Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON RADICALS AND CONTINUITY OF HOMOMORPHISMS INTO BANACH ALGEBRAS

EDITH HIRSCH LUCHINS

ON RADICALS AND CONTINUITY OF HOMO-MORPHISMS INTO BANACH ALGEBRAS

Edith H. Luchins

1. Introduction. All Banach algebras considered are over the real field and all homomorphisms considered are algebraic (real-linear). An algebra is called semi-simple, strongly semi-simple, or strictly semisimple, if its Jacobson radical [5], Segal radical [10], or strict radical [8], respectively, is the zero ideal; that is, if its regular maximal right ideals, its regular maximal two-sided ideals, or those of its two-sided ideals which are regular maximal right ideals, intersect in the zero ideal. Rickart [9, Corollary 6.3] proved that a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra has the property that every homomorphism of a Banach algebra into it is continuous. Call an algebra with this property an absolute algebra. Yood [12, Theorem 3.5] proved that every homomorphism of a Banach algebra onto a dense subset of a strongly semi-simple Banach algebra is continuous. Thus a strongly semi-simple Banach algebra is "almost" absolute. The question arose: Is a (noncommutative) semisimple or strongly semi-simple Banach algebra necessarily absolute? A negative answer is furnished in the present note. It is shown that in order for a Banach algebra to be absolute it is sufficient that it be strictly semi-simple and necessary that it have zero as its only nilpotent element. The latter condition is shown to be sufficient for some special Banach algebras to be absolute.

2. Necessary condition for a Banach algebra to be absolute.

THEOREM 1. An absolute Banach algebra has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

Proof. Suppose the Banach algebra B contains a nonzero nilpotent element. Then there exists a nonzero $v \in B$ such that $v^2 = 0$. Let A be an infinite dimensional Banach algebra such that $A^2 = (0)$. Since A is an infinite dimensional complete vector space, there exists a discontinuous linear functional on A; denote it by f(x). Let $\pi(x) = f(x)v$. Since f(x) is linear and $v^2 = 0$, π is seen to be a homomorphism of A into B.

Let ||y|| be a Banach norm for *B*. Then $||\pi(x)|| = |f(x)| ||v||$ since f(x) is a scalar. Since f(x) is discontinuous |f(x)| is not bounded and

Received February 5, 1959. This report was prepared while the author held the New York State Fellowship, 1957-8, of the American Association of University Women. It is based in part on the author's doctoral dissertation written at the University of Oregon under the sponsorship of Professor Bertram Yood. Thanks are offered to the referee for helpful suggestions.

hence π is not bounded. Thus π is discontinuous so that B is not absolute.

It is known that if a Banach algebra B is semi-simple and has a unique norm then a homomorphism of a Banach algebra onto B is necessarily continuous [9, Theorem 6.2]; that the resulting proposition is false if the word *into* is substituted for *onto* follows from our Theorem 1. Indeed, this theorem shows that for a Banach algebra to be absolute it is not sufficient that it have the properties of being simple, semi-simple, strongly semi-simple and having an identity and a unique norm. Thus, these properties are possessed by the algebra of all 2 by 2 matrices over the reals, under a Banach norm, and yet, since this algebra has nonzero nilpotent elements, Theorem 1 shows that it is not absolute.

3. Sufficient condition for a Banach algebra to be absolute. In [8] there was introduced the concept of a strictly semi-simple algebra. It was shown [8, Theorems 2 and 3] that a Banach algebra B is strictly semi-simple if and only if it is isomorphic to a subalgebra of C(X, Q), the algebra of quaternion-valued functions continuous, and vanishing at ∞ , on a locally compact Hausdorff space X.

THEOREM 2. A strictly semi-simple Banach algebra B is absolute.

Proof. Let A be a Banach algebra with a homomorphism T into $B \subset C(X, Q)$. Let $T_x(a) = T(a)(x)$. The kernel of T_x is closed since Q is simple, and therefore T_x is continuous, whence T_x is of bound 1. That T is continuous can now be shown by the 6-line argument of Loomis [7, p. 77]. One could also use [12, Theorem 3.5].

