Pacific Journal of Mathematics

WEAK AND STRONG CONVERGENCE FOR MARKOV PROCESSES

SHAUL FOGUEL

Vol. 10, No. 4

December 1960

WEAK AND STRONG CONVERGENCE FOR MARKOV PROCESSES

S. R. FOGUEL

1. Introduction. Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a probability space and $x_t(\omega)$ a Markov process defined on it. For every Borel set on the real line $P_t(\omega, A)$ is the conditional probability that $x_t \in A$ given x_0 . The purpose of this paper is to study the limiting behavior, of the family of functions, $p_t(\omega, A)$, for $t \to \infty$ and A fixed.

In § 3 we investigate conditions for the weak convergence, in the sense of $L_2(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$, of $p_t(\omega, A)$. The classical result on Markov processes, as presented in [2] p. 353, is generalized to functions $x_t(\omega)$ with nondiscrete ranges. Under the additional assumption of existence of finite stationary measures.

It should be noted that

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = rac{(p_n(\omega, \{j\}), \chi_{x_0} = i)}{P(x_0 = i)}$$

where the parenthesis stand for scalar product and $\chi_{x_0} = i$ is the characteristic function of the set $x_0(\omega) = i$. Thus weak convergence of $p_n(\omega, \{j\})$ implies ordinary convergence of $p_{ij}^{(n)}$.

In §4 the strong convergence in $L_2(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ is studied. Our results are similiar to Theorem 11 of [4] though the exact relation between the two theories is not clear to us.

The paper deals with real processes and L_2 is the real Hilbert space.

Throughout the paper a weak form of the definition of Markov processes is used. We do not assume any of the regularity properties which are usually imposed.

2. Notation and general background. Let $x_t(\omega)$ be a set of measurable functions, defined on Ω , where t runs over $[0, \infty)$ or the positive integers. This set of functions, will be called a Markov process if whenever $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ then conditional probability that $x_{t_3} \in A$ given x_{t_1} and x_{t_3} , is equal to the conditional probability that $x_{t_3} \in A$ given x_{t_2} .

In order to simplify this condition let us observe the following:

If Σ_1 is a sub σ algebra of Σ and $f \in L_2(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ then the conditional expectation of f with respect to Σ_1 is equal a.e. to E_1 f where E_1 is the self adjoint projection on the subspace of L_2 generated by characteristic functions of sets in Σ_1 .

With the Markov process, $x_t(\omega)$, associate a collection of subspaces,

Received November, 23, 1959, and in revised from March 2, 1960.

 B_t of $L_2(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$, where B_t is the closed subspace spanned by characteristic functions of sets of the form $x_t^{-1}(A)$, A a Borel set on the line. Let E_t be the self adjoint projection on B_t .

THEOREM 2.1. If the set of functions $x_t(\omega)$ is a Markov process, then

$$(2.1) E_{t_1} E_{t_2} E_{t_3} = E_{t_1} E_{t_3} \quad for \quad t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \; .$$

Proof. Let $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$. If $g \in B_{t_3}$ then $g - E_{t_2}g$ is orthogonal to B_{t_1} . Thus

$$E_{t_1}(E_{t_3} - E_{t_2}E_{t_3}) = 0$$

DEFINITION. A Collection of spaces $B_t \subset L_2(\Omega)$, is a Markov class if equation 2.1 holds.

From the above definition follows:

THEOREM 2.2. Let B_t be a Markov class. If $f \in B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}$ and $t_1 < t < t_2$ then $f \in B_t$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} & \text{If } f = E_{t_1}f = E_{t_2}f \text{ then} \\ & || \, E_tf \, ||^{\, 2} = (E_tf,f) = (E_tE_{t_2}f, \, E_{t_1}f) = (E_{t_1}E_tE_{t_2}f,f) \\ & = || \, (E_{t_1}E_{t_2}f,f) = || \, f \, ||^{\, 2} \, . \end{array}$$

Thus $f = E_t f \in B_t$.

