Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR THE POISSON BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

LUCIEN LE CAM

Vol. 10, No. 4

December 1960

AN APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR THE POISSON BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

LUCIEN LE CAM

1. Introduction. Let x_j ; $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ be independent random variables such that $\operatorname{Prob}(X_j = 1) = 1 - \operatorname{Prob}(X_j = 0) = p_j$. Let $Q = \mathscr{L}(\Sigma X_j)$ be the distribution of their sum. This kind of distribution is often referred to as a Poisson binomial distribution. For any finite measure μ on the real line let $|| \mu ||$ be the norm defined by

$$|| \mu || = \sup_{f} \left\{ \left| \int \! f d\mu \right|
ight\}.$$

the supremum being taken over all measurable functions f such that $|f| \leq 1$. Let $\lambda = \Sigma p_j$, let $\Sigma p_j^2 = \lambda \varpi$ and let $\alpha = \sup_j p_j$. Finally let P be the Poisson distribution whose expectation is equal to λ .

The purpose of the present paper is to show that there exist absolute constants D_1 and D_2 such that $||Q - P|| \leq D_1 \alpha$ for all values of the p_j 's and $||Q - P|| \leq D_2 \varpi$ if $4\alpha \leq 1$.

The constant D_1 is not larger than 9 and the constant D_2 is not larger than 16.

Such a result can be considered a generalization of a theorem of Yu. V. Prohorov [9] according to which such constants exist when all the probabilities p_i are equal.

The norm ||Q - P|| is always larger than the maximum distance $\rho(P, Q)$ between the cumulative distributions. For this distance ρ a very general theorem of A. N. Kolmogorov [6] implies that $\rho(P, Q)$ is at most of order $\alpha^{1/5}$. The improvement obtained here is made possible by the smaller scope of our assumptions.

The method of proof used in the present paper is not quite elementary, since it uses both operator theoretic methods and characteristic functions. The relevant concepts are described in §2.

A completely elementary approach, described in [4] leads to bounds of the order of $3\alpha^{1/3}$ for the distance ρ . Unfortunately, the elementary method does not seem to be able to provide the more precise result of the present paper.

The developments given here were prompted by discussions with J. H. Hodges, Jr. in connection with the writing of [4].

2. Measures as operators. Let $\{\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a measurable Abelian group, that is, an Abelian group on which a σ -field \mathfrak{A} has been selected

Received December 9, 1959. The author is a research fellow of the A.P. Sloan Foundation.

in such a way that the map $(x, y) \rightarrow x + y$ from $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ to \mathfrak{X} is measurable for the σ -fields $\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{A} .

Let \mathscr{D} denote the set of bounded measurable numerical functions on $\{\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}\}$. A finite signed measure μ on \mathfrak{A} defines an operator, also denoted μ , from \mathscr{D} to itself. To the function $f \in \mathscr{D}$ the operator μ makes correspond the element μf whose value at the point x is $(\mu f)(x) =$ $\int f(x + \xi)\mu(d\xi)$. Linear combinations of two operators are defined by the equality

$$(\alpha\mu + \beta\nu)f = \alpha(\mu f) + \beta(\nu f)$$
.

The product of two operators will be defined by composition: $(\mu\nu)f = \mu(\nu f)$. In other words,

$$[(\mu
u)f](x) = \int \mu(dy) \int f(x+\xi+y)
u(d\xi) \;.$$

It follows from Fubini's theorem that $\mu\nu = \nu\mu$. The product $\mu\nu$ corresponds to the convolution of the two measures.

For any element f of \mathscr{B} let |f| be the norm $|f| = \sup |f(x)|$. Define the operator norm $||\mu||$ by

$$|| \mu || = \sup \{| \mu f |; |f| \leq 1\}$$
.

The norm $||\mu||$ is equal to the total mass of μ considered as a measure. It is an immediate consequence of the operator representation of $\mu\nu$ that $||\mu\nu|| \le ||\mu|| ||\nu||$.

Let \mathfrak{M} be the system of operators obtained from all the finite signed measures. What precedes can be summarized by saying that \mathfrak{M} is a normed commutative algebra having for identity the operator I which is the probability measure whose mass is entirely concentrated at the point x = 0. It is not difficult to show that \mathfrak{M} is complete for the norm, so that \mathfrak{M} is in fact a real commutative Banach algebra.

Let φ be a complex-valued function of a complex variable z. Suppose that for |z| < a, the function φ has a convergent power series expansion. It is then possible to define $\varphi(A)$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that ||A|| < a by simple formal substitution in the power series expansion of φ .

The entity $\varphi(A)$ is then of the form $\varphi(A) = B + iC$ where both Band C belong to \mathfrak{M} . Other possible definitions can be found in [3], [2], [8]. If $\hat{\mu}$ is the Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}(t) = \int e^{itx} \mu(dx)$ of the measure μ then $\varphi(\mu)$ is the measure where the Fourier transform is $\varphi(\hat{\mu})$.

In most cases of statistical interest, the space \mathfrak{X} is either the real line, or the additive group of integers, or the circle, or a Euclidean space. In those circumstances, as well as in the case where \mathfrak{X} is an arbitrary Abelian locally compact group, we may replace \mathscr{B} by the space

of continuous functions which tend to zero at infinity without affecting any of the above properties.

Let M be an arbitrary finite positive measure on \mathfrak{X} . Then $\exp(M) = e^{M} = I + M + \cdots + (1/k)! M^{k} + \cdots$. It follows that $\exp[M - ||M||I] = \exp[-||M||] \exp(M)$ is always a probability measure.

If a random variable X is equal to the origin of \mathfrak{X} with probability (1-p) the distribution $\mathscr{L}(X)$ can be written $\mathscr{L}(X) = I + p(M-1)$ where M is a probability measure.

