

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE EXTENSIONS OF A TORSION MODULE

RONALD JOHN NUNKE

ON THE EXTENSIONS OF A TORSION MODULE

R. J. NUNKE

This paper concerns the structure of $\text{Ext}(A, T) = \text{Ext}_R^1(A, T)$ where A is a torsion-free and T is a torsion module over a Dedekind ring R . In the first section it is shown that for a given torsion-free module A the structure of $\text{Ext}(A, T)$ is completely determined by the basic subgroup of T . If in addition T is primary the structure of $\text{Ext}(A, T)$ depends on a single known invariant of T , called by Szele [4] the critical number. The rest of the paper is devoted to showing the nature of this dependence in the special case in which A is the quotient field of R and T is primary. The problem reduces to that of computing the rank of certain complete modules over a discrete valuation ring. This computation is carried out in section two and the description of $\text{Ext}(A, T)$ is given in section three.

Throughout the paper R is assumed to be a Dedekind ring other than a field. A consequence of this assumption, used in section two, is that R is infinite. An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ and a module C give rise to two exact sequences. We follow S. MacLane in calling the one beginning $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A'', C)$ the *first exact sequence* and the one beginning $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, A')$ the *second exact sequence*.

1. In this section it is shown that whenever A is torsion-free and C is a torsion module, then the structure of $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ depends only on the basic submodule of C .

LEMMA 1.1. *If A, B, C are modules with A torsion-free and if there is a homomorphism of B into C with divisible cokernel, then $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ is a direct summand of $\text{Ext}(A, B)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f: B \rightarrow C$ is a homomorphism with $\text{Coker } f = C/\text{Im } f$ divisible. Let f be factored into an epimorphism g followed by a monomorphism $h: f = hg$. We get two exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im } f & \xrightarrow{h} & C & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } f \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } f & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Im } f \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

and the relevant parts of the associated second exact sequences are

Received May 6, 1959.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}(A, \text{Coker } f) & \longrightarrow & & & & & \\ \text{Ext}(A, \text{Im } f) & \xrightarrow{h^*} & \text{Ext}(A, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(A, \text{Coker } f) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \text{Ext}(A, \text{Koker } f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(A, B) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & \text{Ext}(A, \text{Im } f) & \longrightarrow & 0 . \end{array}$$

Since A is torsion-free all the terms with Ext in them are divisible. But the divisibility of $\text{Coker } f$ implies that $\text{Hom}(A, \text{Coker } f)$ is also divisible. For suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Coker } f$ is a given homomorphism and r is any nonzero element of R . Since A is torsion-free, division by r in A is unique; hence there is a homomorphism $\psi : rA \rightarrow \text{Coker } f$ defined by $\psi(ra) = \varphi(a)$ for a in A . Since $\text{Coker } f$ is divisible ψ can be extended to all of A . Then $r\psi(a) = \psi(ra) = \varphi(a)$ so that $r\psi = \varphi$ and φ is divisible by r .

Hence all the modules in the last two exact sequences are divisible and the images of the various homomorphisms are direct summands. In addition $\text{Ext}(A, \text{Coker } f) = 0$ because $\text{Coker } f$ is divisible. It follows that $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ is a direct summand of $\text{Ext}(A, \text{Im } f)$ which is in turn a direct summand of $\text{Ext}(A, B)$.

COROLLARY 1.2. *If A is torsion-free and each of B and C has a homomorphism into the other with divisible cokernel, then*

$$\text{Ext}(A, B) \approx \text{Ext}(A, C) .$$

Proof. A divisible R -module is a direct sum of submodules each of which is isomorphic to Q or to a primary component of Q/R , the number of summands of each type being independent of the decomposition.

