Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LEBESGUE DENSITY AS A SET FUNCTION

NATHANIEL F. G. MARTIN

Vol. 11, No. 2 December 1961

LEBESGUE DENSITY AS A SET FUNCTION

N. F. G. MARTIN

Lebesgue (or metric) density is usually considered as a point function in the sense that a fixed subset of a space X is given and then the value of the density of this set is obtained at various points of the space. Suppose the density is considered in another sense. That is, let a point x of the space be fixed and consider the class $\mathcal{D}(x)$ of all sets whose density exists at this point. Then to each set E in $\mathcal{D}(x)$ we assign the value of its density at x, and denote this number by $D_x(E)$. Thus from this point of view the density is a finite set function. It was shown in [2] that if the space X is the real line then the image of $\mathcal{D}(x)$ under D_x is the closed unit interval.

It is evident from the definition of density of sets of real numbers, which we give below, that D_x is a finitely additive, subtractive, monotone, nonnegative set function and the class $\mathscr{D}(x)$ is closed under the formation of complements, proper differences, and disjoint unions. Therefore, if $\mathscr{D}(x)$ were closed under the formation of intersections, D_x would be a finitely additive measure. This however is not the case for if

$$R_n = \left\{ x: \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) < x < \frac{1}{n} \right\},$$

$$L_n = \left\{ x: -\frac{1}{n} < x < -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right\}$$

and

$$L_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^* = \left\{ x \colon -rac{1}{2} \Big(rac{1}{n} + rac{1}{n+1}\Big) < x < -rac{1}{n+1}
ight\}$$
 ,

the sets $\bigcup_n (R_n \cup L_n) = E$ and $\bigcup_n (R_n \cup L_n^*) = F$ are members of D(0) but $E \cap F$ is not. In fact $D_0(E) = D_0(F) = \frac{1}{2}$ and the upper density of $E \cap F$ at zero is not less than $\frac{1}{2}$ while the lower density of $E \cap F$ at zero is zero.

In part 1 of this note we prove a theorem which is somewhat of an analogue of the Lebesgue density theorem [3] in the following respect. As noted above D_x is not a finitely additive measure, but we show that the upper density at x, \bar{D}_x , is a finitely subadditive outer measure defined on the class of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of X and the class of \bar{D}_x -measurable sets is the class of all sets whose density exists at x and has the value zero or one. In part 2 a Lebesgue density of a measurable set E on a fixed F_σ set of measure zero is defined and a similar result

Received May 24, 1960. Presented to American Mathematical Society. Part 1 of this note constitutes a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation written at Iowa State College under the direction of Professor H. P. Thielman.

proven for this function.

1. If E is a measurable subset of the real line X and I is any interval we shall denote the relative Lebesgue measure of E in I, $m(E \cap I)/m(I)$, by $\rho(E:I)$.

The upper Lebesgue density of a measurable subset E of X at a point $x \in X$, $\bar{D}_x(E)$, is defined by

 $\bar{D}_x(E) = \limsup_{I \to x} \rho(E:I) = \sup \{ \limsup_k \rho(E:I_k): I_k \to x \}$ and the lower Lebesgue density of a measurable set $E \subset X$ at a point $x \in X$, $\underline{D}_x(E)$, is defined by

$$\underline{D}_x(E) = \liminf_{L \to x} \rho(E:I) = \inf \{ \lim_k \rho(E:I_k) : I_k \to x \}$$
,

where $I_k \to x$ means the sequence $\{I_k\}$ of intervals converges to x in the sense that $x \in \overline{I}_k$ for all k and $m(I_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. In the case $\underline{D}_x(E) = \overline{D}_x(E)$ the common value is the Lebesgue density of E at x and will be denoted by $D_x(E)$.

LEMMA 1. A necessary and sufficient condition that a set E be a member of $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is that

$$\bar{D}_x(E) + \bar{D}_x(X-E) = 1$$
.

Proof. The necessity is immediate. To obtain the sufficiency we note that for any interval I containing x, $\rho(E:I) + \rho(X-E:I) = 1$ so that $\underline{D}_x(E) + \overline{D}_x(X-E) \ge 1$. Therefore

$$\bar{D}_x(X-E) \ge 1 - \underline{D}_x(E) = \bar{D}_x(X-E) + \bar{D}_x(E) - \underline{D}_x(E)$$

and it follows that $\bar{D}_x(E) \leq D_x(E)$.

LEMMA 2. The set function \bar{D}_x is a finitely subadditive outer measure defined on the class \mathscr{M} of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of the real line.

