, \dots, A_{\pi_f}$ in each of these letters respectively. Thus, V_{11} has zero remainder with respect to $A_1, \dots, A_{\lambda_r}$. Suppose no transform of y_i , $(i = \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-f})$, is introduced by any of the polynomials of (10). Write V_{11} as a polynomial in the y_{ij} following $y_{\sigma_j t_j}$ in the extension of the ordering (2). These y_{ij} will all be transforms of $y_{\rho_1}, \cdots, y_{\rho_{n-j}}$, hence parameters of Ω_{h+1+j} . Therefore, the coefficients will have zero remainder with respect to $A_1, \dots, A_{\lambda_r}$, and so belong to $\overline{\Sigma}$. But the inverse transforms are of order less than t_i in y_{σ_i} , (i = 1)1, ..., f). As $\overline{\Sigma}$ contains no polynomials of this sort, a contradiction has been obtained. Consequently, $V_{11} \notin \Omega_{h+1+f}$. This proves ($\gamma 2$).

To establish (γ 3), that is to construct Ω_{e+j+1} , one need only to proceed in a manner analogous to the way in which Ω_{e+1} was determined, except for the specification " $H_1 \in \Sigma$ ".

Thus, we have demonstrated for all *i*: (δ 3) There exist prime algebraic ideals Ω_1 , Ω_2 , Ω_3 , \cdots having the properties

(i) $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \Omega_3 \subset \cdots;$

(ii) a characteristic set of Ω_i is A_1, \dots, A_i ;

(iii) $\Omega_j = \Sigma_j, (j = 1, \dots, e);$

(iv) if $\Omega_{g^{-1+i}}$ is an ideal in $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \dots, y_{uv}]$, then $\Omega_{g^{-1+i+f}}$ is an ideal in $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \dots, y_{uv+1}]$.

(δ 1) If $U_1 \in \Omega_i$ and A_i and A_k are respectively of effective types (u, v) and (u, v + 1), then $U_{11} \in \Omega_k$.

($\delta 2$) If $U_1 \notin \Omega_i$, U_1 is of type (u, v), A_i and A_k are of efficience types (u, v) and (u, v + 1) respectively, then $U_{11} \notin \Omega_k$.

Let Ω be the union of the Ω_i of $(\delta 3)$. Ω is obviously a prime algebraic ideal, and indeed, as we shall see, a reflexive prime difference ideal. If $U_1 \in \Omega$, then there is some *i* such that $U_1 \in \Omega_i$, whence by $(\delta 1)$, for a suitable *k*, $U_{11} \in \Omega_k \subset \Omega$. Conversely, now suppose $U_{11} \in \Omega$. Then there are positive integers *k*, *i*, *u*, *v* such that A_i and A_k are of effective types (u, v) and (u, v + 1) respectively, and $U_{11} \in \Omega_k$. Therefore, by $(\delta 2), U_1 \in \Omega_i \subset \Omega$. This proves our assertion that Ω is reflexive.

Since the indeterminates $y_{\rho_1}, \dots, y_{\rho_{n-f}}$ are the parameters of Ω , it follows that dim $\Omega = n - f$. Now Ω is a divisor of a component of $\{\emptyset\}$, say Λ , Therefore, dim $\Lambda \ge \dim \Omega = n - f \neq 0$. Hence we have a contradiction of the hypothesis of the theorem that every component of $\{\emptyset\}$ is of dimension zero.

Our assertion, (α 2), thus has been established. Let

be a characteristic set of Σ . Before proceeding, several consequences of $(\alpha 1)$ and $(\alpha 2)$ should be noted.

(ε 1). When we reach the point in the construction of (22) where transforms of each of the letters y_1, \dots, y_n have been introduced, all succeeding polynomials of (22) introduce y'_1s, \dots, y'_ns in order, no further transforms from then on being omitted.

(ε_2). $y_{1r_1}, \dots, y_{nr_n}$ respectively are introduced by the last *n* polynomials in (22).