THEOREM 1.3. *If A is torsion-free, C is a torsion module, and B is a basic submodule of C , then*

$$\text{Ext}(A, C) \approx \text{Ext}(A, B) .$$

Proof. A basic submodule of a torsion module is a pure submodule for which the factor module is divisible and which is a direct sum of cyclic modules. Hence there is a homomorphism of B into C with divisible cokernel. On the other hand Szele has shown in [4] that B is a homomorphic image of C (Szele's proof is for primary groups but the generalization to this case is trivial). Hence the hypotheses of Corollary 1.2 are satisfied and the conclusion follows.

Suppose now that P is a prime ideal of R and that T is a P -primary module. The order ideal of an element x of T has the form $P^{e(x)}$ with $e(x)$ a nonnegative integer which we will call the *exponential order* of x . The submodule of T consisting of those elements with exponential order ≤ 1 is a vector space over the field R/P ; its dimension will be

called the P -rank of T and will be denoted by $r_P(T)$. If B is a basic submodule of T , the minimum of the numbers $r_P(P^n B)$ with n ranging over the non-negative integers is independent of the choice of B because the basic submodules of T are all isomorphic. This number is thus an invariant of T . We shall follow Szele in calling it the *critical number* of T .

If the basic submodule B of T is decomposed into the direct sum of cyclic modules, then $r_P(P^n B)$ is the number of summands whose generators have exponential order $> n$. Hence $r_P(P^n B)$ finite implies that the orders of the elements of B are bounded and the critical number of T is then 0. Thus the critical number of T is either 0 or infinite, and if 0, B is a direct summand of T which is therefore a direct sum of a divisible module and a module all of whose elements have bounded order.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let T be a P -primary module with critical number \aleph and let A be torsion-free.*

(i) *If $\aleph = 0$, then $\text{Ext}(A, T) = 0$.*

(ii) *If \aleph is infinite and M is the direct sum of \aleph copies of $\sum_n R/P^n$, then $\text{Ext}(A, T)$ and $\text{Ext}(A, M)$ are isomorphic. Thus the module structure of $\text{Ext}(A, T)$ depends only on the critical number of T .*

Proof. Since the maximal divisible submodule of T is a direct summand of T and contributes neither to $\text{Ext}(A, T)$ nor to the critical number of T , we may as well assume T reduced. In the paragraph preceding the theorem it was shown that if $\aleph = 0$, the orders of the elements of T are bounded. Any extension of T having a torsion-free factor module contains T as a pure submodule. Hence it splits and $\text{Ext}(A, T) = 0$ in this case.

Suppose now that \aleph is infinite and M is the direct sum of \aleph copies of $\sum_n R/P^n$. By Theorem 1.3 $\text{Ext}(A, T) \approx \text{Ext}(A, B)$ where B is a basic submodule of T . We write $B = \sum_n B_n$ where each B_n is a direct sum of copies of R/P^n . There is a natural number m such that $\aleph = r_P(P^m B)$ and $B = B' + B''$ where B' is the sum of the B_n with $n \leq m$ and B'' is the sum of the remaining B_n . Since $P^m B' = 0$ and A is torsion-free, $\text{Ext}(A, B') = 0$. Then the additivity of Ext implies that $\text{Ext}(A, B) \approx \text{Ext}(A, B'')$. The module B'' is the direct sum of cyclic modules and $r_P(B'') = r_P(P^m B'') = \aleph$ so that B'' is generated by \aleph elements. Hence it is a homomorphic image of M . On the other hand B'' can be expressed as a direct sum $B'' = C + \sum_\gamma C_\gamma$, where the summands C_γ are \aleph in number and each C_γ is the direct sum of a sequence of cyclic modules whose orders are strictly increasing. It follows that M is also a homomorphic image of B'' , hence $\text{Ext}(A, B'') \approx \text{Ext}(A, M)$ by Corollary 1.2. This proves (ii).

2. In this section we assume that R is a discrete valuation ring with prime p . If M is an R -module for which the submodules $p^n M$ have intersection 0 (i. e. if M has no elements of infinite height), then these submodules are a base at 0 for a topology called the p -adic topology. The completion of M in this topology will be denoted by M^* . The p -adic topology on M induces a topology on each submodule N which may or may not coincide with the p -adic topology on N . The two topologies will certainly coincide if N is pure in M for then $p^n N = N \cap p^n M$ for all n .