The following theorem, essentially due to Khintchin [5] and Doeblin [1] is concerned with the distribution Q of a sum ΣX_j of independent variables having distributions $G_j = I + p_j(M_j - I)$ where M_j is a probability measure. The product $\prod_j G_j$ is always convergent when $\lambda = \sum_j p_j$ is finite. Conversely finiteness of λ is necessary to the convergence of $\prod_j G_j$ when \mathfrak{X} is the additive group of integers. More generally, suppose that \mathfrak{X} is the real line and that there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\lambda_i = \Sigma p_j M_j \{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^c\} = \infty$. Then $\prod_j G_j$ cannot be convergent. This follows for instance from a result of Paul Lévy [7] according to which any interval containing the sum ΣX_j with probability $\alpha > 0$ must have a length of the order of $\varepsilon_V \overline{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}$.

A refinement of Paul Lévy's theorem can be found in [6], Lemma 1. However, the finiteness of λ is not generally necessary to the convergence of $\prod_j G_j$. This is quite obvious if \mathfrak{X} is the circle and G_1 is the Haar measure of the circle, but the condition is not even necessary on the line.

THEOREM 1. Let X_j ; $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ be independent random variables taking their values in the measurable Abelian group \mathfrak{X} . Assume that $\mathscr{L}(X_j) = I + p_j(M_j - I)$ where M_j is a probability measure and assume that $\lambda = \Sigma p_j < \infty$. Let $p_j = \lambda c_j$, let $\varpi = \Sigma c_j p_j$ and finally let $M = \Sigma c_j M_j$. Then

$$||Q - P|| \leq 2\lambda \varpi$$

for $P = \exp[\lambda(M - I)]$.

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 1 in [4], given there in terms of random variables. In terms of operators one can proceed as follows.

Let $F_j = \exp p_j(M_j - I)$ and let $R_1 = \prod_{j \ge 2} G_j$. For k > 1 let $R_k = (\prod_{j \le k-1} F_j)(\prod_{j \ge k+1} G_j)$. Then $R_k F_k = R_{k+1} G_{k+1}$ so that

$$\prod_j G_j - \prod_j F_j = \sum_j R_j (G_j - F_j) \; .$$

Since R_j is a probability measure, this implies

$$\|\prod_{j}G_{j}-\prod_{j}F_{j}\|\geq \sum_{j}\|G_{j}-F_{j}\|.$$

The difference $F_j - G_j$ can be written

$$F_{j}-G_{j}=[e^{-p_{j}}-(1-p_{j})]I+\,p_{j}(e^{-p_{j}}-1)M_{j}+\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty}rac{e^{-p_{j}}}{k!}p_{j}^{k}M_{j}^{k}$$
 .

Hence $||F_j - G_j|| \leq 2p_j(1 - e^{-p_j}) \leq 2p_j^2$.

Noting that $\prod_{j} F_{j} = \exp[\lambda(M-I)]$, this proves the desired result.

REMARK. The literature does not seem to contain any reference to the fact that Theorem 1 can be proved as in [4] and coupled with Lindeberg's proof of the normal approximation theorem to obtain a completely elementary proof of the general Central Limit theorem.

3. Sums of indicator variables and binomial distributions. In all the subsequent sections of this paper \mathfrak{X} will be the additive group of integers and $\{X_j; 1, 2, \dots\}$ will be a family of independent random variables such that $\operatorname{Prob}(X_j = 1) = 1 - \operatorname{Prob}(X_j = 0) = P_j$. The distribution $\mathscr{L}(X_j)$ can then be written either as $I + p_j \mathcal{A}$ or $(1 - p_j)I + p_j H$ where \mathcal{A} is the difference operator $\mathcal{A} = H - I$ and H is the probability measure whose mass is entirely concentrated at the point x = 1. The Poisson distribution whose expectation is λ can be written $P = \exp(\lambda \mathcal{A})$.

Letting $\lambda c_j = p_j$ and $\varpi = \Sigma c_j p_j$, Theorem 1 implies that if $Q = \mathscr{L}(\Sigma X_j)$ then the following inequality holds.

PROPOSITION 1. $||Q - \exp(\lambda \Delta)|| \leq 2\lambda \varpi$.

From now on we shall assume that $\lambda < \infty$ and that $\alpha = \sup p_j$ does not exceed 1/4.

It may be expected that Q would be approximable by a binomial distribution much more closely than by a Poisson distribution. Letting $\lambda = \nu \varpi$, a binomial distribution with ν trials and probability of success ϖ can be written

$$B = (I + \varpi \Delta)^{\nu} = (1 - \varpi)^{\nu} (I + \rho H)^{\nu}$$

with $\rho = \varpi/1 - \varpi$, at least when ν is an integer. If ν is not an integer the expression

$$B = (1 - arpi)^{
u} \Big\{ I + inom{
u}{1}
ho H + \cdots + inom{
u}{k}
ho^k H^k + \cdots \Big\}$$

where

$$\binom{\nu}{k} = \frac{1}{k!} \nu(\nu-1) \cdots (\nu-k+1) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{k! \Gamma(\nu-k+1)}$$

still possesses a precise meaning as long as $\rho < 1$. However, B is not a probability measure even though $\int 1dB = 1$. Let n be the integer such that $(n-1) < \nu \leq n$. The coefficients $\binom{\nu}{k}$ of order $k = (n+1), (n+2)\cdots$ are alternately positive and negative.

