Pacific Journal of Mathematics

TERMINATING PROLONGATION PROCEDURES

HAROLD H. JOHNSON

Vol. 10, No. 2

October 1960

ON TERMINATING PROLONGATION PROCEDURES*

H. H. JOHNSON

In the classical treatments [3] of systems of differential equations there are two outstanding techniques—the Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem and completely integrable systems (the latter is really a special case of the former [1, p. 77]). In terms of systems of differential forms the Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem becomes the Cartan-Kahler theorem, and systems with independent variables which satisfy its conditions are called involutive.

Many systems are not involutive, and the central problem of prolongation theory is to construct a procedure by which one can reduce every system to an equivalent involutive system. For total prolongations Kuranishi's theorem [4, p. 44] gives a precise answer to the question of when total prolongations will lead to involutive systems. If S is the initial system in euclidean space E^n , $P^q(S)$ the g^{th} total prolongation in the space R_q , then for all points $x \in E^n$, except possibly on a proper subvariety, there is a number g_0 such that if $g \ge g_0$ and $y \in R_q$ is a point over x, then $P^q(S)$ is involutive at y if and only if y is an ordinary integral point [4, p. 7] and the 1-forms of $P^q(S)$ do not imply any dependencies among the independent variables at integral points in a neighborhood of y. Then y is called a normal point.

The first part of this paper deals with an application of this theorem to certain types of differential systems. We show that under certain conditions the total prolongation process must result in normal points if there are to be *any* solutions. An application of this leads to a theorem often used in differential geometry [2, p. 14].

The second section is concerned with what can be done if normal points are not obtained for $P^{g}(S)$ as is the case with an example of Kuranishi. Here we must distinguish two cases. If $P^{g}(S)$ does not contain ordinary intergal points, so that its 0-forms are not a regular system of equations [4, p. 7] the Cartan-Kahler theory does not apply. Let us call such systems *singular*. We shall not consider this aspect of the problem in this paper.

If, however, the problem lies in a dependency among the independent variables implied by 1-forms of $P^{o}(S)$, at generic integral points, one would naturally think of restricting the system to those points where dependencies do not occur, since solutions must lie only in these points. Thus one obtains a sort of partial prolongation which could in turn be prolonged. Such a procedure was certainly what Cartan and Kuranishi had in mind. However, it is not clear that the process will ever result

Received March 2, 1959, and in revised form May 25, 1959. This work was supported in part by the U. S. Army Office of Ordnance Research, Contract DA 04-200-ORD-456.

in an involutive system. One might conceivably go on obtaining nonnormal systems indefinitely.

Kuranishi has recently proved a generalization of his prolongation theorem which is used to show that the above procedure does in fact ultimately stop, barring the occurrence of singular systems somewhere along the line.

The first section of this paper is part of the author's doctoral thesis at the University of California at Berkeley, written under the direction of Professor Harley Flanders to whom the author would like to record here his appreciation.

All functions, forms, and manifolds are assumed to be real analytic.

1. Kuranishi's fundamental theorem [4, p. 44] concerns a certain general type of differential system (called *normal*) which is generated by 1-forms θ^{α} , $\alpha = 1, \dots, \alpha_1$. If $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^p$ is a basis of a system of independent variables and π^1, \dots, π^m any other 1-forms to fill out a basis, then the θ^{α} are normal if $d\theta^{\alpha}$ can be expressed as

$$d heta^{
ho} \equiv \sum\limits_{i=1}^p \sum\limits_{\lambda=1}^m A_{arphi,i\lambda} \omega^i \wedge \pi^\lambda + \sum\limits_{i=1}^p \sum\limits_{j=1}^p rac{1}{2} B_{arphi,ij} \omega^i \wedge \omega^j$$

modulo (θ^{α}) . Suppose that these are defined on E^n where $n = \alpha_1 + p + m$ of variables x^1, \dots, x^n . Then R_g is the euclidean space of variables

$$x^{j}, u_{i_{1}}^{\lambda}, u_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{\lambda}, \cdots, u_{i_{1}\cdots i_{g}}^{\lambda}$$
 ,

where $j = 1, \dots, n$; $i_1, \dots, i_q = 1, \dots, p$; $\lambda = 1, \dots, m$, and the $u_{i_j \dots i_q}^{\lambda}$ are symmetric in the lower indicies.

