# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE COMMUTATIVITY OF A CORRESPONDENCE AND A PERMUTATION

MILO WESLEY WEAVER

Vol. 10, No. 2 October 1960

# ON THE COMMUTATIVITY OF A CORRESPONDENCE AND A PERMUTATION

### MILO W. WEAVER

Foreword. A permutation is a one-to-one mapping of a finite set onto itself. The necessary and sufficient conditions for two permutations  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  to satisfy

$$(0.1) s_1 s_2 \cong s_2 s_1$$

are known :  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  satisfy (0.1) if and only if  $S_2$  is a product PQ of a permutation P which is a product of powers of cycles of  $S_1$  and a permutation Q which permutes cycles of  $S_1$  with equal numbers of symbols. For example if  $S_1 \cong (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)\ (5\ 6\ 7\ 8), \ P \cong (1\ 3)\ (2\ 4), \ and \ Q \cong (1\ 5)\ (2\ 6)\ (3\ 7)\ (4\ 8), \ then <math>PQ$  commutes with  $S_1$ . A correspondence is a mapping of a finite set *into* itself. Hence a permutation is a special case of a correspondence. It is our major object in this paper to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for a permutation to commute with a correspondence. These conditions are stated in Theorem 3.15 below.

As the literature <sup>2</sup> has very little on "correspondences," all the fundamental definitions needed in this paper and pertaining to correspondences are given.

It is assumed that the reader knows a little about groups of permutations.

1. Fundamental definitions.<sup>3</sup> A correspondence relates each symbol of a finite set  $\Re$  to exactly one symbol of  $\Re$ . A permutation is a correspondence such that each image symbol is the image of exactly one symbol of  $\Re$ . The statement, m is the image of n under the correspondence.

Received April 27, 1959. The work on this paper was done under National Science Foundation Grant 8238. The writer wishes to express his appreciation to his 1958 University of Texas class, and particularly to Robert R. Bunten, for suggestions concerning terminology and explanations. He also wishes to thank the referee for a valuable suggestion relating to the definition at the beginning of Section 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Burnside, *Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, Cambridge University Press, 1897, pp. 215, 216.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Two papers on correspondences are: R. R. Stoll, "Representations of Finite Simple Semigroups," *Duke Math J.*, vol. 11, no. 2 (1944), 251–265; Milo Weaver, "On the Imbedding of a Finite Commutative Semigroup of Idempotents in a Uniquely Factorable Semigroup, "*Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 42, no. 10 (1956), 772–775.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Most of the definitions in this section and Theorem 1.5 were given: H. S. Vandiver and M. W. Weaver, "A Development of Associative Algebra and an Algebraic Theory of Numbers, III," *Math. Mag.*, vol. 29 (1956), 135–149.

spondence D is abbreviated nD = m.

The notation for a correspondence D:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a_1 a_2 & a_r \\
& \cdots \\
b_1 b_2 & b_r
\end{pmatrix}$$

is interpreted: "the a's are distinct symbols of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $a_iD=b_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\cdots,r$ ." If  $n\in\mathfrak{R}$  and nD=n and xD=n has no solution  $x,x\neq n,\ x\in\mathfrak{R}$ , n may be omitted from both lines of (1.1). The single-lined notation for a cycle C:

$$(1.2) (d_1d_2\cdots d_s)$$

means that the d's are distinct symbols of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $d_iC=d_{i+1}$ ,  $i=1,2,\cdots$ , s-1, but  $d_sC=d_1$ ; and that nC=n if  $n\in\mathfrak{R}$  and n is not one of the d's. If s=1, (1.2) becomes  $(d_1)$  and means that this cycle is the identity permutation, E, defined by nE=n, for each n of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . The example  $\binom{1}{2}\binom{2}{3}$  suggests that some correspondence cannot be described either by (1.2) or by a "product" of cycles. We describe the particular correspondence D' by the notation

$$(1.3) (d_1d_2\cdots d_s)$$

and interpret this exactly as we did (1.2), except here s > 1 and  $d_sD' = d_s$ . A correspondence of the type (1.3) is called a 1-1-excycle, or just a 1-excycle.