DEFINITION. A Markov process is called stationary if

$$(2.2) P(x_{t_1+\alpha} \in A_1 \cap x_{t_2+\alpha} \in A_2) = P(x_{t_1} \in A_1 \cap x_{t_2} \in A_2) .$$

In particular for a stationary Markov process

$$(2.3) P(x_t \in A) = P(x_0 \in A) .$$

Let T_t be the transformation from B_0 to B_t defined for characteristic functions in B_0 by

$$(2.4) T_t \chi_{x_0 \in A} = \chi_{x_t \in A} .$$

LEMMA 2.4. Let $x_t(\omega)$ be a stationary Markov process. The transformation T_t can be extended in a unique way to all of B_0 such that

(a)
$$|| T_t x || = || x || \quad if \quad x \in B_0$$

$$(b) T_t B_0 = B_t$$

(c)
$$(T_{t_1+\alpha}x, T_{t_2+\alpha}y) = (T_{t_1}x, T_{t_2}y)$$

for every $x \in B_0$, $y \in B_0$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Proof. In order to consider T_t as a transformation in B_0 we have to show that:

If A_1 and A_2 are two Borel sets and $\chi_{x_0^{-1}(A_1)}, \chi_{x_0^{-1}(A_2)}$ differ by a set of measure zero, then

$$\chi_{x_t^{-1}}(\omega)_{(A_1)} = \chi_{x_t^{-1}(A_2)}(\omega)$$
 a.e.

Now by assumption

$$||\chi_{x_0^{-1}(A_1)}|| = ||\chi_{x_0^{-1}(A_2)}|| = ||\chi_{x_0^{-1}(A_1 \cap A_2)}||.$$

But by 2.3

$$||\chi_{x_t^{-1}(A_1)}|| = ||\chi_{x_t^{-1}(A_2)}|| = ||\chi_{x_t^{-1}(A_1\cap A_2)}||$$

which means

$$\chi_{x_t^{-1}(A_1)} = \chi_{x_t^{-1}(A_2)}$$
 a.e.

Let us extend T_t to linear combinations of characteristic functions by additivity. If conditions a and c are satisfied for this dense set, we will be able to extend T_t by continuity to all of B_0 and T_t will satisfy a, b and c. It is enough to show that the extension of T_t to linear combinations is unique. For then c follows from 2.2, and a holds because every linear combination of characteristic functions in B_0 , can be written with disjoint characteristic functions. Let us assume, then, that there exists numbers a_i and Borel sets A_i such that

$$\Sigma a_i \chi_{x_0^{-1}(A_i)} = 0$$
 but $\Sigma a_i \chi_{x_t^{-1}(A_i)} \neq 0$.

Thus there are k integers i_1, \dots, i_k with

$$\chi_{x_t^{-1}(B \cap A_i)} = 0$$
 a.e., $i \neq i_j$

where

$$B = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} A_{i_j}, P(x_t^{-1}(B)) > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_{i_i} \neq 0$$

But then, by 2.3,

$$\chi_{x_0^{-1}(B \cap A_i)} = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

if $i \neq i_j$ and for $\omega \in x_0^{-1}(B)$

S. R. FOGUEL

$$\Sigma a_i \chi_{x_0^{-1}(A_i)}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} \neq 0$$
.

This contradicts our assumption for

$$P(x_0^{-1}(B)) = P(x_t^{-1}(B)) \neq 0$$
.

REMARK. From a follows that T_t preserves inner products.

DEFINITION. A Markov class is called stationary if there exist transformations T_t from B_0 to B_t satisfying a, b and c of Lemma 2.4. In the rest of the paper we will use the notation

$$\chi_{t,A} = \chi_{x_t^{-1}(A)}$$

3. Weak convergence. The main tool in this section is:

LEMMA 3.1. Let B_t be a stationary Markov class. If $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = 0$ then

weak lim
$$T_n x_0 = 0$$

for every $x_0 \in B_0$.

For the proof we need the following.

LEMMA 3.2. Let B_t be a stationary Markow class, and $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = 0^*$. If for some subsequence n_i , of the integers,

weak lim
$$T_{n_i}x_0 = x \neq 0$$

then

$$x = E_{0}x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_{n} - E_{n-1})x$$

and the terms of the sum are mutually orthogonal.

Proof. Let n < m then

$$(*) \qquad \quad E_n E_m x = \mathrm{weak} \lim_{i \to \infty} E_n E_m T_{n_i} x_0 = \mathrm{weak} \lim_{i \to \infty} E_n T_{n_i} x_0 = E_n x$$

by Equation 2.1 Thus

(**)
$$E_n(E_m x - E_{m-1} x) = E_n x - E_n x = 0$$
.

Now

$$||E_{_N}x||^2 = ||E_{_0}x + \sum_{n=1}^{^N} (E_n - E_{n-1})x||^2 = ||E_{_0}x||^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{^N} ||(E_n - E_{n-1})x||^2$$

hence the sum converges. Let

$$y = E_0 x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n - E_{n-1}) x$$
.

If $z = E_n z \in B_n$ then by (**)

$$(y, z) = (E_n y, z) = (E_n x, z) = (x, z)$$
.

Also if z is orthogonal to all the spaces B_n then

$$(y, z) = (x, z) = 0$$
.

