

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A REFINEMENT OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM ON THE
DENSITY OF THE SUM OF TWO SETS OF INTEGERS**

HENRY B. MANN

A REFINEMENT OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM ON THE DENSITY OF THE SUM OF TWO SETS OF INTEGERS

H. B. MANN

Let $A = \{a_0 < a_1 < \dots\}$ be a set of integers and let $A(n)$ be the number of integers in A not exceeding n . If A, B are two such sets, we put $A + B = \{a + b\}$, where a denotes generically an element of A , b an element of B . It should be noted that A and B may contain negative numbers or zero and that these are counted in $A(n)$ and $B(n)$.

Erdoes in an unpublished paper proved:

If $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (A(m)/m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (B(m)/m) = 0$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are infinitely many x such that if $C = A + B$ then

$$C(x) \geq A(x)(1 - \varepsilon) + B(x).$$

Clearly there are then also infinitely many y such that

$$C(y) \geq A(y) + B(y)(1 - \varepsilon).$$

Erdoes conjectured that it is possible to choose infinitely many $x = y$.

At the Number Theory Conference in Boulder, Colorado, Erdoes proposed this problem to the author. It is clear that the Fundamental Theorem [3] is inadequate to deal with this problem, because it fails if $1 \notin C$. The search for a stronger theorem finally led the author to Theorem 2. Theorem 3 is a consequence of Theorem 2 and is considerably stronger than Erdoes conjecture.

THEOREM 1. *Let $a_0 = b_0 = 0$. If $n \geq 0, n \notin C$ then there is an $m \notin C, m = n$ or $m < (n/2)$, such that*

(1)

$$\frac{C(n)}{n+1} \geq \frac{A(m) + B(m) - 1}{m+1} + (C(n-m-1) - \frac{C(n)}{n+1}(n-m)) \frac{1}{m+1}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 1, we consider the following transformation: Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r = n$ be the gaps in C . Form $d_i = n - n_i$. Choose, if possible, a fixed number $e \in B$ such that an equation

(2)
$$a + e + d_i = n_j$$

holds for some i . Let the set B' consist of all numbers $e + d_i$ for which

Received July 20, 1959.

an equation $a + e + d_s = n_t$ holds with some value of a . Form $B^* = B^*(e) = B \cup B'$, $C^* = A + B^*$. The following propositions are easily seen to hold.

PROPOSITION 1. $n \notin C^*$.

Proof. The equation $a + e + d_s = n$ implies $a + e = n_s$, which is impossible since $e \in B$.

PROPOSITION 2. $B' \cap B$ is empty.

Proof. The equation $a + e + d_s = n_t$ shows that $e + d_s \notin B$.

PROPOSITION 3. $C^*(n) - C(n) = B^*(n) - B(n)$.

Proof. The equation $a + e + d_s = n_t$ implies $a + e + d_t = n_s$. Hence if $n_s \in C^*$ then $e + d_s \in B^1$ and vice versa.

PROPOSITION 4. All numbers of B' are larger than e .

Proof. B' consists of numbers of the form $e + d_s$, $d_s > 0$.

$B^*(e)$ is called the fundamental e transform of B .

We now construct numbers e_1, \dots, e_k and sets $B = B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k$, $C = C_0, C_1, \dots, C_k$ by the following rules:

Rule 1. B_j is the fundamental e_j transform of B_{j-1} .

Rule 2. $A + B_j = C_j$.

Rule 3. e_j is the smallest number in B_{j-1} such that an equation

$$a + e_j + d_s = n_t, \quad a \in A, \quad n_s, \quad n_t \notin C_{j-1}$$

holds.

Rule 4. $a + e + d_s \neq n_t$ for any $a \in A, e \in B_k, n_s, n_t \notin C_k$.

We then have

PROPOSITION 5. $e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_k$.

Proof. We have $a + e_j + d_s = n_t$; $a \in A, n_s, n_t \notin C_{j-1}, e_j \in B_{j-1}$. If $e_j \notin B_{j-2}$ then $e_j > e_{j-1}$ (Prop. 4). If $e_j \in B_{j-2}$ then since $C_{j-1} \supset C_{j-2}$ the inequality $e_j < e_{j-1}$ contradicts rule 3, while $e_j = e_{j-1}$ implies $n_s, n_t \in C_{j-1}$.