The correspondences  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are said to be equivalent if  $nD_1 = nD_2$ , for each  $n \in \Re$ . We describe this by  $D_1 \cong D_2$ .

The product  $D_3 \cong D_1D_2$  is defined by  $nD_3 = (nD_1)D_2 = nD_1D_2$  for each  $n \in \Re$ . We illustrate: if  $P \cong (\frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{3} \frac{4}{2} \frac{5}{6} \frac{7}{3} \frac{8}{6} \frac{9}{8})$  and  $S \cong (\frac{1}{5} \frac{4}{7} \frac{5}{4} \frac{7}{1} \frac{2}{6} \frac{8}{2} \frac{9}{8})$  then

Positive integral exponents will be interpreted exactly as in permutation theory. If it is convenient,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and A is a correspondence,  $mA^0$  may be used to denote m. Only non-negative exponents will be used for correspondences which are not permutations.

In (1.3) above, the set of d's are elements of a set called  $\mathfrak{F}(D')$ ;  $d_1$  is the only element of a set called  $\mathfrak{F}(D')$ ; and  $d_s$  is the only element of a set called  $\mathfrak{R}(D')$ . These sets get their notations, respectively, from the words: involved, end, and core, spelled k-o-r-e. We now define these sets, formally.

If D is a correspondence, the set  $\Im(D)$  is defined by  $i \in \Im(D)$  if and only if  $i \in N$  and either  $iD \neq i$  or xD = i has a solution  $x, x \in \Re$ ,

 $x \neq i$ . If  $i \in \Im(D)$ , we notice that  $iD^r \in \Im(D)$  also, for each positive integer r.

The set  $\mathscr{C}(D)$  is defined by  $j \in \mathscr{C}(D)$  if and only if  $xD = j, j \in \mathfrak{R}$ , has no solution  $x, x \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Clearly,  $jD \neq j$  and  $\mathscr{C}(D) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}(D)$ .

The set  $\Re(D)$  is defined by  $k \in \Re(D)$  if and only if  $k \in \Re(D)$  and  $kD^s = k$  for some non-negative integer s. We note that D acts either as a cycle or as a product of cycles on  $\Re(D)$ . If  $k \in \Re(D)$ ,  $kD^r \in \Re(D)$  also, for each positive integer r. The d's of (1.3) exemplify the fact that it is not necessarily true that  $\Re(D) \cup \mathscr{C}(D) = \Re(D)$ .

Let D be a correspondence and  $k \in \Re(D)$ . If each symbol of  $\Re(D)$  is one of the symbols  $k, kD, kD^2, \cdots$ , then D is called an excycle. Apparently, if  $i \in \Im(D)$ , there exists a non-negative integer r such that  $iD^r \in \Re(D)$ . If D is an excycle and  $\mathscr{C}(D)$  and  $\Re(D)$  contain exactly r and s symbols, respectively, then D is called an r-s-excycle. This explains the term, 1-1-excycle. A 0-s-excycle is a cycle with s symbols. The product PS of (1.4) is a 4-1-excycle.

Theorem 1.5 (known). Each correspondence is either an excycle or a product of excycles with disjoint \3-sets.

The proof is not given here as it is very similar to that for the well-known theorem: Each permutation, not a cycle, is a product of cycles with disjoint  $\Im$ -sets. The excycles (cycles) of Theorem 1.5 are called excycles (cycles) of the given correspondence. The excycles of P of (1.4) are (3)(123)(42)(563)(76) and (89).

If  $j \in \mathcal{C}(D)$ , clearly, for some u and v, the operation of D on a subset of  $\mathfrak{F}(D)$  is described by  $D_j \cong (jD^v jD^{v+1} \cdots jD^u) (j \ jD \cdots jD^v)$ . We call  $D_j$  a 1-(u-v+1)-subexcycle of D determined by j and the first factor of  $D_j$  a subcycle of D.  $D_j$  may also be called simply a 1-subexcycle.