(\$3). In forming (22), certain letters are not introduced by any polynomial of (22). The indeterminates represented by these letters constitute a parametric set of $\overline{\Sigma}$.

If we continue the construction which yielded (22), new polynomials, $A_i^{(j)}$,⁵ can be formed such that for any positive integer m

$$A_1, \dots, A_t; A_1^{(r_1+1)}, \dots, A_n^{(r_n+1)}; \dots; A_1^{(r_1+m)}, \dots, A_n^{(r_n+m)}$$

is a characteristic set of the prime algebraic ideal $\Sigma r_{1+m,\dots,r_n+m}$ consisting of all polynomials of Σ of type $(r_n, r_n + m)$ with respect to the extension of the ordering (2). Let this ideal be denoted $\Sigma^{(m)}$. By ($\varepsilon 2$), ($\varepsilon 3$), ($\varepsilon 1$), it follows that the maximum number of parameters in $\Sigma^{(m)}$ for any nonnegative integer m is $r_1 + \cdots + r_n$. Consequently,

(23) $\dim \Sigma^{(m)} \leq r_1 + \cdots + r_n .$

We prove by way of contradiction that the order of Σ is at most $r_1 + \cdots + r_n$. Suppose the order of Σ is more than $r_1 + \cdots + r_n$. Then for all sufficiently large a_1, \dots, a_n , the dimension of Σ_{a_1,\dots,a_n} is greater than $r_1 + \cdots + r_n$, since by definition the order of Σ is the algebraic dimension of Σ . However, this is a contradiction of (23). Hence, the theorem.

6. The bound

$$(24) r_1 + \cdots + r_n$$

⁵ We are extending the meaning of $A_i^{(j)}$, which previously was defined as a polynomial of \mathfrak{l} , that is of (22).

which was obtained in the previous section will be denoted \mathscr{R} and called the *Ritt bound*. Let

(25)
$$A_1^{(s_1)}, \cdots, A_n^{(s_n)}$$

be those polynomials of the characteristic set, \mathfrak{U} , of $\overline{\Sigma}$ which respectively introduce the least transforms of y_1, \dots, y_n . Then $s_i \leq r_i$, $(i = 1, \dots, n)$. Then by ($\varepsilon 3$) it is clear that the order of Σ will be given by

 $\mathscr{S} = s_1 + \cdots + s_n$.

In the case of differential equations, Jacobi investigated the problem of determining the number of arbitrary constants in the solution of a system of n equations in the variable x and n dependent variables y_1, \dots, y_n . If these equations are denoted

(26)
$$B_i = 0,$$
 $(i = 1, \dots, n),$

and α_{ij} stands for the greatest order of the derivatives of y_i in B_j , then Jacobi asserted, [5] that the number of arbitrary constants in the solution of (26) is no greater than

(27)
$$\max\left(\alpha_{1j_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{nj_n}\right)$$

where j_1, \dots, j_n is a permutation of $1, \dots, n$. However, Jacobi's work was largely heuristic and lacked logical rigor.

Ritt in [2, p. 136] has shown that in the case of two algebraic differential equations in two unknowns, Jacobi is essentially correct. That is, Ritt proved:

If Σ , of dimension zero, is a component of the system B_1 , B_2 , then the order of Σ is at most max $(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{21})$.

We shall be interested, in the case of n difference equations in n indeterminates, in obtaining an improvement on the Ritt Bound, and in seeing how it compares with the Jacobi number, (27), where that number now applies to difference polynomials. The number, (27), will be denoted \mathcal{J} .