Let $S = (1 - \varpi)^{\nu} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} {\nu \choose k} \rho^k H^k$. The norm of S is equal to

$$||S|| = (1 - arpi)^{
u} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left| inom{
u}{k}
ight|
ho^k = (1 - arpi) \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} inom{
u}{k} (-
ho)^k
ight|$$

The term inside the absolute value symbol is simply the remainder of the expansion of $(1 - \rho)^{\nu}$. By Taylor's formula ||S|| is equal to the absolute value of

$$\frac{1}{n!}\nu(\nu-1)\cdots(\nu-n)(1-\varpi)^{\nu}(1-\rho)^{\nu}\int_{0}^{\rho/1-\rho}(-1)^{n}t^{n}(1+t)^{\nu-n-1}dt\;.$$

Therefore, since $n - 1 < \nu < n$

$$egin{aligned} &\|S\| \leq (1-arpi)^{
u}(1-
ho)^{
u} \int_{0}^{
ho/1-
ho} t^{n}(1+t)^{-1}dt \ &\leq rac{1}{n+1}\,(1-arpi)^{
u}(1-
ho)^{
u} \left(rac{
ho}{1-
ho}
ight)^{n+1} \ &= rac{arpi^{n+1}}{n+1}\,(1-2arpi)^{
u-n-1} \leq rac{4}{
u+1}\,arpi^{
u+1} \end{aligned}$$

In the cases considered here $\nu = (\Sigma p_j)^2 (\Sigma p_j^2)^{-1}$ is always larger than or equal to unity. In all cases where ν is large and ϖ is small ||S|| will be rather negligible.

Note that $\lambda = \nu \varpi = \int x dB$ and $\nu \varpi (1 - \varpi) = \int (x - \lambda)^2 dB$. However, this last quantity may not be treated as a variance, since B possesses negative terms.

In spite of this it will be convenient to bound the remainder term

$$S(m) = (1-arpi)^{
u}\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} inom{
u}{k}
ho^k H^k$$

for large values of m, by Chebyshev's inequality. Assuming $\lambda < m \leq n$ the terms $(1 - \varpi)^{\nu} {\nu \choose k} \rho^k$ are smaller than $(1 - \varpi)^{\nu-n} (1 - \varpi)^n {n \choose k} \rho^k$. Therefore

$$|| \ S(m) \, || \leq rac{4 \, arpi^{
u+1}}{
u+1} + (1- \, arpi)^{
u-n} \sum\limits_{k=m+1}^n (1- \, arpi)^n {n \choose k}
ho^k$$
 .

Finally, by Chebyshev's inequality applied to the binomial $[1 + \varpi \Delta]^n$, one obtains

$$\|S(m)\|\leq rac{4arpi^{
u+1}}{
u+1}+(1-arpi)^{
u-n}rac{narpi(1-arpi)}{[m+1-narpi]^2}\;.$$

In particular, if $m \leq 2n \varpi < m+1$

$$egin{aligned} & || \, S(m) \, || & \leq rac{4 \, arpi^{
u+1}}{
u+1} + rac{(1-arpi)^{1-(n-
u)}}{n arpi} \ & \leq [4 arpi^{
u+2}+1] rac{1}{\lambda} \; . \end{aligned}$$

To show that Q can be approximated by the Poisson distribution Pin the cases where λ is too large for Proposition 1 to have any significance, we shall first show that Q can be approximated by B and then show that B is very close to P. The argument will be divided into three parts according to the values of λ and λa^2 for $a^2 = \Sigma c_j (p_j - \varpi)^2$. If λ is large but λa^2 is small, bounds will be obtained through operator theoretic methods. If λ is so large that λa^2 becomes large, bounds will be obtained through computations on characteristic functions.

4. Approximations by binomial distributions. In this section, it will be assumed throughout that $\lambda \geq 3$ and that $\alpha \leq 1/4$.

For the distributions Q and B defined in the preceding section we can write

$$egin{aligned} \log Q &- \log B = \sum\limits_j \log \left(I + p_j \varDelta
ight) -
u \log \left(I + arpi \varDelta
ight) \ &= \lambda \sum\limits_j c_j \Bigl\{ rac{1}{p_j} \log \left(I + p_j \varDelta
ight) - rac{1}{arpi} \log \left(I + arpi \varDelta
ight) \Bigr\} \ &= \lambda \sum\limits_{k=2}^\infty rac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \, eta_k \varDelta^{k+1} = \lambda \varDelta M \ , \end{aligned}$$

with

$$M = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \beta_k \mathcal{A}^k$$

and $\beta_k = \sum_j c_j p_j^k - \varpi^k \ge 0.$ Since $(-1)^k \mathcal{A}^k = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} {k \choose s} (-1)^s H^s$, the measure M assigns negative masses to the odd positive integers and positive masses to the even nonnegative integers.

The norm of M is precisely equal to

$$||M|| = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} rac{eta_k 2^k}{k+1} = -\sum_j c_j \Big\{ rac{1}{2p_j} \log \left(1 - 2p_j
ight) - rac{1}{2 arpi} \log \left(1 - 2 arpi
ight) \Big\} \, .$$

Letting $u = 2\varpi$ and $v_j = 2(p_j - \varpi)$ this can also be written

$$||M|| = \int_0^1 \Sigma c_j \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{1 - t(u + v_j)} - \frac{1}{1 - tu} \right] \right\} dt.$$

Since $\Sigma c_j v_j = 0$ and $\Sigma c_j v_j^2 = 4 a^2$ while

 $[1 - t(u + v_j)]^{-1} - (1 - tu)^{-1} = (1 - tu)^2 \{1 + (tv_j)[1 - t(u + v_j)]^{-1}\} tv_j$

one can write

$$egin{aligned} &\|M\| &= \int_{0}^{1} \Bigl\{ \Sigma c_{j} \, rac{v_{j}^{2}}{\left[1 - t(u + v_{j})
ight]} \Bigr\} rac{t^{2}}{\left(1 - tu
ight)^{2}} dt \ & \leq rac{4a^{2}}{1 - 2lpha} \int_{0}^{1} rac{t^{2}}{\left(1 - tu
ight)^{2}} dt \ & \leq rac{4a^{2}}{3(1 - 2lpha)} \Bigl\{ 1 + rac{3arpi}{\left(1 - 2arpi
ight)^{2}} \Bigr\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|| M || = ha^2$ with

$$h \leq rac{4}{3\left(1-2lpha
ight)^2} \left\{1 + rac{3arpi}{\left(1-2arpi
ight)^2
ight\}\,.$$

One can also write $M = \Delta M_1 = \Delta^2 M_2$ with $||M|| = 2||M_1|| = 4||M_2||$. It results from these equalities that

$$Q = B \exp \left[\lambda \Delta M\right]$$
.