Then $P^{g}(S)$ can be taken to be the system on R_{g} generated by the 1-forms

$$\pi_{g} \begin{cases} \theta^{\alpha}, \\ d\pi^{\lambda} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}^{\lambda} \omega^{j}, \\ du_{j_{1}}^{\lambda} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_{j_{1}j}^{\lambda} \omega^{j}, \\ \vdots \\ du_{j_{1}j_{2}\cdots j_{g-1}}^{\lambda} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_{j_{1}j_{2}\cdots j_{g-1}j}^{\lambda} \omega^{j}, \end{cases}$$

and certain functions

$$arPsi_{arphi; i_{j}: k_{1}\cdots k_{t}}, \qquad \qquad t \leq g-1 \; .$$

It turns out that for $t \leq g - 2$,

$$d\Theta_{arphi; \mathfrak{l} \mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{k}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{k}_s} \equiv 0 \qquad \qquad ext{modulo } \pi_g \; ,$$

while

$$d\Theta_{arphi;i_{j};k_{1}\cdots k_{g-1}} \equiv \sum_{\lambda=1}^{m} (A_{arphi;i_{\lambda}} du_{jk_{1}\cdots k_{g-1}}^{\lambda} - A_{arphi;j_{\lambda}} du_{ik_{1}\cdots k_{g-1}}^{\lambda})$$

 $+ \sum_{k=1}^{p} B_{arphi;i_{j};k_{1}\cdots k_{g-1}k} \omega^{k}$,

modulo π_{g} .

These B's are defined inductively by

$$egin{aligned} B_{arphi; ij; k1 \cdots k_t} &= \sum\limits_{\lambda=1}^m \left[(D_{k_t} A_{arphi; i\lambda}) du_{jk_1 \cdots k_{t-1}}^\lambda
ight. \ &- (D_{k_t} A_{arphi; j\lambda}) du_{i\,k_1 \cdots k_{t-1}}^\lambda
ight] + D_{k_t} B_{arphi; ij, k_1 \cdots k_{t-1}} \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_k F$ is defined as follows.

If F is any function on R_{t-1} it can be considered to be a function on R_s for all $s \ge t - 1$. If we form dF, then modulo π_s , when $s \ge t$, dF involves only $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^p$:

$$dF \equiv \sum_{k=1}^p F_k \omega^k$$
 modulo π_s ,

and the F_k are independent of s so long as $s \ge t$. Then one defines $D_k F$ to be F_k . $D_k F$ is a function on R_t .

THEOREM 1. Let S be a normal system where

- (1) the $A_{\varphi;i\lambda}$ are constants,
- (2) $dB_{\varphi;ij} \equiv 0 \mod (\omega^i, \theta^{\alpha}).$

Then if $P^{g}(S)$ is non-singular for all g, there is a g_{0} such that $P^{g}(S)$ is involutive for all $g \geq g_{0}$ at ordinary integral points, or else there exist no solutions.

Proof. If an ordinary integral point $y \in R_g$ is not normal, then there must be a dependency among $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^p$ implied by the 1-forms of $P^q(S)$ at integral points y_1 arbitrarily near y. This can happen only if there is a relation of the type

$$\Sigma \Gamma^{arphi;i_1;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}}(y_1)(d artheta_{arphi;i_1;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}})_{y_1}\equiv 0 modulo (\omega^i)$$
 ,

where the left side does not vanish identically. This can only happen if

$$0 = \Sigma \Gamma^{\varphi; ij; k_1 \cdots k_{g-1}} (y_1) [A_{\varphi; i\lambda}(y_1) (du_{jk_1 \cdots k_{g-1}}^{\lambda})_{y_1} - A_{\varphi; j\lambda}(y_1) (du_{ik_1 \cdots k_{g-1}}^{\lambda})_{y_1}]$$
 ,

while for some k,

$$\Sigma \Gamma^{\varphi;ij;k_1,\dots,k_{g-1}}(y_1) B_{\varphi;ij;k_1,\dots,k_{g-1},k}(y_1) \neq 0$$
.

Since the A depend only on x^1, \dots, x^n , we can choose the Γ to be functions of x^1, \dots, x^n .

Now, the functions in $P^{g+1}(S)$ have the form

579

$$\Theta_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_g} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^m (A_{\varphi;i\lambda} u_{jk_1\cdots k_g}^{\lambda} - A_{\varphi;j\lambda} u_{ik_1\cdots k_g}^{\lambda}) + B_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_g} .$$

Hence we have in $P^{g+1}(S)$ the function which is not in $P^{g}(S)$,

$$\Sigma \Gamma^{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}} \Theta_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}k} = \Sigma \Gamma^{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}} B_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}k} \ .$$

Consider now these B. Since the A are constants,

$$B_{arphi;i\,j;k_{1}\cdots k_{t}}=D_{k_{t}}B_{arphi;i\,j;k_{1}\cdots k_{t-1}}$$
 ;

where

$$dB_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{s-1}} \equiv \sum_{l=1}^p D_k B_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{t-1}} \omega^k$$

modulo π_s .