2. Some properties of a correspondence and a permutation which commute. We next make three simple remarks about commutativity of correspondences. The usual proofs of the corresponding remarks about permutations are valid here.

The identity E commutes with each correspondence.

If L is a correspondence, then  $L^aL^b\cong L^bL^a$ .

If L and M are correspondences and  $\Im(L) \cap \Im(M) = 0$ , then  $LM \cong ML$ . The relation (1.4) illustrates Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 below.

THEOREM 2.1. If S is a permutation on  $\mathfrak R$  and P is a correspondence, not a substitution on  $\mathfrak R$  such that  $SP\cong PS$ , then S maps  $\mathfrak F(P)$  onto itself and  $\mathscr E(P)$  onto itself.

Suppose that the hypothesis of the theorem is satisfied and that  $n \in \mathcal{S}(P)$ , but that  $nS \notin \mathcal{S}(P)$ . Then if nP = m, we have

$$(2.2) nS = nSP = nPS = mS.$$

Whence m = n. Since  $n \in \Im(P)$  and nP = n, there exists an  $a, a \in \Im(P)$  such that  $aP = n \neq a$ . And since  $nS \notin \Im(P)$ , it follows from the equation

$$aSP = aPS = nS$$

that aS = nS and a = n, a contradiction to  $a \neq n$ . Hence  $nS \in \mathfrak{J}(P)$ , and since S is a permutation S maps  $\mathfrak{J}(P)$  onto itself. Also if we assume  $n \in \mathcal{E}(P)$  and nP = m in (2.2), the conclusion nP = n contradicts the hypothesis,  $n \in \mathcal{E}(P)$ . Whence S maps  $\mathcal{E}(P)$  onto itself.

The following is also a theorem, but we shall not prove it as it is not needed in this paper.

THEOREM 2.4. If P is a correspondence with  $j \in \mathcal{E}(P)$  and  $P_j$  is a 1-(u-v+1)-subexcycle of P, determined by j, and if S is a permutation such that  $SP \cong PS$  and  $jS^bP^m = jP^n$ , for b>0,  $m \leq u$ ,  $n \leq u$ , and either m < v or n < v, then m = n.

3. Products of cycles which permute 1-(u - v + 1)-excycles. We shall first generalize the idea of a permution permuting cyclically a set of cycles of equal numbers of symbols. Let u, v, and t be any integers such that  $u \geq v > 0$  and  $t \geq 1$ , and  $F_0$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $F_t$  be 1-(u - v + 1)-excycles whose  $\mathscr C$ -symobols are, respectively, the distinct symbols,  $j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_t$  such that if c is an integer, 0 < c < u, and d is the least nonnegative residue of the positive integer e,  $e \leq t$ , modulo  $t_c + 1$ , with  $t_c + 1$ , defined below, then

$$j_{e}F_{e}^{c}=j_{d}F_{a}^{c}\;.$$

Let  $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_u$  be cycles of a permutation S such that

(3.2) 
$$C_{i} \cong (j_{0}F_{0}^{i} \ j_{1}F_{1}^{i} \cdots j_{t_{s}}F_{t_{s}}^{i}),$$

with  $t_0 = t$  and the order  $t_w + 1$  of  $C_w$  dividing that  $t_z + 1$  of  $C_z$  whenever  $0 \le z \le w \le u$ . Then S is said to permute cyclically the 1-excycles  $F_0, F_1, \dots F_t$ .

We give examples here. The permutation (14)(25)(36) permutes cyclically each of the pairs: (23)(12), (56)(45); (123), (456); (1237), (4567). Also (1467)(25) permutes cyclically the set (123), (453), (623), (753); and (56)(13)(24) permutes cyclically the set (1234)(51), (3412)(63). The reader should study each of these examples and refer to them, frequently, while studying the rest of this paper.

We shall use the above terminology for the F's and C's, hereafter.