For every measure μ , Taylor's formula gives

$$e^{\mu}=I+\mu\!\int_{_{0}}^{_{1}}\!e^{arepsilon\mu}d\xi$$
 .

Hence

$$egin{aligned} Q-B &= \lambda \varDelta BM \!\!\int_0^1 e^{arepsilon \lambda \varDelta M} d\xi \ &= \lambda \varDelta^2 BM_1 \!\!\int_0^1 e^{arepsilon \lambda \varDelta M} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$|| Q - B || \leq \lambda || M || || \Delta B || e^{2\hbar\lambda a^2}$$

and

$$|| \, Q - B \, || \leq rac{1}{2} \, \lambda || \, M \, || \, \, || \, {arDmu}^2 B \, || e^{2\hbar\lambda a^2} \, .$$

One can also note that there exist probability measures F and G such that if $\varepsilon = ||M||$ then

$$Q \exp \left[\lambda \varepsilon (F-I)\right] = B \exp \left[\lambda \varepsilon (G-I)\right].$$

According to the foregoing expressions, to obtain bounds on ||Q - B|| it will be sufficient to evaluate $||\Delta B||$ and $||\Delta^2 B||$.

Let $f(x) = {\binom{\nu}{x}} \varpi^x (1 - \varpi)^{\nu - x}$ and consider only values x such that $x \leq n - 1$. In this range f achieves its maximum at a value x such that $\lambda + \varpi - 1 < x \leq \lambda + \varpi$. It follows that $(\Delta f)(x')$ is positive for $x' \leq x$ and negative for x' > x. Finally

$$|| \Delta B || \leq 2f(x) + || S ||$$
.

Let $x = \nu \xi$. An application of Stirling's formula leads to the inequality

$$\log f(x) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \log \left[2\pi\nu\xi(1-\xi)\right]$$
$$f(x) \leq \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

with

$$heta = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big[rac{\xi}{arpi} \left(1 - \xi
ight) \Big]^{-1/2} \, .$$

Since $\varpi(1+1/\nu) - 1/\nu < \xi \leq \varpi(1+1/\nu)$ the quantity $\xi/\varpi(1-\xi)$ is larger than

$$\begin{split} \Big[1 + \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big] \Big[1 - \varpi\Big(1 + \frac{1}{\nu}\Big)\Big] &= \Big[1 - \frac{1 - \varpi}{\lambda}\Big] \Big[1 - \varpi\Big(1 + \frac{1}{\nu}\Big)\Big] \\ &\geq \Big(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big) \Big[1 - \varpi\Big(1 + \frac{\varpi}{\lambda}\Big)\Big] \geq \frac{2}{3} \left[1 - \frac{13}{48}\right]. \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$heta \leq \left(rac{72}{70\pi}
ight)^{\!\!\!1/2}$$

and

$$|| \Delta B || \leq \frac{2\theta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{4\varpi^{\nu+2}}{\lambda}.$$

Thus, we have shown the validity of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $\lambda \geq 3$ and $\alpha \leq 1/4$, then

$$|| \, Q - B \, || \leq 2ha^2 \sqrt{\lambda} \, \exp{(2h\lambda a^2)} \Big\{ heta + rac{4 arpi^{
u+2}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \Big\}$$

with

$$h \leq rac{4}{3} \Big(rac{1}{1-2lpha} \Big) \Big[1 + rac{3arpi}{(1-2arpi)^2} \Big] \leq rac{32}{3}$$

and

$$heta \leq \left(rac{36}{35\pi}
ight)^{\!\!\!1/2} \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \; .$$

A computation using the fact that $\Delta M = \Delta^2 M_1$ and the bounds for $|| \Delta^2 B ||$ can be carried out as follows.

Let $u = x + 1 - \nu \varpi$ and let f(u) be the probability of $x = \nu \varpi + u - 1$ for the binomial *B*. Let $\delta^{-1} = \nu \varpi (1 - \varpi)$ and let $\beta = \varpi \delta$ and $\gamma = (1 - \varpi)\delta$. Then

$$\frac{f(u+1)}{f(u)}=\frac{1-\beta(u-1)}{1+\gamma u}.$$

The second differences of the function f for $x \leq n$ are equal to some positive quantity multiplied by

$$g(u) = u^2 - (2\varpi - 1)u - (\nu + 2)\varpi(1 - \varpi)$$
.

Let r_1 and r_2 , $r_1 < r_2$ be the roots of this polynomial. The second differences $(\varDelta^2 f)(u)$ are negative for $u \in (r_1, r_2)$ and positive otherwise. Letting $\varphi(u) = (\varDelta f)(u)$ it follows that

$$egin{aligned} &|| \leq arphi(u_1) + | \, arphi(u_2) - arphi(u_1-1) \, | + arphi(n-\lambda+1) - arphi(u_2-1) \ &+ rac{8}{
u+1} \, arphi^{
u+1} \, . \end{aligned}$$

The values u_i are determined by the condition that the corresponding x values, say x_1 and x_2 , are respectively the largest integer not exceeding $r_1 + \lambda$ and the smallest integer as large as $r_2 + \lambda$. The roots r_1 and r_2 are given by the expression

If $\lambda \geq 3$ the value u_1 is negative while $u_2 - 1$ is positive.