COROLLARY 1 (Rickart). A semi-simple commutative Banach algebra is absolute.

4. Concerning some special Banach algebras. For each subset S of a Banach algebra B, let $S_L(S_R)$ denote the set of all left (right) annihilators of S. B is called an annihilator algebra [3] if $B_R = 0 = B_L$ and if $I_L \neq 0$ ($I_R \neq 0$) for each proper closed right (left) ideal I, where 0 denotes the zero ideal.

Lemma 1 is due to Forsythe and McCoy [4, p. 524].

LEMMA 1. In a ring without nonzero nilpotent elements every idempotent is in the center.

THEOREM 3. That a Banach algebra B have zero as its only nilpotent element is both a necessary and a sufficient condition for B to be either strictly semi-simple or absolute, provided any of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (a) B is finite-dimensional.
- (b) B satisfies the descending chain condition on right ideals.
- (c) B is a semi-simple annihilator algebra.

Proof. If B is strictly semi-simple, then $B \subset C(X, Q)$ by [8] and hence has only zero as a nilpotent element. If B is absolute, then zero is its only nilpotent element by Theorem 1. Conversely, suppose B has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

Suppose condition (a) or (b) holds. Then B has a nilpotent radical and therefore is semi-simple; also B is then a direct sum of division algebras and therefore has the property that every left (or right) ideal is two-sided [2, p. 463]. Thus B is strictly semi-simple and therefore absolute by Theorem 2.

Suppose condition (c) holds. Let M be any regular maximal right ideal in B. Bonsall and Goldie [3, pp. 155-6] show that for any semisimple annihilator algebra B, $M_L = Be$ where e is a nonzero idempotent, B is a minimal (closed) left ideal, eB a minimal (closed) right ideal, $(eB)_L$ a maximal left ideal, and $(Be)_R = M$.

If B has no nonzero nilpotent elements, then e is in the center by Lemma 1 so that Be = eB is a two-sided ideal. But the left and right annihilators of a closed two-sided ideal are identical [3, p. 159] so that $(eB)_L = (Be)_R = M$.

Since $(eB)_L$ is a left ideal, M, which was any regular maximal right ideal in B, has been shown to be a left ideal. Thus B is strictly semisimple since it is semi-simple by hypothesis, and therefore absolute by Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 2. An H^* algebra B is commutative if and only if any of the following properties is satisfied:

- (a) B has no nonzero nilpotent elements.
- (b) B is strictly semi-simple.
- (c) B is absolute.

Proof. An H^* algebra is the closure of the direct sum of matrix algebras M_{σ} [1, pp. 379-380]. If condition (a) holds, then each M_{σ} must have zero as its only nilpotent element and therefore must be onedimensional. Hence each M_{σ} is generated by an idempotent e_{σ} which, by Lemma 1, is in the center. For $u, v \in \Sigma M_{\sigma}$, $u = \Sigma r_k e_k$, $v = \Sigma s_i e_i$, r_k, s_i scalars, uv = vu so that ΣM_{σ} is commutative and therefore so is its closure, B. Thus condition (a) implies that B is commutative.

Suppose B is commutative. Since an H^* algebra is semi-simple, if commutative it is strictly semi-simple and $\subset C(X, Q)$ by [8], so that zero is its only nilpotent element. Hence condition (a) prevails.

EDITH H. LUCHINS

The remainder of the corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3 since an H^* algebra is a semi-simple annihilator algebra [6, p. 697].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Ambrose, Structure theory for a special class of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (1945), 364-386.

2. R. Arens and I. Kaplansky, *Topological representation of algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), 457-481.

3. F. F. Bonsall and A. W. Goldie, *Annihilator algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 154-167.

4. A. Forsythe and N. H. McCoy, On the commutativity of certain rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 523-526.

5. N. Jacobson, The radical and semi-simplicity for arbitrary rings, Amer. J. Math. 67 (1945), 300-320.

6. I. Kaplansky, Dual rings, Ann. of Math. 49 (1948), 689-701.

7. L. H. Loomis, An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis, New York: Van Nostrand, 1953.

8. E. H. Luchins, On strictly semi-simple Banach algebras, Pacific J. Math. (to appear.)

9. C. E. Rickart, The uniqueness of norm problem in Banach algebras, Ann. of Math. 51 (1950), 615-628.

10. I. E. Segal, The group algebra of a locally compact group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1947), 69-105.