7. Let F_1, \dots, F_n be a system of *n* nonzero difference polynomials of type (r_1, \dots, r_n) in the *n* indeterminates y_1, \dots, y_n , where every component of $\{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ is of dimension zero. Suppose among the F_i , there is at least one, say F_k , which does not effectively involve any y_{jr_j} for $j = 1, \dots, n$. If F_k is of effective type (θ, φ) , then the characteristic set of $\{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ certainly must contain a polynomial A of the ring $\mathscr{F}[y_1, \dots, y_{\theta\varphi}]$. Suppose A is of effective type (σ, τ) . By (ε 3) and $(\alpha 1)$, we are sure, therefore, that $y_{\sigma\tau}, \dots, y_{\sigma r_{\sigma}}$ are not parameters of Σ , that is of Σ_{r_1,\dots,r_n} . Since $\tau < r_{\sigma}$, we have an improvement on the Ritt bound; r_{σ} in (24) is to be replaced by τ . However, we have no simple way of determining σ and τ . But since $r_{\sigma} - \tau \ge r_{\theta} - \varphi > 0$, if we replace r_{θ} in (24) by φ , we shall still have a bound which is an improvement on \mathscr{R} . As θ and φ are given, the new bound is easily found. Should it happen that several of the F_i are devoid of the y_{jr_j} , then possibly (although not necessarily) we may get a further refinement.

If a transform⁶ of y_j appears in F_i , let α_{ij} stand for the greatest order of the transforms of y_j in F_i , $(i, j = 1, \dots, n)$. For a fixed *i*, consider the set of numbers

(28)
$$r_k - \alpha_{ik}, \qquad (k = 1, \cdots, n) .$$

(If some α_{ik} are undefined, then (28) will consist of fewer than n numbers). Let \mathfrak{S}_i be the set of values of k among $1, \dots, n$ which will yield the minimum of the numbers (28). If b_i denotes the greatest member of \mathfrak{S}_i , then it will follow that F_i is of effective type (b_i, α_{ib_i}) . Hence, if we replace r_{b_i} in (24) by α_{ib_i} , the result will be an improvement on \mathscr{R} if F_i does not effectively involve any y_{jr_i} .

Let $w = \max(r_{b_i} - \alpha_{ib_i})$, $(i = 1, \dots, n)$, and $\mathscr{C} = \mathscr{R} - w$. Then $\mathscr{C} \leq \mathscr{R}$, and we have the following

THEOREM. Let \mathscr{F} be an inversive difference field of characteristic zero. If F_1, \dots, F_n is a system of n nonzero difference polynomials in $\mathscr{F} \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of type (r_1, \dots, r_n) and every component of $\{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ is of dimension zero, then the order of each component is at most \mathscr{G} .

8. Although \mathcal{G} is an improvement on \mathscr{R} , still in many situations it is larger than \mathcal{J} , and of course, under no circumstances⁷ is it less than \mathcal{J} . However, we shall show in the case of two nonzero difference polynomials F_1 , F_2 in y_1 , y_2 , that $\mathcal{J} = \mathscr{G}$, whence in such a situation Jacobi's number is a bound.⁸

To prove that $f = \mathcal{G}$ in the case of two difference polynomials F_1, F_2 in y_1, y_2 , first note that we may assume without loss of generality that $\alpha_{11} = \max(\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{22})$. Then $r_1 = \alpha_{11}$ and $r_2 = \max(\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{22})$. It is easily seen that $f < \mathcal{R}$ if and only if

$$(29) \qquad \qquad \alpha_{11} > \alpha_{21} \text{ and } \alpha_{12} > \alpha_{22} .$$

Now, since $\mathscr{J} \leq \mathscr{G} \leq \mathscr{R}$, it follows that if (29) is not satisfied that $\mathscr{J} = \mathscr{G} = \mathscr{R}$. Therefore, suppose the condition (29) holds. In such

⁸ If one of y_1 , y_2 is missing from one of F_1 , F_2 , and \mathscr{J} is defined as in footnote 7), then \mathscr{G} is a better bound than \mathscr{J} , since in this case $\mathscr{G} \leq \mathscr{J}$.

⁶ Recall y_j itself is considered as the zero-th transform of y_j .