In this case

$$egin{aligned} arphi(u_1) &\leq f(u_1+1) igg[1 - rac{1+\gamma u_1}{1-eta(u_1-1)} igg] \ &\leq f(u_1+1) \delta[|u_1|+arphi] \ &\leq rac{ heta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \, ||u_1|+arphi \, |rac{1}{\lambda(1-arphi)} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$egin{aligned} &|arphi(u_2)| \leq f(u_2) iggl[rac{1-eta(u_2-1)}{1+\gamma u_2} -1 iggr] \ &\leq rac{ heta}{\lambda \sqrt{\lambda}} \ [||u_2|+arphi] iggl(rac{1}{1-arphi} iggr) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|u_1 - 1| \leq 1 + 1/2 + \sqrt{\nu \varpi (1 - \varpi)} + 1/6 \leq 5/3 + \sqrt{\lambda (1 - \varpi)}$. Hence

$$egin{aligned} arphi(u_1-1) &\leq rac{ heta}{\lambda} \Big\{ rac{1}{(1-arphi)\sqrt{\lambda}} \Big[rac{5}{\lambda} + \sqrt{\lambda(1-arphi)} \Big] + arphi \Big\} \ &\leq rac{9 heta}{4\lambda} \,. \end{aligned}$$

The other terms can be bounded in a similar manner giving

$$||\, {arDet}^2 B\,|| \leq 9rac{ heta}{\lambda} + rac{16}{\lambda}\, arpi^{
u+2} \leq rac{5.4}{\lambda} \;.$$

Finally the following result holds.

Proposition 3. If $\lambda \geq 3$ and $\alpha \leq 1/4$ then

$$|| \, Q - B \, || \leq (2.7) h \exp{[2h \lambda a^2] a^2}$$

with $h \leq 32/3$.

It is possible to obtain bounds on the third difference $|| \varDelta^3 B ||$ by similar procedures. The algebra becomes somewhat more cumbersome. Nevertheless, it is not difficult to see that bounds of the type

$$|| Q - B || \leq C rac{\log \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp{[2\lambda a^2 h] a^2}$$

can be obtained in this manner.

The bounds given in Propositions 2 and 3 will be of value if λa^2 is small. When λ is so large that λa^2 is large, better inequalities than the preceding may be obtained through the use of Fourier transforms.

Let $\hat{\mu}$ be the Fourier transform of the measure μ . For instance $\hat{Q}(t) = \int e^{itx} Q(dx)$. Note the following inequalities. First

$$|1 + p(e^{it} - 1)|^2 = 1 - 2p(1 - p)(1 - \cos t)$$
.

Hence, if $|t| \ge \pi/2$

$$|1 + p(e^{it} - 1)|^2 \leq 1 - 2p(1 - p)rac{2}{\pi} |t|$$
.

If $|t| \leq \pi/2$ then

$$1-\cos t=rac{t^2}{2}\Big[1-rac{t^4}{12}\cos{\xi t}\Big]$$

with $|\xi| \leq 1$.

Consequently, for $\mid t \mid \leq \pi/2$

$$|1+p(e^{it}-1)|^2 \leq 1-2p(1-p)\,rac{t^2}{2}\Big(rac{48-\pi^2}{48}\Big)$$

and for $|t| \leq \pi/4$

$$|1+p(e^{it}-1)|^2 \leq 1-2p(1-p)\,rac{t^2}{2}\Big(rac{192-\pi^2}{192}\Big)\,.$$

It follows that $|\hat{B}(t)| \leq 1$ and (1) For $\pi/2 \leq |t| \leq \pi$ max $\{|\hat{B}(t)|, |\hat{Q}(t)|\} \leq \exp -\{\lambda(1 - \varpi)(2/\pi)|t|\}.$

(2) For $\pi/4 \leq |t| \leq \pi/2$ $\max \{ |\hat{B}(t)|, |\hat{Q}(t)| \} \leq \exp \left[-(b^2/2) \lambda t^2 \right]$

with
$$b^2 = (1 - \varpi) - \pi^2/48$$
.
(3) For $|t| \le \pi/4$
 $\max \{|\hat{B}(t)|, |\hat{Q}(t)|\} \le \exp[-(\beta^2/2)\lambda t^2]$
with $\beta^2 = (1 - \varpi)(1 - \pi^2/192)$.

In addition, for $|t| \leq \pi/4$ and for $z = e^{it} - 1$ one can write

$$egin{aligned} \log \hat{Q} &- \log \hat{B} = \lambda arsigma c_j \Big[rac{1}{p_j} \log \left(1 + p_j z
ight) - rac{1}{arpi} \log \left(1 + arpi z
ight) \Big] \ &= -\lambda z^3 \! \int_{_0}^{_1} \! rac{\xi^2}{\left(1 + arkappa arpi z
ight)^2} \Big[\sum_j rac{c_j \delta_j^2}{1 + arkappi p_j z} \Big] darkappa \end{aligned}$$

with $c_j = p_j / \lambda$ and $\delta_j = p_j - \varpi$. This gives

$$|\log \hat{Q} - \log \hat{B}| \leq rac{1}{3} \, \lambda a^2 |\, z \, |^3 \psi(z)$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \sup_{|t| \le \pi/4} \sup_{j} \left| \int_{0}^{1} rac{3\xi^{2}}{[1+\xi\omega z]^{2}} \, rac{1}{(1+\xi p_{j}z)}
ight| d\xi \; .$$

LUCIEN LE CAM

Since
$$|1 + \xi \varpi z|^2 = |(1 - \xi \varpi) + \xi \varpi e^{it}|^2$$

= $1 - 2\xi \varpi (1 - \xi \varpi)(1 - \cos t)$

one has

$$|\,1+\xi arpi z\,|^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \geqq 1-(2-\sqrt{\,2})rac{\pi}{4}\,arpi$$
 .

