

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ANOTHER 1-DIMENSIONAL HOMOGENEOUS CONTINUUM  
WHICH CONTAINS AN ARC**

J. H. CASE

# ANOTHER 1-DIMENSIONAL HOMOGENEOUS CONTINUUM WHICH CONTAINS AN ARC

JAMES H. CASE

In [3] R. H. Bing characterized the homogeneous continua than can be imbedded in the plane and contain arcs by showing that any such continuum is a simple closed curve. The principal result of the present paper pertains to the related problem of characterizing the 1-dimensional homogeneous continua which contain arcs but which are not necessarily imbeddable in the plane. In the paper mentioned above, R. H. Bing also asked if the continua in this larger class might be precisely the simple closed curves, the universal curves, and the solenoids. According to the title there is presented here a construction of another continuum having these properties. The author was motivated in making this construction by conversations with C. E. Burgess and by an abstract [8] of Jack Segal's.

[In this paper a topological space will be called a *continuum* provided that it is connected, bicomact, and metrizable. Also, a topological space will be called *homogeneous* provided that for any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in the space there exists a homeomorphism  $h$  of the space onto itself such that  $h(x) = y$ . Moreover, according to R. D. Anderson's characterization in [2], a universal curve will denote a 1-dimensional locally connected continuum with no local cut points which has no open subset which can be imbedded in the plane.]

A simple pattern through the somewhat complicated construction which follows may be seen by considering the inverse system

$$(*) \quad \{X_i, \phi_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$$

where for each nonnegative integer  $i$ ,  $X_i$  is a continuum,  $X_{i+1}$  is a covering space [5] of  $X_i$  relative to the projection  $\phi_i$ , the inverse image in  $X_{i+1}$  under  $\phi_i$  of any point in  $X_i$  consists of exactly  $r_i$  points, and  $r_i$  is an integer greater than 1. More briefly, the continuum  $X_{i+1}$  is an  $r_i$ -fold covering space of the continuum  $X_i$  relative to the projection  $\phi_i$ . Let  $X$  denote the limit [4; Chapter VIII] of the inverse system (\*). It is known [4; Chapter VIII] that  $X$  is also a continuum. In the construction to follow each  $X_i$  will be a universal curve and the limit space  $X$  will be the desired continuum. However, the author was unable to establish the desired properties (in particular the homogeneity) of the continuum  $X$  by means of the information already given about the system (\*). In order to proceed the author constructs particular universal curves

$X_i$  and mappings  $\phi_i$  in terms of the first universal curve  $X_0$ —this is the reason for the complexity of the construction.

The only case treated here is that in which  $r_i = 2$ , that is, the case in which  $X_{i+1}$  is a 2-fold covering space of  $X_i$  relative to the projection  $\phi_i$  for all  $i$ . It will be evident to the reader how the construction could be modified for arbitrary  $r_i$ .

**1. Preliminary definitions and conventions.** Let  $S$  denote Euclidean 3-space,  $L$  the  $z$ -axis,  $C$  the circle in the  $xy$ -plane having radius 1 and center the origin,  $e$  the point  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $M$  a universal curve which is a subspace of  $S - L$  and contains  $C$ ,  $I$  the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$  of real numbers, and  $\omega$  the set of all nonnegative integers.

A continuous function  $\sigma$  from  $I$  into  $n$  space  $Y$  is called a *path* in  $Y$ . If  $\sigma$  is a path in  $Y$  then  $\sigma(0)$  is called the *initial point* of  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma(1)$  is called the *terminal point* of  $\sigma$ , and  $\sigma$  is said to be a *path from*  $\sigma(0)$  *to*  $\sigma(1)$  *in*  $Y$ . If  $\sigma$  is a path in  $Y$  such that  $\sigma(0) = \sigma(1)$  then  $\sigma$  is called a *loop* in  $Y$ . If  $\alpha$  is a path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $Y$  and  $\beta$  is a path from  $b$  to  $c$  in  $Y$  then the function  $\alpha\beta$  defined by

$$(\alpha\beta)(t) = \alpha(2t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2$$

and

$$(\alpha\beta)(t) = \beta(2t - 1) \quad \text{for } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1$$

is clearly a path from  $a$  to  $c$  in  $Y$ . If  $\sigma$  is a path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $Y$  then the function  $\sigma^{-1}$  defined by  $\sigma^{-1}(t) = \sigma(1 - t)$  for all  $t$  in  $I$  is clearly a path from  $b$  to  $a$  in  $Y$ . For any continuous function  $\sigma$  from a closed interval of real numbers to  $S - L$  let the winding number,  $W(\alpha)$ , of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $L$  be defined by the formula

$$W(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\sigma} \frac{x dy - y dx}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

The fact that  $W$  is a well defined real valued function is verified at length by Newman [7]—as are the following facts: If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two paths from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $S - L$  such that one can be deformed into the other in  $S - L$  keeping the end points fixed then  $W(\alpha) = W(\beta)$ . If  $\sigma$  is a loop in  $S - L$  then  $W(\sigma)$  is an integer. If  $\alpha$  is a path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $S - L$  and  $\beta$  is a path from  $b$  to  $c$  in  $S - L$  then  $W(\alpha\beta) = W(\alpha) + W(\beta)$  and  $W(\alpha^{-1}) = -W(\alpha)$ . If  $\alpha$  is a path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $S - L$ ,  $\beta$  is a path from  $b$  to  $c$  in  $S - L$ , and  $\gamma$  is a path from  $c$  to  $d$  in  $S - L$  then  $W((\alpha\beta)\gamma) = W(\alpha(\beta\gamma))$  and therefore the real number  $W(\alpha\beta\gamma)$  is well defined. Finally for any loop  $\sigma$  in  $S - L$  and any integer  $n$ ,  $W(\sigma^n)$  is well-defined and  $W(\sigma^n) = nW(\sigma)$  provided that  $\sigma^n$  is interpreted as the path having constant value  $\sigma(0)$ ,  $\sigma^n = (\sigma^{n-1})\sigma$  for  $n > 0$ ,

and  $\sigma^n = (\sigma^{n+1})\sigma^{-1}$  for  $n < 0$ .