LEMMA 3.3. If  $C_x \cong E$  and  $0 \le x \le y \le u$ , then  $C_y \cong E$ , also.

This is true, since  $t_y + 1$  divides  $t_x + 1$ .

Let r be the largest integer x such that  $x \le u$  and  $C_x \not\cong E$ . We impose the added restriction on r, that it be the smallest integer x such that  $C_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, x$  gives all the distinct  $C_i$ 's.

THEOREM 3.4. Let P be a correspondence and R be a permutation such that:

- (i)  $\Im(P) \supseteq \Im(R)$ .
- (ii) R is a product of the distinct cycles of a set of permutations, each of which permutes cyclically 1-subexcycles of P.
- (iii) If F is a 1-subexcycle of P, then  $\Im(F) \cap \Im(R) = 0$ , unless F is one of a set permuted cyclically by R.

$$(3.5) RP \cong PR.$$

If  $n \notin \Im(R)$ , then neither is nP, by (iii); and

$$(3.6) nPR = nP = nRP.$$

If  $n \in \mathfrak{J}(P)$ . Let  $n \in \mathfrak{J}(\prod_{i=1}^r C_i)$ , where  $\prod_{i=1}^r C_i$  permutes cyclically the 1-subexcycles  $F_i$ ,  $i=0,1,\cdots,t$ ; further let  $n \in \mathfrak{J}(C_q)$  and  $n=j_pF_p^q$ , for  $0 \leq q \leq r$ , and  $j_p \in \mathscr{E}(P)$ . Then from (3.2), for  $0 \leq p \leq t_q$ ,

$$\begin{array}{l} nPR = nP\Big(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{r}C_{i}\Big) = j_{p}F_{p}^{q+1}C_{q+1} = j_{p+1}F_{p+1}^{q+1} \\ \\ = j_{p+1}F_{q+1}^{q}F_{p+1} = j_{p}F_{p}^{q}\Big(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{r}C_{i}\Big)P = n\Big(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{r}C_{i}\Big)P = nRP \ ; \end{array}$$

while if  $p = t_q$ , both the leftmost and rightmost members of (3.7) yield  $j_0 E_0^{q+1}$ . Hence, by (3.6) and (3.7), we have (3.5).

THEOREM 3.8. Let P be a correspondence and S be a permutation such that  $SP \cong PS$ , with  $j \in \mathcal{E}(P)$  and  $jP^s \in \mathfrak{F}(S)$  for some non-negative s; further let t+1 be the least positive integer such that  $jS^{t+1}=j$  and  $F_i$ ,  $l=0,1,\cdots,t$  be the 1-subexcycle of P whose  $\mathscr{E}$ -symbol is  $jS^t$ . Then S permutes the set  $F_0,F_1,\cdots,F_t$  cyclically.

Let g be the largest value, if there is one, of x such that  $jP^x \in \mathfrak{J}(S)$ , with  $0 \le x \le u$ , u+1 the order of the subexcycle  $P_j$ , and u-v+1 the order of its subcycle. Let  $C_i$ ,  $i=0,1,\cdots,g$  be the cycle of S, possibly the identity, such that

$$(3.9) C_i \cong (jP^i jP^i S \ jP^i S^2 \cdots jP^i S^{t_i})$$

for some non-negative integer  $t_i$ . Certainly  $t=t_0$ . The order of  $C_i$  is

 $t_i + 1$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $jP^iS^i \in \mathfrak{F}(P)$  and  $jS^i \in \mathscr{C}(P)$ , for  $i \leq g$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq t_i$ . Since S is a permutation, we have cancellation by  $S^i$  and both equations in each of the pairs of equations hold simultaneously:

$$jP^{u_0+1}=j^{v_0}\ , \qquad jS^lP^{u_0+1}=jS^lP^{v_0} \ (3.10) \ jP^{u_l+1}=jP^{v_l}\ , \qquad jS^lP^{u_l+1}=jS^lP^{v_l}\ .$$

