# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ARCS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED SPACES

ROBERT JACOB KOCH

Vol. 9, No. 3 July 1959

# ARCS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED SPACES

### R. J. Koch

We present here a theorem on the existence of arcs in partially ordered spaces, and several applications to topological semigroups. The hypotheses are motivated by the structure of the partially ordered set of principal ideals of a compact connected topological semigroup with unit. Noteworthy among the applications are (1)<sup>1</sup> a compact, connected topological semigroup with unit contains an arc. (2) A compact, connected topological semigroup with zero, each of whose elements is idempotent, is arc-wise connected. Throughout the paper, arc is used in the sense of "continuum irreducibly connected between two points". We do not assume metricity of the spaces, but all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Simple non-metric examples of the theorems are furnished by the "long line", i.e. the ordinals up to and including  $\Omega$ , filled in with intervals, the operation being  $a \cdot b = \min(a, b)$ . The author is indebted to R. D. Anderson, R. P. Hunter, and W. Strother for useful suggestions.

We recall the following definitions: [10]  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered space if X is a space, and  $\leq$  is a reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive binary relation on X. A chain in X is an ordered subset of  $(X, \leq)$ . We denote by Graph  $(\leq)$  the set of pairs (x, y) with  $x \leq y$ . We denote by  $A \setminus B$  the complement of B in A; closure is denoted by\*, F(A) denote the boundary of A, and  $\Box$  denotes the empty set.

The following result of the author [3] is presented here in detail because of its relation with Theorem 2.

THEOREM 1. Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a compact partially ordered space and let W be an open set in X. If

- (1) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{y | y \le x\}$  is closed, and
- (2) For any  $x \in W$ , each open set about x contains an element y with y < x,

then if C is any component of W,  $C^* \cap F(W) \neq \square$ .

*Proof.* We show first that if V is open and  $V \subset W$ , then  $F(V) \neq \Box$ . Let M be a maximal chain in  $V^*$ . Then M is compact [10], hence M has a minimal element  $m \in V^*$ . If  $m \in V$ , then by hypothesis (2) above, the chain M can be extended, contrary to the maximality. Now

Received September 4, 1958. This research was supported by the United States Air Force through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Research and Development Command, under Contract AF 18 (603)-89.

<sup>\*</sup> ¹This settles a question raised by D. Montogomery. The author learned of the question through A. D. Wallace.

let C be a component of W and suppose  $C^* \cap F(W) = \square$ . Then by standard arguments [5; p. 110] there is an open and closed set N with  $C \subset N \subset W$ . Hence N is an open subset of W with  $F(N) = \square$ , a contradiction.

In the next theorem we use the following topology for the space S(X) of non empty closed subsets of a compact space X, which is an extension of the Hausdorff metric topology [1]. For open sets U and V of X, let  $N(U,V)=\{A\,|\,A\in S(X), A\subset U, A\cap V\neq \Box\}$ . Take  $\{N(U,V)\,|\,U,V$  open} for a subbasis for the open sets of S(X). It is known that if X is compact Hausdorff, so is S(X).

THEOREM 2. Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a compact partially ordered space, and let W be an open set in X. If

- (1)  $Graph \ (\leq) \ is \ closed \ and$
- (2) For any  $x \in W$ , each open set about x contains an element y with y < x,

then any element x of W belongs to a (compact) connected chain C with  $C \cap F(W) \neq \square$  and  $x = \sup C$ .

*Proof.* Let W be as above, and fix  $a \in W$ . Since  $W^* \cap \{y | y \le a\}$  is a compact partially ordered space and contains the relatively open set  $W \cap \{y | y \le a\}$  satisfying the above hypotheses, we may assume that  $X = \{y | y \le a\}$  and that W is an open set in X with  $a \in W \subset W^* = X$ . Let  $\mathscr C$  denote the collection of all closed chains in X with  $a \in C$  and  $C \cap F(W) \ne \square$ . By Theorem 1,  $F(W) \ne \square$ ; hence if  $z \in F(W)$ , the elements a and b constitute an element of b so that b b b b.

