

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

***p*-CYCLIC MATRICES: A GENERALIZATION OF THE  
YOUNG-FRANKEL SUCCESSIVE OVERRELAXATION  
SCHEME**

RICHARD STEVEN VARGA

# $p$ -CYCLIC MATRICES: A GENERALIZATION OF THE YOUNG-FRANKEL SUCCESSIVE OVERRELAXATION SCHEME

RICHARD S. VARGA

**1. Introduction.** The Young-Frankel [11, 5] successive overrelaxation scheme, which has been shown [11, pp. 104–109] to be applicable to the numerical solution of partial equations of elliptic type, can be described as follows. If the system of linear equations to be solved is

$$(1) \quad M\vec{x} = \vec{k},$$

where the  $n \times n$  matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$  is such that  $m_{i,i} \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then the iterative sequence, defined by the successive overrelaxation scheme, is given by

$$(2) \quad x_i^{(l+1)} = \omega \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{i,j} x_j^{(l+1)} + \sum_{j=i+1}^n b_{i,j} x_j^{(l)} + c_i \right\} + (1 - \omega) x_i^{(l)}$$

where  $x_i^{(0)}$  is arbitrary,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and where

$$(3) \quad b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -m_{i,j}/m_{i,i}, & i \neq j \\ 0, & i = j \end{cases},$$

and

$$(4) \quad c_i = k_i/m_{i,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

With certain assumptions, Young [11] has shown that, for suitable choice of the *relaxation factor*  $\omega$ , relatively rapid convergence of the iterative process (2) is assured. These hypotheses are satisfied by the usual five-point difference approximation to  $-\nabla \cdot (k \nabla u) = S$ ,  $k > 0$ , in the plane [11, 9].

We show that successive overrelaxation can be considered as a special case of a more general iterative scheme applicable to the wider class of *p-cyclic* matrices, to be defined below. Indeed, ordinary successive (point) overrelaxation, as well its generalization [1] to successive block (line) overrelaxation, is just the special case  $p = 2$  of the iterative scheme we shall now define.

## 2. $p$ -cyclic matrices. We begin with the following

---

This paper was originally accepted by the Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Presented to the American Mathematical Society, August 30, 1957, under the title "*The p-color problem: a generalization of the Young-Frankel successive overrelaxation scheme.*" Received by the editors of the Trans. Math. Soc. January 24, 1958.

DEFINITION 1. The  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$  is *cyclic of index  $p$*  ( $p \geq 2$ ) if and only if, after some permutation of rows and columns of  $A$ , the matrix  $A$  assumes the cyclic block form

$$(5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & L_1 \\ L_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & L_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the zero diagonal submatrices are square.

This terminology was introduced by Romanovsky [8]. For  $A$  cyclic of index  $p$ , Romanovsky [8, pp. 162-166] proved that the characteristic polynomial  $p_n(\lambda) \equiv |\lambda I - A|$  of  $A$  is of the form

$$(6) \quad p_n(\lambda) = \lambda^\nu \prod_{i=1}^l (\lambda^p - \sigma_i^p),$$

where  $\nu + \ell p = n$ . (See Theorem 3.)

The following generalizations of the results of [11, 1] are easily established, and so we state them without proof.<sup>1</sup> The results will be stated only for generalizations of Young's (point) property (A); the extension to generalizations of block (line) property ( $A^\tau$ ) is easily carried out.

DEFINITION 2. The  $n \times n$  matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$  is  *$p$ -cyclic*,  $p \geq 2$ , if and only if the diagonal entries of  $M$  are all non-zero, and there exists  $p$  disjoint non-empty subsets  $S_l, \ell = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ , of  $W$ , the set of the first  $n$  positive integers, such that  $\bigcup_{l=0}^{p-1} S_l = W$ , and if  $m_{i,j} \neq 0$ , then either  $i = j$ , or if  $i \in S_l$ , then  $j \in S_{l-1}$ , subscripts taken mod  $p$ .<sup>2</sup>

THEOREM 1. *The  $n \times n$  matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$  is  $p$ -cyclic if and only if there exists a vector  $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1 \cdots, \gamma_n)$  with integral components such that if  $m_{i,j} \neq 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then  $\gamma_j - \gamma_i = -1$ , or  $\gamma_j - \gamma_i = p - 1$ , and for each integer  $\ell, 0 \leq \ell \leq p-1$ , there exists some  $\gamma_j$  such that  $\gamma_j \equiv \ell \pmod{p}$ .*

Any vector  $\vec{\gamma}$  with the properties above is called an *ordering vector* for the matrix  $M$ .