Thus y = x.

LEMMA 3.3. Under the same conditions, there exists a subsequence n'_i , of n_i , such that if $z_n \in B_0$ is defined by

$$(***) T_n z_n = E_n x / || x ||$$

Then

weak $\lim z_{n_i'} = 0$.

Proof. Let $z_{n'_i}$ converges weakly to z. Such subsequence exists because a Hilbert space is weakly sequentially compact. Now $z \in B_0$, we shall prove that $z \in B_k$, for all k, and thus z = 0. Now, by equations (***) and 2.2

$$(T_k z_{n+k}, z_n) = (T_{n+k} z_{n+k}, T_n z_n) = (E_{n+k} x/||x||, E_n x/||x||) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 1$$
.

Hence

$$|| T_k z_{n+k} - z_n ||^2 \leq 2 - 2(T_k z_{n+k}, z_n) \to 0$$
.

If $u \in L_2(\Omega)$ then

$$(T_k z_{n'_i+k}, u) = ((T_k z_{n'_i+k} - z_{n'_i}), u) + (z_{n'_i}, u) \rightarrow (z, u)$$

or

weak lim $T_k z_{n'_i+k} = z$

and by Hahn Banach Theorem $z \in B_k$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. It is enough to show that for any subsequence n_i , there exists a subsequence n'_i , of n_i , such that

weak lim
$$T_{n_i'} x_0 = 0$$
 .

We may assume that $T_{n_i}x_0$ converges weakly to x. Let n'_i be chosen by Lemma 4.3. Then

$$egin{aligned} &0\ _{i o\infty} = \lim \, (x_{n_i'},\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = \lim _{i o\infty} \, (\,T_{n_i'} z_{n_i'},\,T_{n_i'} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\ &= \lim _{t o\infty} \, (E_{n_i'} x/||\,x\,||,\,T_{n_i'} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = ||\,x\,|| \end{aligned}$$

For $E_{n_i}x$ tends strongly to x, by Lemma 3.2, and by assumption $T_{n_i}x_0$ converges weakly to x.

COROLLARY. Let x_t be a stationary Markov process. If $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = \{1\}$ then

weak lim
$$\chi_n$$
, $_A = ||\chi_{0,A}||^2 1$.

Proof. The Markov class $B_t - \{1\}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1, hence

weak lim
$$\chi_{n,\scriptscriptstyle A} - ||\,\chi_{n,\scriptscriptstyle A}\,||^2 1 = 0$$
 .

In the rest of this section let x_i be a given stationary Markov process. Let

$$C_0 = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$$
.

By Theorem 2.2

$$C_0 = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{t_i}$$

wherever $t_0 = 0$ and $t_i \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$C_m = igcap_{n=m}^\infty B_n \quad ext{and} \quad D_m = B_m - C_m \; .$$

REMARK. {1} stands for the space of constants. Also if B and C are subspaces B - C is the orthogonal complement of C in B.

LEMMA 3.4. For every integer n

$$T_n C_0 = C_n$$
 , $T_n D_0 = D_n$

and

$$C_n \subset C_{n+1}$$
.

Proof. Let $x = T_m x_0$. The vector x belongs to C_m , if and only if, for every integer k there exists a vector $x_k \in B_0$ such that

$$x = T_{m+k} x_k$$
.

But then

$$|| \, x \, ||^{_2} = (\, T_{_{m+k}} x_{_k} \, , \, T_{_m} x_{_0}) = (\, T_{_k} x_{_k} \, , \, x_{_0})$$

and $||x_0|| = ||x|| = ||T_k x_k||$. Hence $x_0 = T_k x_k$ and $x_0 \in B_k$ for all $k: x_0 \in C_0$. Now $y \in D_m$ if and only if $y = T_m y_0$ and

$$(y, x) = 0$$
 if $x \in C_m$.

This is equivalent to

$$(T_m y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, \, T_m x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = 0 \quad ext{if} \quad x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \in C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, \quad ext{or} \quad (y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, \, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = 0 \; .$$

Thus $y \in D_m$ if and only if $y_0 \in D_0$.

LEMMA 3.5. Both C_m and D_m are stationary Markov classes.

Proof. The class C_m is Markov because $C_m \subset C_{m+1}$. Now let F_m be the projection on C_m and G_m the projection on D_m . Then

$$G_m = E_m(I - F_m)$$
.