(i)  $\mathscr{C}$  is closed in S(X). We show that  $S(X)\backslash\mathscr{C}$  is open. Let A be closed in X, with  $A\notin\mathscr{C}$ . If A is not a chain, then there are elements x and y of A with  $x\not\leq y$  and  $y\not\leq x$ . By hypothesis (1) there exist open sets U and V about x and y with the property that  $x'\in U$ ,  $y'\in V$  imply  $x'\not\leq y'$  and  $y'\not\leq x'$ . Then  $N(X,U)\cap N(X,V)$  is an open set about A, and misses  $\mathscr{C}$ . If A is a chain but  $a\not\in A$ , then  $(X\backslash a,X\backslash a)$  is an open set about A which misses  $\mathscr{C}$ , and the case  $F(W)\cap A=\square$  goes in a similar way.

Define  $L(x) = \{y \mid y \leq x\}$  and  $M(x) = \{y \mid x \leq y\}$ . Also define  $(x, y) = \{z \mid x < z < y\}$ . Let  $\delta$  be an open cover of X, and define a subset  $M_{\delta}$  of S(X) by:  $C \in M_{\delta}$  iff C is a closed chain in X, and for any x and y in C with x < y and  $(x, y) \cap C = \square$ , there exists  $V \in \delta$  such that  $V^*$  meets both  $L(x) \cap C$  and  $M(y) \cap C$ .

(ii)  $M_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \square$ , for any open cover  $\delta$ . Let  $\delta$  be an open cover of X, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the collection of all closed chains C with  $C \subset W$ ,  $C \in M_{\delta}$ ,

and  $a \in C$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a maximal tower in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and let  $T = \bigcup \mathcal{J}$ . Note that T is a chain containing a, hence by hypothesis (1),  $T^*$  is again a chain. Also it is easily seen that  $\inf T = \inf T^*$ . We will show that  $T^* \in M_{\delta}$ . Let  $x, y \in T^*$  with x < y and  $(x, y) \cap T^* = \square$ . Suppose  $x = \inf T$ ; then if  $\inf T \notin T$  it follows that  $T \subset M(y)$ , hence  $x \in T^* \subset M(y)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $x = \inf T \in T$ , so there exists  $T_1 \in \mathscr{J}$  such that  $x \in T_1$ . Since  $T_1 \in M_{\delta}$ , there is  $V \in \delta$  with  $V^*$  meeting both  $L(x) \cap T_1$ and  $M(y) \cap T_1$ . Hence  $V^*$  meets both  $L(x) \cap T^*$  and  $M(y) \cap T^*$ , and it follows that  $T^* \in M_{\delta}$ . Therefore we may suppose  $\inf T < x < y$ , so there exists  $t_0$  with  $\inf T < t_0 \le x < y$ ,  $t_0 \in T_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ . Since  $T_0 \in M_{\delta}$ , it again follows that  $T^* \in M_{\delta}$ . This establishes that  $T^* \in M_{\delta}$  and it remains to show  $T^* \in \mathscr{C}$ . If  $T^* \subset W$ , then  $T^* \in \mathscr{D}$ , so by the maximality of T,  $T^* = T$ . Hence inf  $T \in T \subset W$ , so there exists  $V \in \delta$  with inf  $T \in V$ . There is an element  $y \in V \cap W$  with y < x. By an easy argument,  $T \cup y \in M_{\delta}$ , so  $T \cup y \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $T \cup y = T$ , and  $y \in T$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $T^* \cap F(W) \neq \square$ , and  $T^* \in M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$ .

