

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**AN ESTIMATE FOR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS IN**  
 $\partial/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial z_n$

J. FRANÇOIS TREVES

# AN ESTIMATE FOR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

IN  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$

FRANÇOIS TREVES

This article is concerned with polynomials with respect to the Cauchy-Riemann operators

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \right), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \right).$$

We establish an  $L^2$ -estimate, for such polynomials, and derive from it uniqueness in a class of Cauchy problems. The estimate is quite similar to Hörmander's inequalities and, in fact, can be essentially deduced from them. However, its direct proof is very simple and leads to a constant better than the one in Hörmander's inequalities. We have therefore preferred to present it thoroughly.

The last part of the paper studies a class of Cauchy problems and applies the estimate to obtain uniqueness. There the methods are quite standard (see for instance Nirenberg [1]). The nature of the differential operators considered allows us to remove the strict convexity of the domains in which the solutions are studied, and replace it by a weaker condition.

**1. The inequality.** We consider a polynomial  $P(z)$  on  $C^n$ . We set, for  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in N^n$ :

$$P^{(p)}(z) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \right)^{p_1} \dots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \right)^{p_n} P(z).$$

We shall denote by  $P(D_z)$  the differential polynomial on  $R^{2n}$  obtained by substituting  $\partial/\partial z_j = 1/2(\partial/\partial x_j + (1/i)(\partial/\partial y_j))$  for  $z_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) in  $P(z)$ .

If  $S$  is a subset of  $R^{2n}$ , we denote by  $\beta_j(S)$  the diameter of  $S$  in the complex "direction"  $z_j$ :  $\beta_j(s) = \sup_{z', z'' \in S} |z'_j - z''_j|$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $R^{2n}$ . For all polynomials  $P(z)$  on  $C^n$ , all functions  $H(z)$  defined and holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , all functions  $\phi(x, y) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , all  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in N^n$ :*

$$\| e^{H(z)} P^{(p)}(D_z) \phi \|_{L^2} \leq \beta_1^{p_1}(\Omega) \dots \beta_n^{p_n}(\Omega) \| e^{H(z)} P(D_z) \phi \|_{L^2}.$$

It is enough to prove the inequality in Theorem 1 for  $p_1 = 1$  and  $p_j = 0$  for  $j \geq 2$ . We shall denote by  $P_1(z)$  the corresponding  $P^{(p)}(z)$ . On the other hand, we set, for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ :

---

Received January 15, 1960.

$$H_j(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} H(z) ,$$

$$A_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - H_j(z) .$$

Observe that for all  $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ ,  $(\partial/\partial z_j)H_k(z) = (\partial/\partial z_k)H_j(z)$ ; it follows from this that the  $A_j$ 's all commute.

The formal adjoint of  $A_j$  is  $A_j^* = -\partial/\partial \bar{z}_j - \overline{H_j(z)}$ . Observe first that the  $A_j^*$ 's all commute, since the  $A_j$ 's do. But also the  $A_j^*$ 's commute with the  $A_k$ 's, for the  $\overline{H_j(z)}$ 's are antiholomorphic functions of  $\bar{z}$  in  $\Omega$ .

If  $Q(z)$  is a polynomial on  $C^n$ , we denote by  $Q(A)$  the differential operator on  $R^{2n}$  obtained by substituting  $A_j$  for  $z_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) in  $Q(z)$ . If  $\bar{Q}(z)$  is the polynomial whose coefficients are the complex conjugates of the ones of  $Q(z)$ , the formal adjoint of the operator  $Q(A)$  is  $Q^*(A) = \bar{Q}(A^*) = \bar{Q}(A_1^*, \dots, A_n^*)$ . It is easy to check that:

$$(1) \quad (P_j)^*(A) = - (P^*)_j(A) = - [P^*(A), \bar{z}_j] .$$

Let us denote by  $(, )$  and  $\| \cdot \|$  the inner product and the norm in  $L^2(R^{2n})$ . We may as well assume that  $\beta_1(\Omega) = 2d$ , with  $d = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |z_1|$ . If  $\phi(x, y)$  has its support in  $\Omega$ , we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} (P^*(A)\phi, z_1(P_1)^*(A)\phi) &= (\phi, P(A)[z_1(P_1)^*(A)\phi]) \\ &= (\bar{z}_1\phi, (P_1)^*(A)P(A)\phi) + (\phi, (P_1)^*(A)P_1(A)\phi) \\ &= (P_1(A)(\bar{z}_1\phi), P(A)\phi) + \|P_1(A)\phi\|^2 \\ &= (P_1(A)\phi, z_1P(A)\phi) + \|P_1(A)\phi\|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$- \|P_1(A)\phi\|^2 = (P_1(A)\phi, z_1P(A)\phi) + (\bar{z}_1P^*(A)\phi, (P^*)_1(A)\phi) ,$$

by applying (1). We get at once:

$$(2) \quad \|P_1(A)\phi\|^2 \leq d \|P_1(A)\phi\| \cdot \|P(A)\phi\| + d \|P^*(A)\phi\| \cdot \|(P^*)_1(A)\phi\| .$$

But since the  $A_j$  and the  $A_k^*$  all commute with each other,  $P(A)$  and  $P^*(A)$  commute, and  $P_1(A)$  and  $(P_1)^*(A)$  do. Therefore:

$$\|P^*(A)\phi\| = \|P(A)\phi\| , \quad \|(P_1)^*(A)\phi\| = \|P_1(A)\phi\| .$$

These relations, together with (2), lead to:

$$(3) \quad \|P_1(A)\phi\| \leq (2d) \|P(A)\phi\| .$$

In this inequality (3), let us replace  $\phi$  by  $e^{H(z)}\phi$ ; we have

$$A_j[e^{H(z)}\phi] = e^{H(z)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_j} ,$$

and hence:

$$Q(A)[e^{H(z)}\phi] = e^{H(z)}Q(D_z)\phi ,$$

for any polynomial  $Q(z)$  on  $C^n$ . Thus, we get, from (3):

$$\| e^{H(z)}P_1(D_z)\phi \| \leq (2d) \| e^{H(z)}P(D_z)\phi \| . \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

**2. Uniqueness in Cauchy problems.** We shall denote by  $B_a$  ( $a > 0$ ) the open ball  $|z| < a$  in  $C^n$ .

We say that an open set  $\Omega$  in  $R^{2n}$  is *admissible at the point*  $z_0$  if  $z_0$  lies on the boundary of  $\Omega$ , if the boundary of  $\Omega$  is, near  $z_0$ , a piece of a  $C^\infty$  hypersurface and if the following property holds:

- (A) *For some  $a > 0$ , there exists a function  $F(z)$ , holomorphic in the ball  $|z - z_0| < a$ , vanishing at  $z_0$  and such that the diameter of the set  $U_b$  of those points  $z \in \Omega$  which satisfy  $|z - z_0| < a, -b < \text{Re } F(z)$  converges to 0 when  $b > 0$  does.*

In the sequel,  $\Omega$  will be an open set in  $R^{2n}$  admissible at the origin,  $a$  will be a positive number such that (A) holds for  $z_0 = 0$  and some function  $F(z)$  holomorphic in  $B_a$ . Furthermore, we shall assume that the intersection of  $B_a$  with the boundary of  $\Omega$  is a piece  $S$  of a hypersurface  $C^\infty$  (passing by 0).

Let us clarify a little the geometric situation. Let us denote by  $W$  the piece of the hypersurface  $\text{Re}F(z) = 0$  contained in  $B_a$ . Since  $0 \in W \cap \bar{\Omega} \subset U_b$  for every  $b > 0$ , we must have  $W \cap \bar{\Omega} = W \cap S = \{0\}$ . On the other hand, for any  $b > 0$ ,  $U_b \cup C\Omega$  is a neighborhood of 0. For, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small so that  $|z| < \varepsilon$  implies  $|\text{Re } F(z)| < b$ . If  $z \in B_\varepsilon, z \notin U_b$  only if  $z \notin \Omega$ . The interior of  $U_b$  is never empty. For assume it were and let  $z$  belong to  $U_b$ ;  $z$  would have a neighborhood  $N$  in which  $\text{Re } F$  would still be  $> -b$  and since  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $N$  would intersect  $\Omega$ ; obviously  $N \cap \Omega$  is contained in the interior of  $U_b$ .