<sup>1</sup> Proofs and other details are given in Report WAPD-T-567 of the Bettis Plant of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation.

<sup>2</sup> For the case  $p = 2$ , this reduces to Young's (point) property (A). Young, however, does not assume that the sets  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are non-empty. This distinction is trivial, since if  $S_0$ , say, is empty, then  $M$  is a diagonal matrix, and if all  $m_{ii} \neq 0$ , then the matrix  $B$  of (3) is the null matrix.

DEFINITION 3. The  $n \times n$  *p*-cyclic matrix  $M$  is *consistently ordered* (relative to the ordering vector  $\vec{\gamma}$ ) if and only if the following hold. If  $m_{i,j} \neq 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then:

1. If  $j > i$ , then  $\gamma_j - \gamma_i = p - 1$ .
2. If  $j < i$ , then  $\gamma_j - \gamma_i = -1$ .

REMARK. If  $M$  is *p*-cyclic, then by the same permutation of its rows and columns,  $M$  can be consistently ordered.

THEOREM 2. Let the  $n \times n$  *p*-cyclic matrix  $M$  be consistently ordered. If  $M' = (m'_{i,j})$ , and  $M'' = (m''_{i,j})$  are defined by

$$(7) \quad m'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}, & i \leq j \\ \lambda m_{i,j}, & i > j \end{cases}; \quad m''_{i,j} = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}, & i = j \\ \lambda^{(p-1)/2} m_{i,j}, & i \neq j \end{cases},$$

then for all  $\lambda$ ,  $\det(M') = \det(M'')$ .

The relationship between Definitions 1 and 2 is now brought out through the following

THEOREM 3. The  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  is *p*-cyclic if and only if the matrix  $B$  of (3) is cyclic of index  $p$ . Thus, if  $M$  is *p*-cyclic, then the characteristic polynomial  $p_n(\lambda) = |\lambda I - B|$  of  $B$  is of the form

$$(8) \quad p_n(\lambda) = \lambda^\nu \prod_{i=1}^l (\lambda^p - \mu_i^p),$$

where  $\nu + \angle p = n$ , and  $\mu_i \neq 0$ .

From this theorem we see that if  $M$  is *p*-cyclic then its non-diagonal entries define a matrix which is cyclic of index  $p$ . The second part of Theorem 3 follows from Romanovsky's result (6), and gives a new proof, for the case  $p = 2$ , of Young's lemma<sup>3</sup> [11, p. 98] which asserts that, for  $p = 2$ , the non-zero eigenvalues of the matrix  $B$ , of (3), occur in  $\pm$  pairs.

Returning to equation (2), it may be written in the form

$$(9) \quad \vec{x}^{(l+1)} = L_{\sigma,\omega} \vec{x}^{(l)} + \vec{f},$$

where  $\vec{f}$  is a fixed vector and  $L_{\sigma,\omega}$  is a linear operator, where  $\sigma$  denotes the dependence of the equations (2) on the ordering  $\sigma$  of the rows and columns of  $M$ .

We can now determine a relationship between the eigenvalues of the matrix  $B$  of (3) and the eigenvalues of the matrix  $L_{\sigma,\omega}$  of (9).

THEOREM 4. Let the matrix  $M$  be *p*-cyclic, and let  $\sigma$  denote a

---

<sup>3</sup> This result has been presented elsewhere. See [2, pp. 368-369].

consistent ordering. If  $\omega \neq 0$ , and if  $\lambda$  is a non-zero eigenvalue of  $L_{\sigma, \omega}$ , and if  $\mu$  satisfies

$$(10) \quad (\lambda + \omega - 1)^p = \lambda^{p-1} \omega^p \mu^p,$$

then  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$ . If  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$ , and  $\lambda$  satisfies the equation above, then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $L_{\sigma, \omega}$ .

We define the *spectral radius*<sup>4</sup> of a matrix  $C$ ,  $\bar{\rho}(C)$ , as the maximum of the moduli of its eigenvalues. If  $\bar{\rho}(C) < 1$ , then  $C$  is said to be *convergent*, and

$$(11) \quad R(C) \equiv -\rho(C)$$

is defined as the *rate of convergence* of  $C$ .