If $n \geq m$ then $E_n F_m = F_m$ hence E_n and $I - F_m$ commute. Let $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ then

$$egin{aligned} G_{m_1}G_{m_2}G_{m_3} &= E_{m_1}(I-F_{m_1})E_{m_2}(I-F_{m_2})E_{m_3}(I-F_{m_3}) \ &= E_{m_1}E_{m_2}E_{m_3}(I-F_{m_1})(I-F_{m_2})(I-F_{m_3}) \ &= E_{m_1}E_{m_2}(I-F_{m_1})(I-F_{m_2}) = G_{m_1}G_{m_3} \ . \end{aligned}$$

We used Equation 2.1 and the fact that $I - F_m$ decreases with m.

THEOREM 3.6. If $x \in D_0$ then $T_n x$ tends weakly to zero.

Proof. The Markov class D_m satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 for

$$\mathop{ ilde{\Omega}}_{n=0}^{\infty} D_m \subset D_0 \cap \mathop{ ilde{\Omega}}_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = 0$$
 .

It remains to study the monotone stationary Markov class C_m . Define

$$C_{-m} = T_m^{-1}C_0, \quad H = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{-m}.$$

REMARK. If C_0 is finite dimensional then $C_0 \subset C_m$ and both have same dimension:

$$C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = C_{\scriptscriptstyle m} \quad {
m and} \quad H = C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \; .$$

THEOREM 3.7. If $x \in C_0$ is orthogonal to H then

S. R. FOGUEL

$$\mathrm{weak}\lim_{n\to\infty}\,T_nx=0$$

Proof. If m > k then $C_{-m} \subset C_{-k}$: if $x \in C_{-m}$ then $T_m x \in C_0$. Let $y_0 \in C_0$ and $T_{m-k}y_0 = T_m x$ then

$$|| T_m x ||^2 = (T_m x, T_{m-k} y_0) = (T_k x, y_0)$$

Thus $y_0 = T_k x \in C_0$.

Now if F_{-m} is the projection of C_0 on C_{-m} then for each $x \in C_0$ $F_{-m}x$ converges to the projection of x on H (See [3] p. 266). Thus

$$x = \lim(I - F_{-m})x$$

or x is the limit of vectors orthogonal to C_{-m} .

Let us prove that

$$\mathrm{weak}\lim_{n\to\infty}\,T_nx=0$$

if x is orthogonal to C_{-m} , and because this is a dense set the theorem will follow.

The vector x is orthogonal to C_{-m} , and hence to C_{-m-p} for all p. Now

$$(T_{rm+d}x, T_dx) = (T_{rm}x, x)$$

but $x \in C_0$ and for some $y_0 \in C_0$, $x = T_{rm}y_0$ thus

$$(T_{rm+a}x, T_ax) = (T_{rm}x, T_{rm}y_0) = (x, y_0) = 0$$

for $y_0 \in C_{-rm}$. Thus the *m* sequences

$$\{T_{rm+a}x\}d=0, 1, \cdots, m-1$$

consist of mutually orthogonal elements and thus converge weakly to zero.

It remains to study T on H.

THEOREM 3.8. On the space H, T is a unitary operator and $T_n = T^n$.

Proof. If $x \in H$ then $T_n x \in C_0$ for all n and it is possible to take $T_m(T_n x)$. But then

$$(T_{n+m}x, T_n(T_mx)) = || T_mx ||^2$$

thus $T_{n+m}x = T_n(T_mx)$, or $T_nx = T^nx$. Thus if $y = Tx \in C_0$ then $T_ny = T_{n+1}x \in C_0$ and $y \in H$.

In order to show that T is unitary we have to show that it is onto. Let $x \in H$ then for some $x_0 \in C_0$ $Tx_0 = x$. But then $T_n x_0 = T_{n-1} x \in C_0$ and $x_0 \in H$.

In general the powers of a unitary operator do not converge. However the operator T has some special properties.

LEMMA 3.9. If $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ and $f \in H$ then $\chi_{f^{-1}(A)} \in H$ for every Borel set A.

Proof. In order to prove this we have to go back to the definitions of H and T. Now, if $f \in B_n$ and A is a Borel set, then $f^{-1}(A) = x_n^{-1}(A_n)$ for some A_n and thus $\chi_{f^{-1}(A)} \in B_n$. Thus $f \in C_0$ implies that $\chi_{f^{-1}(A)} \in C_0$. But $f \in H$ so $T_n f \in H$. The Lemma will be proved if we show that

$$T_n \chi_{f^{-1}(A)} = \chi_{(T_n f)^{-1}(A)}$$
 a.e.