⁷ The Jacobi number, \mathscr{F} , has been defined only in the case where no y_j is missing from each F_i . If a polynomial does not involve one of the indeterminates, we shall define its order in that letter to be -1, in which case \mathscr{F} would always have a meaning. In such a situation, \mathscr{G} may be less than \mathscr{F} .

an event, $r_1 - \alpha_{11} = 0$, $r_2 - \alpha_{12} = 0$, $r_1 - \alpha_{21} > 0$, $r_2 - \alpha_{22} > 0$. Hence, $w = \min(r_1 - \alpha_{21}, r_2 - \alpha_{22})$ and $\mathscr{G} = r_1 + r_2 - \min(r_1 - \alpha_{21}, r_2 - \alpha_{22}) = \max(r_1 + \alpha_{22}, r_2 + \alpha_{21}) = \mathscr{J}$.

References

1. R. M. Cohn, Manifolds of difference polynomials, Trans. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), 133-172.

2. J. F. Ritt, Differential algebra, Colloq. Publi. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. XXXIII.

3. J. F. Ritt, and J. L. Doob, Systems of algebraic difference equations, Amer. J. Math. 55 (1933), 505-514.

4. J. F. Ritt and H. W. Raudenbush, *Ideal theory and algebraic difference equations*, Trans, Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1939), 445-452.

5. J. F. Ritt, Jacobi's problem on the order of a system of differential equations, Ann Math. **26** (1935), 305-312.

DREW UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG Stanford University Stanford, California

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	V. GANAPATHY IYER	I. NIVEN	E. G. STRAUS
C. E. BURGESS	R. D. JAMES	T. G. OSTROM	G. SZEKERES
E. HEWITT	M. S. KNEBELMAN	H. L. ROYDEN	F. WOLF
A. HORN	L. NACHBIN	M. M. SCHIFFER	K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA OREGON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 9, No. 2 June, 1959

Lee William Anderson, On the breadth and co-dimension of a topological	
lattice	327
Frank W. Anderson and Robert L. Blair, <i>Characterizations of certain lattices of functions</i>	335
Donald Charles Benson, Extensions of a theorem of Loewner on integral	265
operators	365
Errett Albert Bishop, A duality theorem for an arbitrary operator	379
Robert McCallum Blumenthal and Ronald Kay Getoor, <i>The asymptotic</i>	
distribution of the eigenvalues for a class of Markov operators	399
Delmar L. Boyer and Elbert A. Walker, <i>Almost locally pure Abelian</i>	
groups	409
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>Involutions on Banach algebras</i>	415
Lincoln Kearney Durst, <i>Exceptional real Lehmer sequences</i>	437
Eldon Dyer and Allen Lowell Shields, Connectivity of topological	
lattices	443
Ronald Kay Getoor, Markov operators and their associated	
semi-groups	449
Bernard Greenspan, A bound for the orders of the components of a system of	
algebraic difference equations	473
Branko Grünbaum, On some covering and intersection properties in	
Minkowski spaces	487
Bruno Harris, <i>Derivations of Jordan algebras</i>	495
Henry Berge Helson, <i>Conjugate series in several variables</i>	513
Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., <i>A maximal problem in harmonic analysis.</i>	515
	525
Alfred Horn and Robert Steinberg, <i>Eigenvalues of the unitary part of a</i>	
<i>matrix</i>	541
Edith Hirsch Luchins, <i>On strictly semi-simple Banach algebras</i>	551
William D. Munro, Some iterative methods for determining zeros of	
functions of a complex variable	555
John Rainwater, Spaces whose finest uniformity is metric	567
William T. Reid, Variational aspects of generalized convex functions	571
A. Sade, <i>Isomorphisme d'hypergroupoï des isotopes</i>	583
Isadore Manual Singer, <i>The geometric interpretation of a special</i>	202
connection	585
Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Asymptotic perturbation series for characteristic</i>	505
value problems	591
Jack Phillip Tull, <i>Dirichlet multiplication in lattice point problems</i> . <i>II</i>	609
Richard Steven Varga, <i>p-cyclic matrices: A generalization of the</i>	
Young-Frankel successive overrelaxation scheme	617