The iterative *method of simultaneous displacements* [11, p. 100] is defined in general by the vector equation

$$(12) \quad \vec{x}^{(l+1)} = B\vec{x}^{(l)} + \vec{c},$$

where the matrix  $B$  is defined in (3). The particular choice of  $\omega = 1$  in (2), defining the operator  $L_{\sigma, 1}$ , is called the *Gauss-Seidel* method. Theorem 4 leads immediately to the following generalization of Young's Corollary 2.1 [11, p. 100].

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $M$  to be a consistently ordered  $p$ -cyclic matrix. If  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$ , then  $\mu^p$  is an eigenvalue of  $L_{\sigma, 1}$ ; if  $\lambda$  is a non-zero eigenvalue of  $L_{\sigma, 1}$ , and if  $\mu^p = \lambda$ , then  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$ . The method of simultaneous displacements converges if and only if the Gauss-Seidel method converges, and if both converge, the latter converges exactly  $p$  times as fast.*

**3. Determination of the optimum relaxation factor.** With the  $n \times n$  matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$  of (1) a consistently ordered  $p$ -cyclic matrix, whose associated matrix  $B$  of (3) is convergent ( $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}(B) < 1$ ), we shall determine the optimum overrelaxation factor  $\omega_b$ , producing fastest convergence in (2), assuming in particular that the eigenvalues of  $B^p$  are real and non-negative.<sup>5</sup> (See Corollary 2.) More precisely,  $\omega_b$  is the unique positive real root (less than  $p/(p-1)$ ) of the equation

<sup>4</sup> This is called the *spectral norm* of  $C$  by Young [11, p. 94] although it is not a norm in the usual sense. When the matrix  $B$  of (3) has non-negative entries,  $\bar{\rho}(B)$  is called the *Jacobi constant for the matrix  $M$*  of (1) by Ostrowski, Comm. Math. Helv. (1956), 175-210.

<sup>5</sup> Similarly, in the case  $p=2$  of Young, Young [11, p. 102] assumes  $M$  to be symmetric and positive definite in finding a formula for  $\omega_b$ . This implies that the eigenvalues of  $B^2$  are real and non-negative.

$$(13) \quad \bar{\mu}^p \omega_b^p = p^n(p-1)^{1-p}(\omega_b - 1) .$$

Note that if  $p = 2$ , this reduces to Young's equation [11, p. 95]  $\bar{\mu}^2 \omega_b^2 = 4(\omega_b - 1)$ . We shall also assume that  $\bar{\mu} > 0$ , since the case  $\bar{\mu} = 0$  is trivial.

From (10), with  $\mu = \bar{\mu}$  and  $\lambda = z^p$ , we obtain, after taking  $p$ th roots, the polynomial equation  $z^p - \omega \bar{\mu} z^{p-1} + (\omega - 1) = 0$ . We therefore define the polynomial  $g_p(z; \omega)$  as

$$(14) \quad g_p(z; \omega) = z^p - \omega \bar{\mu} z^{p-1} + (\omega - 1) .$$

LEMMA 1. *If  $\omega_b$  and  $g_p(z; \omega)$  are defined respectively by (13) and (14), then:*

1. *For  $1 < \omega < \omega_b$ ,  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has precisely two positive real zeros.*
2. *For  $\omega = \omega_b$ ,  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has a unique positive real zero of multiplicity two.*
3. *For  $\omega_b < \omega < p/(p-1)$ ,  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has no positive real zeros.*

*Proof.* For  $\omega > 1$ , let  $z = \zeta(\omega - 1)^{1/p}$ , and we obtain

$$(15) \quad g_p(z; \omega) = (\omega - 1)[\zeta^p - \varepsilon(\omega)\zeta^{p-1} + 1] \equiv (\omega - 1)h_p(\zeta; \omega) ,$$

which defines the polynomial  $h_p(\zeta; \omega)$ . For  $\omega \neq 1$ , we note that  $h_p$  and  $g_p$  have the same zeros. By definition,

$$(16) \quad \varepsilon(\omega) = \omega \bar{\mu} (\omega - 1)^{-1/p} .$$

From Descartes' rule of signs,  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has at most two positive real zeros. Since