(iii)  $M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$  is closed for each finite open cover  $\delta$  of X. Let  $\delta$ be a finite open cover of X. We show that  $S(X)\backslash M_\delta\cap\mathscr{C}$  is open. Let A be a closed set,  $A \notin M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$ . Then either  $A \notin \mathscr{C}$ , or  $A \in \mathscr{C}$ but  $A \notin M_{\delta}$ . If  $A \notin \mathcal{C}$ , then by part (i) there is an open set about A which misses  $\mathscr{C}$ . Suppose  $A \in \mathscr{C}$  and  $A \notin M_{\delta}$ . Then for some  $x, y \in A$ we have  $(x, y) \cap A = \square$ , and for each  $V \in \delta$ ,  $V^*$  misses either  $L(x) \cap A$ or  $M(y) \cap A$ . By an easy argument which makes use of hypothesis (1) and compactness, there are open sets  $U_1$  and  $W_1$  about  $L(x) \cap A$  and  $M(y) \cap A$  resp. with the property that  $x' \in U_1$  and  $y' \in W_1$  imply  $y' \not\leq x'$ . Let  $U_2 = \bigcap \{X \setminus V^* \mid V \in \delta, V^* \cap L(x) \cap A = \square \}, W_2 = \bigcap \{X \setminus V^* \mid V \in \delta, V^* \cap L(x) \cap A = \square \}$  $V^* \cap M(y) \cap A = \square$ . Then, since  $\delta$  is finite,  $U_2$  is open and contains  $L(x) \cap A$ ,  $W_2$  is open and contains  $M(y) \cap A$ , and for each  $V \in \delta$ ,  $V^*$ misses either  $U_2$  or  $V_2$ . Let  $U'=U_1\cap U_2$ ,  $W'=W_1\cap W_2$ . Now  $N(U' \cup W', U' \cup W')$  is open, contains A, and as we next show, misses  $M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$ . Suppose  $C \in \mathscr{C} \cap N(U' \cup W', U' \cup W')$ . Let  $x' = \sup(C \cap U'), y' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=$  $\inf(C \cap W')$ ; then  $(x', y') \cap C = \square$ . Also  $L(x') \cap C \subset U'$  and  $M(y') \cap C \subset W'$ , and since for each  $V \in \delta$ ,  $V^*$  misses either U' or W', we conclude that  $C \notin M_{\delta}$ . This completes (iii).

For any finite open cover  $\delta$ , put  $P_{\delta} = M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$ , and let  $\mathscr{T} = \{P_{\delta}\}$ . Note that if  $P_{\alpha}$ ,  $P_{\beta} \in \mathscr{T}$ , then there is a finite open cover  $\gamma$  which refines both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and hence  $P_{\gamma} \subset P_{\alpha} \cap P_{\beta}$ . Therefore  $\bigcap \mathscr{T} \neq \bigcup$ . Let  $C \in \bigcap \mathscr{T}$ , and we show next that C is order dense. Let  $x, y \in C$  with x < y. Then  $L(x) \cap M(y) \cap C = \bigcup$ , so by normality there are open sets U and V about  $L(x) \cap C$  and  $M(y) \cap C$  resp., with  $U^* \cap V^* = \bigcup$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be the finite open cover consisting of  $\{X \setminus U^*, X \setminus V^*\}$ . Since  $C \in P_{\alpha}$  and it is false that the closure of each member of  $\alpha$  meets both

726 R. J. KOCH

L(x) and M(y), we conclude that  $(x, y) \cap C \neq \square$ , and C is order-dense. Hence C is a compact order dense chain from a to F(W), and is therefore an arc. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 1. Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a compact partially ordered space with unique minimal element 0. If

- (1) Graph ( $\leq$ ) is closed in  $X \times X$  and
- (2) L(x) is connected for each  $x \in X$ , then X is arcwise connected.

*Proof.* Let  $W = X \setminus 0$ ; then from the connectedness of each L(x), we see that W satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Hence each element of X can be joined to 0 by a compact connected chain.

We note that Corollary 1 contains a result of Wallace [8].

Let S be a compact topological semigroup, and for  $a \in S$ , let  $J(a) = a \cup Sa \cup aS \cup SaS$ , and let  $J = \{(x, y) | J(x) = J(y)\}$ . Endow  $\frac{S}{J}$  with

the quotient topology, and let  $\varphi: S \to \frac{S}{J}$  be the natural mapping.

From the compactness of S it follows that  $\frac{S}{J}$  is compact Hausdorff.