The problem to be solved in this section is that of determining the rank of M^* where M is a direct sum of copies of $\Sigma_n R/p^n R$.

A subset X of an R -module A is called independent if $r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_n x_n = 0$ implies $r_1 = \dots = r_n = 0$ whenever x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct elements of X and r_1, \dots, r_n are elements of R . The cardinal $|X|$ of a maximal independent subset of A is an invariant of A called its *rank* (denoted by $r(A)$); the rank of A is in fact the dimension of $A \otimes_R Q$ as a vector space over Q . The rank formula

$$r(A) = r(B) + r(A/B)$$

holds for any R -modules A and B with B a submodule of A . If A is torsion-free its cardinal $|A|$ and its rank are connected by the relation

$$|A| = r(A) |R|.$$

In particular $r(A) = |A|$ whenever A is torsion-free and $r(A) \leq |R|$. (The properties mentioned in this paragraph hold for any Dedekind ring.)

LEMMA 2.1. *If $M = \Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma$ is the direct sum of the modules M_γ , each of which is without elements of infinite height then M^* is isomorphic to the submodule of the direct product $\Pi_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ consisting of those sequences $u = (u_\gamma)$ such that (*) for each natural number n , $u_\gamma \in p^n M_\gamma^*$ for all but a finite set of indices.*

The condition (*) implies that $u_\gamma = 0$ for all but a countable set of indices.

Proof. For each index γ M_γ is pure in M which is pure in M^* . Hence M_γ is pure in M^* . By Lemma 20 of [2] the closure $M_{\bar{\gamma}}$ of M_γ in the p -adic topology is also pure in M^* . Therefore M^* induces the p -adic topology on $M_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and, since a closed subspace of a complete space is complete, $M_{\bar{\gamma}} = M_\gamma^*$.

We next show that the sum $\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^* \subseteq M^*$ is direct. Suppose $\Sigma_\gamma x_\gamma = 0$ where $x_\gamma \in M^*$ and γ belongs to a finite set σ of indices. For each natural number n and each $\gamma \in \sigma$ there is an $x_{\gamma n} \in M_\gamma$ such that $x_{\gamma n} - x_\gamma \in p^n M_\gamma^*$, hence $\Sigma_\gamma x_{\gamma n} = \Sigma_\gamma (x_{\gamma n} - x_\gamma) \in p^n M^*$. Since $\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma$ is pure in M^* it is pure

in $\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ so that $\Sigma_\gamma x_{\gamma n} \in (\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma) \cap p^n \Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^* = p^n \Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma$. Then $x_{\gamma n} \in p^n M$ for each $\gamma \in \sigma$ because the sum $\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma$ is direct. Thus for each $\gamma \in \sigma$, $x_{\gamma n} \rightarrow 0$ and $x_\gamma = 0$.

Let S be the submodule of $\Pi_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ defined by (*). We shall define an isomorphism φ of M^* onto S . Let x be any element of M^* . Since $\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ is dense in M^* there is, for each natural number n , an element $x_n \in \Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ such that $x_n - x \in p^n M^*$. We express each x_n as a sum $x_n = \Sigma_\gamma x_{\gamma n}$ with $x_{\gamma n} \in M_\gamma^*$ where $x_{\gamma n} = 0$ for all γ not in some finite set τ_n . Since x_n converges to x , the arguments of the preceding paragraph show that, for each γ , $x_{\gamma n}$ converges to some $u_\gamma \in M^*$. It is easily shown that the elements u_γ depend only on x . We set $\varphi(x) = (u_\gamma)$.