By assumption (2), $dB_{\varphi;ij}$ have the form

$$egin{aligned} dB_{arphi;ij} &= \sum\limits_{k=1}^p C_{arphi;ij;k} \omega^k + \sum\limits_{eta=1}^{lpha_1} E_{arphi;ij;eta} heta^eta \; , \ &= \sum\limits_{k=1}^p C_{arphi;ij;k} \omega^k & ext{modulo} \; \pi_1 \; , \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$B_{arphi; ij;k} = D_k B_{arphi; ij} = C_{arphi; ij;k}$$

are functions of x^1, \dots, x^n alone. Obviously $dB_{\varphi;ij;k} \equiv 0 \mod(\theta^{\alpha}, \omega^i)$ also, so the argument can be repeated to show that the functions

 $B_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_t}$

depend only on x^1, \dots, x^n . But that means that

(I)
$$\Sigma \Gamma^{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}} B_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_{g-1}k}$$

is a function in $P^{g+1}(S)$, not in $P^{g}(S)$, and dependent only on x^{1}, \dots, x^{n} .

Now, to any integral manifold I of S there corresponds a unique integral manifold I^{g+1} of $P^{g+1}(S)$, such that if ρ^{g+1} is the natural fibre bundle mapping on R_{g+1} to E^n , then $\rho^{g+1}(I^{g+1}) = I$ [4, p. 15]. I^{g+1} must annihilate the function (1). Since it is a function of x^1, \dots, x^n alone, I must itself annihilate it.

We conclude; if there exist ordinary integral points in R_g where $P^{g}(S)$ is not normal, then the manifold of integral points of S where solutions can occur must satisfy an additional condition to any imposed by $P^{\iota}(S), t < g$. Clearly, this can happen at most n - p times if there are to be solutions

Since the $A_{\varphi;i\lambda}$ are constants, every point of E^n is regular of order 0 [4, p. 36], so by Kuranishi's fundamental theorem there exists an in-

580

teger g_1 such that if y is an ordinary integral point in R_g for $g \ge g_1$, then $P^g(S)$ is involutive at y if and only if y is normal. Taking $g_0 = g_1 + (n - p)$ one obtains the theorem.

Next an application of this theorem will be made to a certain type of system of differential equations.

Let E^n be the euclidean space of variables $x^1, \dots, x^p, z^1, \dots, z^m$. Consider the problem of finding *m* functions $f^{\lambda}(x^1, \dots, x^p) = z^{\lambda}$ which will satisfy a given set of first order partial differential equations

$$rac{\partial z^{lpha}}{\partial x^{i}}=\psi_{i}^{lpha}(x,z), \qquad lpha=1,\,\cdots,\,m;\;i=1,\,\cdots,\,p\;.$$

In terms of differential forms this is the problem of finding integral manifolds of the system S generated by the 1-forms

$$\theta^{lpha} = dz^{lpha} - \sum_{i=1}^p \psi^{lpha}_i(x, z) dx^i$$

with independent 1-forms dx^1, \dots, dx^p . Here there are no π^{λ} . Then

$$\begin{split} d\theta^{\alpha} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{\alpha}}{\partial z^{\beta}} \psi_{j}^{\beta} - \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}^{\alpha}}{\partial z^{\beta}} \psi_{i}^{B} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{j}} - \frac{\partial \psi_{j}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}} \right) dx^{j} \wedge dx^{i} \qquad \qquad \text{modulo } (\theta^{\alpha}) \; . \end{split}$$

If then

$$B_{lpha;ij} = \sum\limits_{eta=1}^m rac{\partial \psi^{lpha}_i}{\partial z^eta} \psi^{eta}_j - \sum\limits_{eta=1}^m rac{\partial \psi^{lpha}_j}{\partial z^eta} \psi^{eta}_i + rac{\partial \psi^{lpha}_i}{\partial x^j} - rac{\partial \psi^{lpha}_j}{\partial x^i}$$

one can deduce the following theorem from the nature of the forms

$$d \Theta_{\phi;ij;k_1\cdots k_k} \equiv B_{\varphi;ij;k_1\cdots k_kk} \omega^k$$
 .