We denote by E the set of idempotents of S.

Corollary 2. Let S be a compact connected topological semigroup, with  $S = ES \cup SE$ : then  $\frac{S}{J}$  is arcwise connected.

*Proof.* We define a partial order in  $\frac{S}{J}$  by:  $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$  iff  $J(a) \subset J(b)$ . From the compactness of S it follows that Graph  $(\leq)$  is closed in  $S \times S$ . Further, if K denotes the minimal ideal of S, then  $\varphi(K)$  is the unique minimal element of  $\frac{S}{J}$ . Note that  $L(\varphi(x)) = J(x)$ ; since  $S = ES \cup SE$ , it follows that J(x) is connected. Hence Corollary 1 applies, and the proof is complete.

REMARK. By a similar argument it can be seen that if L is a continuous monotone reflexive struct [4.7] on a continuum X, all of whose minimal elements are related, then  $\frac{X}{L}$  is arcwise connected.

COROLLARY. 3. Let S be a compact connected topological semigroup with unit u, and let V be an open set about u; then V contains an arc.

*Proof.* If S is a group, the result is known [2], hence we may suppose that  $u \notin K$ , where K is the minimal ideal of S [9]. Let E denote

the set of idempotents of S, and partially order E by:  $e \leq f$  iff  $e \in fSf$ . It follows from the compactness of S that Graph ( $\leq$ ) is closed in  $E \times E$ . If there exists an open set W about u such that  $W \cap E$  satisfies (2) of Theorem 2 (taking X = E), then  $W \cap V \cap E$  also satisfies (2), so there is an arc in V. If for each open set W about u,  $W \cap E$  fails to satisfy (2), then there exists  $e \in E \cap V \cap (S \setminus K)$  such that  $eSe \cap E \cap V = \{e\}$ . Since  $e \notin K$ , eSe is non-degenerate. Hence eSe is a compact connected semigroup with unit e in which there is an open set containing e but no other idempotent. By a theorem of Mostert and Shields [6] there is a local one-parameter semigroup in  $eSe \cap V$  and the proof is complete.

A compact connected semigroup with unit may fail to contain an arc which contains the unit. This is illustrated in Example 2 below, and is due to R. P. Hunter (unpublished).

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $R_+$  denote the non-negative reals under addition, and let C by the unit disc in the complex plane:  $C = \{z : |z| \le 1\}$  Let  $W = \{(z,t); z = \exp(2\pi i s), t = e^{-s}, s \in R_+\}$ , and set  $S = (C \times \{0\}) \cup W$ . Then S is a compact connected semigroup with zero and unit, but does not contain a standard thread joining the two. We may describe S by saying it is a two-cell with an arc winding on its boundary.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $D_i$  be the graph of  $x^2 + y^2 \le \frac{1}{i}$ ;  $z = 1 - \frac{1}{i}$ ; i = 1

 $1,2,3,\cdots$ . The  $D_i$  then converge to a point u. From the center of  $D_{i+1}$  we start an arc  $A_i$  which winds upon the boundary of  $D_i$  as in Example 1. Let  $S_i = A_i \cup D_i$ ;  $S_i$  is then a compact connected semigroup with zero  $0_i$  and unit  $u_i$ . Repeat this construction for each positive integer i, and let  $S = \bigcup_i S_i$ . We define multiplication in S as follows: if  $x, y \in S$  and both x and y belong to the same  $S_i$ , let xy be the product given in  $S_i$ . If  $x \in S_i$  and  $y \in S_j$  with i < j, define xy = yx = x. It is easy to see that  $S^*$  becomes a compact connected semigroup with zero  $0_i$  and unit u. Moreover, no arc in  $S^*$  contains u.

COROLLARY 4. Let S be a locally compact connected topological semigroup with zero (0), each of whose elements is idempotent; then S is arcwise connected.

*Proof.* Note that  $e \leq f$  iff  $e \in fSf$  defines a partial order on S, and that Graph  $(\leq)$  is closed in  $S \times S$ . The conclusion is now immediate from Corollary 1.