$$\frac{d\varepsilon^p(\omega)}{d\omega} = \frac{\omega^{p-1} \bar{\mu}^p}{(\omega - 1)^2} \{ (p-1)\omega - p \} ,$$

the function  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  is strictly decreasing for  $1 < \omega < \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Now,

$$\varepsilon(\omega_b) = \frac{p}{(p-1)^{(p-1)/p}} .$$

If  $\zeta_0 = (p-1)^{1/p}$ , then  $h_p(\zeta_0; \omega_b) = 0$ , and it can be readily shown that  $(p-1)^{1/p}$  is a zero of multiplicity two for  $h_p(\zeta; \omega_b)$ , which proves part 2. Using the monotonicity of  $\varepsilon(\omega)$ , we have that  $h_p(\zeta_0; \omega) < 0$  for  $1 < \omega < \omega_b$ , from which we conclude part 1. For all  $\zeta \geq 0$  we have  $h_p(\zeta; \omega_b) \geq 0$ , and again using the monotonicity of  $\varepsilon(\omega)$ , we have for all  $\zeta \geq 0$ , that  $h_p(\zeta; \omega) > 0$  for  $\omega_b < \omega < p/(p-1)$ , which proves part 3.

LEMMA 2. *For  $1 < \omega < \omega_b$ , the function  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has a positive real zero greater in modulus than  $[(\omega_b - 1)(p-1)]^{1/p}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $1 < \omega < \omega_b$ , one shows directly that  $h_p(\xi_1, \omega) < 0$ , where

$$\xi_1 = \left[ \frac{(\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)}{(\omega - 1)} \right]^{1/p},$$

and the conclusion follows from Lemma 1, part 1.

**LEMMA 3.** *For any  $\omega \leq 1$ , the function  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has a zero greater in modulus than  $[(\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)]^{1/p}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $g_p(z; 1) = z^{p-1}(z - \bar{\mu})$ . It is trivial to verify that for  $\bar{\mu} < 1$  we have  $\bar{\mu} > [(\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)]^{1/p}$ . For  $\omega > 1$ , it is easily shown that  $g_p(\bar{\mu}; \omega) < 0$ , and since  $g_p(+\infty; \omega) = +\infty$ , the result follows.

We now consider the case for  $\omega \geq \omega_b$ . From Lemma 1, the real polynomial  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has no positive real zeros for  $\omega_b < \omega < p/(p - 1)$ , so we now consider the continuous image, for all  $\omega > \omega_b$ , of the double root in the upper half (complex)  $z$  plane  $\Im z \geq 0$ . This continuous image  $z(\omega)$ ,  $\omega > \omega_b$ , of the double root in the upper half plane is shown by a somewhat tedious argument to lie in the annulus<sup>6</sup>

$$(17) \quad [(\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)]^{1/p} < |z(\omega)| \leq [(\omega - 1)(p - 1)]^{1/p},$$


and we have

**LEMMA 4.** *For  $\omega > \omega_b$ , the function  $g_p(z; \omega)$  has a zero  $z_0$  satisfying  $[(\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)]^{1/p} < |z_0| \leq [(\omega - 1)(p - 1)]^{1/p}$ .*

**4. Spectral radius of  $L_{\sigma, \omega}$ .** We consider, for  $\omega = \omega_b$ , the following equation derived from (10):

$$(18) \quad \mu(z) = \frac{1}{\omega_b} \left\{ z + \frac{\omega_b - 1}{z^{p-1}} \right\},$$

where again  $z^p = \lambda$ . If  $|z| = r_0$ , it can be directly verified from (18) that for  $r_0^p = (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$ , the exterior of the circle  $|z| = r_0$  is mapped conformally onto the exterior of the closed curve  $\mu(r_0 e^{i\theta})$ . We now denote by  $S_p(\bar{\mu})$  the closed set of points which is the complement of the open set of image points  $\mu(z)$ , where  $|z| > r_0$ . The case  $p = 3$  is illustrated in the adjacent figure.



**THEOREM 5.** *If all the eigenvalues of  $B$  lie in  $S_p(\bar{\mu})$ , where  $0 < \bar{\mu} < 1$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}(\omega)$  denotes the spectral radius of the operator  $L_{\sigma, \omega}$ , then*

<sup>6</sup> For  $p > 2$ , it can be shown that strict inequality throughout is valid in (17).

