

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME GENERALIZATIONS OF DOEBLIN'S DECOMPOSITION

RICHARD EUGENE ISAAC

SOME GENERALIZATIONS OF DOEBLIN'S DECOMPOSITION

RICHARD ISAAC

Introduction. We consider a Markov chain $\{X_i\}$ $i = 0, 1, \dots$ with stationary transition probabilities $P^k(t, E)$ defined on a measure space (Ω, Σ) . All sets discussed in the following will be Σ -sets. A set N is called null if $P^1(t, N) = P(t, N) = 0$ for all $t \in \Omega$, and a set S is called invariant if $P(t, S) = 1$ for $t \in S - N$ where N is a null set. \mathcal{I}_p will denote the σ -field determined by the invariant sets given the transition probability $P(t, E)$. A set S is indecomposable if it does not contain two disjoint non-null invariant subsets. The concept of a strictly separable σ -field will be employed, together with the fact that such a σ -field is atomic. S^c is the complement of the set S .

This paper considers several conditions under which we have a general decomposition $\Omega = F + \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ where F is a transient state and the A_{α} are ergodic, indecomposable state, i. e., defining

$$P_1(t, E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n P^k(t, E),$$

then $P_1(t, \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) = 1$ for all $t \in \Omega$, $P(t, A_{\alpha}) = 1$ for $t \in A_{\alpha}$, and the A_{α} are minimal, up to an equivalence. This work may be considered as a further step in Doob's discussion in [3] on generalizing Doebelin's classical results. Our results are sometimes generalizations of Doob's work and other times give slightly stronger conclusions, but replace Doob's assumption of an a priori stationary measure for the process by general conditions in terms of measures.

Theorem 1 is due to Blackwell and is the basis for Theorem 2, the decomposition theorem, which is proved under the assumption of the existence of the Cesàro limit $P_1(t, E)$ for all $t \in \Omega$, $E \in \Sigma$. Theorem 3 gives Doebelin type conditions in terms of measures implying the existence of $P_1(t, E)$. Theorem 4 discusses the special case of a priori knowledge of a σ -finite stationary measure for the process. Finally, Theorem 5 gives a countable decomposition when the Cesàro limit is absolutely continuous with respect to a σ -finite measure.

THEOREM 1. (Blackwell). *Let $P(t, E)$ be an idempotent Markov*

Received June 3, 1960. This work was done while the author held a Fulbright fellowship in Paris.

The author takes this opportunity to express his thanks to the referee for several suggested modifications, including the correction of a mistake in the original proof of Theorem 2. The revised proof is presented here.

chain: $P(t, E) = \int P(y, E) P(t, dy)$, and let Σ be a strictly separable σ -field. Then there is a decomposition: $\Omega = F + \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ of disjoint sets, satisfying:

- (1) $P(t, E) = x_{\alpha}(E)$, $t \in A_{\alpha}$
- (2) $x_{\alpha}(A_{\alpha}) = 1$
- (3) $P(t, F) = 0$, for all $t \in \Omega$.

Moreover, the A_{α} are indecomposable, and indeed, are even atoms of \mathcal{F}_P .

Proof. See [1], Theorem 6 and 7.

THEOREM 2. Let Σ be strictly separable. If $P_1(t, E)$ (as defined in the introduction) exists for each $t \in \Omega$, $E \in \Sigma$, then there is a decomposition: $\Omega = F^* + \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*$ of disjoint sets such that:

- (a) $P_1(t, E) = x_{\alpha}(E)$, $t \in A_{\alpha}^*$
- (b) $P_1(t, A_{\alpha}^*) = x_{\alpha}(A_{\alpha}^*) = 1$, $t \in A_{\alpha}^*$
- (c) $P(t, A_{\alpha}^*) = 1$, $t \in A_{\alpha}^*$
- (d) $P_1(t, \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*) = 1$, $t \in \Omega$
- (e) Each A_{α}^* is indecomposable with respect to P_1 and P .

Proof. Determine an idempotent chain by using $P_1(t, E)$ as transition probability, and apply Theorem 1. This yields a decomposition $\Omega = F + \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$. Let $F = F_0$ and define $F_i = \{t : P(t, F_{i-1}) > 0\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and set $F^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$. By induction, we show that $P_1(t, F_i) = 0$ for all t and all i . First, $P_1(t, F_0) = 0$ for all t , by Theorem 1. If $P_1(t, F_i) = 0$ for all t , then:

$$0 = P_1(t, F_i) = \int P(x, F_i) P_1(t, dx) = \int_{F_{i+1}} P(x, F_i) P_1(t, dx)$$