**2. Construction of the inverse system.** The construction of the spaces  $X_i$  will be carried out in the same way as the classical construction [6] of the universal covering space except for the fact that a different equivalence relation will be defined on the space of paths. Let the space of paths  $\Omega$  be the set of all paths in  $M$  having initial point  $e$ . Define the element  $\tau$  of  $\Omega$  by the formula

$$\tau(t) = (\cos 2\pi t, \sin 2\pi t, 0)$$

for all  $t$  in  $I$ . Note that  $W(\tau^z) = z$  for any integer  $z$ . Where  $n \in \omega$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$  let  $c(\alpha, n)$  be the set of all  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$\alpha(1) = \gamma(1)$$

and

$$W(\alpha) = W(\gamma) \text{ mod } (2^n).$$

Where  $n \in \omega$  let  $X_n$  be the family of all sets  $c(\sigma, n)$  such that  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . Then  $X_n$  is a decomposition of  $\Omega$  into non-empty, mutually disjoint equivalence classes. Also, for any nonnegative integer  $n$  define the function  $\phi_n$  from  $X_{n+1}$  to  $X_n$  and  $p_n$  from  $X_n$  to  $M$  by the formulas

$$\phi_n c(\sigma, n+1) = c(\sigma, n)$$

and

$$p_n c(\sigma, n) = \sigma(1)$$

for all  $\sigma$  in  $\Omega$ . Note that  $p_0$  is a one-to-one mapping of  $X_0$  onto  $M$ .

The definitions of the topologies for the spaces  $X_i$  require further construction. Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be the family of all non-empty, connected, open subsets  $U$  of  $M$  such that for any loop  $\rho$  in  $U$ ,  $W(\rho) = 0$ . Note that  $U$  is a basis for the topology of  $M$  and if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are paths in the same member of  $U$  with the same initial and terminal points then  $W(\alpha) = W(\beta)$ . Let  $Q$  be the set of all ordered triples  $(U, \sigma, n)$  such that  $\sigma \in \Omega$ ,  $\sigma(1) \in U \in \mathfrak{U}$ , and  $n \in \omega$ . Where  $(U, \sigma, n) \in Q$  let  $N(U, \sigma, n)$  be the set of all points in  $X_n$  of the form  $c(\sigma\delta, n)$  such that  $\delta$  is a path in  $U$  and  $\sigma(1) = \delta(0)$ . Also, if  $q = (U, \sigma, n) \in Q$  then it is assumed that  $N(U, \sigma, n)$  may be denoted by  $N(q)$  or  $N_q$ . Finally, if  $n \in \omega$  let  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  be the family of all  $N(q) \cap X_n$  for  $q \in Q$ . It will be seen later that the topology assigned to  $X_n$  will have  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  as a base.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If  $(U, \sigma, n) \in Q$ ,  $\rho$  is a path in  $U$ , and  $\sigma(1) = \rho(0)$  then  $N(U, \sigma, n) = N(U, \sigma\rho, n)$ .*

*Proof.* After assuming the hypothesis listed above take any path

$\delta$  in  $U$  such that  $\sigma(1) = \delta(0)$ . Then  $c(\sigma\delta, n)$  is a typical element of  $N(U, \sigma, n)$ . It follows that

$$c(\sigma\delta, n) = c((\sigma\rho)(\rho^{-1}\delta), n) \in N(U, \sigma\rho, n).$$

Similarly, take any path  $\delta$  in  $U$  such that  $(\sigma\rho)(1) = \delta(0)$ . Then  $c((\sigma\rho)\delta, n)$  is a typical element of  $N(U, \sigma\rho, n)$ . It follows that

$$c((\sigma\rho)\delta, n) = c(\sigma(\rho\delta), n) \in N(U, \sigma, n).$$

Therefore  $N(U, \sigma, n) = N(U, \sigma\rho, n)$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *If  $n \in \omega$  and  $x \in A, B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$  then there exists an element  $C$  of  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  such that  $x \in C \subset A \cap B$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n \in \omega$  and  $x \in A, B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ , say  $A = N(U, \alpha, n)$ ,  $B = N(V, \beta, n)$ ,  $(U, \alpha, n) \in Q$ ,  $(V, \beta, n) \in Q$ ,  $\sigma \in \Omega$ , and  $x = c(\sigma, n) \in A \cap B$ . Take a path  $\gamma$  in  $U$  and a path  $\delta$  in  $V$  such that  $\alpha(1) = \gamma(0)$ ,  $\beta(1) = \delta(0)$  and  $c(\sigma, n) = c(\alpha\gamma, n) = c(\beta\delta, n)$ . Then  $\sigma(1) = \gamma(1) = \delta(1) \in U \cap V$ . Take an element  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\sigma(1) \in W \subset U \cap V$ . Let  $C = N(W, \sigma, n)$ . Let  $\mu$  be any path in  $V$  such that  $\sigma(1) = \mu(0)$ . Then  $c(\sigma\mu, n)$  is an arbitrary element of  $C$  and

$$c(\sigma\mu, n) = c(\alpha(\gamma\mu), n) = c(\beta(\delta\mu), n) \in A \cap B.$$

Therefore  $x \in C \subset A \cap B$ .