For any set A put

$$(3) \quad A(m, n) = A(n) - A(m - 1).$$

LEMMA 1. Let n_s be the least gap in C_k , then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} B_k(n_s) - B(n_s) &= C_k(d_s, n) - C(d_s, n) \\ &= n_s - C(d_s, n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $d_{r-1}, \dots, d_{r-q}, \leq n_s, d_{r-q-1} > n_s$ where we formally set $d_0 = n + 1$. If $d_j \leq n_s$ then $n_s - d_j \in C_k, n_s - d_j = a + b^*, b^* \in B_k$. Hence by rule 4 we have $n_j \in C_k$. But $d_j \leq n_s$ implies $d_s \leq n_j$ hence

$$(5) \quad C_k(d_s, n) - C(d_s, n) = q .$$

Moreover C_k contains all numbers x for which $d_s \leq x < n$, but does not contain n so that $C_k(d_s, n) = n - (d_s - 1) - 1 = n_s$.

On the other hand if $n_j \in C_\alpha, n_j \notin C_{\alpha-1}$ then $e_\alpha + d_j \in B_\alpha, e_\alpha + d_j \notin B_{\alpha-1}$, (Prop. 2). If $d_j \leq n_s$ and $e_\alpha + d_j > n_s$ then

$$e_\alpha > n_s - d_j = a + b^*, b^* \in B_k .$$

By Prop. 4 and 5, $b^* \in B_{\alpha-1}$ and $e_\alpha > b^*$ contradicts rule 3. Hence

$$(6) \quad B_k(n_s) - B(n_s) = q .$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

We are now prepared for the proof of Theorem 1. Since n_s is not in C_k no number of the form $n_s - a$ is in B_k and therefore

$$(7) \quad n_s + 1 \geq A(n_s) + B_k(n_s) .$$

Subtracting 4 from 7 we get

$$C(n) \geq C(d_s - 1) + A(n_s) + B(n_s) - 1$$

which after some simple algebra gives

$$\frac{C(n)}{n + 1} \geq \frac{A(n_s) + B(n_s) - 1}{n_s + 1} + \left(C(d_s - 1) - \frac{C(n)}{n + 1} d_s \right) \frac{1}{n_s + 1} .$$

Finally if $n_s < n$ then because of rule 4 we must have $n_s < d_s = n - n_s, n_s < n/2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM II. *Let $A + B = C, a_0 = b_0 = 0, n \geq 0$. Then either $C(n) = n + 1$ or there exist numbers m, m_1 satisfying the conditions*

$$\frac{C(n)}{n + 1} \geq \frac{A(m) + B(m) - 1}{m + 1} + \left| \frac{C(n)}{n + 1} - \frac{C(m_1)}{m_1 + 1} \right|$$

$$m \notin C, m \leq n, m_1 \notin C, m_1 \leq \max(m, n - m - 1) .$$

Proof. The theorem is true if $n = 0$. Hence we can apply induction on n . If for any $m \notin C, m < n$ we have $C(n)/(n+1) \geq C(m)/(m+1)$ then by induction

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C(n)}{n+1} &= \left| \frac{C(n)}{n+1} - \frac{C(m)}{m+1} \right| + \frac{C(m)}{m+1} \\ &\geq \left| \frac{C(n)}{n+1} \right| - \frac{C(m)}{m+1} + \frac{A(m_1) + B(m_1) - 1}{m_1 + 1} \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{C(m)}{m+1} - \frac{C(m_2)}{m_2 + 1} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{C(n)}{n+1} - \frac{C(m_2)}{m_2 + 1} \right| + \frac{A(m_1) + B(m_1) - 1}{m_1 + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_2 \notin C, m_1 \notin C, m_2 \leq \max(m_1, m - m_1 - 1) \leq \max(m_1, n - m_1 - 1)$.

Now assume $C(n) \neq n + 1$ and

$$(9) \quad \frac{C(n)}{n+1} < \frac{C(m)}{m+1}$$

for all $m < n, m \notin C$. If $n \in C$ then $C(n)/(n + 1) > C(n - 1)/n$ hence (9) implies $n \notin C$. We apply Theorem 1. If in Theorem 1 $m = n$ then Theorem 2 holds with $n = m = m_1$. If $m < n/2$ in Theorem 1, then $n - m - 1 \geq m$, hence there is a largest $m_1 \leq n - m - 1, m_1 \notin C$. We then have

$$\frac{C(n - m - 1)}{n - m} \geq \frac{C(m_1)}{m_1 + 1}.$$

Moreover since $(n - m)/(m + 1) \geq 1$ we get from Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C(n)}{n+1} &\geq \frac{C(m_1)}{m_1 + 1} - \frac{C(n)}{n+1} + \frac{A(m) + B(m) - 1}{m+1} \\ &= \left| \frac{C(n)}{n+1} - \frac{C(m_1)}{m_1 + 1} \right| + \frac{A(m) + B(m) - 1}{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 2 is proved.