which implies that $P_1(t, F_{i+1}) = 0$ for all t . Thus F^* is a P_1 null set. Define new sets $A_{\alpha}^* : A_{\alpha}^* = A_{\alpha} - A_{\alpha} \cdot F^*$. Then $\Omega = \Sigma A_{\alpha}^* + F^*$. (a), (b) and (d) follow immediately from Theorem 1 and the definition of the new decomposition. If $t \in A_{\alpha}^*$:

$$1 = P_1(t, A_{\alpha}^*) = \int_{A_{\alpha}^*} P_1(x, A_{\alpha}^*) P(t, dx) + \int_{A_{\alpha}^* \cap F^*} P_1(x, A_{\alpha}^*) P(t, dx) + \int_{F^*} P_1(x, A_{\alpha}^*) P(t, dx) = P(t, A_{\alpha}^*)$$

the second integral vanishing since $P_1(x, A_{\alpha}^*) = 0$ for $x \in A_{\beta}^*$, $\beta \neq \alpha$, and the last since $P(t, F^*) = 0$ for $t \notin F^*$. This proves (c). A_{α}^* is indecomposable with respect to P_1 by Theorem 1, and hence also with respect

to P , since a decomposition relative to P is also a decomposition relative to P_1 . The proof is concluded.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose for $t_0 \in \Omega$, there exists a σ -finite measure m_{t_0} with the following Lebesgue decomposition:*

$$P^n(t_0, E) = \int_E f_n(y) m_{t_0}(dy) + K_n(t_0, E)$$

where $K_n(t_0, E)$ is the singular part of P^n with respect to m_{t_0} , and the $f_n(y) \in L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, m_{t_0})$. Assume that:

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n(t_0, E) = 0$
- (2) There is a function $f \in L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, m_{t_0})$ with $f_n \leq f$ a. e. (m_{t_0}).

Then: $P_1(t_0, E)$ exists for all $E \in \Sigma$ and defines a stationary probability measure. In fact, the total variation of $|1/n \sum_{k=1}^n P^k(t_0, \cdot) - P_1(t_0, \cdot)|$ tends to 0 as n tends to ∞ .

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be the B -space of all finite measures on (Ω, Σ) . A set K of measures in \mathcal{M} is weakly sequentially compact if it is bounded and the countable additivity of μ on Σ is uniform with respect to $\mu \in K$. Consider the set $\{P^n(t_0, \cdot) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let $E = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$. Then, for any n :

$$\begin{aligned} P^n(t_0, E) - P^n\left(t_0, \sum_{i=1}^k E_i\right) &= P^n\left(t_0, \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \\ &= \int_{\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} E_i} f_n(y) m_{t_0}(dy) + K_n\left(t_0, \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \\ &\leq \int_{\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} E_i} f(y) m_{t_0}(dy) + K_n\left(t_0, \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} E_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(y) \in L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, m_{t_0})$, by choosing k sufficiently large, the first terms can be made as small as desired and for n large enough, the second term may be made small. Hence, the countable additivity is uniform with respect to all sufficiently large n .

Consider the operator $Tx \int P(t, E) x(dt)$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $TP(t_0, \cdot) = P^2(t_0, \cdot)$. Let $1/(n-1) (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k) = A(n)$. Then by the mean ergodic theorem in B -space, $\{A(n) P(t_0, \cdot)\}$ converges in norm if the set $\{A(n) P(t_0, \cdot)\}$ is weakly sequentially compact. Since the set $\{P^n(t_0, \cdot)\}$ is weakly sequentially compact, so is the set $\{A(n) P(t_0, \cdot)\}$ and therefore $1/n \sum_{k=1}^n P^k(t_0, \cdot)$ converges to $P_1(t_0, \cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$ in the strong sense, i. e., in the norm of total variation, and hence also for each $E \in \Sigma$. That P_1 defines a stationary probability measure is clear.

COROLLARY 1. *If the set $\{P^n(t_0, \cdot)\}$ is weakly sequentially compact, then the conclusion of the theorem holds.*

COROLLARY 2. *The decomposition of Theorem 2 obtains if there is a class of measures $\{m_t : t \in \Omega\}$ where m_t satisfies the hypothesis for Theorem 3 for $t \in \Omega$.*

REMARK. If there is one measure which satisfies the hypotheses for all $t \in \Omega$, then we obtain a countable decomposition as described in Theorem 5.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\Phi(\cdot)$ be a σ -finite stationary measure for the process and suppose that, if $\Phi(H) = \infty$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $t \in \Omega$, there is a set S , $S \subset H$, $\Phi(S) < \infty$ and S depends upon H , ε , t , such that $P^n(t, H - S) < \varepsilon$ uniformly in n . Let, in addition, the singular component, $P(t, n, \Omega)$, of P^n with respect to Φ tend to zero for all $t \in \Omega$. Then: $P_1(t, E)$ exists for all $t \in \Omega$, $E \in \Sigma$, and $P_1(t, \cdot)$ defines a probability measure for each t .*