It is necessary to show that u lies in S . Consider a fixed natural number i and assume that γ is not in τ_i so that $x_{\gamma i} = 0$. Then, for $j > i$, $x_{\gamma j} = x_{\gamma j} - x_{\gamma i} \in p^i M_\gamma^* \cap M_\gamma^* = p^i M_\gamma^*$. Passing to the limit we have $u_\gamma \in p^i M_\gamma^*$ because $p^i M_\gamma^*$ is closed in M^* . Since each τ_i is finite, u_γ satisfies (*) and is in S as required.

To prove φ epimorphic suppose $u \in S$. For each n let τ_n be a finite set of indices such that $u_\gamma \in p^n M_\gamma^*$ for all γ not in τ_n and let x_n be the sum (in M^*) of the u_γ for $\gamma \in \tau_n$. The existence of τ_n is insured by (*). Since $\tau_n \subseteq \tau_m$ for $m \leq n$, $x_m - x_n \in p^n M^*$. Hence the x_n converge to an element x in M^* . Moreover $x_n - x \in p^n M^*$. An examination of the definition of φ shows that $x_{\gamma n} = u_\gamma$ if $\gamma \in \tau_n$ and $x_{\gamma n} = 0$ otherwise. Hence $\varphi(x) = u$ and φ is epimorphic.

Finally suppose that $\varphi(x) = 0$. Referring to the definition of φ we have, for fixed n and all $i > n$, $(\Sigma_{\gamma i} - x_{\gamma n}) = x_i - x_n \in p^n M^*$. Since $\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ is pure in M^* and the sum is direct, this implies that $x_{\gamma i} - x_{\gamma n} \in p^n M_\gamma^*$ for each index γ and each $i > n$. We are assuming all $u_\gamma = 0$ so that $x_{\gamma i} \in p^n M_\gamma^*$ for large i , hence $x_{\gamma n} \in p^n M_\gamma^*$. But then $x_n = \Sigma_\gamma x_{\gamma n} \in p^n M^*$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$, $x = 0$. This shows that φ is a monomorphism and completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.3. *If $M = \Pi_\gamma M_\gamma$ where γ ranges over a set of cardinal \aleph and the M_γ are all torsion-free with the same rank, then*

$$r(M) = |M_\gamma|^\aleph.$$

Proof. Note first that for each γ $|M_\gamma| = r(M_\gamma) |R|$ so that all the M_γ have the same power. If we can show that $r(M) \geq |R|$, then $r(M) = |M| = |M_\gamma|^\aleph$ as required.

Suppose the indices are the natural numbers and that each $M_\gamma = R$. Consideration of a suitable Vandermonde determinant shows that the elements $(1, r, r^2, \dots) \in M$ with r ranging over R are independent so that $r(M) \geq |R|$ in this case. In the general case \aleph is infinite and each M_γ contains a copy of R so that M contains a countable product of copies of R , hence $r(M) \geq |R|$ in all cases.

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that N is a submodule of M and that, for each natural number n , M_n and N_n are copies of M and N respectively. If $\varphi: \Pi_n M_n \rightarrow M$ is a homomorphism such that $\varphi^{-1}(N) \subseteq \Pi_n N_n$, then*

$$r(M/N) = r(M/N)^{\aleph_0} .$$

Proof. Since φ maps $\varphi^{-1}(N)$ into N , it induces a monomorphism

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Pi_n M_n / \varphi^{-1}(N) \rightarrow M/N .$$

Since $\varphi^{-1}(N) \subseteq \Pi_n N_n$, there is an epimorphism

$$(2) \quad \Pi_n M_n / \varphi^{-1}(N) \rightarrow \Pi_n (M_n / N_n) \rightarrow 0 .$$

Rank does not increase on passing to submodules or to homomorphic images, hence (1) and (2) imply

$$(3) \quad r(M/N) \geq r(\Pi_n M_n / \varphi^{-1}(N)) \geq r(\Pi_n (M_n / N_n)) .$$

By the definition of rank M/N contains a free module F such that $r(F) = r(M/N)$. For each n let F_n be a copy of F in M_n/N_n . Then $\Pi_n F_n \subseteq \Pi_n (M_n/N_n)$ and Lemma 2.2 implies

$$(4) \quad r(\Pi_n (M_n/N_n)) \geq r(\Pi_n F_n) = |F|^{\aleph_0} \geq r(F)^{\aleph_0} = r(M/N)^{\aleph_0} .$$

Thus (3) and (4) imply the conclusion of the lemma.