Finally

$$\psi(z) \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{1-rac{lpha}{2}}} rac{1}{\left(1-rac{arpi}{2}
ight)} \; .$$

Hence

$$|\log \hat{Q} - \log \hat{B}| \leq K^2 \lambda a^2 |t|^3$$

with

$$K^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \leq rac{1}{3} \Big(1 - rac{arpi}{2} \Big)^{\!\!-1} \! \Big(1 - rac{lpha}{2} \Big)^{\!\!-1/2} \,.$$

It follows that, for $|t| \leq \pi/4$ one can write

$$egin{aligned} &|\hat{Q}(t)-\hat{B}(t)| \leq |\hat{B}(t)|\lambda a^2K^2| \ t \ |^3 \exp\left[\lambda a K^2| \ t \ |^3
ight] \ &\leq \lambda a^2K^2 \ | \ t \ |^3 \exp\left[-rac{1}{2} \ \lambda \gamma^2 t^2
ight] \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma^2 = \beta^2 - a^2 K^2 \pi/4 \ge 0$. Let V = (Q - B). The individual terms of V are given by the formula

$$V(k)=rac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi}e^{-ikt}\,\widehat{V}(t)dt\;.$$

Applying to this formula the above inequalities one obtains:

$$egin{aligned} &2\pi \mid V(k) \mid \leq 2\lambda a^2 K^2 \! \int_0^\infty t^3 \supiggl[-rac{1}{2}\,\lambda \gamma^2 t^2 iggr] dt \ &+4\int_{\pi/4}^\infty \! \expiggl[-\lambda b^2 rac{t^2}{2}iggr] dt \ &+4\! \int_{\pi/2}^\infty \! \expiggl[-\lambda (1-arphi) rac{2}{\pi}iggr] dt \ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$2\pi \mid V(k) \mid \leq rac{4K^2a^2}{\lambda\gamma^4} + rac{16}{\lambda\pi b^2}\exp\left[-rac{\lambda b^2\pi^2}{32}
ight] + rac{2\pi}{\lambda(1-arphi)}\exp\left[-(1-arphi)\lambda
ight].$$

Noting that $xe^{-x} \leq e^{-1}$ for $x \geq 0$, this gives

$$2\pi\lambda \,|\, V(k)\,| \leq rac{4K^2a^2}{\gamma^4} + \Big\{\!rac{16\! imes\!32}{\pi^3 cb^4} + rac{2\pi}{(1-arpi)^2 e}\!\Big\}\!rac{1}{\lambda}\;.$$

Let *m* be an integer such that $m \leq 2n \varpi < m + 1$ with $n - 1 < \nu \leq n$. The sum of the first *m* terms of |V(k)| is inferior to

$$rac{1}{\pi} \Big\{ rac{4K^2a^2}{\gamma^2} + rac{16 imes 32}{\lambda\pi^3 eb^4} + rac{2\pi}{\lambda(1-arpi)^2 e} \Big\} \Big(1+rac{1}{
u}\Big) \,.$$

From this and Chebyshev's inequality it follows that

$$egin{aligned} &\|Q-B\| \leq rac{1}{\pi} \Big(1+rac{1}{
u}\Big) \Big\{ rac{4K^2 a^2}{\gamma^4} + rac{16 imes 32}{\lambda \pi^3 e b^4} + rac{2\pi}{\lambda (1-arphi)^2 e} \Big\} \ &+ rac{(1-arphi)}{\lambda} + rac{1}{\lambda} [1+4arphi^{
u+2}] \,. \end{aligned}$$

As a summary, one can state the following.

PROPOSITION 4. Assume $\lambda \geq 3$ and $\alpha \leq 1/4$. Then, there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$||\,Q-B\,|| \leq C_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} a^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} + C_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \lambda^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}$$
 .

5. Approximation of the binomial by a Poisson distribution. A theorem of Yu. V. Prohorov [9] states that the binomial $B = [I + \varpi \Delta]^2$ and the Poisson $P = \exp(\lambda \Delta)$ differ little. Explicitly, there is a constant C_0 such that $||P - B|| \leq C_0 \varpi$.

Prohorov's result is proved in [9] only for integer values of ν . For this reason we shall give here a complete proof which happens to be somewhat simpler than Prohorov's original argument. This proof leads to an evaluation of the constant C_0 which may not be the best available but will serve our purposes.

Let R(x) be the ratio of the binomial probability $B[{x}]$ to the Poisson probability $P[{x}]$

$$R(x)=
u(
u-1)\cdots(
u-x+1)arpi^x(1-arpi)^{
u-x}e^\lambda\lambda^{-2}$$
 .

Let us restrict ourselves to the interval $0 \leq x \leq n$. Since

$$\frac{R(x+1)}{R(x)} = \frac{\nu - x}{\nu(1-\varpi)}$$

the ratio R achieves in this interval a maximum at the point x such that $x - 1 \leq \lambda < x$.