This lemma allows us to define a topology on  $X_n$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is a base of open sets.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *For any  $n \in \omega$  the space  $X_n$  is arc-wise connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be that element of  $\Omega$  which maps  $I$  onto  $e \in C$ . Let  $a = c(\alpha, n) \in X_n$ . It will suffice to show that any other point in  $X_n$  can be connected to  $a$  by a path in  $X_n$ . Suppose that  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . Then  $c(\sigma, n)$  is a typical point in  $X_n$ . For any  $s \in I$  define  $\rho_s \in \Omega$  by  $\rho_s(t) = \sigma(st)$  for all  $t \in I$ . Now define a function  $h$  from  $I$  to  $X_n$  by the formula  $h(s) = c(\rho_s, n)$  for all  $s \in I$ . Clearly  $h(0) = a$  and  $h(1) = c(\sigma, n)$ . It remains to show that  $h$  is continuous. Take any  $U$  and  $\sigma$  such that  $(U, \sigma, n) \in Q$ . Then  $N(U, \sigma, n)$  is a typical basic open set in  $X_n$ . Take any  $s \in I$  such that  $h(s) \in N(U, \sigma, n)$ . Then  $\rho_s(1) = \sigma(s) \in U$  and for some path  $\delta$  in  $U$  from  $\sigma(1)$  to  $\sigma(s)$   $W(\sigma\delta) = W(\rho_s)$ . Let  $G$  be that component of  $\sigma^{-1}[U]$  which contains  $s$ . Then  $G$  is an open neighborhood of  $s$  in  $I$ . It will suffice to show that  $h[G] \subset N(U, \sigma, n)$ . Take any  $r \in G$ . Then  $\rho_r(1) = \sigma(r) \in U$ . Define a path  $\gamma$  in  $U$  by

$$\gamma(t) = \sigma((1-t)s + tr)$$

for all  $t \in I$ . Then  $\gamma$  is a path in  $U$  from  $\rho_s(1)$  to  $\rho_r(1)$  in  $U$ . Take  $\delta$  as above then  $\delta\gamma$  is a path in  $U$  from  $\sigma(1)$  to  $\rho_r(1)$  and

$$W(\sigma(\delta\gamma)) = W((\sigma\delta)\gamma) = W(\rho_s\gamma) = W(\rho_r).$$

Therefore  $h(r) = c(\rho_r, n) \in N(U, \sigma, n)$ .

LEMMA 2.4. *If  $(U, \sigma, n) \in Q$  then*

(A)  $\phi_n$  maps  $N(U, \sigma, n + 1)$  onto  $N(U, \sigma, n)$  in a one-to-one fashion and

(B)  $p_n$  maps  $N(U, \sigma, n)$  onto  $U$  in a one-to-one fashion.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(U, \sigma, n) \in Q$ . Take any two paths  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  in  $U$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \delta(0) = \sigma(1)$ . Then  $c(\sigma\gamma, n + 1)$  is a typical element of  $N(U, \sigma, n + 1)$  and  $c(\sigma\delta, n)$  is a typical element of  $N(U, \sigma, n)$ . Since  $\sigma$  and  $n$  are fixed and  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are paths in  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  then  $c(\sigma\gamma, n + 1)$  and  $c(\sigma\delta, n)$  depend only upon the choice of terminal point of  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  respectively. Moreover,  $\phi_n c(\sigma\gamma, n + 1) = c(\sigma\delta, n)$  if and only if  $\gamma(1) = \delta(1)$ . Therefore  $\phi_n$  maps  $N(U, \sigma, n + 1)$  onto  $N(U, \sigma, n)$  in a one-to-one fashion. Also  $p_n(\sigma\delta, n) = u \in U$  if and only if  $\delta(1) = u$ . Therefore  $p_n$  maps  $N(U, \sigma, n)$  onto  $U$  in a one-to-one fashion.

LEMMA 2.5. *If  $(U, \sigma, n) \in Q$  and  $r = 2^n$  then*

(A)  $(\phi_n)^{-1}[N(U, \sigma, n)]$  is the union of the two disjoint open sets  $N(U, \tau^{r^i}\sigma, n + 1)$  for  $i = 0, 1$  and

(B)  $(p_n)^{-1}[U]$  is the union of the  $2^n$  mutually disjoint open sets  $N(U, \tau^i\sigma, n)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ .

*Proof of (A).* It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\phi_n[N(U, \tau^{r^i}\sigma, n + 1)] = N(U, \tau^{r^i}\sigma, n) = N(U, \sigma, n)$$

for  $i = 0, 1$ . Therefore the union of these two open sets is contained in  $(\phi_n)^{-1}[N(U, \sigma, n)]$ . In order to establish the opposite inclusion take any  $x \in \phi_n^{-1}[N(U, \sigma, n)]$ , say  $x = c(\rho, n + 1)$  where  $\rho \in \Omega$  and  $\rho(1) \in U$ . Since  $\phi_n(x) = c(\rho, n) \in N(U, \sigma, n)$  there is a path  $\delta$  in  $U$  from  $\sigma(1)$  to  $\rho(1)$  such that  $c(\rho, n) = c(\sigma\delta, n)$ . Then for such a path,  $W(\rho) = W(\sigma\delta) \pmod{2^n}$  and there is an integer  $k$  such that  $W(\rho) - W(\sigma\delta) = k2^n$ . There is yet another integer  $s$  such that  $k = 2s + i$  where  $i$  is either 0 or 1. Then

$$W(\rho) - W(\sigma\delta) = (2s + i)2^n = s2^{n+1} + ri$$

and

$$W(\rho) = [W(\sigma\delta) + ri] \pmod{2^{n+1}}.$$

Therefore

$$W(\rho) = W(\tau^{r^i}\sigma\delta) \bmod 2^{n+1}$$

and

$$x = c(\rho, n + 1) = c(\tau^{r^i}\sigma\delta, n + 1) \in N(U, \tau^{r^i}\sigma, n + 1) .$$

In order to complete the proof of part (A) it remains to show that these two open sets are disjoint. Suppose that

$$x \in N(U, \tau^{r^i}\sigma, n + 1) \cap N(U, \tau^{r^j}\sigma, n + 1)$$

where  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ . Say  $x = c(\rho, n + 1)$  where  $\rho \in \Omega$  and  $\rho(1) \in U$ . Take paths  $\delta_i$  and  $\delta_j$  in  $U$  from  $\sigma(1)$  to  $\rho(1)$  such that

$$x = c(\tau^{r^i}\sigma\delta_i, n + 1) = c(\tau^{r^j}\sigma\delta_j, n + 1) .$$

Since  $\delta_i$  and  $\delta_j$  are paths in  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  having the same initial and terminal points then  $W(\delta_i) = W(\delta_j)$ . Also

$$W(\tau^{r^i}\sigma\delta_i) = W(\tau^{r^j}\sigma\delta_j) \bmod 2^{n+1} .$$

Therefore

$$W(\tau^{r^i}) + W(\sigma) + W(\delta_i) = [W(\tau^{r^j}) + W(\sigma) + W(\delta_j)] \bmod 2^{n+1} ;$$

$$W(\tau^{r^i}) = W(\tau^{r^j}) \bmod 2^{n+1} ; \quad r^i = r^j \bmod 2^{n+1} ; \quad \text{and} \quad i = j \bmod 2 .$$

Since in addition  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$  then  $i = j$  and the two given open sets containing  $x$  have to be identical.