Hence,  $u_i = u_0$  and  $v_i = v_0$ . We notice that for  $0 \le z \le w \le g$ , and h + z = w, we have

$$(3.11) jP^w = jP^z S^{t_z+1} P^h = jP^{z+h} S^{t_z+1} = jP^w S^{t_z+1}.$$

Therefore, since  $t_w+1$  is the order of  $C_w$ , it follows from group theory that  $t_w+1$  divides  $t_z+1$ . Also if  $e\equiv d \pmod{t_c+1}$ , we have  $e=m(t_c+1)+d$ , m a non-negative integer and

$$(3.12) \hspace{1cm} jS^{e}F^{c}_{e} = jS^{m(t_{c}+1)+d}P^{c} = jP^{c}S^{a} = jS^{a}P^{c} = jS^{a}F^{c}_{a} \; ,$$

which gives (3.1), since here  $j_e = jS^e$  and  $j_a = jS^a$ . Hence S permutes the F's cyclically.

Let S be a permutation and P be a correspondence, which is not a permutation. Clearly, P is expressible in the form

$$(3.13) P \cong T_1 T_2,$$

where either  $T_1 \cong E$  or  $T_1$  is a product of cycles of P, and  $T_2$  is product of those excycles of P which are not cycles. And S is expressible in the form

$$(3.14) S \cong S_1 S_2,$$

where  $S_1$  is either a product of those cycles C of S such that  $I(C) \cap I(T_2) = 0$  or  $S_1 \cong E$ , depending on whether or not such C's exist, and  $S_2$  is either a product of those cycles D of S such that  $\mathfrak{F}(D) \cap I(T_2) \neq 0$  or  $S_2 \cong E$ , depending on whether or not such D's exist.

THEOREM 3.15. If S is a permutation and P is a correspondence, not a permutation, and  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $T_2$  satisfy (3.13) and (3.14), then  $SP \cong PS$  if and only if:

- $(i) \quad S_1T_1 \cong T_1S_1;$
- (ii) Whenever  $j \in \mathcal{E}(T_2)$  such that for some non-negative integer  $s, jP^s \in \mathfrak{J}(S)$ , then  $S_2$  permutes cyclically the set of 1-excycles of  $T_2$  whose  $\mathcal{E}$ -symbols are the distinct symbols obtained by applying all powers of S to j.

Suppose that  $PS \cong SP$ . By Theorem 3.8, if  $j \in \mathcal{E}(P)$  and  $jP^s \in \mathfrak{F}(S)$ , a product  $\pi$  defined as in (3.2) of cycles of S permutes cyclically a set

of 1-subexcycles of P, and therefore of  $T_2$ , having powers of S applied to j as their  $\mathcal{E}$ -symbols. By (3.2)  $I(\pi)$  is contained in the union of the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -sets of the subexcycles which it permutes. Clearly,  $S_2$  is a product of the distinct cycles of all such  $\pi$ 's, or  $S_2 \cong E$ , depending on whether or not such  $\pi$ 's exist, and  $S_2$  satisfies (ii) of Theorem 3.15. From (3.13) and (3.14)

$$\Im(T_1) \cap \Im(T_2) = \Im(S_1) \cap \Im(T_2) = 0.$$

Since  $\Im(S_2) \subseteq \Im(T_2)$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{F}(T_1) \cap \mathfrak{F}(S_2) = 0.$$

Hence  $\Im(T_1) \cup \Im(S_1) \cap \Im(T_2) \cup \Im(S_2) = 0$ , and  $S_1T_1$  and  $T_1S_1$  operate on  $\Im(S_1) \cup \Im(T_1)$  exactly as ST and TS do; and for  $n \notin \Im(T_1) \cup \Im(S_1)$ ,  $nS_1T_1 = nT_1S_1 = n$ . Whence  $S_1T_1 \cong T_1S_1$ , and (i) is satisfied. Now assume that (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.15 are satisfied by S and P. From Theorem 3.4, we have  $S_2T_2 \cong T_2S_2$ . By (i),  $S_1T_1 \cong T_1S_1$ . From (3.16) and (3.17),  $S_1T_2 \cong T_2S_1$ ,  $T_1T_2 \cong T_2T_1$ , and  $S_2T_1 \cong T_1S_2$ . Hence

$$(3.18) SP \cong S_1 S_2 T_1 T_2 \cong S_1 T_1 S_2 T_2 \cong T_1 S_1 T_2 S_2 \cong T_1 T_2 S_1 S_2 \cong PS.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.15 which was the major objective of this paper.