We consider a polynomial  $P(z)$  on  $C^n$ , of degree  $m \geq 1$ , and a partial differential operator on  $R^{2n}$  with continuous coefficients,  $Q$ , of order  $\leq m - 1$ , satisfying the condition:

$$(1) \qquad \| e^{H(z)}Qu \|_{L^2} \leq K \sum_{p \neq 0} \| e^{H(z)}P^{(p)}(D_z)u \|_{L^2} ,$$

for all  $H(z)$  holomorphic in  $B_a$ , all  $u(x, y) \in C_0^\infty$  with support in  $B_a$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $U(x, y)$  be a function defined and  $C^m$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , with zero Cauchy data on  $S$ , satisfying:*

$$(2) \qquad |P(D_z)U| \leq |QU| \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} .$$

*There exists a neighborhood of 0 in which  $U$  vanishes identically.*

We keep our previous notations, for a,  $F(z)$ , etc.

Let us take a function  $\beta(z)$ ,  $C^\infty$  in  $B_a$ , with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(z) &= 1 \text{ for } z \in B_a \text{ and } -2\varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Re} F(z) \leq 0; \\ \beta(z) &= 0 \text{ for } z \in B_a \text{ and } -3\varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Re} F(z),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is chosen small enough so that the support of  $\beta(z)$  intersects  $\Omega$  according to a compact set contained in  $B_a$ . That is possible because of property (A); notice that the diameter of the compact set in question goes to 0 when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

We define now a function  $v(z)$  as being equal to  $\beta(z)U$  in  $\Omega$  and to 0 elsewhere. Notice the following properties of  $v$ :

- (i) the support of  $v$  is compact (and contained in  $B_a \cap \bar{\Omega}$ );
- (ii)  $v(z)$  is  $m - 1$  times continuously differentiable;
- (iii)  $P(D_z)v = \beta P(D_z)U + RU\varphi$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $R$  being a partial differential operator with  $C^\infty$  coefficients.

If one extends the definition of  $RU$  by 0 outside  $\Omega$ , it becomes a continuous function in  $B_a$  since the order of  $R$  is at most  $m - 1$  and the Cauchy data of  $U$  were 0 on  $S$ . On the other hand,  $P(D_z)U$  vanishes also on  $S$ , because of (2) and of the fact that  $Q$  is of order  $\leq m - 1$ . Hence, continuing  $\beta P(D_z)U$  by 0 outside  $\Omega$  leads again to a continuous function in  $B_a$ . We see thus that  $P(D_z)v$  is a continuous function (in  $R^n$ ). This fact, together with properties (i) and (ii), allows us to extend to  $v(z)$  the inequality of Theorem 1. We see that there exists a constant  $A$  such that, for all holomorphic functions  $H(z)$  in  $B_a$ ,

$$(3) \quad \sum_{p \neq 0} \|e^{H(z)} P^{(p)}(D_z)v\|_{L^2} \leq A\delta \|e^{H(z)} P(D_z)v\|_{L^2},$$

$\delta$  being the diameter of the support of  $v$ . Remember that  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since, on  $U_{2\varepsilon}$ ,  $v = U$ , by using inequality (1) and (3), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{U_{2\varepsilon}} e^{2\operatorname{Re} H} (|U|^2 + |QU|^2) dx dy &\leq (2AK\delta)^2 \int_{U_{2\varepsilon}} e^{2\operatorname{Re} H} |P(D_z)U|^2 dx dy \\ &+ (2AK)^2 \int_{CU_{2\varepsilon}} e^{2\operatorname{Re} H} |P(D_z)v|^2 dx dy.\end{aligned}$$

But since  $U_{2\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$ , we have the right to substitute  $|QU|$  for  $|P(D_z)U|$  in the first integral of the right hand side; and if we choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough so that  $(2AK\delta)^2 < 1/2$ , we obtain finally:

$$\int_{U_\varepsilon} e^{2\operatorname{Re} H} |U|^2 dx dy \leq M \int_{CU_{2\varepsilon}} e^{2\operatorname{Re} H} |P(D_z)v|^2 dx dy,$$