THEOREM 2.4. *If M is the direct sum of \aleph copies of $\Sigma_n R/p^n R$, then $r(M^*) = (\aleph |R|)^{\aleph_0}$.*

Proof. We first consider the case $\aleph = 1$. It will be convenient to replace $R/p^n R$ by the isomorphic module $R(p^n)$ which consists of all elements of Q/R annihilated by p^n , for then $R(p^n) \subseteq R(p^m)$ for all $m \geq n$. Each element $a \neq 0$ in $R(p^n)$ has a height $h_n(a)$ in $R(p^n)$ where $h_n(a) = i$ if $a \in p^i R(p^n)$ but a is not in $p^{i+1} R(p^n)$. The height and exponential order of a are related by $h_n(a) + e(a) = n$. We let $C = \Sigma_n R(p^n)$ and $D = \Pi_n R(p^n)$. Then C^* consists of those elements $x = (x_n) \in D$ such that $h_n(x_n)$ goes to ∞ with n .

We show first that $r(C^*) = r(D)$. The inequality $r(C^*) \leq r(D)$ holds because $C^* \subseteq D$. To prove the opposite inequality we define $\rho: D \rightarrow C^*$ by

$$\rho(x)_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 , \\ x_k & \text{if } n = 2k . \end{cases}$$

Since $R(p^k) \subseteq R(p^{2k})$, ρ is a homomorphism into D . Since $e(x_k) \leq k$ and $h_{2k}(x_k) + e(x_k) = 2k$, $h_{2k}(x_k) \geq k$ so that $\rho(x)$ lies in C^* . The map ρ is clearly a monomorphism so $r(D) \leq r(C^*)$ as required.

The next step is to show that

$$r(D) = r(D)^{\aleph_0}.$$

Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ be an infinite partition of the set of natural numbers into infinite subsets. For each n let D_n be a copy of D . An element $u \in \prod_n D_n$ is a sequence (u_1, u_2, \dots) with $u_n = (u_{ni}) \in D$. We define $\xi : \prod_n D_n \rightarrow D$ by $\xi(u)_k = u_{ni}$ if k is the i th element of σ_n ; $u_{ni} \in R(p^k)$ because $k \geq i$. The hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied with $M = D$ and $N = 0$ which shows that $r(D) = r(D)^{\aleph_0}$.

The module D can be represented as the module of all infinite sequences (x_1, x_2, \dots) of elements of R modulo the sequences of the form $(b_1p, b_2p^2, b_3p^3, \dots)$. Thus Lemma 2.2 and the fact that rank does not increase on passing to homomorphic images imply that $r(D) \leq |R|^{\aleph_0}$. We shall show that $r(D) \geq |R|$. Then $r(D) = r(D)^{\aleph_0} \geq |R|^{\aleph_0}$ and we get

$$r(D) = |R|^{\aleph_0}.$$

To show that $r(D) \geq |R|$ let $\alpha(r) = (1, r, r^2, \dots)$ for each $r \in R$ and let $\bar{\alpha}(r)$ be the image of $\alpha(r)$ in D . We show that the elements $\bar{\alpha}(r)$ for $r \in R - (p)$ are independent. Suppose r_1, \dots, r_n are distinct elements of R not in (p) , and suppose $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ such that