For this particular value of x, Stirling's formula leads to the inequality LUCIEN LE CAM

$$\log R(x) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1-\xi\right)$$

with

$$arpi < \xi \leq arpi \left(1 + rac{1}{\lambda}
ight).$$

Finally for $\lambda \geq 3$ and $4\varpi \leq 1$,

$$egin{aligned} R(x) &\leq rac{1}{\sqrt{1-\xi}} \leq 1 + rac{\xi}{2\sqrt{1-\xi}} \ &\leq 1 + rac{1}{2} \, arphi \Big(1 + rac{1}{\lambda} \Big) \Big[1 - arphi \Big(1 + rac{1}{\lambda} \Big) \Big]^{-1/2} \ &\leq 1 + \Big(rac{2}{3}\Big)^{1/2} arphi \ . \end{aligned}$$

Let f be a nonnegative function such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$. The above inequalities imply that

$$\begin{split} \int f dB &\leq \frac{4\varpi^{\nu+1}}{\nu+1} + \int_{x \leq n} R(x) f(x) P(dx) \\ &\leq \frac{4\varpi^{\nu+1}}{\nu+1} + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} \varpi \int f(x) P(dx) + \int f(x) P(dx) \\ &\leq \int f(x) P(dx) + \ \varpi \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} \frac{4\varpi^{\nu}}{\nu+1} \right\} \,. \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$\int (1-f)dB = 1 - \int f dB \leq \int (1-f)dP + arpi \left[\left(rac{2}{3}
ight)^{1/2} + rac{4arpi^{
u}}{
u+1}
ight].$$

Consequently:

Proposition 5. If $\lambda \geqq 3$ and $4 \varpi \leqq 1,$ then

$$egin{aligned} &\|B-P\,\| \leq 2arpi iggl[\left(rac{2}{3}
ight)^{\!\!\!1/2} + rac{4arpi^{
u}}{
u+1} iggr] \ &\leq [1.64]arpi \ . \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the inequalities established in the preceding sections one obtains the following statement.

THEOREM 2. Let $\{X_j; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a family of independent random variables. Assume that $\mathcal{L}(X_j) = I + p_j \Delta$ and that $\lambda = \Sigma p_i$ is

finite. Let $p_j = \lambda c_j$ and $\varpi = \Sigma c_j p_j$ and $\alpha = \sup_j p_j$. Denote by Q the distribution $Q = \mathscr{L}(\Sigma X_j)$ and P the Poisson distribution $P = \exp(\lambda \Delta)$.

There exist constants D_1 and D_2 such that

(1) For all values of the p_j one has

$$\parallel P-Q \parallel \leqq 2 \lambda arpi$$

and

$$||P-Q|| \leq D_1 \alpha$$
.

(2) If $4\alpha \leq 1$ then

$$||P-Q|| \leq D_2$$
or.

The constant D_1 is inferior to 9 and the constant D_2 is inferior to 16.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2 consists essentially of an evaluation of the constants involved in the bounds given by Propositions 2, 3 and 4. To these propositions one must add the following remarks.

The quantity $a^2 = \Sigma c_j (p_j - \varpi)^2$ can be written

$$a^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \varSigma c_{\scriptscriptstyle j} \Bigl(p_{\scriptscriptstyle j} - rac{lpha}{2} \Bigr)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} - \Bigl(rac{lpha}{2} - arpi \Bigr)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \,.$$

Hence

$$a^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \leq lpha arpi \left(1 - rac{arpi}{2}
ight) \leq \left(rac{lpha}{2}
ight)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}.$$

In particular $a^2 \leq \alpha \varpi$ and $a \leq \alpha/2 \leq 1/8$ for $\alpha \leq 1/4$. The bound $||Q - P|| \leq D_1 \alpha$ is operative only when $D\alpha \leq 2$. It is therefore sufficient to prove that $||Q - P|| \leq D_1 \alpha$ for $\alpha \leq 2D_1^{-1}$ and $2\lambda \geq D_1$. A constant D_1 can then be obtained through application of Proposition 2 for $\lambda a^2 \leq y^2$ and Proposition 4 for $\lambda a^2 \geq y^2$, the quantity y^2 being adjusted to give the best value available.

Similarly, the second inequality can be proved by use of Propositions 3 and 4, assuming $2\lambda \ge 16$ and $\varpi \le 1/8$.

Note that the constants 9 and 16 are certainly much too large. For very small values of α or ϖ one can obtain much better values of D_1 and D_2 .

Statement 2 of Theorem 2 implies that the approximation by a Poisson distribution will be good even though a few of the probabilities P_j may be close to the bound $\alpha \leq 1/4$. This will happen provided only that these large values contribute relatively little to the value of λ , the bulk of λ being due to very small values of the p_j .

6. Concluding remarks.

REMARK 1. It would be highly desirable for the applications to lower the values of the coefficients D_1 and D_2 to a more reasonable level. When α is fixed, this can be achieved for D_2 by restricting the range of values of ϖ to which the inequalities apply. For instance, taking $4\alpha = 1$ but $\varpi = 10^{-2}$, the coefficient D_2 can be taken approximately equal to 8. Such a value being still too large one may inquire whether there is a lower bound to the acceptable values of D_2 .

In this connection the following remarks may be of interest. When λ becomes very large the distance $(1/\varpi) || Q - B ||$ becomes rapidly negligible. This can be seen for instance by using the inequalities which led to Proposition 4 and the bounds in $a^2 \log \lambda / \sqrt{\lambda}$ obtained through the use of third differences.

The main contribution to $(1/\varpi) || - P ||$ is then attributable to the difference between the binomial B and the Poisson measure P.

Prohorov's theorem implies that $(1/\varpi) || B - P ||$ cannot be much smaller than (.483). Therefore, one cannot expect to obtain a result of the type $|| Q - P || \leq D_2 \varpi$ where D_2 would be substantially smaller than 1/2.

REMARK 2. The result of Theorem 1 cannot be materially improved unless one is willing to restrict further the measures M_j or the group \mathfrak{X} .