$M$  being a constant independent of both  $H(z)$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Observe that the integral on the right hand side is actually performed on  $U_{3\varepsilon} \cap CU_{2\varepsilon}$ . Let us take  $H(z) = (t/2)F(z)$ ,  $t > 0$ . The nature of the domains of integration leads us to:

$$e^{-t\varepsilon} \int_{U_\varepsilon} |U|^2 dx dy \leq M e^{-2t\varepsilon} \int_{\partial U_{2\varepsilon}} |P(D_z)v|^2 dx dy ,$$

or:

$$\int_{U_\varepsilon} |U|^2 dx dy \leq M_1 e^{-t\varepsilon} ,$$

where  $M_1$  does not depend on  $t$ ; we conclude that  $U = 0$  in  $U_\varepsilon$ , q.e.d.

We end now by a few remarks about admissible sets.

1. Any open set  $\Omega$ , strictly convex at a boundary point  $z_0$  (and bounded near  $z_0$  by a piece of  $C^\infty$  hypersurface) is admissible at this point. For simplicity, let us assume that  $z_0 = 0$ , and let  $H$  be an hyperplane passing by 0, such that  $\bar{\Omega}$  intersects  $H$  only at the origin and lies entirely on one side of  $H$  (at least near 0). Let  $N$  be the unit vector, orthogonal to  $H$ , which lies on the side of  $H$  containing  $\Omega$ . If  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  are the complex components of  $N$ , we may choose, as holomorphic function  $F(z)$ , the hermitian product  $\bar{N}_1 z_1 + \dots + \bar{N}_n z_n$ .

2. There are open sets, admissible at a boundary point, which are not strictly convex at this point. For instance, consider an open set  $\Omega$  whose boundary contains the origin (and is a piece of  $C^\infty$  hypersurface near it) and whose complement contains the cylinder  $|z_1 - \alpha| < |\alpha|$ ,  $\alpha$  being a complex number  $\neq 0$ . If the diameter of the intersection of  $\Omega$  with the cylinder  $|z_1 - k\alpha| < e^\varepsilon k |\alpha|$  ( $k < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) tends to 0 when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Omega$  will be admissible at  $z = 0$ . For then we may take, as holomorphic function  $F(z)$ , any branch of  $-\log(1 - z_1/k\alpha)$ . If  $n = 1$ , any open set whose complement contains the circle  $|z_1 - \alpha| < |\alpha|$  (and whose boundary, near 0, is a piece of  $C^\infty$  curve passing by 0) is admissible at  $z_1 = 0$ . If  $n > 1$ , one may still construct open sets having the desired properties, which are not strictly convex at  $z = 0$ .

3. Let  $F(z)$  be any holomorphic function of  $z$  in a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $C^n$ , such that  $F(0) = 0$ . Let  $U_+$  be the set of points  $z \in U$  such that  $Re F(z) > 0$ . If  $n > 1$ , the set  $U_+$  cannot be strictly convex at  $z = 0$ .

It  $U_+$  were strictly convex at 0, there should exist an hyperplane  $H$ , passing by 0, intersecting  $\bar{U}_+$  only at this point 0 and such that  $U_+$  would lie only on one side of  $H$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the other side of  $H$ , and  $U(b)$  be the set of  $z \in U$  such that  $Re F(z) > -b$ , ( $b > 0$ ). After maybe shrinking  $U$  we may say that the diameter of  $U(b) \cap \Omega$  converges to 0 when  $b \rightarrow 0$ . For assume that this were not true: there would be pairs of points  $z'_k, z''_k$  in  $U(1/k)$  such that  $|z'_k - z''_k| \geq c > 0$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . We could assume that  $z'_k$  converges to  $z'$ ,  $z''_k$  to  $z''$ , and

we should have:  $|z' - z''| \geq c$ ,  $z', z'' \in \bar{\Omega}$ . But also  $\operatorname{Re} F(z') = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} F(z'') = 0$ , i.e.,  $z', z'' \in \bar{U}_+$ . But that implies  $z' = z'' = 0$ , which is absurd. Hence the open set  $\Omega$  is admissible at  $z = 0$ . But if  $\Omega$  is admissible at some boundary point, the same must clearly be true for any open half space in  $C^n$ . And this would mean that there is uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for data on an arbitrary hyperplane and for any differential polynomial

$$P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}\right),$$

which is absurd.