If $M \leq f \leq N$ then $M \leq T_n f \leq N$, thus it is enough to prove the above equation under the assumption that A is a bounded set and f a bounded function. If f is bounded (hence $T_n f$ is bounded also) it defines a self adjoint operator on $L_2(\Omega)$; the multiplication operator. Thus as an operator

$$f = \int \lambda \chi_f^{-1}(d\lambda)$$

 $T_n f = \int \lambda T_n \chi_f^{-1}(d\lambda) = \int_{(T_n f)^{-1}(d\lambda)}$

Now T_n transforms characteristic functions to characteristic functions and $T_n \chi_{f^{-1}(A)}$, $\chi_{(T_n f)^{-1}(A)}$ are both the spectral measure of $T_n f$. Thus

$$T_n \chi_f^{-1}(A) = \chi_{(T_n f)^{-1}(A)}$$
. a.e.

This lemma shows that H is generated by characteristic functions. Let us study the limits of $T_n x$ when x is a characteristic function.

LEMMA 3.10. Let H be generated by a countable number of disjoint characteristic functions χ_i . For a given χ_i there is an integer m: $T_m\chi_i = \chi_i$ and then

$$T_{rm+d}\chi_i = T_d\chi_i$$
 .

Proof. For every $n T_n \chi_i$ is a characteristic function, hence either $T_n \chi_i = \chi_i$ or

$$(T_n\chi_i,\chi_i)=0$$
.

If $(T_n\chi_i, \chi_i) = 0$ for all *n* then $(T_m\chi_i, T_n\chi_i) = (T_{m-n}\chi_i, \chi_i) = 0$ thus there exist infinitely many disjoint sets of equal measure which is impossible.

Now if for some m, $T_m \chi_i = \chi_i$, let m be the smallest integer that

this happens. Then

$$T_{rm+a}\chi_i=\,T^{\,a}\,T^{\,rm}\chi_i=\,T^{\,a}\chi_i=\,T_{\,a}\chi_i$$
 .

THEOREM 3.11. Let x_t be a stationary Markov process. If H is generated by a countable collection of disjoint characteristic functions $\{\chi_i\}$ then for every $y \in B_0$ such that $(y, \chi_i) \neq 0$ for finitely many i's (y has a "finite" support), there exists an integer m such that the m sequences

$$\{T_{km+d}y\}$$
 $d = 1, 2 \cdots, m$

converge weakly.

Proof. From Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 it follows that

weak lim $T_n(y - \Sigma(y, \chi_i) || \chi_i ||^{-2} \chi_i) = 0$.

Let $\chi_{i_1}, \chi_{i_2}, \dots, \chi_{i_n}$ be those functions for which $(y, \chi_i) \neq 0$. Now $T^{m_j}\chi_{i_j} = \chi_{i_j}$. Choose *m* to be the product of this m_j . Thus

$$T_{km+d}\chi_{i_j}=T^d\chi_{i_j}.$$

Hence

(3.1)
$$\operatorname{weak}_{k \to \infty} \prod_{k \to \infty} T_{km+a} y = \operatorname{weak}_{k \to \infty} \prod_{k \to \infty} T_{km+a} \Sigma(y, \chi_i) || \chi_i ||^{-2} \chi_i$$
$$= \Sigma(y, \chi_i) || \chi_i ||^{-2} T^a \chi_i.$$

COROLLARY 1. Equation 3.1 holds if the function x_0 has countable range.

This is a classical theorem see [2] p. 353.

COROLLARY 2. If there exists a finite measure φ , on the line, such that, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varphi(A) \leq \varepsilon$ implies that

$$E_0\chi_{n,A}\neq\chi_{n,A}$$

for some n, then the space H is generated by a finite number of disjoint characteristic functions. Thus an integer m exists, such that Equation 3.1 holds for all $y \in B_0$.

Proof. Let k be an integer greater or equal to $\varphi(\Omega)\varepsilon$. If $\chi_0, A_i \in H$ $i = 1, \dots, k$ where the A_i are disjoint then

$$\varphi(\Omega) \ge \Sigma \varphi(A_i) \ge \min(\varphi(A_i))k$$

or $\varphi(A_{i_0}) \leq \varphi(\Omega)/k \leq \varepsilon$ for some i_0 . But then, for some $n, \chi_{n,A_{i_0}} \notin H$ hence

$$\chi_{0,A_{i_0}} \notin H$$
.

Thus there are at most k-1 disjoint characteristic functions that generate H.

REMARK. This last corollary is similiar to Doeblin's condition as given in [1] page 192.

4. Strong convergence. Throughout this section we assume:

4.1. There exists a real number $t_0 > 0$ such that the space $B_0 \cap B_{t_0}$ is finite dimensional and there is a positive angle between $B_{t_0} - B_0 \cap B_{t_0}$ and $B_0 - B_0 \cap B_{t_0}$.