A slight modification of the proof given here leads to the inequality

$$||Q-P|| \leq 2 \Big[1 - \prod_j (1-eta_j) \Big]$$
 ,

where β_j is taken equal to $p_j(1 - e^{-p_j})$. The bound so obtained is actually reached for certain choices of the measures M_j . An example of this can be constructed when \mathfrak{X} is the real line. It is sufficient to take M_j to be the probability measure giving all its mass to a point x_j and select the values $\{x_j; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ to be rationally independent. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ one may find values $p_j < \varepsilon$ such that $2[1 - \prod(1 - \beta_j)] >$ $2 - \varepsilon$ and such that $\lambda = \sum_j p_j$ be finite.

References

^{1.} W. Doeblin, Sur les sommes d'un grand nombre de variables aléatoires indépendantes, Bull. des Sciences Mathématiques, **53**, Paris (1939), 23-32.

^{2.} Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, Linear operators, Part I. General theory, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.

^{3.} Einar Hille and Ralph S. Phillips, Functional analysis and semi groups, Amer. Math-Soc. Coll. Publ. 31, Providence, R. I. 1957.

^{4.} J. L. Hodges, Jr. and Lucien Le Cam, The Poisson approximation to the Poisson

AN APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR THE POISSON BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION 1197

binomial distribution, to be published in Ann. Math. Stat.

5. A. Khintchine, Asymptotische Gesetze der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer grenzgebiete, Julius Springer, Berlin, 1933.

6. A. N. Kolmogorov, Deux théorèmes asymptotiques pour les sommes de variables aléatoires (Russian, French summary), Teoria Veroiatnosteii, **1** (4), Moscow (1956), 426-436.

7. Paul Lévy, Théorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1937.

8. M. A. Naimark, Normed rings, Moscow, 1956.

9. Yu. V. Prohorov, Asymptotic behavior of the binomial distribution (Russian), Uspekhii Matematicheskiikh Nauk, **8** (3), Moscow (1953), 135–142.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

| E. F. BECKENBACH | E. HEWITT | M. OHTSUKA | E. SPANIER |
|------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| T. M. CHERRY | A. HORN | H. L. ROYDEN | E. G. STRAUS |
| D. DERRY | L. NACHBIN | M. M. SCHIFFER | F. WOLF |

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIASTANFOCALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGYUNIVERUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIAUNIVERMONTANA STATE UNIVERSITYWASHINUNIVERSITY OF NEVADAUNIVERNEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY*OREGON STATE COLLEGEAMERICOUNIVERSITY OF OREGONCALIFODOSAKA UNIVERSITYHUGHESUNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIASPACE *

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 10, No. 4 December, 1960

| M. Altman, An optimum cubically convergent iterative method of in | werting a linear | |
|--|--|--|
| bounded operator in Hilbert space | •••••• | 1107 |
| Nesmith Cornett Ankeny, Criterion for rth power residuacity | •••••• | 1115 |
| Julius Rubin Blum and David Lee Hanson, On invariant probability | measures I | 1125 |
| Frank Featherstone Bonsall, Positive operators compact in an auxil | iary topology | 1131 |
| Billy Joe Boyer, Summability of derived conjugate series | •••••• | 1139 |
| Delmar L. Boyer, A note on a problem of Fuchs | | 1147 |
| Hans-Joachim Bremermann, <i>The envelopes of holomorphy of tube a</i> <i>dimensional Banach spaces</i> | lomains in infinite | 1149 |
| Andrew Michael Bruckner, Minimal superadditive extensions of sup | peradditive | |
| functions | | 1155 |
| Billy Finney Bryant, On expansive homeomorphisms | | 1163 |
| Jean W. Butler, On complete and independent sets of operations in | finite algebras | 1169 |
| Lucien Le Cam, An approximation theorem for the Poisson binomia | l distribution | 1181 |
| Paul Civin, Involutions on locally compact rings | | 1199 |
| Earl A. Coddington, Normal extensions of formally normal operato | rs | 1203 |
| Jacob Feldman, Some classes of equivalent Gaussian processes on a | an interval | 1211 |
| Shaul Foguel, Weak and strong convergence for Markov processes. | | 1221 |
| Martin Fox, Some zero sum two-person games with moves in the un | it interval | 1235 |
| Robert Pertsch Gilbert, Singularities of three-dimensional harmonic | c functions | 1243 |
| Branko Grünbaum, Partitions of mass-distributions and of convex b | odies by | |
| hyperplanes | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1257 |
| Sidney Morris Harmon, Regular covering surfaces of Riemann surf | aces | 1263 |
| | <i></i> | |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr | oup of integers | |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m | oup of integers | 1291 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector | oup of integers or groups | 1291 1309 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vecto</i> Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> | oup of integers | 1291 1309 1313 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vecto</i> Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, <i>The non-existence of expans</i> | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms | 1291 1309 1313 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vecto</i> Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, <i>The non-existence of expanson a closed 2-cell</i> | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms | 1291 1309 1313 1319 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric comparison | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric co Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of 2 | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and f fraction | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Foups Faussky and d fraction ary measures | 1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Taussky and d fraction ary measures | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationary John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationary John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction try measures | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and d fraction ary measures | 1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear differential rings | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups laussky and d fraction ary measures ntial-difference | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear different equations | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures ntial-difference | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigric Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Charles Robson Storey, Jr., The structure of threads | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction try measures ntial-difference | 1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1337 1347 1385 1393 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear differential rings Charles Robson Storey, Jr., The structure of threads J. François Treves, An estimate for differential polynomials in ∂/∂z | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms inctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures htial-difference $1, , \dots, \partial/\partial z_n$ | 1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429 1447 |
| Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigroup modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric correlated by the semigroups in partially ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigroups Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear difference equations J. François Treves, An estimate for differential polynomials in ∂/∂z J. D. Weston, On the representation of operators by convolutions in | oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Taussky and d fraction ary measures htial-difference $1, , \dots, \partial/\partial z_n$ tegrals | 1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429 1447 1453 |