$$a_1\bar{\alpha}(r_1) + \dots + a_n\bar{\alpha}(r_n) = 0.$$

Then elements b_1, b_2, \dots exist in R such that

$$a_1\alpha(r_1) + \dots + a_n\alpha(r_n) = (b_1p, b_2p^2, \dots).$$

Hence, for each k , the a_i satisfy a system of n equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1r_1^k + \dots + a_nr_n^k &= b_kp^k \\ \dots & \\ a_1r_1^{k+n-1} + \dots + a_nr_n^{k+n-1} &= b_{k+n-1}p^{k+n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The determinant Δ of this system is $r_1^k \dots r_n^k d$ where d is the Vandermonde determinant of r_1, \dots, r_n ; $d \neq 0$ because the r 's are distinct. We set $d = p^m s$ with s prime to p and $t = r_1^k \dots r_n^k s$. Then $\Delta = p^m t$ where t is prime to p because $r_1, \dots, r_n, s \in R - (p)$. Then by Cramer's rule each a_i satisfies an equation of the form $p^m t a_i = p^k c_i$. Hence, for $k > m$, p^{k-m} divides $t a_i$ and therefore divides a_i because it is prime to t . Since this is true for all $k > m$, $a_i = 0$ for each i . Therefore the $\bar{\alpha}(r)$ with r ranging over $R - (p)$ is an independent subset of D so $r(D) \geq |R - (p)|$. But $R - (p)$ is the disjoint union of cosets of (p) so that $|R - (p)| \geq |R|$; hence $|R - (p)| = |R|$.

We now have $r(C^*) = r(D) = |R|^{\aleph_0}$ which completes the proof in the case $\aleph = 1$.

Now suppose \aleph arbitrary, let Γ be a set with cardinal \aleph and let $M = \Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma$ where, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $M_\gamma = C = \Sigma_n R(p^n)$. In view of Lemma 2.1 and the remark following it M^* is contained in the submodule A of all sequences $x \in \Pi_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ with $x_\gamma = 0$ for all but a countable number of indices. Each such sequence is determined by the set σ of indices γ such that $x_\gamma \neq 0$ and a function $f: \sigma \rightarrow C^* - \{0\}$. From this it follows easily that $|A| \leq (\aleph |C^*|)^{\aleph_0}$. Since $C^* \subseteq D$ and D is a homomorphic image of the direct product of \aleph_0 copies of R , $|C^*| \leq |R|^{\aleph_0}$. Since $|R|^{\aleph_0} = r(C^*) \leq |C^*|$ we have $|C^*| = |R|^{\aleph_0}$. Hence

$$r(M^*) \leq r(A) \leq |A| \leq (\aleph |R|)^{\aleph_0} .$$

Using Lemma 2.1 again we have $\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^* \subseteq M^*$ so that

$$r(M^*) \geq r(\Sigma_\gamma M_\gamma^*) = |\Gamma| r(C^*) = \aleph |R|^{\aleph_0} .$$

These last two sets of inequalities combine to give

$$\aleph |R|^{\aleph_0} \leq r(M^*) \leq (\aleph |R|)^{\aleph_0} .$$

If \aleph is finite this completes the proof. If \aleph is infinite, the proof will be complete once we show that $r(M^*)^{\aleph_0} = r(M^*)$. To show this assume \aleph infinite and partition the index set Γ into a countable sequence $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots$ of disjoint subsets such that $|\Gamma_n| = |\Gamma| = \aleph$ and set $M_n = \Sigma \{M_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_n\}$. Then $M_n \approx M$ and $M_n^* \approx M^*$ for each n . Our purpose will be achieved if we can define a monomorphism $\varphi: \Pi_n M_n^* \rightarrow M^*$, for then $\varphi^{-1}(tM^*) = t(\Pi_n M_n^*) \subseteq \Pi_n tM_n^*$, where tM^* is the torsion submodule of M^* . Now Lemma 2.3 applies to give $r(M^*/tM^*) = r(M^*/tM^*)^{\aleph_0}$. But $r(M^*) = r(M^*/tM^*)$ so $r(M^*) = r(M^*)^{\aleph_0}$.