Two subspaces, B^* and B^{**} , are said to have a positive angle between them if

 $\sup \{(b^*, b^{**}) \mid || \ b^* || = || \ b^{**} || = 1 \text{ and } b^* \in B^*, \ b^{**} \in B^{**} \} < 1$.

CONDITION 4.1. Is equivalent to each of the following.

(a) The point 1 is not in the essential spectrum of $E_0 E_{t_0} E_0$ (or $E_{t_0} E_0 E_{t_0}$).

(b) The operator $E_0 E_{t_0} E_0$ (or $E_{t_0} E_0 E_{t_0}$) is quasi compact.

(c) The operator $E_0E_{t_0}E_0$ (or $E_{t_0}E_0E_{t_0}$) is a sum of a compact operator and an operator of norm less than 1.

(d) The norm of E_0 restricted to $B_{t_0} - B_0 \cap B_{t_0}$ is less than one.

LEMMA 4.1. If $t > t_0$ then Condition 4.1 is satisfied when B_{t_0} is replaced by B_t .

Proof. Let us use the form given in c for 4.1. Now

$$E_t E_0 E_t = E_t (E_{t_0} E_0 E_{t_0}) E_t$$

by Equation 2.1, hence it is a sum of a compact and an operator of norm less than 1.

Now from Theorem 2.2 it follows that $B_0 \cap B_t$ decreases with t. Let t_1 be such that

dim
$$(B_0 \cap B_t) \leq \dim (B_0 \cap B_t)$$
 for all t.

It is easy to see that $B_0 \cap B_{t_1}$ is generated by a finite number of disjoint characteristic functions. Let them be χ_1, \dots, χ_k , thus

$$B_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\cap B_{\scriptscriptstyle t_1}=B_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\cap B_{\scriptscriptstyle t}={
m span}\left\{\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\cdots,\,\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}
ight\} \hspace{1.5cm} t>t_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \ .$$

because by Theorem 2.2

 $B_0 \cap B_{t_1} \supset B_0 \cap B_t$

and they have the same dimension.

LEMMA 4.2. If t > 0 then

$$T_t(B_0 \cap B_{t_1}) = B_0 \cap B_{t_1}$$

and

$$T_{\iota}(B_{0}-B_{0}\cap B_{\iota_{1}})=B_{\iota}-B_{0}\cap B_{\iota_{1}}=B_{\iota}-B_{0}\cap B_{\iota}$$

Proof. A vector $x \in B_0 \cap B_{t_1}$, if and only if, $x \in B_0$ and $x = T_{t_1}y$ for some $y \in B_0$. But then

$$(T_{\iota}x, T_{\iota+\iota_1}y) = (x, T_{\iota_1}y) = ||x||^2 = ||T_{\iota}x||^2$$

or

$$T_t x = T_{t+t_1} y$$
: $T_t x \in B_t \cap B_{t+t_1}$

Thus

$$T_t(B_0 \cap B_{t_1}) = B_t \cap B_{t+t_1} \supset B_0 \cap B_{t+t_1} = B_0 \cap B_{t_1}$$

by Theorem 2.2 and the remark above. This shows that

$$T_t(B_0\cap B_{t_1})=B_0\cap B_{t_1}.$$

Let $x \in B_0$ be orthogonal to $B_0 \cap B_{t_1}$. If $y \in B_0 \cap B_{t_1}$, then $y = T_t z$ where $z \in B_0 \cap B_{\iota_1}$. Thus

$$(T_t x, y) = (T_t x, T_t z) = (x, z) = 0$$
.

THEOREM 4.3. Let $x \in B_0$ and let c = norm of E_0 restricted to $B_{\iota_1}-B_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\cap B_{\iota_1}.$ Then c<1 and

(4.2)
$$|| E_0 T_t x - \sum_{i=1}^{k} (x, \chi_i) || \chi_i ||^{-2} T_t \chi_i || \leq c^n || x ||$$

where n is an integer such that $nt_1 < t$.

Proof. The vector $x - \sum_{i=1}^{k} (x, \chi_i) || \chi_i ||^{-2} \chi_i$ is orthogonal to $B_0 \cap B_{i_1}$ and hence so is

$$y = T_i x - \Sigma(x, \chi_i) || \chi_i ||^{-2} T_i \chi_i.$$

Thus

$$||E_0y|| = ||E_0E_ty|| = ||E_0E_{t_1}E_{2t_1}\cdots E_{nt_1}y||$$

Now the norm E_{jt_1} restricted to $B_{(j+1)t_1} - B_0 \cap B_{t_1}$ is equal to c hence

$$|| E_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} y || \leq c^n || y || \leq c_n || x ||$$
 .