*Proof of (B).* Clearly (B) is true for  $n = 0$ . Since  $p_n\phi_n = p_{n+1}$  then  $(p_{n+1})^{-1} = (\phi_n)^{-1}(p_n)^{-1}$ . Therefore (B) follows from (A) by induction on  $n$ .

**LEMMA 2.6.** *For each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $X_n$  is a  $2^n$ -fold covering space of  $M$  relative to the projection  $p_n$  with coordinate neighborhoods  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $X_{n+1}$  is a 2-fold covering space of  $X_n$  relative to  $\phi_n$  with coordinate neighborhoods  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $n \in \omega$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a base for the topology of  $M$ , in order to show that  $(p_n)^{-1}[U]$  is open in  $X_n$  for any  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ , take any  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . According to Lemma 2.5,  $(p_n)^{-1}[U]$  is the union of a finite number of elements of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . Since, in addition,  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is a base for the topology of  $X_n$ ,  $(p_n)^{-1}[U]$  is open in  $X_n$ . Therefore  $p_n$  is continuous. Applying Lemma 2.4 again, it follows that  $p_n$  maps any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$  topologically onto  $p_n[B] \in U$ . Therefore, in view of Lemma 2.5, for any  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  there exists  $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{B}_n$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a disjoint family and for any  $E \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $p_n$  maps  $E$  topologically onto  $U$ . Since in addition  $M$  is locally arc-wise connected and  $X_n$  is connected then  $X_n$  is a covering space of  $M$  relative to the projection

$p_n$  with coordinate neighborhoods  $\mathfrak{U}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $p_n$  is  $2^n$ -fold.

The proof that  $X_{n+1}$  is a 2-fold covering space of  $X_n$  relative to the projection  $\phi_n$  with coordinate neighborhoods  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is strictly analogous to the above proof.

**LEMMA 2.7.** *For each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $X_n$  is a universal curve.*

*Proof.* Take any  $n \in \omega$ . The preceding Lemma gives that  $X_n$  is a covering space of the universal curve  $M$ . Therefore  $X_n$  is locally homeomorphic to  $M$ , since  $M$  is locally connected so is  $X_n$ , and since  $M$  is metrizable so is  $X_n$ . From the additional fact that  $p_n$  is  $2^n$ -fold it follows that  $X_n$  is compact. Therefore  $X_n$  is a curve (compact, connected, locally connected, and metrizable) which is locally homeomorphic to  $M$ . In [2; § 5] R. D. Anderson characterized the universal curve up to topological equivalence by local properties. Therefore  $X_n$  is a universal curve.

**3. Construction of the limit space  $X$ .** Let  $X$  be the limit of the inverse system  $\{X_i, \phi_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ . Since each  $\phi_i$  is onto and each  $X_i$  is non-degenerate then  $X$  is a non-degenerate continuum [4; Chapter VIII]. It also follows from [4; Chapter VIII] that the dimension of  $X$  cannot exceed 1. Therefore, since  $X$  is a non-degenerate continuum of dimension less than or equal to 1, it is a 1-dimensional continuum.

Recalling the definition of inverse limit [4; Chapter VIII],  $X$  is the set of all sequences  $x = \{x_i\}_{i \in \omega}$  such that  $x_i \in X_i$  and  $\phi(x_{i+1}) = x_i$  for all  $i \in \omega$ . For each  $i \in \omega$  a projection mapping  $\pi_i$  from  $X$  to  $X_i$  is defined by  $\pi_i(x) = x_i$  for all  $x \in X$ . From [4; Chapter VIII] it follows that each  $\pi_i$  is continuous and onto. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the collection of all  $(\pi_n)^{-1}[B]$  for  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$  and  $n \in \omega$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a base of open sets for the topology of  $X$ . For each  $q = (U, \sigma, n) \in Q$  let

$$H_q = H(U, \sigma, n) = (\pi_n)^{-1}[N(U, \sigma, n)]$$

then  $\mathfrak{S} = \{H_q \mid q \in Q\}$ .

The 1-dimensional continuum  $X$  has now been defined but in order to establish its properties some auxiliary machinery is needed.

**4. Establishing the properties of  $X$ .** As in some developments of set theory we will identify any  $n \in \omega$  with the set of all nonnegative integers less than  $n$ . Then for any  $n \in \omega$  consider  $2^n$  as a topological space having the discrete topology and  $2^n$  points. For any  $n \in \omega$  define  $\psi_n: 2^{n+1} \rightarrow 2^n$  by the condition  $\psi_n(z) = z \bmod 2^n$  for all  $z \in 2^{n+1}$ . Then  $\psi_n$  maps  $2^{n+1}$  continuously onto  $2^n$  and the inverse image of any point under  $\psi_n$  consists of exactly two points. Let  $D = \lim_{i \in \omega} \{D_i, \psi_i\}$  where  $D_i = 2^i$  for all  $i \in \omega$ . Then  $D$  is topologically equivalent to the Cantor dis-



continuum. For each  $n \in \omega$  let  $\pi_n$  be the projection of  $D$  onto  $2^n$  defined by

$$\pi_n(d) = d_n$$

for all  $d \in D$ . [The projections defined on  $D$  and those defined on  $X$  should not be confused.] For any  $n \in \omega$  and  $z \in 2^n \pi_n^{-1}[\{z\}]$  is a basic open set in  $D$  and, moreover, it is a closed subset of  $D$  which is topologically equivalent to  $D$ .