Proof. Consider the space $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \Phi)$ and define the map $T : g(t) = \int f(x) P(t, dx)$ which maps L_1 into itself. We now show $|T|_1 \leq 1$ and $|T|_\infty \leq 1$. If $\int |f(x)| \Phi(dx) \leq 1$, then $\int |g(t)| \Phi(dt) = \int \left| \int f(x) P(t, dx) \right| \Phi(dt) \leq \int |f(x)| \Phi(dx) \leq 1$ by Fubini's theorem and thus $|T|_1 \leq 1$. If $|f(x)| \leq C$ a. e. (Φ) where C is a constant, let N be such that $|f(x)| > 0$ on N , $\Phi(N) = 0$. Then:

$$|g(t)| \leq \left| \int_{N^c} f(x) P(t, dx) \right| + \left| \int_N f(x) P(t, dx) \right| \leq C + \left| \int_N f(x) P(t, dx) \right|$$

and $0 = \Phi(N) = \int P(t, N) \Phi(dt)$ implies that $P(t, N) = 0$ a. e. (Φ) , say on N_1^c , $\Phi(N_1) = 0$. Then $|g(t)| \leq C$ on N_1^c , and $|T|_\infty \leq 1$. By the generalized point ergodic theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [1(n-1)] \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (T^k f)(x)$ exists a. e. (Φ) . If E is fixed and $\Phi(E) < \infty$, $\int P(x, E) \Phi(dx) = \Phi(E) < \infty$ and $P(x, E) \in L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \Phi)$. Thus, if $\Phi(E) < \infty$, $1/n \sum_{k=1}^n P^k(x, E)$ converges a. e. (Φ) . If $P(x, n, \Omega) \xrightarrow{n} 0$, the proof is the same as Doob's in [3] and the convergence holds everywhere as long as $\Phi(E) < \infty$. Let $\Phi(H) = \infty$, and hold x fixed. A simple approximation argument yields convergence for each fixed x . Since convergence holds for all Σ -sets, by a theorem of Nikodym the limit is countably additive and therefore a probability measure.

THEOREM 5. *Let m be a σ -finite measure on Σ with $P_1(t, E) =$*

$\int_E h(t, y) m(dy)$. If \mathcal{F}_{p_1} is the invariant σ -field under $P_1(t, E)$, then there is a decomposition:

$$\Omega = F + M + X_1 + X_2 + \dots$$

where $F, M, X_i \in \mathcal{F}_{p_1}$ and:

- (a) $0 < m(X_i) < \infty$, and $E \in \mathcal{F}_{p_1}$, $E \subseteq X_i$ implies $m(E) = m(X_i)$ or $m(E) = 0$
- (b) $m(E) = \infty$ and $E \in \mathcal{F}_{p_1}$, $E \subseteq M$ implies $m(E) = \infty$ or $m(E) = 0$.
- (c) F is a null set, and $m(F) \leq \infty$
- (d) The X_i are indecomposable, but M may be decomposable.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 3 in [1]. Put the sets satisfying (a) into equivalence classes by defining two such sets as equivalent if their symmetric difference has measure zero. Any two sets in different classes can have only a set of measure zero in common, and each set has positive measure. If there were an uncountable number of classes, there would have to be an uncountable number of disjoint sets of positive measure. By σ -finiteness, $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, $m(E_i) > 0$, and each E_i allows the trace of only a countable number of sets of positive measure. The number of classes is therefore countable. Consider $S = \Omega - (X_1 + X_2 + \dots)$ where the X_i are sets of representatives, one from each class. Consider the totality of disjoint null sets in S of measure ∞ . By the same reasoning as above, there are at most a countable number of them, whose union is also a null set. Removing this set from S , S now contains a null set of largest finite measure; remove it also. Let F be the union of all the null sets removed from S . Let $S - F = M$. If $m(M) < \infty$, the related argument of Blackwell remains unchanged, and we have that $m(M) = 0$, and hence M is empty. If $m(M) = \infty$, then for $E \in \mathcal{F}_{p_1}$, $E \subseteq M$, either $m(E) = \infty$ or $m(E) < \infty$. If $m(E)$ is finite, again $m(E) = 0$. M may be decomposable into two disjoint non-null sets of measure ∞ .

The following two corollaries examine when the singular M disappears.

COROLLARY 1. *If m is σ -finite with respect to \mathcal{F}_{p_1} , then the decomposition in Theorem 5 lacks the term M .*

Proof. For then M may be expressed as the sum of disjoint \mathcal{F}_{p_1} sets of finite measure. As shown above, if $m(E) < \infty$, $E \subseteq M$, then $m(E) = 0$, and therefore $m(M) = 0$. Thus $M \subseteq F$.