1.  $\bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) = (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$ .
2.  $\bar{\lambda}(\omega) > \bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) = (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$  for all  $\omega \neq \omega_b$ .
3. For  $\omega > \omega_b$ ,  $\begin{cases} \bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) < \bar{\lambda}(\omega) < (\omega - 1)(p - 1) & \text{for } p > 2. \\ \bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) < \bar{\lambda}(\omega) = (\omega - 1) & \text{for } p = 2. \end{cases}$

*Proof.* With the previous lemmas, the conclusions of Theorem 5 will follow if we show that  $\bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) = (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$ . Since, by the definition of  $S_p(\bar{\mu})$ , the image of the exterior of  $|z| = r_0$  contains no eigenvalue of  $B$ , then  $\bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) \leq (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$ . On the other hand, all eigenvalues  $\mu_i$  of  $B$  satisfy  $|\mu_i| \leq \bar{\mu}$ , with equality for some  $i$ . By definition,  $r_0^p = (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$ , and it follows from (18) that  $\mu(r_0) = \bar{\mu}$ . Since, by (18),  $|\mu(z)| \leq \bar{\mu}$  for all  $|z| \leq r_0$ , and, by assumption, all eigenvalues of  $B$  lie in  $S_p(\bar{\mu})$ , it follows that the image of the closed exterior of  $|z| = r_0$  contains at least one eigenvalue of  $B$ , so that  $\bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) \geq (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$ , and thus  $\bar{\lambda}(\omega_b) = (\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)$ , which completes the proof.

The mapping  $\mu(z)$  of (18) has  $p$ -fold symmetry in the sense that if we let  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , then

$$(19) \quad \mu(re^{i(\theta+2\pi k/p)}) = e^{i2\pi k/p} \mu(re^{i\theta}),$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ . We now assume that the eigenvalues of  $B^p$  are real and non-negative. The closed set  $S_p(\bar{\mu})$  contains the segment  $0 \leq \tau \leq \bar{\mu}$ , as well as, by (19), the segments

$$\tau \exp\left(\frac{2\pi ik}{p}\right), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq \bar{\mu}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1.$$

The assumption that the eigenvalues of  $B^p$  are real and non-negative implies, by Theorem 3, that the eigenvalues of  $B$  lie on the  $p$  segments  $\tau \exp(2\pi ik/p)$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq \bar{\mu}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ . Thus, all the eigenvalues of  $B$  lie in  $S_p(\bar{\mu})$ , which gives us the following.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If all the eigenvalues of  $B^p$  are real and non-negative, and  $0 < \bar{\mu} < 1$ , then the conclusions of Theorem 5 are valid.*

From the proof of Theorem 5, we obtain the following useful result.

**COROLLARY 3.** *If all the eigenvalues of  $B$  lie in  $S_p(\bar{\mu}_1)$ ,  $0 < \bar{\mu}_1 < 1$ , then  $L_{\sigma, \omega_1}$  is convergent, where  $\omega_1$  is the solution of (13) with  $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}_1$ .*

Using the definition of (11), we now compare the quantities  $R(L_{\sigma, \omega_b})$  and  $R(L_{\sigma, 1})$ .



THEOREM 6. If  $\omega_b$  satisfies (13), then as  $\bar{\mu} \rightarrow 1 -$ , we have

$$R(L_{\sigma, \omega_b}) \sim \left( \frac{2p}{p-1} \right)^{1/2} [R(L_{\sigma, 1})]^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $R(L_{\sigma, \omega_b}) = -\rho[n[(\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)]]$ , and  $R(L_{\sigma, 1}) = -\rho/n\bar{\mu}$ , the result follows upon applying L'Hospital's rule twice to

$$\frac{R(L_{\sigma, \omega_b})}{[R(L_{\sigma, 1})]^{1/2}}.$$

Combining the results of Theorem 6 and Corollary 1, we have the following

COROLLARY 4. If  $R(B)$  denotes the rate of convergence of the method of simultaneous displacements, then as  $\bar{\mu} \rightarrow 1 -$ , we have

$$R(L_{\sigma, \omega_b}) \sim \left[ \frac{2p^2}{p-1} \right]^{1/2} [R(B)]^{1/2}.$$

We remark first that, by Theorem 6, generalized successive overrelaxation (with the optimum overrelaxation factor) always gives an order of magnitude improvement in the rate of convergence for the Gauss-Seidel method, even though the coefficient  $[2p/(p-1)]^{1/2}$  strictly decreases with  $p$ . On the other hand, from the result of Corollary 4, generalized successive overrelaxation, while giving an order of magnitude improvement for the rate of convergence of the method of simultaneous displacements, is associated with the coefficient  $[2p^2/(p-1)]^{1/2}$ , which is strictly increasing with  $p$ .