**LEMMA 4.1.** *If  $q = (U, \sigma, n) \in Q$  then  $H_q$  is topologically equivalent to  $U \times \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$  and hence any basic open set in  $X$  is topologically equivalent to the product of a basic open set in  $M$  with the Cantor discontinuum. Furthermore,  $X$  contains arbitrarily small arcs, even arbitrarily small simple closed curves and universal curves but is not locally connected. Therefore  $X$  is neither a solenoid nor a universal curve.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $q = (U, \sigma, n) \in Q$ . For any integer  $z$  let  $\exp(z)$  denote  $\tau^z$  and for each  $u \in U$  pick a path  $\delta_u$  in  $U$  from  $\sigma(1)$  to  $u$ . Define the function

$$h : U \times \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}] \rightarrow X$$

by the formula

$$h(u, d)_i = c(\exp(d_i)\sigma\delta_u, i)$$

for all  $i \in \omega$ ,  $u \in U$ , and  $d \in \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$ .

Clearly this definition is independent of the choice of path  $\delta_u$ . Take any  $u \in U$ ,  $d \in \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$ , and  $i \in \omega$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(h(u, d)_{i+1}) &= \phi_i c(\exp(d_{i+1})\sigma\delta_u, i+1) \\ &= c(\exp(d_{i+1})\sigma\delta_u, i) \\ &= c(\exp(d_i)\sigma\delta_u, i) \\ &= h(u, d)_i \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $h(u, d) \in X$ .

It is desired that  $h$  map  $U \times \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$  onto  $H_q$  in a one-to-one fashion. Take any  $u \in U$ ,  $d \in \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n h(u, d) &= h(u, d)_n = c(\exp(d_n)\sigma\delta_u, n) \\ &= c(\exp(0)\sigma\delta_u, n) = c(\sigma\delta_u, n) \in N(U, \sigma, n) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$h(u, d) \in H_q = \pi_n^{-1}[N(U, \sigma, n)].$$

Therefore  $h[u \times \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]] \subset H_q$ . In order to establish the opposite inclusion take any  $x \in H_q$ , say  $x_i = c(\rho_i, i)$  for all  $i \in \omega$ . Let  $u = \rho_n(1)$  and let  $d \in \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$  be defined by

$$d_i = W(\rho_i \delta_u^{-1} \sigma^{-1}) \text{ mod } 2^i$$

for all  $i \in \omega$ . Then  $h(u, d)_i = c(\exp(d_i) \sigma \delta_u, i)$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} [\exp(d_i) \sigma \delta_u](1) &= \delta_u(1) = u ; W(\exp(d_i) \sigma \delta_u) \\ &= d_i + W(\sigma \delta_u) = W(\rho_i \delta_u^{-1} \sigma^{-1}) + W(\sigma \delta_u) = W(\rho_i) \end{aligned}$$

all modulo  $2^i$ ; and hence  $h(u, d)_i = x_i$  for all  $i \in \omega$ . Therefore  $h(u, d) = x$  and  $H_q \subset h[U \times \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]]$ .

In order to show that  $h$  is one-to-one take any  $u, r \in U$  and  $d, f \in \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$  such that  $h(u, d) = h(r, f)$ . Then for all  $i \in \omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c(\exp(d_i) \sigma \delta_u, i) &= c(\exp(f_i) \sigma \delta_r, i) ; \\ u &= [\exp(d_i) \sigma \delta_u](1) = [\exp(f_i) \sigma \delta_r](1) = r ; \\ W(\exp(d_i) \sigma \delta_u) &= W(\exp(f_i) \sigma \delta_r) \text{ mod } 2^i ; \\ d_i + W(\sigma \delta_u) &= f_i + W(\sigma \delta_r) \text{ mod } 2^i ; \\ d_i &= f_i \text{ mod } 2^i ; \text{ and } d_i = f_i . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(u, d) = (r, f)$  and hence  $h$  is a one-to-one function.

Finally it is desired to show that  $h$  is bicontinuous. Take any  $m, z \in \omega$  such that  $m \geq n$ ,  $z \in 2^m$ , and  $z = 0 \text{ mod } 2^n$ . It is clear that  $\pi_m^{-1}[\{z\}]$  is a typical basic open set in  $\pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$ . Suppose in addition that  $V \in \mathfrak{U}$ ,  $V \subset U$ , and  $\mu$  is a path in  $U$  from  $\sigma(1)$  to a point in  $V$ . Let  $r = (V, \tau^2 \sigma \mu, m)$ . It will now be shown that  $H_r$  is a typical basic open set in  $H_q$ . It is clear that if  $s = (V, \rho \mu, m)$  where  $\rho(1) = \sigma(1)$  and  $W(\rho) = W(\sigma) \text{ mod } 2^n$  then  $H_s$  is a typical basic open set in  $H_q$ . If  $z \in 2^m$  were taken so that  $z = W(\rho \sigma^{-1}) \text{ mod } 2^m$  then  $z = 0 \text{ mod } 2^n$ ;  $N(U, \tau^2 \sigma \mu, m) = N(V, \rho \mu, m)$  and  $H_r = H_s$ . Therefore  $H_r$  is a typical basic open set in  $H_q$ .