COROLLARY 2. *If there exists a sequence of increasing \mathcal{F}_{p_1} sets*

of finite measure $\{H_i\}$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H_i = M$, then the decomposition lacks the term M .

Proof. $m(H_i) < \infty$ implies $m(H_i) = 0$

$$m(\lim H_i) = m(M) = \lim m(H_i) = 0 .$$

EXAMPLE 1. In [3], Doob considers the following example of a process with a stationary absolute measure, but which does not satisfy Doebelin's condition: $\Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$, Σ = Borel subsets of Ω , and:

$$P^n(t, A) = \frac{1}{[2\pi(1 - \rho^{2n})]^{1/2}} \int_A \exp - \frac{(y - \rho^n t)^2}{2(1 - \rho^{2n})} dy$$

where ρ is constant, $0 \leq \rho < 1$.

A stationary measure is the limit:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n(t, A) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_A \exp - \frac{y^2}{2} dy .$$

Without noticing, however, that there is a stationary absolute measure, we may directly apply Theorem 3 by taking m_{t_0} to be Lebesgue measure on the real line for each t_0 . It is easily seen that the integrand of $P^n(t, A)$ is uniformly bounded by a measurable function for large n . In this case, $\mathcal{F} p_i$ consists of the Borel subsets of $(-\infty, \infty)$. The regularity of Lebesgue measure implies that the X_i of Theorem 5 disappear, leaving only $M = \Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $F =$ the empty set. Our result is a bit stronger than Doob's, yielding strong convergence.

EXAMPLE 2. If we consider the identity transition operator: $P(t, t) = 1$ on $\Omega = [0, 1]$, then we have an example of a decomposition which is not countable.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. D. Blackwell, *Idempotent Markov chains*, Annals of Math., **43** No. 3 (1942).
2. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, Wiley 1953.
3. ———, *Asymptotic properties of Markoff transition probabilities*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1948), 393-421.
4. Dunford-Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part 1*, Interscience 1958.
5. M. Loève, *Probability Theory*, Van Nostrand 1955.

INSTITUTE HENRI POINCARÉ PARIS, FRANCE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH
T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY
M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN
E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS
F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 11, No. 2

December, 1961

Tsuyoshi Andô, <i>Convergent sequences of finitely additive measures</i>	395
Richard Arens, <i>The analytic-functional calculus in commutative topological algebras</i>	405
Michel L. Balinski, <i>On the graph structure of convex polyhedra in n-space</i>	431
R. H. Bing, <i>Tame Cantor sets in E^3</i>	435
Cecil Edmund Burgess, <i>Collections and sequences of continua in the plane. II</i>	447
J. H. Case, <i>Another 1-dimensional homogeneous continuum which contains an arc</i>	455
Lester Eli Dubins, <i>On plane curves with curvature</i>	471
A. M. Duguid, <i>Feasible flows and possible connections</i>	483
Lincoln Kearney Durst, <i>Exceptional real Lucas sequences</i>	489
Gertrude I. Heller, <i>On certain non-linear operators and partial differential equations</i>	495
Calvin Virgil Holmes, <i>Automorphisms of monomial groups</i>	531
Wu-Chung Hsiang and Wu-Yi Hsiang, <i>Those abelian groups characterized by their completely decomposable subgroups of finite rank</i>	547
Bert Hubbard, <i>Bounds for eigenvalues of the free and fixed membrane by finite difference methods</i>	559
D. H. Hyers, <i>Transformations with bounded mth differences</i>	591
Richard Eugene Isaac, <i>Some generalizations of Doebelin's decomposition</i>	603
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Uniform neighborhood retracts</i>	609
Jack Carl Kiefer, <i>On large deviations of the empiric $D. F.$ of vector chance variables and a law of the iterated logarithm</i>	649
Marvin Isadore Knopp, <i>Construction of a class of modular functions and forms. II</i>	661
Gunter Lumer and R. S. Phillips, <i>Dissipative operators in a Banach space</i>	679
Nathaniel F. G. Martin, <i>Lebesgue density as a set function</i>	699
Shu-Teh Chen Moy, <i>Generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem</i>	705
Lucien W. Neustadt, <i>The moment problem and weak convergence in L^2</i>	715
Kenneth Allen Ross, <i>The structure of certain measure algebras</i>	723
James F. Smith and P. P. Saworotnow, <i>On some classes of scalar-product algebras</i>	739
Dale E. Varberg, <i>On equivalence of Gaussian measures</i>	751
Avrum Israel Weinzweig, <i>The fundamental group of a union of spaces</i>	763