THEOREM 2. In order that the system of differential equations

$$\frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}} = \psi^{\alpha}_{i}(x, z)$$

have a solution, given that the equations

$$B_{arphi; i\, j; k_1 \cdots k_t} = 0, \qquad \qquad t \leq g$$

are non-singular for all g, it is necessary and sufficient that for all φ , i, j, k_1, \dots, k_q ,

$$B_{\varphi,ij,k_1\cdots k_q} \equiv 0 \mod (B_{\theta;rs;h_1\cdots h_t} | t \leq q-1)$$

for some $g \leq m - 1$. [See 2, p. 14].

H. H. JOHNSON

2. In Theorem 1, the prolongation process had to yield an involutive system because whenever a non-normal prolongation occured, this implied additional restrictions on the original system. In general this need not happen. Kuranishi gives an example of a system in which $P^{g}(S)$ is not normal for any $g \ge 1$ [4, p. 45].

Normality at integral points y of $P^{o}(S)$ involves two conditions; the set of 0-forms of $P^{o}(S)$ which define y must define a regular system of equations at y, and the 1-forms of $P^{o}(S)$ must imply no relations among the independent variables at integral points near y. This paper will ignore the first problem. It would seem to call for a more delicate approach to the Cartan-Kahler theorem. Let y be a non-normal integral point of $P^{o}(S)$ such that for all integral points y_{1} near y there is a dependency of the type

$$\sum_{i=1}^p A_i(y_1)(\omega^i)_{y_1}$$

in $P^{\sigma}(S)$. Then obviously solutions can occur only at points y_1 where $A_1(y_1) = A_2(y_1) = \cdots = A_p(y_1) = 0$. Hence a natural step to solving the system would be to add A_1, \dots, A_p as 0-forms to the system $P^{\sigma}(S)$. One would obtain a system having the same solutions as $P^{\sigma}(S)$.

Observe also that if $P^{g}(S)$ contains a 0-form which is a function on R_{g-1} , obviously any solution of $P^{g-1}(S)$ must annihilate that function; hence, adding it to $P^{g-1}(S)$ would generate a system having the same solutions as $P^{g-1}(S)$.

We introduce the following definition: let the system T in independent variables x^1, \dots, x^p , and dependent variables $y^1, \dots, y^r, z^1, \dots, z^m$ be called *complete* if the 1-forms of T contain no forms of the type $\Sigma A_i \omega^i$, where $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^p$ is a basis of independent variables, A_i not in T.

LEMMA. Let S be any system with independent variables x^1, \dots, x^p , and dependent variables z^1, \dots, z^m . Then there exists a sequence $\{S^g\}$ of differential systems S^g , closed, on R_g such that

(1) S^{g} has the same solutions as $P^{g}(S)$,

(2) S^{g} is complete,

(3) $P(S^{g-1}) \subseteq S^g$, and

(4) the 0-forms of S^{g} contain no functions on R_{g-1} except those in S^{g-1} ,

(5) S^{g} is generated by 0-forms, π_{g} , and their derivatives.

Proof. Let X be the set of all sequences $\{T^{g} | g = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where T^{g} is a closed differential system on R_{g} generated by 0-forms, π_{g} and their derivatives and having the same solutions as $P^{g}(S)$ and $P(T^{g-1}) \subseteq T^{g}$. The elements of X can be partially ordered by inclusion: $\{U^{g}\} \geq \{T^{g}\}$ if $U^{g} \supseteq T^{g}$ for all $g = 1, 2, \cdots$ If $A = \{\{T_{g}^{g} | a \in A\}$ is a nest in X,

then $\{T^{g}\}$, where T^{g} is the closed differential system generated by $U\{T^{g}_{a} | a \in A\}$, is in X and is \geq every element of A. Hence, X contains a maximal element, $\{S^{g}\}$. By definition, $\{S^{g}\}$ satisfies (1) and (3). If S^{h} were not complete, one could add to S^{h} the coefficients of forms of the type $\Sigma A_{i}\omega^{i}$ to obtain a still larger system \overline{S}^{h} , and $\{\overline{S}^{g}\}$, where $\overline{S}^{g} = S^{g}$ for g < h, and $\overline{S}^{g} = P^{g-h}(\overline{S}^{h})$ for $g \geq h$, would be properly greater than $\{S^{g}\}$. Similarly, if condition (4) did not hold for some S^{h} , we could enlarge S^{h-1} . Hence the lemma.