In order to establish the bicontinuity of  $h$  it will be sufficient to show that  $h[V \times \pi_m^{-1}[\{z\}]] = H_r$  and  $h^{-1}[H_r] = V \times \pi_m^{-1}[\{z\}]$ . Therefore it will be sufficient to show that for any

$$(u, d) \in U \times \pi_n^{-1}[\{0\}], (u, d) \in V \times \pi_m^{-1}[\{z\}]$$

if and only if  $h(u, d) \in H_r$ . For any  $r \in V$  let  $\gamma_r$  be a path in  $V$  from  $\mu(1)$  to  $r$ . If  $(u, d) \in V \times \pi_m^{-1}[\{z\}]$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_m h(u, d) &= h(u, d)_m = c(\exp(d_m) \sigma \delta_u, m) \\ &= c(\tau^2 \sigma \mu \gamma_u, m) \\ &\in N(V, \tau^2 \sigma \mu, m) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $h(u, d) \in H_r = \pi_m^{-1}[N(V, \tau^2 \sigma \mu, m)]$ . Now Suppose that

$h(u, d) \in H_r$ . Then

$$\pi_m h(u, d) = h(u, d)_m = c(\exp(d_m)\sigma\mu\gamma_u, m) \in N(V, \tau^z\sigma\mu, m)$$

and therefore  $[\exp(d_m)\sigma\mu\gamma_u](1) = \gamma_u(1) = u \in V$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} W(\exp(d_m)\sigma\mu\gamma_u) &= W(\tau^z\sigma\mu) \pmod{2^m}; \\ d_m + W(\sigma\mu\gamma_u) &= z + W(\sigma\mu\gamma_u) \pmod{2^m}; \\ d_m &= z \pmod{2^m}; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d_m = z.$$

Therefore

$$(u, d) \in V \times \pi_m^{-1}[\{z\}].$$

**LEMMA 4.2.** *If  $U$  and  $V$  are any two elements of  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $U \cap V$  is connected and not empty then  $U \cup V \in \mathfrak{U}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $U, V \in \mathfrak{U}$  and  $U \cap V$  is connected and not empty. Clearly,  $U \cup V$  is connected. Since, in addition,  $U \cap V$  is open and  $M$  is locally arc-wise connected then  $U \cap V$  is arc-wise connected. Let  $\sigma$  be any loop in  $U \cup V$ . If either  $\sigma[I] \subset U$  or  $\sigma[I] \subset V$  then  $W(\sigma) = 0$ . Suppose that neither  $\sigma[I] \subset U$  nor  $\sigma[I] \subset V$ . By a change of parameter adjust  $\sigma$  so that  $\sigma(0) = \sigma(1) \in U - V$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the collection of all components  $A$  of  $\sigma^{-1}[U \cap V]$  such that  $\sigma[A^*]$  meets both  $U - V$  and  $V - V$ . From the fact that the distance from  $\sigma[I] - V$  to  $\sigma[I] - U$  is positive it follows that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is finite. Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an indexing of the elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $A_i < A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . [Each element of  $A_i$  is less than each element of  $A_{i+1}$ .] Take  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{n+1} \subset I$  such that  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_{n+1} = 1$ , and  $a_i \in A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < a_{n+1} = 1.$$

For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  let  $\sigma_i$  be the restriction of  $\sigma$  to the interval  $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$ . Note that  $\sigma(a_i) \in U \cap V$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  let  $\rho_i: [a_i, a_{i+1}] \rightarrow U \cap V$  be a continuous function such that  $\rho_i(a_i) = \sigma(a_i)$  and  $\rho_i(a_{i+1}) = \sigma(a_{i+1})$ . Let  $\rho_0 = \sigma_0$ ,  $\rho_n = \sigma_n$ , and  $\rho = \rho_0 \cup \rho_1 \cup \dots \cup \rho_n$ . Obviously  $W(\rho_0) = W(\sigma_0)$  and  $W(\rho_n) = W(\sigma_n)$ . Suppose  $i$  is any integer from 1 to  $n - 1$ . Either  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset U$  or  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset V$  for otherwise there would be  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  between  $A_i$  and  $A_{i+1}$  contrary to the indexing of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset U$  then  $\sigma_i$  and  $\rho_i$  are, modulo an order preserving change of parameter, paths in  $U$  from  $\sigma(a_i)$  to  $\sigma(a_{i+1})$  and hence  $W(\sigma_i) = W(\rho_i)$ . If  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset V$  then  $\sigma_i$  and  $\rho_i$  are, modulo an order preserving change of parameter, paths in  $V$  from  $\sigma(a_i)$  to  $\sigma(a_{i+1})$  and hence  $W(\sigma_i) =$

$W(\rho_i)$ . Therefore

$$W(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^n W(\sigma_j) = \sum_{j=0}^n W(\rho_j) = W(\rho).$$

It is already clear that  $\rho[a_1, a_n] \subset U \cap V \subset U$ . Also  $\rho[a_0, a_1] \subset U$  for otherwise there would be  $A \in \mathfrak{U}$  between 0 and  $A_1$ . Analogously,  $\rho[a_1, a_{n+1}] \subset U$ . Therefore  $\rho$  is a loop in  $U$  and  $W(\sigma) = W(\rho) = 0$ .

**LEMMA 4.3.** *If  $A$  is any arc in  $M$  then there exists an element  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $A \subset U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be any open cover of  $A$  by elements of  $U$ . Since  $A$  is an arc in the locally connected continuum  $M$  there exists a finite chain  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of connected open sets which covers  $A$  and refines  $E$ . In particular  $U_i \cap U_j$  is non-empty if and only if  $|i - j| \leq 1$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Moreover, this chain may be taken so that the diameters of its elements are small enough so that any three consecutive members are contained in some one element of  $E$ . Take a nonnegative integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k + r$  where  $r = 0$  or  $r = 1$ . Let  $U_0 = U_1$  and  $U_{n+1} = U_n$ . Also let

$$V_i = U_{2i-1} \cup U_{2i} \cup U_{2i+1}$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Now  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is a new chain of connected open sets which covers  $A$ . Since each element of this new chain is the union of three consecutive members of the origin chain then each such element is a connected open subset of a member of  $\mathfrak{U}$  and hence is also a member of  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Moreover the intersection of any two adjacent elements of the new chain is an element of the origin chain and hence is connected. Let  $U = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ . Then  $U$  is a connected open set which contains  $A$  and, moreover, by repeated application of the preceding lemma it follows that  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

**LEMMA 4.4.** *For any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $M$  there exists a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $M$  onto itself such that  $h(x) = y$  and  $W(h\sigma) = W(\sigma)$  for any loop  $\sigma$  in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are any two points in  $M$ . Let  $C$  be an arc in  $M$  with ends  $x$  and  $y$ . Take an element  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$ , according to the preceding lemma, so that  $C \subset V$ . Take a connected open set  $U$  such that  $C \subset U \subset U^* \subset V$ . Then  $U$  is also an element of  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