Theorems 1 and 2 can easily be generalized for arbitrary a_0, b_0 . One simply applies the two theorems to the set $A' = (A - a_0), B' = (B - b_0)$. If $a_0 + b_0 = c_0$ then $C'(n) = C(n + c_0), A'(m) = A(m + a_0), B'(m) = B(m + b_0)$. After some fairly obvious transformation Theorem 2 then reads

THEOREM 2a. *Let $A = \{a_0 < a_1 < \dots\}, B = \{b_0 < b_1 < \dots\}, A + B = C = \{c_0 < c_1 < \dots\}$. Let $n \geq c_0$. Either $C(n) = n - c_0 + 1$ or there exist m, m_1 satisfying the conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C(n)}{n - c_0 + 1} &\geq \frac{A(m - b_0) + B(m - a_0) - 1}{m - c_0 + 1} \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{C(n)}{n - c_0 + 1} - \frac{C(m_1)}{m_1 - c_0 + 1} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

$c_0 < m \leq n, m \notin C, m_1 \notin C, c_0 < m_1 \leq \max(m, n - m + c_0 - 1).$

It is worth noting that Theorem 2 implies the Fundamental theorem proved in [3]. We shall prove the following

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 2. *Let $a_0 = b_0 = 0, n \notin C, \gamma(n) = C(n) - 1, \sigma(m) = A(m) + B(m) - 2.$ Then either $\gamma(n) \geq \sigma(n)$ or $\gamma(n)/n > \sigma(m)/m$ for some $m \notin C, 0 < m < n.$*

Proof. Let m be the integer of Theorem 2. If $n = m$ then Theorem 2 reads $\gamma(n) \geq \sigma(n).$ If $\gamma(n) < \sigma(n)$ then Theorem 2 yields

$$\gamma(n)m + \gamma(n) + m \geq \sigma(m)n + \sigma(m) + n .$$

If $\gamma(n)m \leq \sigma(m)n$ then we obtain from this $\gamma(n) + m \geq \sigma(m) + n, \sigma(m)n + m^2 \geq \sigma(m)m + nm$ and therefore $\sigma(m) \geq (m).$ Hence $C(n) \geq n + 1,$ which is impossible since $n \notin C.$ This proves the corollary.

We shall now prove Theorem 3. If $\lim ((A(m) + B(m))/m) = 0,$ then there are infinitely many m such that

$$(10) \quad C(m) \geq A(m - b_0) + B(m - a_0) - 1 .$$

If C has only finitely many gaps above $c_0,$ then Theorem 3 is obvious. There is an infinite sequence of m_i such that

$$\frac{A(m_i - b_0) + B(m_i - a_0) - 1}{m_i - c_0 + 1} < \frac{A(m - b_0) + B(m - a_0) - 1}{m - c_0 + 1}$$

for $c_0 \leq m < m_i.$ It follows from Theorem 2a that

$$C(m_i) \geq A(m_i - b_0) + B(m_i - a_0) - 1 .$$

(If $m_i \notin C$ this follows directly from Theorem 2a. If $m_i \in C$ take the next gap in C below $m_i.$)

THEOREM 4. *If $A + B = C$ and $\lim (C(n)/n) = 0,$ then*

$$\lim_{m \in C} \frac{A(m) + B(m)}{m} = 0$$

and 10 holds for infinitely many $m \notin C.$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $a_0 = b_0 = 0.$ There is an infinite sequence $\{n_i\}$ such that $C(n_i)/(n_i + 1) < C(m)/(m + 1)$ for $m < n_i.$ Clearly $n_i \notin C.$ Let m_i be the value of m of Theorem 1 corresponding to $n_i.$ From Theorem 1 we see that the values m_i also form an infinite sequence, since $A(m) + B(m) - 1$ cannot vanish and since

by assumption $C(n_i - m - 1) - C(n_i)(n_i - m)/(m + 1) \geq 0$ for $m \leq n_i$.
 Now

$$\frac{C(m)}{m + 1} > \frac{C(n_i)}{n_i + 1}, \frac{C(n_i - m - 1)}{n_i - m} > \frac{C(n_i)}{n_i + 1}$$

for $0 \leq m < n_i$ implies $C(m) + C(n_i - m - 1) \geq C(n_i)$ for $0 \leq m \leq n_i$ and this together with (1) implies

$$C(m_i) \geq A(m_i) + B(m_i) - 1 .$$

Modifications analogous to those applied in the present paper to the proof of the authors Fundamental Theorem [3] can also be applied to Dyson's [1] proof of its generalization to more than two sets. The special case of Dyson's Theorem considered here then reads:

If $C = A_1 + \dots + A_g$ and if c_0, a_{0i} are the smallest elements in C and A_i respectively, then for $n \geq c_0$, there is an m such that

$$(11) \quad \frac{C(n)}{n - c_0 + 1} \geq \frac{\sum A_i(m - c_0 + a_{0i}) - (g - 1)}{m - c_0 + 1}$$

$$c_0 \leq m \leq n .$$

This inequality with $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ was first obtained by Kneser [4, Theorem VII]. Inequality (11) for $g = 2$ already known to van der Corput [5] is somewhat weaker than Theorem 2, because the minimum is not restricted to $m \notin C$. This weakening is necessary if $g > 2$. The relation (11) with $g \geq 3$ becomes false, if m is not restricted to elements not in C . It is not known to the author if $C(n)/(n + 1) \neq C(m)/(m + 1)$ for $c_0 \leq m < n$ and

$$C(n) < \sum_j A_j(n - c_0 + a_{0j}) - (g - 1)$$

implies strict inequality in (11) when $g \geq 3$.