#### REFERENCE

1. L. Nirenberg, *Uniqueness in the Cauchy problem...*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **10** (1957), 85-105.

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DAVID GILBARG

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

E. HEWITT

A. HORN

L. NACHBIN

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

M. M. SCHIFFER

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2120 Oxford Street, Berkeley 4, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.



# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 10, No. 4

December, 1960

M. Altman, <i>An optimum cubically convergent iterative method of inverting a linear bounded operator in Hilbert space</i> .....	1107
Nesmith Cornett Ankeny, <i>Criterion for <math>r</math>th power residuacity</i> .....	1115
Julius Rubin Blum and David Lee Hanson, <i>On invariant probability measures I</i> .....	1125
Frank Featherstone Bonsall, <i>Positive operators compact in an auxiliary topology</i> .....	1131
Billy Joe Boyer, <i>Summability of derived conjugate series</i> .....	1139
Delmar L. Boyer, <i>A note on a problem of Fuchs</i> .....	1147
Hans-Joachim Bremermann, <i>The envelopes of holomorphy of tube domains in infinite dimensional Banach spaces</i> .....	1149
Andrew Michael Bruckner, <i>Minimal superadditive extensions of superadditive functions</i> .....	1155
Billy Finney Bryant, <i>On expansive homeomorphisms</i> .....	1163
Jean W. Butler, <i>On complete and independent sets of operations in finite algebras</i> .....	1169
Lucien Le Cam, <i>An approximation theorem for the Poisson binomial distribution</i> .....	1181
Paul Civin, <i>Involutions on locally compact rings</i> .....	1199
Earl A. Coddington, <i>Normal extensions of formally normal operators</i> .....	1203
Jacob Feldman, <i>Some classes of equivalent Gaussian processes on an interval</i> .....	1211
Shaul Foguel, <i>Weak and strong convergence for Markov processes</i> .....	1221
Martin Fox, <i>Some zero sum two-person games with moves in the unit interval</i> .....	1235
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, <i>Singularities of three-dimensional harmonic functions</i> .....	1243
Branko Grünbaum, <i>Partitions of mass-distributions and of convex bodies by hyperplanes</i> .....	1257
Sidney Morris Harmon, <i>Regular covering surfaces of Riemann surfaces</i> .....	1263
Edwin Hewitt and Herbert S. Zuckerman, <i>The multiplicative semigroup of integers modulo <math>m</math></i> .....	1291
Paul Daniel Hill, <i>Relation of a direct limit group to associated vector groups</i> .....	1309
Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Commutator groups of monomial groups</i> .....	1313
James Fredrik Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, <i>The non-existence of expansive homeomorphisms on a closed 2-cell</i> .....	1319
John William Jewett, <i>Multiplication on classes of pseudo-analytic functions</i> .....	1323
Helmut Klingen, <i>Analytic automorphisms of bounded symmetric complex domains</i> .....	1327
Robert Jacob Koch, <i>Ordered semigroups in partially ordered semigroups</i> .....	1333
Marvin David Marcus and N. A. Khan, <i>On a commutator result of Tausky and Zassenhaus</i> .....	1337
John Glen Marica and Steve Jerome Bryant, <i>Unary algebras</i> .....	1347
Edward Peter Merkes and W. T. Scott, <i>On univalence of a continued fraction</i> .....	1361
Shu-Teh Chen Moy, <i>Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationary measures</i> .....	1371
John William Neuberger, <i>Concerning boundary value problems</i> .....	1385
Edward C. Posner, <i>Integral closure of differential rings</i> .....	1393
Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, <i>Some theorems on mappings onto</i> .....	1397
Marvin Rosenblum and Harold Widom, <i>Two extremal problems</i> .....	1409
Morton Lincoln Slater and Herbert S. Wilf, <i>A class of linear differential-difference equations</i> .....	1419
Charles Robson Storey, Jr., <i>The structure of threads</i> .....	1429
J. François Treves, <i>An estimate for differential polynomials in <math>\partial/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial z_n</math></i> .....	1447
J. D. Weston, <i>On the representation of operators by convolutions integrals</i> .....	1453
James Victor Whittaker, <i>Normal subgroups of some homeomorphism groups</i> .....	1469