According to the proof of Theorem XVII, page 15 of [2] there exists a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $M$  onto itself such that  $h(x) = y$  and  $h$  is the identity map on  $M - U$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be any loop in  $M$ . If  $\sigma[I] \subset V$  then  $W(h\sigma) = W(\sigma) = 0$ . In the other case we may reparameterize  $\sigma$  so that  $\sigma(0) = \sigma(1) \notin V$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the collection of all components  $A$  of  $\sigma^{-1}[V - U^*]$  such that  $\sigma[A^*]$  meets both  $M - V$  and  $U^*$ . From the fact that the distance from  $U^*$  to  $M - V$  is positive it follows that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is finite. Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an indexing of the elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $A_i < A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . Let  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{n+1} \subset I$  be such that  $a_0 = 0$ ;  $a_{n+1} = 1$ ; and  $a_i \in A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Note that

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < a_{n+1} = 1 .$$

For  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  let  $\sigma_i$  be the restriction of  $\sigma$  to the interval  $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$ . Clearly  $\sigma[a_0, a_1] \subset M - U$  for otherwise there would be an element  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  between  $a_0$  and  $A_1$ , contrary to the indexing of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Analogously it follows that  $\sigma[a_n, a_{n+1}] \subset M - U$ . Suppose that  $i$  is any integer from 1 to  $n - 1$ . Then either  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset M - U$  or  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset V$  for otherwise there would be an element  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  between  $A_i$  and  $A_{i+1}$  contrary to the indexing of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset M - U$  then  $h\sigma_i = \sigma_i$  and  $W(h\sigma_i) = W(\sigma_i)$ . If  $\sigma[a_i, a_{i+1}] \subset V$  then  $h\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  are, modulo an order preserving change of parameter, paths in  $V \in \mathfrak{U}$  from  $\sigma(a_i)$  to  $\sigma(a_{i+1})$  and therefore  $W(h\sigma_i) = W(\sigma_i)$ . Therefore

$$W(h\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^n W(h\sigma_j) = \sum_{j=0}^n W(\sigma_j) = W(\sigma) .$$

LEMMA 4.5. *The continuum  $X$  is homogeneous.*

*Proof.* Take any  $x, y \in X$ . According to the preceding lemma take a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $M$  onto itself such that  $h(p_0(x_0)) = p_0(y_0)$  and  $W(h\sigma) = W(\sigma)$  for any loop  $\sigma$  in  $M$ .

*Note.* If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are paths in  $M$  from  $a$  to  $b$  then  $W(h\alpha) - W(h\beta) = W(\alpha) - W(\beta)$  and therefore

$W(h\alpha) = W(h\beta) \pmod{2^n}$  if and only if  $W(\alpha) = W(\beta) \pmod{2^n}$  for any  $n \in \omega$ .

For any  $n \in \omega$  take  $\gamma_n \in x_n$ , take  $\delta_n \in y_n$ , and define a mapping  $h_n$  from  $X_n$  to itself by the formula

$$h_n c(\sigma, n) = c(\delta_n (h\gamma_n)^{-1} h\sigma, n)$$

for all  $\sigma \in \Omega$ .

In order to show that  $h_n$  is a well defined function take any  $\sigma, \sigma^* \in c(\sigma, n)$ , any  $\gamma_n^* \in x_n$ , and any  $\delta_n^* \in Y_n$ . Then  $W(\sigma) = W(\sigma^*) \pmod{2^n}$ ,  $W(\gamma_n) = W(\gamma_n^*) \pmod{2^n}$ , and  $W(\delta_n) = W(\delta_n^*) \pmod{2^n}$ . Also, according to the above note,  $W(h\gamma_n) = W(h\gamma_n^*) \pmod{2^n}$  and  $W(h\sigma) = W(h\sigma^*) \pmod{2^n}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h\sigma](1) &= [h\sigma](1) = h(\sigma(1)) = h(\sigma^*(1)) \\ &= [\delta_n^*(h\gamma_n^*)^{-1}h\sigma^*](1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W(\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h\sigma) = W(\delta_n^*(h\gamma_n^*)^{-1}h\sigma^*) \pmod{2^n} .$$

Therefore

$$c(\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h\sigma, n) = c(\delta_n^*(h\gamma_n^*)^{-1}h\sigma^*, n)$$

and  $h_n$  is well defined.

Similarly, define the function  $q_n$  from  $X_n$  to itself by the formula

$$q_n c(\rho, n) = c(h^{-1}((h\gamma_n)\delta_n^{-1}\rho), n)$$

for all  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . It happens that  $q_n$  and  $h_n$  are inverse functions. Take any  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_n h_n c(\sigma, n) &= q_n c(\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h\sigma, n) \\ &= c(h^{-1}((h\gamma_n)\delta_n^{-1}\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h\sigma), n) \\ &= c(h^{-1}((h\gamma_n)\delta_n^{-1}\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1})h^{-1}h\sigma, n) \\ &= c(\sigma, n) . \end{aligned}$$

Take any  $\rho \in \Omega$  then

$$\begin{aligned} h_n q_n c(\rho, n) &= h_n c(h^{-1}((h_n\gamma_n)\delta_n^{-1}\rho), n) \\ &= c(\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h h^{-1}((h\gamma_n)\delta_n^{-1}\rho), n) \\ &= c(\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}(h\gamma_n) \cdot \delta_n^{-1}\rho, n) \\ &= c(\rho, n) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $q_n$  is the inverse of  $h_n$  and  $h_n$  is a one-to-one mapping of  $X_n$  onto itself.

If  $(U, \sigma, n)$  is any element of  $Q$  then

$$h_n[N(U, \sigma, n)] = N(h[U], \delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h\sigma, n)$$

and if  $(U, \rho, n) \in Q$  then

$$h_n^{-1}[N(U, \rho, n)] = N(h^{-1}[U], h^{-1}((h\gamma_n) \cdot \delta_n^{-1}\rho), n) .$$

Therefore both  $h_n$  and  $h_n^{-1}$  are continuous and hence  $h_n$  is a homeomorphism of  $X_n$  onto itself.