Earlier in the proof of this theorem we defined a monomorphism $\rho: D \rightarrow C^*$. For each k we now define a monomorphism $\psi_k: D \rightarrow D$ by

$$\psi_k(x)_i = \begin{cases} 0, & i \leq k, \\ x_{i-k}, & i > k. \end{cases}$$

For $i > k$ we have $e(x_{i-k}) \leq i - k$ so that $h_i(x_{i-k}) = i - e(x_{i-k}) \geq k$. Hence $\psi_k(D) \subseteq p^k D$ so that $\rho\psi_k$ maps D into $p^k C^*$. We define $\varphi_k: C^* \rightarrow p^k C^*$ to be the restriction of $\rho\psi_k$ to C^* and note that it is a monomorphism.

We now use Lemma 2.1 to identify M^* with the submodule of $\Pi_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ described by the condition (*). An element x of $\Pi_n M_n^*$ is a sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots) where $x_n \in M_n^* \subseteq \Pi \{M_\gamma^* \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_n\}$. We define φ by $\varphi(x)_\gamma = \varphi_n(x_{n\gamma})$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$. Then $\varphi: \Pi_n M_n^* \rightarrow \Pi_\gamma M_\gamma^*$ and is a monomorphism because each φ_n is one. There remains the task of showing that $\varphi(x)$ lies in M^* . Let n be a natural number. For each $k < n$ there is by Lemma 2.1 a finite subset τ_k of Γ_k such that $x_{k\gamma} \in p^n M_\gamma^*$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$

but not in τ_k . By the definition of $\varphi_k, \varphi_k(x_{k\gamma}) \in p^n M_\gamma^*$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ with $k \geq n$. Hence $\varphi(x)_\gamma \in p^n M_\gamma^*$ for all not in $\tau_1 \cup \dots \cup \tau_{n-1}$ which is a finite set. Thus $\varphi(x)$ satisfies (*) of Lemma 2.1 and is in M^* as required.

3. Let R once more be an arbitrary Dedekind ring and let P be a prime ideal of R . For any R -module T , $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$ is a vector space over Q and is therefore completely described by its dimension over Q or equivalently its rank over R . According to Theorem 1.4 this dimension is a function of the critical number of T if T is primary.

THEOREM 3.1. *If T is a P -primary R -module with infinite critical number \aleph , then the rank of $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$ is $(\aleph | R)^{\aleph_0}$.*

Proof. In order to make the results of section two available we change rings. The module T , being P -primary, can be considered as a module over the ring S consisting of all elements of the form a/b in Q with a and b in R and b prime to P . The theory of P -primary modules is left unchanged by the shift from R to S . In particular the critical number of T is \aleph in both cases.

Since S is torsion-free as an R -module Proposition 4.1.3. of [1] applies to give a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_R(Q, T) \approx \text{Ext}_S(S \otimes_R Q, T) .$$

Since R and S have the same quotient field $Q, Q = S \otimes_R Q$ and

$$\text{Ext}_R(Q, T) \approx \text{Ext}_S(Q, T) .$$

These are both vector spaces over Q and the isomorphism is a Q -isomorphism; hence the two modules have the same dimension over Q . Let M be the direct sum of \aleph copies of $\Sigma_n S/p^n S$ where p is the prime of S . According to Theorem 1.4

$$\text{Ext}_S(Q, T) \approx \text{Ext}_S(Q, M) .$$

Since M is a basic submodule of tM^* , Theorem 1.3 gives

$$\text{Ext}_S(Q, M) \approx \text{Ext}_S(Q, tM^*) .$$

By Theorem 7.4 of [3], $\text{Ext}_S(Q, M^*) = 0$ because M^* is complete, while $\text{Hom}_S(Q, M^*) = 0$ because M^* is reduced. Hence the second exact sequence associated with Q and $0 \rightarrow tM^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow M^*/tM^* \rightarrow 0$ reduces to

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(Q, M^*/tM^*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S(Q, tM^*) \rightarrow 0 .$$

Since M^*/tM^* is torsion-free divisible

$$\text{Hom}_S(Q, M^*/tM^*) \approx M^*/tM^* .$$

It follows that $\text{Ext}_R(Q, T)$ and M^*/tM^* have the same dimension over Q . This dimension is $(\aleph | S |)^{\aleph_0}$ by Theorem 2.5. Moreover $|R| = |S|$. Hence the theorem is proved.