We conjecture that compactness can be replaced by local compactness in Corollary 4, and further, that a locally compact connected semilattice is arcwise connected.

728 R. J. KOCH

### REFERENCES

- 1. O. Frink, Topology in lattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1942), 569-582.
- 2. A. M. Gleason, Arcs in locally compact groups, Proc. Nat. Λcad. Sci., **36** (1950), 663-667.
- 3. R. J. Koch, Note on weak cutpoints in clans, Duke Math. J., 24 (1957), 611-616.
- 4. I. S. Krule, Structs on the 1-sphere, Duke Math. J., 24 (1957), 405-414.
- 5. C. Kuratowski, Topologie, II, Warsaw, 1950.
- 6. P. S. Mostert and A. L. Shields, One parmeter semigroups in a semigroup, (to appear).
- 7. A. D. Wallace, Struct ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 6 (1955), 634-638.
- 8. ———, Partial order and indecomposability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 780-781.
- 9. ———, The structure of topological semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **61** (1955), 95-112.
- L. E. Ward, Jr. Partially ordered topological spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 144-161.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### **EDITORS**

DAVID GILBARG Stanford University Stanford, California

R. A. Beaumont University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH C. E. BURGESS

E. HEWITT A. HORN V. GANAPATHY IYER R. D. JAMES

M. S. KNEBELMAN L. NACHBIN I. NIVEN T. G. OSTROM E. G. STRAUS G. SZEKERES

H. L. ROYDEN F. WOLF M. M. SCHIFFER K. YOSIDA

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chivoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 9, No. 3

July, 1959

Errett Albert Bishop, A minimal boundary for function algebras	629
John W. Brace, The topology of almost uniform convergence	643
Cecil Edmund Burgess, Chainable continua and indecomposability	653
L. Carlitz, Multiplication formulas for products of Bernoulli and Euler	
polynomials	661
Eckford Cohen, A class of residue systems (mod r) and related arithmetical	
functions. II. Higher dimensional analogues	667
Shaul Foguel, Boolean algebras of projections of finite multiplicity	681
Richard Robinson Goldberg, Averages of Fourier coefficients	695
Seymour Goldberg, Ranges and inverses of perturbed linear operators	701
Philip Hartman, On functions representable as a difference of convex functions	707
Milton Vernon Johns, Jr. and Ronald Pyke, On conditional expectation and	
quasi-rings	715
Robert Jacob Koch, Arcs in partially ordered spaces	723
Gregers Louis Krabbe, A space of multipliers of type $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$	729
John W. Lamperti and Patrick Colonel Suppes, Chains of infinite order and their	
application to learning theory	739
Edith Hirsch Luchins, On radicals and continuity of homomorphisms into Banach	
algebras	755
T. M. MacRobert, Multiplication formulae for the E-functions regarded as	
functions of their parameters	759
Michael Bahir Maschler, Classes of minimal and representative domains and their	
kernel functions	763
William Schumacher Massey, On the imbeddability of the real projective spaces in	
Euclidean space	783
Thomas Wilson Mullikin, Semi-groups of class $(C_0)$ in $L_p$ determined by parabolic	
differential equations	791
Steven Orey, Recurrent Markov chains	805
Ernest Tilden Parker, On quadruply transitive groups	829
Calvin R. Putnam, On Toeplitz matrices, absolute continuity, and unitary	
equivalence	837
Helmut Heinrich Schaefer, On nonlinear positive operators	847
Robert Seall and Marion Wetzel, Some connections between continued fractions	
and convex sets	861
Robert Steinberg, Variations on a theme of Chevalley	875
Olga Taussky and Hans Zassenhaus, On the similarity transformation between a	
matirx and its transpose	893
Emery Thomas, The suspension of the generalized Pontrjagin cohomology	
operations	897
Joseph L. Ullman, On Tchebycheff polynomials	913
Richard Steven Varga, Orderings of the successive overrelaxation scheme	925
Orlando Eugenio Villamayor, Sr., On weak dimension of algebras	941