The construction of such a sequence, given S, could proceed as follows. Form P(S) and complete it in the obvious way to form a system T^1 . If the resulting system involves any functions on R_0 i.e., depending only on the coordinates of R_0 , add these to the system S and begin again. Otherwise, form $P(T^1)$ and complete to form T^2 . If T^2 contains functions on R_1 , add these to T_1 and begin again at that step. Observe that the addition of new functions to any one system on, say, R_g , is limited by the dimension of R_g , since each such addition reduces the dimension of the variety of integral points, which must have at least dimension p if there are to be any solutions at all.

Granted that such a sequence $\{S^o\}$ as given in the lemma exists, it is still not clear whether any S^o is involutive. Of course, the 0-forms might not define a regular system of equations for the integral points. But barring this one can prove that for g sufficiently large, S^o is involutive. This follows from a recent extension of Kuranishi's prolongation theorem [5, Theorem III. 1], where the required conditions are precisely those of the lemma.

THEOREM 3. Given a differential system S with independent variable dx^1, \dots, dx^p , there exists a sequence $\{S^g\}$ of closed differential systems, where S^g is on R_g , $g = 1, 2, \dots$, which have the same solutions as $P^g(S)$. Moreover, if for all $g \ge g_0$, S^g is non-singular, then there exists a g_1 such that for $g \ge g_1$, $P(S^{g-1}) = S^g$ and S^g is involutive.

REFERENCES

1. E. Cartan, Les systemes differentielles exterieurs et leurs applications geometriques, Paris, 1945.

2. L. P. Eisenhart, Non-Riemannian geometry, New York, 1927.

3. E. Goursat, Lecons sur l'integration des equations aux derivees partielles du premier order, Paris, 1921.

4. M. Kuranishi, On E. Cartan's prolongation theorem of exterior differential systems, Amer. J. Math., vol. **79** (1957), pp. 1-47.

5. _____, On the abstract approach to the local theory of continuous infinite pseudo groups, Project Report, University of Chicago, 1957.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

1048

A. L. WHITEMAN University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	E. HEWITT	M. OHTSUKA	E. SPANIER
T. M. CHERRY	A. HORN	H. L. ROYDEN	E. G. STRAUS
D. DERRY	L. NACHBIN	M. M. SCHIFFER	F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY OREGON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF OREGON CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY OSAKA UNIVERSITY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 10, No. 2 October, 1960

Maynard G. Arsove, The Paley-Wiener theorem in metric linear spaces	365
Robert (Yisrael) John Aumann, Acceptable points in games of perfect	
information	381
A. V. Balakrishnan, Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups	
generated by them	419
Dallas O. Banks, Bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems	439
Billy Joe Boyer, On the summability of derived Fourier series	475
Robert Breusch, An elementary proof of the prime number theorem with	
remainder term	487
Edward David Callender, Jr., <i>Hölder continuity of n-dimensional quasi-conformal mappings</i>	499
L. Carlitz, <i>Note on Alder's polynomials</i>	517
P. H. Doyle, III, Unions of cell pairs in E^3	521
James Eells, Jr., A class of smooth bundles over a manifold	525
Shaul Foguel, <i>Computations of the multiplicity function</i>	539
James G. Glimm and Richard Vincent Kadison, <i>Unitary operators in</i>	007
<i>C*-algebras</i>	547
Hugh Gordon, Measure defined by abstract L_p spaces	557
Robert Clarke James, <i>Separable conjugate spaces</i>	563
William Elliott Jenner, On non-associative algebras associated with bilinear	
forms	573
Harold H. Johnson, <i>Terminating prolongation procedures</i>	577
John W. Milnor and Edwin Spanier, <i>Two remarks on fiber homotopy type</i>	585
Donald Alan Norton, A note on associativity	591
Ronald John Nunke, On the extensions of a torsion module	597
Joseph J. Rotman, <i>Mixed modules over valuations rings</i>	607
A. Sade, Théorie des systèmes demosiens de groupoï des	625
Wolfgang M. Schmidt, On normal numbers	661
Berthold Schweizer, Abe Sklar and Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>The metrization of statistical metric spaces</i>	673
John P. Shanahan, On uniqueness questions for hyperbolic differential	075
equations	677
A. H. Stone, Sequences of coverings	689
Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>Projections onto the subspace of compact operators</i>	693
L. Bruce Treybig, <i>Concerning certain locally peripherally separable</i>	697
Milo Wesley Weaver, On the commutativity of a correspondence and a	
permutation	705
David Van Vranken Wend, On the zeros of solutions of some linear complex	710
differential equations	713
Fred Boyer Wright, Jr., <i>Polarity and duality</i>	723