It becomes now interesting to study $T_t \chi_i$.

THEOREM 4.4. For each given t there is a permutation of the integer 1, 2, ..., k, π_t , such that

$$T_t\chi_i=\chi_{\pi_t^i}.$$

Also there exists an integer m such that

$$T_{mt}\chi_i=\chi_{(\pi,i)}{}^m=\chi_i \quad ext{for all } i \; .$$

Proof. Let us use induction on k. Let $\chi_{i_1}, \chi_{i_2}, \dots, \chi_{i_j}$ be a subset of $\chi_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, with minimum norm: $||\chi_{i_r}|| \leq ||\chi_i||$. Then $T_i\chi_{i_r}$ is a characteristic function in $B_0 \cap B_{i_1}$ with norm smaller or equal to the norm of $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_k$: $T_i\chi_{i_r} \in (\chi_{i_1}, \dots, \chi_{i_j})$.

This shows that T_i maps the set $(\chi_{i_1}, \dots, \chi_{i_k})$ into, therefore onto, itself. If χ_i is not in this set then $T_i\chi_i$ will be also, orthogonal to χ_{i_r} . In the remaining set there are less than k functions and by induction the first part of the theorem is proved. The second part is an easy result on permutations.

The last two theorems include the classical result on Markov processes with a finite number of states. There might be a connection to Theorem 11 of [4].

If dim $B_0 \cap B_{t_1} = 1$ then

$$|| T_t x - (x, 1)1 || \le c^n || x ||$$

where $nt_1 < t$ and 1 is χ_{Ω} . This is a similiar to the case of independent functions. Let us conclude this section by studying this case. Thus let B_1 and B_2 be two subspaces of $L_2(\Omega)$ generated by characteristic functions χ_A and $\chi_{A'}$, where A and A' belong to some σ subalgebras of Σ . The cosine of the angle between $B_1 - \{1\}$ and $B_2 - \{1\}$, c, is given by

(*)
$$c = \sup\{(\Sigma a_i \chi_{A_i}, \Sigma a'_i \chi A'_i) \mid 1 = \Sigma a_i^2 P(A_i) = \Sigma a_i^2 P(A'_i)$$

and

$$\Sigma a_i P(A_i) = \Sigma a'_i P(A'_i) = 0 \}$$
.

THEOREM 4.5. The number c is smaller than

1. $\sup |(P(A \cap A') - P(A)P(A'))P(A \cap A')^{-1}| = c_1$.

2. $\sup |(P(A \cap A') - P(A)P(A')(P(A)P(A'))^{-1}| = c_2$.

Where A and A' belong to the σ subalgebras generating B_1 and B_2 respectively.

Proof. Let us show that $c \leq c_1$, the other inequality is proved in a similiar way. Now let a_i, a'_i, A_i and A'_i satisfy the conditions of equation (*). Then

S. R. FOGUEL

$$\sum_{i,j}a_ia_j^{\prime}P(A_i\cap A_j)=\sum_{i,j}a_ia_j^{\prime}(P(A_i\cap A_j^{\prime})-P(A_i)P(A_j^{\prime}))+\sum_{i,j}a_ia_j^{\prime}P(A_i)P(A_j)$$
.

The second term is equal to zero. Thus

$$egin{aligned} &| \ arsigma a_i b_j' P(A_j \cap A_j') \ | \ &\leq c_1 \sum\limits_{i,j} | \ a_i a_j' \ | \ P(A_i \cap A_j') \ &\leq c_1 \Big(\sum\limits_{i,j} a_i^2 P(A_i \cap A_j') \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\sum\limits_{i,j} a_j'^2 P(A_i \cap A_j') \Big)^{1/2} \ &= c_1 \Big(\sum\limits_{i} a_i^2 P(A_i) \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\sum\limits_{j} a_j'^2 P(A_j) \Big)^{1/2} = c_1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

A more convenient form of the conditions of Lemma 3.2 is 1. c_1 is the largest number for which

$$(1 + c_1)^{-1} \leq P(A \cap A')(P(A)P(A'))^{-1} \leq (1 - c_1)^{-1}$$
.