The necessary and sufficient conditions for (i) to hold were stated in the foreword. In each of the examples below (3.2), if S is taken to be the permutation and P to be the correspondence whose 1-subexcycles are permuted by S, then S and P obey (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.15. A more complicated example of such a P and S is:  $P \cong (4)$  (1 2 3} (2 3) (8) (5 7 8) (6 7),  $S \cong (1 5)$  (2 6) (3 7) (4 8). On the other hand if  $S \cong (1 4 6)$  (2 5) and  $P \cong (1 2 3)$  (4 5 3} (6 5}, then  $SP \not\cong PS$ , since the order of (2 5) fails to divide that of (1 4 6) and  $S_2$  fails to permute cyclically the 1-1-subexcycles (1 2 3}, (4 5 3}, and (6 5 3}) of P.

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG Stanford University Stanford, California

F. H. Brownell University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH T. M. CHERRY E. HEWITT A. HORN M. OHTSUKA H. L. ROYDEN E. SPANIER E. G. STRAUS

D. DERRY

L. NACHBIN

M. M. SCHIFFER

F. WOLF

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 10, No. 2

October, 1960

Maynard G. Arsove, <i>The Paley-Wiener theorem in metric linear spaces</i>	365			
Robert (Yisrael) John Aumann, Acceptable points in games of perfect				
information	381			
A. V. Balakrishnan, Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups				
generated by them	419			
Dallas O. Banks, Bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems				
Billy Joe Boyer, On the summability of derived Fourier series	475			
Robert Breusch, An elementary proof of the prime number theorem with				
remainder term	487			
Edward David Callender, Jr., Hölder continuity of n-dimensional				
quasi-conformal mappings	499			
L. Carlitz, Note on Alder's polynomials	517			
P. H. Doyle, III, <i>Unions of cell pairs in</i> $E^3$	521			
James Eells, Jr., A class of smooth bundles over a manifold				
Shaul Foguel, Computations of the multiplicity function				
James G. Glimm and Richard Vincent Kadison, <i>Unitary operators in</i>				
C*-algebras	547			
Hugh Gordon, Measure defined by abstract L <sub>p</sub> spaces	557			
Robert Clarke James, Separable conjugate spaces	563			
William Elliott Jenner, On non-associative algebras associated with bilinear				
forms	573			
Harold H. Johnson, Terminating prolongation procedures	577			
John W. Milnor and Edwin Spanier, <i>Two remarks on fiber homotopy type</i>	585			
Donald Alan Norton, A note on associativity	591			
Ronald John Nunke, On the extensions of a torsion module	597			
Joseph J. Rotman, Mixed modules over valuations rings	607			
A. Sade, Théorie des systèmes demosiens de groupoï des	625			
Wolfgang M. Schmidt, On normal numbers	661			
Berthold Schweizer, Abe Sklar and Edward Oakley Thorp, The metrization of				
statistical metric spaces	673			
John P. Shanahan, On uniqueness questions for hyperbolic differential				
equations	677			
A. H. Stone, Sequences of coverings	689			
Edward Oakley Thorp, <i>Projections onto the subspace of compact operators</i>	693			
L. Bruce Treybig, Concerning certain locally peripherally separable				
spaces	697			
Milo Wesley Weaver, On the commutativity of a correspondence and a				
permutation	705			
David Van Vranken Wend, On the zeros of solutions of some linear complex				
differential equations	713			
Fred Boyer Wright, Jr., <i>Polarity and duality</i>	723			