Clearly on account of (11), Theorems 3 and 4, the latter without the condition $m \notin C$, carry over to the sum of an arbitrary number of sets.

The author takes the opportunity to refute Khintchine's [2] assertion that the methods used in his exposition are altogether different from those introduced in [3]. Anybody acquainted with the authors first proof must see that the basic ideas are exactly the same.

REFERENCES

1. F. J. Dyson, *A theorem on the densities of sets of integers*, J. London Math. Soc. **20**, (1945), 8-14.
2. A. Y. Khinchin, *Three pearls of number theory*, Graylock Press, Rochester, New York (1952).

3. H. B. Mann, *A proof of the fundamental theorem on the density of sums of sets of positive integers*, Ann. of Math. **43**, (1942), 523-527.
4. Kneser Martin *Abschaetzung der asymptotischen Dichte von Summen mengen*, Math. Zeitschrift. Bd. **58**, (1953), 459-484.
5. J. G. Van der Corput, *On sets of integers I II III*, Proc. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam **50**, (1947), 252-261, 340-350, 429-435.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

E. HEWITT

A. HORN

L. NACHBIN

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

M. M. SCHIFFER

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 10, No. 3

November, 1960

Glen Earl Baxter, <i>An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity</i>	731
Leonard D. Berkovitz and Melvin Dresher, <i>A multimove infinite game with linear payoff</i>	743
Earl Robert Berkson, <i>Sequel to a paper of A. E. Taylor</i>	767
Gerald Berman and Robert Jerome Silverman, <i>Embedding of algebraic systems</i>	777
Peter Crawley, <i>Lattices whose congruences form a boolean algebra</i>	787
Robert E. Edwards, <i>Integral bases in inductive limit spaces</i>	797
Daniel T. Finkbeiner, II, <i>Irreducible congruence relations on lattices</i>	813
William James Firey, <i>Isoperimetric ratios of Reuleaux polygons</i>	823
Delbert Ray Fulkerson, <i>Zero-one matrices with zero trace</i>	831
Leon W. Green, <i>A sphere characterization related to Blaschke's conjecture</i>	837
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein and Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>Lie mappings in characteristic 2</i>	843
Charles Ray Hobby, <i>A characteristic subgroup of a p-group</i>	853
R. K. Juberg, <i>On the Dirichlet problem for certain higher order parabolic equations</i>	859
Melvin Katz, <i>Infinitely repeatable games</i>	879
Emma Lehmer, <i>On Jacobi functions</i>	887
D. H. Lehmer, <i>Power character matrices</i>	895
Henry B. Mann, <i>A refinement of the fundamental theorem on the density of the sum of two sets of integers</i>	909
Marvin David Marcus and Roy Westwick, <i>Linear maps on skew symmetric matrices: the invariance of elementary symmetric functions</i>	917
Richard Dean Mayer and Richard Scott Pierce, <i>Boolean algebras with ordered bases</i>	925
Trevor James McMinn, <i>On the line segments of a convex surface in E_3</i>	943
Frank Albert Raymond, <i>The end point compactification of manifolds</i>	947
Edgar Reich and S. E. Warschawski, <i>On canonical conformal maps of regions of arbitrary connectivity</i>	965
Marvin Rosenblum, <i>The absolute continuity of Toeplitz's matrices</i>	987
Lee Albert Rubel, <i>Maximal means and Tauberian theorems</i>	997
Helmut Heinrich Schaefer, <i>Some spectral properties of positive linear operators</i>	1009
Jeremiah Milton Stark, <i>Minimum problems in the theory of pseudo-conformal transformations and their application to estimation of the curvature of the invariant metric</i>	1021
Robert Steinberg, <i>The simplicity of certain groups</i>	1039
Hisahiro Tamano, <i>On paracompactness</i>	1043
Angus E. Taylor, <i>Mittag-Leffler expansions and spectral theory</i>	1049
Marion Franklin Tinsley, <i>Permanents of cyclic matrices</i>	1067
Charles J. Titus, <i>A theory of normal curves and some applications</i>	1083
Charles R. B. Wright, <i>On groups of exponent four with generators of order two</i>	1097