Finally, we mention three facts, obtained by Young in the case  $p = 2$ , which extend to the general case. First, overestimating or underestimating  $\bar{\mu}$ , respectively, results in an overestimate or an underestimate of  $\omega_b$ . Second, overestimating  $\bar{\mu}$  by a small amount does not cause an appreciable decrease in  $R(L_{\sigma, \omega_b})$ , but, on the other hand, underestimating  $\bar{\mu}$  causes a larger relative decrease in  $R(L_{\sigma, \omega_b})$ . Finally, if the Jordan normal form of  $B^p$  is diagonal, then<sup>7</sup>

$$(20) \quad \sup_{v \neq \vec{0}} \frac{\|L_{\sigma, \omega_b}^m[\vec{v}]\|}{\|\vec{v}\|} \sim m[(\omega_b - 1)(p - 1)]^{m-1}, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

In other words, for any  $p \geq 2$ , the largest degree of the elementary divisors [10, Chap. III] of  $L_{\sigma, \omega_b}$  is two.

<sup>7</sup> The quantity  $\|v\|$  is the norm of the vector  $\vec{v}$ . If the component of  $\vec{v}$  are  $v_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $\|\vec{v}\| \equiv [\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2]^{1/2}$ .

**5. Applications.** As a first application, we limit ourselves to plane connected domains  $\Omega$ , with boundary  $S$ , which are a union of a finite number of equilateral triangles of side  $h$ . Let  $S_h$  denote the nodes, or mesh points, which are points of  $S$ , and let  $R_h$  be all other nodes. If we consider the real function  $u(x)$ , where  $x$  is a node,  $x \in R_h$ , then interpreting  $x$  as a point in the complex plane, we have that  $x + h\omega_j$  is also a node of the triangular mesh, where  $\omega_j$  is any complex root of  $z^3 + 1 = 0$ .

Consider the following special numerical approximation to the Dirichlet problem for  $\Omega$ :

$$(21) \quad u(x) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^3 u(x + h\omega_j) = 0, \quad x \in R_h,$$

where the values  $u(x)$ ,  $x \in S_h$ , are prescribed. The matrix  $M$  determined by this system of linear equations is 3-cyclic, and generalized successive overrelaxation applies in this case.

As a second application, we consider the Peaceman-Rachford iteration scheme [7]. (See also [4] and [3].) We suppose that the matrix  $M$  of (1) can be expressed in form

$$(22) \quad M = H + V,$$

where  $H$  and  $V$  are symmetric and positive definite, and are similar to tridiagonal or Jacobi matrices. With equation (22), (1) is equivalent, for any scalar  $\rho$ , to each of the equations

$$(23) \quad (H + \rho I)\vec{x} = \vec{k} - (V - \rho I)\vec{x},$$

$$(23') \quad (V + \rho I)\vec{x} = \vec{k} - (H - \rho I)\vec{x}.$$

The Peaceman-Rachford implicit alternating direction method consists in using the implicit process defined by

$$(24) \quad (H + \rho_n I)\vec{x}_n^* = \vec{k} - (V - \rho_n I)\vec{x}_n,$$

$$(24') \quad (V + \rho_n I)\vec{x}_{n+1} = \vec{k} - (H - \rho_n I)\vec{x}_n^*,$$

for a suitable sequence of non-negative scalars  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots$ . Since the matrices  $H$  and  $V$  are tridiagonal, equations (24)-(24') can be rapidly solved by means of Gauss elimination. In typical computational applications, only a finite number of non-negative scalars  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_l$  are used, these values being repeated cyclically in (24)-(24').

The concept of a cyclic matrix, as given in Definition 1, can now be profitably applied to Peaceman-Rachford iteration scheme. The basic equations (23)-(23') are clearly equivalent to

$$(25) \quad \begin{pmatrix} H + \rho I & V - \rho I \\ H - \rho I & V + \rho I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{x} \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{k} \\ \vec{k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} \vec{k} \\ \vec{k} \end{pmatrix}$  is a column vector with  $2n$  components.