11. B. Yood, Topological properties of homomorphisms between Banach algebras, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 155-167.

12. — , Homomorphisms on normed algebras, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958), 373-381.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

AND

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

| E. F. BECKENBACH | V. GANAPATHY IYER | I. NIVEN | E. G. STRAUS |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| C. E. BURGESS | R. D. JAMES | T. G. OSTROM | G. SZEKERES |
| E. HEWITT | M. S. KNEBELMAN | H. L. ROYDEN | F. WOLF |
| A. HORN | L. NACHBIN | M. M. SCHIFFER | K. YOSIDA |

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

| UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA | STANFORD UNIVERSITY |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO |
| UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA | UNIVERSITY OF UTAH |
| MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY | WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE |
| UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA | UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON |
| OREGON STATE COLLEGE | * * * |
| UNIVERSITY OF OREGON | AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY |
| OSAKA UNIVERSITY | CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION |
| UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA | HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY |
| | SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES |

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chivoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 9, No. 3 July, 1959

| Errett Albert Bishop, A minimal boundary for function algebras | 629 |
|--|-----|
| John W. Brace, The topology of almost uniform convergence | 643 |
| Cecil Edmund Burgess, Chainable continua and indecomposability | 653 |
| L. Carlitz, Multiplication formulas for products of Bernoulli and Euler | |
| polynomials | 661 |
| Eckford Cohen, A class of residue systems (mod r) and related arithmetical | |
| functions. II. Higher dimensional analogues | 667 |
| Shaul Foguel, Boolean algebras of projections of finite multiplicity | 681 |
| Richard Robinson Goldberg, Averages of Fourier coefficients | 695 |
| Seymour Goldberg, Ranges and inverses of perturbed linear operators | 701 |
| Philip Hartman, On functions representable as a difference of convex functions | 707 |
| Milton Vernon Johns, Jr. and Ronald Pyke, <i>On conditional expectation and quasi-rings</i> | 715 |
| Robert Jacob Koch, Arcs in partially ordered spaces | 723 |
| Gregers Louis Krabbe, A space of multipliers of type $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$ | 729 |
| John W. Lamperti and Patrick Colonel Suppes, <i>Chains of infinite order and their</i> | |
| application to learning theory | 739 |
| Edith Hirsch Luchins, On radicals and continuity of homomorphisms into Banach | |
| algebras | 755 |
| T. M. MacRobert, Multiplication formulae for the E-functions regarded as | |
| functions of their parameters | 759 |
| Michael Bahir Maschler, Classes of minimal and representative domains and their | |
| kernel functions | 763 |
| William Schumacher Massey, On the imbeddability of the real projective spaces in | |
| Euclidean space | 783 |
| Thomas Wilson Mullikin, <i>Semi-groups of class</i> (C_0) <i>in</i> L_p <i>determined by parabolic</i> | |
| differential equations | 791 |
| Steven Orey, <i>Recurrent Markov chains</i> | 805 |
| Ernest Tilden Parker, On quadruply transitive groups | 829 |
| Calvin R. Putnam, On Toeplitz matrices, absolute continuity, and unitary | |
| equivalence | 837 |
| Helmut Heinrich Schaefer, On nonlinear positive operators | 847 |
| Robert Seall and Marion Wetzel, Some connections between continued fractions | |
| and convex sets | 861 |
| Robert Steinberg, Variations on a theme of Chevalley | 875 |
| Olga Taussky and Hans Zassenhaus, On the similarity transformation between a | |
| matirx and its transpose | 893 |
| Emery Thomas, The suspension of the generalized Pontrjagin cohomology | |
| operations | 897 |
| Joseph L. Ullman, On Tchebycheff polynomials | 913 |
| Richard Steven Varga, Orderings of the successive overrelaxation scheme | 925 |
| Orlando Eugenio Villamayor, Sr., On weak dimension of algebras | 941 |