2. c_2 is the largest number for which

$$1-c_{_2} \leq P(A \cap A')(P(A)P(A'))^{_1} \leq 1+c_{_2}$$
 .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, Wiley, New York, 1953.
- 2. W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory, Wiley New York, 1957.
- 3. F. Riesz, and B. Sz. Nagy, Lecons D'analyse Fonctionelle, Budapest, 1953.
- 4. K. Yosida, and S. Kakutani, Operator theoretical treatment of Markoff's process and mean ergodic theorem, Ann. Math. 42, (1941) 188-228.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	E. HEWITT	M. OHTSUKA	E. SPANIER
T. M. CHERRY	A. HORN	H. L. ROYDEN	E. G. STRAUS
D. DERRY	L. NACHBIN	M. M. SCHIFFER	F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIASTANFOCALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGYUNIVERUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIAUNIVERMONTANA STATE UNIVERSITYWASHINUNIVERSITY OF NEVADAUNIVERNEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY*OREGON STATE COLLEGEAMERICOUNIVERSITY OF OREGONCALIFOIOSAKA UNIVERSITYHUGHESUNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIASPACE *

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 10, No. 4 December, 1960

M. Altman, An optimum cubically convergent iterative method of in	werting a linear	
bounded operator in Hilbert space	••••••	1107
Nesmith Cornett Ankeny, Criterion for rth power residuacity	••••••	1115
Julius Rubin Blum and David Lee Hanson, On invariant probability	measures I	1125
Frank Featherstone Bonsall, Positive operators compact in an auxil	iary topology	1131
Billy Joe Boyer, Summability of derived conjugate series	••••••	1139
Delmar L. Boyer, A note on a problem of Fuchs		1147
Hans-Joachim Bremermann, <i>The envelopes of holomorphy of tube a</i> <i>dimensional Banach spaces</i>	lomains in infinite	1149
Andrew Michael Bruckner, Minimal superadditive extensions of sup	peradditive	
functions		1155
Billy Finney Bryant, On expansive homeomorphisms		1163
Jean W. Butler, On complete and independent sets of operations in	finite algebras	1169
Lucien Le Cam, An approximation theorem for the Poisson binomia	l distribution	1181
Paul Civin, Involutions on locally compact rings		1199
Earl A. Coddington, Normal extensions of formally normal operato	rs	1203
Jacob Feldman, Some classes of equivalent Gaussian processes on a	an interval	1211
Shaul Foguel, Weak and strong convergence for Markov processes.		1221
Martin Fox, Some zero sum two-person games with moves in the un	it interval	1235
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, Singularities of three-dimensional harmonic	c functions	1243
Branko Grünbaum, Partitions of mass-distributions and of convex b	odies by	
hyperplanes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1257
Sidney Morris Harmon, Regular covering surfaces of Riemann surf	aces	1263
	<i></i>	
Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr	oup of integers	
Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m	oup of integers	1291
Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector	oup of integers or groups	1291 1309
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vecto</i> Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> 	oup of integers	1291 1309 1313
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vecto</i> Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, <i>The non-existence of expans</i> 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms	1291 1309 1313
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigr</i> modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vecto</i> Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, <i>The non-existence of expanson a closed 2-cell</i> 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms	1291 1309 1313 1319
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric comparison 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric co Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of 2 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and f fraction	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Foups Faussky and d fraction ary measures	1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrig Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Taussky and d fraction ary measures	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationary John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigr Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationary John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction try measures	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains Faussky and d fraction ary measures	1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear differential rings 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups laussky and d fraction ary measures ntial-difference	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear different equations 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures ntial-difference	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigric Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stational John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, Some theorems on mappings onto Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Charles Robson Storey, Jr., The structure of threads 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction try measures ntial-difference	1291 1309 1313 1319 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1337 1347 1385 1393 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigr modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric cor Robert Jacob Koch, Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigrized Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear differential rings Charles Robson Storey, Jr., The structure of threads J. François Treves, An estimate for differential polynomials in ∂/∂z 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms inctions mplex domains roups Faussky and d fraction ary measures htial-difference $1, , \dots, \partial/\partial z_n$	1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429 1447
 Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, The multiplicative semigroup modulo m Paul Daniel Hill, Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector Calvin Virgil Holmes, Commutator groups of monomial groups James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, The non-existence of expansion a closed 2-cell John William Jewett, Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic f Helmut Klingen, Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric correlated by the semigroups in partially ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigroups Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, On a commutator result of Zassenhaus John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, Unary algebras Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, On univalence of a continued Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationed John William Neuberger, Concerning boundary value problems Edward C. Posner, Integral closure of differential rings Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, Two extremal problems Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, A class of linear difference equations J. François Treves, An estimate for differential polynomials in ∂/∂z J. D. Weston, On the representation of operators by convolutions in 	oup of integers or groups sive homeomorphisms unctions mplex domains roups Taussky and d fraction ary measures htial-difference $1, , \dots, \partial/\partial z_n$ tegrals	1291 1309 1313 1323 1327 1333 1327 1333 1337 1347 1361 1371 1385 1393 1397 1409 1419 1429 1447 1453