For  $\rho > 0$ , the diagonal blocks of the matrix of (25) are non-singular, and (25) is equivalent to:

$$(26) \quad \vec{u} = B_\rho \vec{u} + \vec{g},$$

where

$$(27) \quad B_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (H + \rho I)^{-1}(\rho I - V) \\ (V + \rho I)^{-1}(\rho I - H) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(27') \quad \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g} \\ \vec{g} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{g}_1 = (H + \rho I)^{-1} \vec{k} \\ \vec{g}_2 = (V + \rho I)^{-1} \vec{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By definition,  $B_\rho$  is cyclic of index 2. It can be shown that  $B_\rho$  satisfies (block) property  $(A^\pi)$ , and is consistently ordered in the sense of [1]. For fixed  $\rho > 0$ , the Gauss-Seidel (block) iteration scheme applied to (26) gives

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{x}_1^{(m+1)} &= (H + \rho I)^{-1}(\rho I - V)\vec{x}_2^{(m)} + (H + \rho I)^{-1}\vec{k}, \\ \vec{x}_2^{(m+1)} &= (V + \rho I)^{-1}(\rho I - H)\vec{x}_1^{(m+1)} + (V + \rho I)^{-1}\vec{k}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} (H + \rho I)\vec{x}_1^{(m+1)} &= (\rho I - V)\vec{x}_2^{(m)} + \vec{k}, \\ (V + \rho I)\vec{x}_2^{(m+1)} &= (\rho I - H)\vec{x}_1^{(m+1)} + \vec{k}. \end{aligned}$$

This, except for notation, is equivalent to (24)-(24') for a single fixed factor  $\rho$ . Generalizing, if the Peaceman-Rachford iteration scheme uses  $\ell$  parameters  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_\ell$  cyclically, then we consider

$$(30) \quad \vec{u} = B_{\rho_\ell} \vec{u} + \vec{g},$$

where

$$(31) \quad B_{\{\rho_i\}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & T_1 \\ U_1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & U_l & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$(31') \quad T_j \equiv (H + \rho_j I)^{-1}(\rho_j I - V); U_j \equiv (V + \rho_j I)^{-1}(\rho_j I - H).$$

By definition,  $B_{\{\rho_i\}}$  is *cyclic of index  $2\ell$* , and  $\vec{u}$  is a column vector with  $2n\ell$  components. Moreover, if we use the extension of the results of §§2-3 to block overrelaxation, as was stated to be possible in the introduction, then  $B_{\{\rho_i\}}$  is a consistently ordered  $2\ell$ -cyclic matrix. The application of the Gauss-Seidel block iteration scheme to (30), with  $B_{\{\rho_i\}}$  defined in (31), is *exactly* the Peaceman-Rachford scheme with  $\ell$  parameters used cyclically. This gives us the following

**THEOREM 7.** *The Peaceman-Rachford iteration scheme (24)-(24') is the Gauss-Seidel block iteration scheme applied to the  $2\ell$ -cyclic matrix  $B_{\{\rho_i\}}$  of (31).*

The special case  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \dots = \rho_\ell$  is admitted in the preceding result.

Since the Peaceman-Rachford scheme can be considered as the Gauss-Seidel block iteration scheme applied to a consistently order  $2\ell$ -cyclic matrix, the results of §4 strongly suggest the application of successive over-relaxation to the Peaceman-Rachford scheme. For the case  $\ell = 1$ , over-relaxation applied to (28) results in

$$(32) \quad \vec{x}_1^{(m+1)} = \omega\{(H + \rho I)^{-1}(\rho I - V)\vec{x}_2^{(m)} + (H + \rho I)^{-1}\vec{k} - \vec{x}_1^{(m)}\} + \vec{x}_1^{(m)},$$

$$(32') \quad \vec{x}_2^{(m+1)} = \omega\{(V + \rho I)^{-1}(\rho I - H)\vec{x}_1^{(m+1)} + (V + \rho I)^{-1}\vec{k} - \vec{x}_2^{(m)}\} + \vec{x}_2^{(m)}.$$

Unfortunately, it is hard to predict the rate of convergence of iterative schemes based on (32)-(32'), because their spectra are difficult to estimate.