In order to apply the theory of [4; Chapter VII] about constructing the mapping on the limit space  $X$  from the mappings  $h_n$  it is necessary to establish the commutivity relation  $h_n\phi_n = \phi_n h_{n+1}$ . For any  $n \in \omega$  and  $\sigma \in \Omega$

$$h_n\phi_n c(\sigma, n + 1) = h_n c(\sigma, n) = c(\delta_n(h\gamma_n)^{-1}h\sigma, n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n h_{n+1} c(\sigma, n+1) &= \phi_n c(\delta_{n+1} (h\gamma_{n+1})^{-1} h\sigma, n+1) \\ &= c(\delta_{n+1} (h\gamma_{n+1})^{-1} h\sigma, n) \\ &= c(\delta_n (h\gamma_n)^{-1} h\sigma, n).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $h_n \phi_n = \phi_n h_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

Now define the mapping  $h^*$  from  $X$  to itself by the formula

$$h^*(z)_n = h_n(z_n)$$

for all  $n \in \omega$  and  $z \in X$ . From the commutivity relation established above, the fact that  $h_n$  is a homeomorphism onto, and the results in [4; Chapter VIII] it follows that  $h^*$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto itself. Moreover

$$h^*(x)_n = h_n(x_n) = h_n c(\gamma_n, n) = c(\delta_n (h\gamma_n)^{-1} h\gamma_n, n) = c(\delta_n, n) = y_n$$

for all  $n \in \omega$ . Therefore  $h^*(x) = y$ .

**5. Additional remarks.** If the following proposition were known to have been true this paper could have been materially shortened.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *If  $Y$  is the limit of the inverse system*

$$\{Y_i, \theta_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$$

*where for each nonnegative integer  $i$ ,  $Y_i$  is a homogeneous continuum and  $Y_{i+1}$  is a covering space of  $Y_i$  relative to the projection  $\theta_i$  then  $Y$  is also a homogeneous continuum.*

This is a special case of a theorem abstracted by Jack Segal [8]. However, to the author's knowledge the validity of Proposition 5.1 and of Segal's Theorem are open questions.

*Another Question.* *Are the homogeneous 1-dimensional continua which contain arcs those continua which are inverse limits of simple closed curves or inverse limits of universal curves where in either case the bonding mappings are covering mappings.*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. D. Anderson, *A characterization of the universal curve and a proof of its homogeneity*, Ann. of Math., **67** (1958), 313-324.
2. ———, *One-dimensional continuous curves and a homogeneity theorem*, Ann. of Math., **68** (1958), 1-16.
3. R. H. Bing, *A simple closed curve is the only homogeneous bounded plane continuum that contains an arc*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, XII (2) (1960), 209-229.
4. S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton, 1952.

5. S. T. Hu, *Foundations of Homotopy Theory*, New York, 1959
6. Solomon Lefschetz, *Introduction to Topology*, Princeton, 1949.
7. M. H. A. Newman, *Elements of the topology of plane sets of points* (2nd. ed.), Cambridge (England), 1951.
8. Jack Segal, *Homogeneity of inverse limit spaces*, Notices of the American Mathematical Society, Abstract No. 551-15, **5** (1958), 687.

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY  
M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN  
E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS  
F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 11, No. 2

December, 1961

Tsuyoshi Andô, <i>Convergent sequences of finitely additive measures</i> . . . . .	395
Richard Arens, <i>The analytic-functional calculus in commutative topological algebras</i> . . . . .	405
Michel L. Balinski, <i>On the graph structure of convex polyhedra in <math>n</math>-space</i> . . . . .	431
R. H. Bing, <i>Tame Cantor sets in <math>E^3</math></i> . . . . .	435
Cecil Edmund Burgess, <i>Collections and sequences of continua in the plane. II</i> . . . . .	447
J. H. Case, <i>Another 1-dimensional homogeneous continuum which contains an arc</i> . . . . .	455
Lester Eli Dubins, <i>On plane curves with curvature</i> . . . . .	471
A. M. Duguid, <i>Feasible flows and possible connections</i> . . . . .	483
Lincoln Kearney Durst, <i>Exceptional real Lucas sequences</i> . . . . .	489
Gertrude I. Heller, <i>On certain non-linear operators and partial differential equations</i> . . . . .	495
Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Automorphisms of monomial groups</i> . . . . .	531
Wu-Chung Hsiang and Wu-Yi Hsiang, <i>Those abelian groups characterized by their completely decomposable subgroups of finite rank</i> . . . . .	547
Bert Hubbard, <i>Bounds for eigenvalues of the free and fixed membrane by finite difference methods</i> . . . . .	559
D. H. Hyers, <i>Transformations with bounded <math>m</math>th differences</i> . . . . .	591
Richard Eugene Isaac, <i>Some generalizations of Doebelin's decomposition</i> . . . . .	603
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Uniform neighborhood retracts</i> . . . . .	609
Jack Carl Kiefer, <i>On large deviations of the empiric <math>D. F.</math> of vector chance variables and a law of the iterated logarithm</i> . . . . .	649
Marvin Isadore Knopp, <i>Construction of a class of modular functions and forms. II</i> . . . . .	661
Gunter Lumer and R. S. Phillips, <i>Dissipative operators in a Banach space</i> . . . . .	679
Nathaniel F. G. Martin, <i>Lebesgue density as a set function</i> . . . . .	699
Shu-Teh Chen Moy, <i>Generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem</i> . . . . .	705
Lucien W. Neustadt, <i>The moment problem and weak convergence in <math>L^2</math></i> . . . . .	715
Kenneth Allen Ross, <i>The structure of certain measure algebras</i> . . . . .	723
James F. Smith and P. P. Saworotnow, <i>On some classes of scalar-product algebras</i> . . . . .	739
Dale E. Varberg, <i>On equivalence of Gaussian measures</i> . . . . .	751
Avrum Israel Weinzweig, <i>The fundamental group of a union of spaces</i> . . . . .	763