Since the integers are the most important example of a Dedekind ring it is appropriate to interpret the last theorem for this special case. Since rank and cardinality coincide for torsion-free abelian groups of infinite rank, we can say that *if T is a p -primary abelian group with infinite critical number \aleph , there are \aleph^{\aleph_0} inequivalent extensions of T by the rational numbers.*

REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton 1956.
2. I Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Ann Arbor, 1954.
3. R. J. Nunke, *Modules of extensions over Dedekind rings*. III. Journ. of Math., **3**, (1959), 222-241.
4. T. Szele, *On the basic subgroups of abelian p -groups*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., **5** (1954), 129-141.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG
Stanford University
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH
T. M. CHERRY
D. DERRY

E. HEWITT
A. HORN
L. NACHBIN

M. OHTSUKA
H. L. ROYDEN
M. M. SCHIFFER

E. SPANIER
E. G. STRAUS
F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 10, No. 2

October, 1960

Maynard G. Arsove, <i>The Paley-Wiener theorem in metric linear spaces</i>	365
Robert (Yisrael) John Aumann, <i>Acceptable points in games of perfect information</i>	381
A. V. Balakrishnan, <i>Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups generated by them</i>	419
Dallas O. Banks, <i>Bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems</i>	439
Billy Joe Boyer, <i>On the summability of derived Fourier series</i>	475
Robert Breusch, <i>An elementary proof of the prime number theorem with remainder term</i>	487
Edward David Callender, Jr., <i>Hölder continuity of n-dimensional quasi-conformal mappings</i>	499
L. Carlitz, <i>Note on Alder's polynomials</i>	517
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>Unions of cell pairs in E^3</i>	521
James Eells, Jr., <i>A class of smooth bundles over a manifold</i>	525
Shaul Foguel, <i>Computations of the multiplicity function</i>	539
James G. Glimm and Richard Vincent Kadison, <i>Unitary operators in C^*-algebras</i>	547
Hugh Gordon, <i>Measure defined by abstract L_p spaces</i>	557
Robert Clarke James, <i>Separable conjugate spaces</i>	563
William Elliott Jenner, <i>On non-associative algebras associated with bilinear forms</i>	573
Harold H. Johnson, <i>Terminating prolongation procedures</i>	577
John W. Milnor and Edwin Spanier, <i>Two remarks on fiber homotopy type</i>	585
Donald Alan Norton, <i>A note on associativity</i>	591
Ronald John Nunke, <i>On the extensions of a torsion module</i>	597
Joseph J. Rotman, <i>Mixed modules over valuations rings</i>	607
A. Sade, <i>Théorie des systèmes démodiens de groupoïdes</i>	625
Wolfgang M. Schmidt, <i>On normal numbers</i>	661
Berthold Schweizer, Abe Sklar and Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>The metrization of statistical metric spaces</i>	673
John P. Shanahan, <i>On uniqueness questions for hyperbolic differential equations</i>	677
A. H. Stone, <i>Sequences of coverings</i>	689
Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>Projections onto the subspace of compact operators</i>	693
L. Bruce Treybig, <i>Concerning certain locally peripherally separable spaces</i>	697
Milo Wesley Weaver, <i>On the commutativity of a correspondence and a permutation</i>	705
David Van Vranken Wend, <i>On the zeros of solutions of some linear complex differential equations</i>	713
Fred Boyer Wright, Jr., <i>Polarity and duality</i>	723