### REFERENCES

1. L. J. Arms, L. D. Gates, and B. Zondek, *A method of block iteration*, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. **4** (1956), 220-229.
2. Garrett Birkhoff and Richard S. Varga, *Reactor criticality and non-negative matrices*, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. **6** (1958), 354-377.

3. ———, *Implicit alternating direction methods*, to appear in the Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
4. J. Douglas, Jr. and H. H. Rachford, Jr., *On the numerical solution of conduction problems in two and three space variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 421-439.
5. S. Frankel, *Convergence rates of iterative treatments of partial differential equations*, Math. Tables and other Aids to Computation **4** (1950), 65-75.
6. G. Frobenius, *Über Matrizen aus nicht negativen Elementen*, S.-B. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, (1912), 456-477.
7. D. W. Peaceman and H. H. Rachford, Jr., *The numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic differential equations*, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. **3**, (1955), 28-41.
8. V. Romanovsky, *Recherches sur les chaînes de Markoff*, Acta Math. **66** (1936), 147-251.
9. R. S. Varga, *Numerical solution of the two-group diffusion equations in  $x - y$  geometry*, IRE Trans. Professional Group on Nuclear Science, NS-**4** (1957), 52-62.
10. J. Wedderburn, *Lectures on matrices*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, **17**, New York, 1934.
11. David Young, *Iterative methods for solving partial difference equations of elliptic type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1954), 92-111.

BETTIS ATOMIC POWER DIVISION  
OF  
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE  
University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
C. E. BURGESS  
E. HEWITT  
A. HORN

V. GANAPATHY IYER  
R. D. JAMES  
M. S. KNEBELMAN  
L. NACHBIN

I. NIVEN  
T. G. OSTROM  
H. L. ROYDEN  
M. M. SCHIFFER

E. G. STRAUS  
G. SZEKERES  
F. WOLF  
K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
OREGON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Lee William Anderson, <i>On the breadth and co-dimension of a topological lattice</i> .....	327
Frank W. Anderson and Robert L. Blair, <i>Characterizations of certain lattices of functions</i> .....	335
Donald Charles Benson, <i>Extensions of a theorem of Loewner on integral operators</i> .....	365
Errett Albert Bishop, <i>A duality theorem for an arbitrary operator</i> .....	379
Robert McCallum Blumenthal and Ronald Kay Getoor, <i>The asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues for a class of Markov operators</i> .....	399
Delmar L. Boyer and Elbert A. Walker, <i>Almost locally pure Abelian groups</i> .....	409
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>Involutions on Banach algebras</i> .....	415
Lincoln Kearney Durst, <i>Exceptional real Lehmer sequences</i> .....	437
Eldon Dyer and Allen Lowell Shields, <i>Connectivity of topological lattices</i> .....	443
Ronald Kay Getoor, <i>Markov operators and their associated semi-groups</i> .....	449
Bernard Greenspan, <i>A bound for the orders of the components of a system of algebraic difference equations</i> .....	473
Branko Grünbaum, <i>On some covering and intersection properties in Minkowski spaces</i> .....	487
Bruno Harris, <i>Derivations of Jordan algebras</i> .....	495
Henry Berge Helson, <i>Conjugate series in several variables</i> .....	513
Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., <i>A maximal problem in harmonic analysis. II</i> .....	525
Alfred Horn and Robert Steinberg, <i>Eigenvalues of the unitary part of a matrix</i> .....	541
Edith Hirsch Luchins, <i>On strictly semi-simple Banach algebras</i> .....	551
William D. Munro, <i>Some iterative methods for determining zeros of functions of a complex variable</i> .....	555
John Rainwater, <i>Spaces whose finest uniformity is metric</i> .....	567
William T. Reid, <i>Variational aspects of generalized convex functions</i> .....	571
A. Sade, <i>Isomorphisme d'hypergroupoï des isotopes</i> .....	583
Isadore Manual Singer, <i>The geometric interpretation of a special connection</i> .....	585
Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Asymptotic perturbation series for characteristic value problems</i> .....	591
Jack Phillip Tull, <i>Dirichlet multiplication in lattice point problems. II</i> .....	609
Richard Steven Varga, <i>p-cyclic matrices: A generalization of the Young-Frankel successive overrelaxation scheme</i> .....	617