Proof. It is clear that $\bar{D}_x(\phi) = 0$ and $\bar{D}_x \geq 0$. Let $E \subset F$ be two sets from M. Then since $\rho(E:I) \leq \rho(F:I)$ for all intervals containing x, \bar{D}_x is monotone. Let E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n be any finite collection of sets from \mathscr{M} . Since $\rho(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i:I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(E_i:I)$ for all intervals I containing x, we have

$$ar{D}_x\!\!\left(igcup_{i=1}^n E_i
ight) \leq \sum\limits_{i=1}^n \limsup_{I o x}
ho(E_i\!:\!I) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^n ar{D}_x\!(E_i)$$
 .

Thus \bar{D}_x is a finitely subadditive outer measure.

Let $\mathcal{M}(x)$ denote the class of all sets E such that for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

 $\bar{D}_x(A) = \bar{D}_x(A \cap E) + \bar{D}_x(A - E)$. Since $\mathscr{M}(x)$ contains X and $\phi \mathscr{M}(x)$ is an algebra (in the sense of Halmos [1]) and the restriction of \bar{D}_x to $\mathscr{M}(x)$ is a finitely additive measure.

LEMMA 3. $\mathcal{M}(x)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{D}(x)$.

Proof. Let $E \in \mathcal{M}(x)$. Since the real line X is a member of \mathcal{M} and $\bar{D}_x(X) = 1$, we have

$$1 = \bar{D}_x(X) = \bar{D}_x(X \cap E) + \bar{D}_x(X - E) = \bar{D}_x(E) + \bar{D}_x(X - E)$$

which by Lemma 1 gives $E \in \mathcal{D}(x)$.

LEMMA 4. If $E \in \mathscr{D}(x)$ and J is any interval with x as one end point then $\bar{D}_x(E \cap J) = D_x(E)$.

Proof. Let $D_x(E) = d$. Since \bar{D}_x is monotone, $d \geq \bar{D}_x(E \cap J)$ and if $\{I_k\}$ is any sequence of intervals converging to x, $\limsup_k \rho((E \cap J) : I_k) \leq d$.

Suppose first that J is a bounded interval. If x is the left end point of J, denote the right end point by y and let

$$I_n^* = \left\{ z: \ x \leq z \leq x + \frac{1}{n}(y - x) \right\};$$

if x is the right end point of J, denote the left end point of J by y and let

$$I_n^* = \left\{z: x - \frac{1}{n}(x - y) \leq z \leq x\right\}.$$

In either case $I_n^* \to x$ and $\rho(E:I_n^*) = \rho((E \cap J):I_n^*)$ for all n. Therefore, $\lim_n \rho((E \cap J):I_n^*) = d$ and we have $\bar{D}_x(E \cap J) = D_x(E)$.

Suppose next that J is unbounded. If x is the left end point of J let $I_n^* = \{z: x \le z \le z + (1/n)\}$ and if x is the right end point of J let $I_n^* = \{z: x - (1/n) \le z \le x\}$. Again we have $I_n^* \to x$ and $\rho(E: I_n^*) = \rho((E \cap J): I_n^*)$ for all n so that $\bar{D}_x(E \cap J) = D_x(E)$.

LEMMA 5. Let $E \in \mathscr{D}(x)$ and let J be an interval open on the right with right end point at x and K be an interval closed on the left with left end point at x. Define the set A by $A = (E \cap K) \cup (J - E)$. Then $\bar{D}_x(A) = \max\{D_x(E), D_x(X - E)\}$.

Proof. Suppose $D_x(X-E) \leq D_x(E) = d$. By Lemma 4, $\bar{D}_x(J-E) = 1 - d \leq d$ and since \bar{D}_x is monotone, $\bar{D}_x(A) \geq \bar{D}_x(E \cap K) = d$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a sequence $\{I_k^*\}$ converging to x such that

$$ar{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle x}\!(A) < \limsup_{\scriptscriptstyle k}
ho(A:I_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^*) + rac{arepsilon}{2}$$
 .

For each k, let $J_k = I_k^* \cap (J \cup K)$. Since $I_k^* \to x$, $J_k^* \to x$ and $\rho(A:I_k^*) = \rho(A:J_k)$ for all but a finite number of k. Therefore

$$(1)$$
 $ar{D}_x(A) < \limsup_k
ho(A:J_k) + arepsilon/2$.

For each interval J_k we have

$$\rho(A:J_k) - d = \rho(K:J_k)[\rho(E:(K \cap J_k)) - d]
+ \rho(J:J_k)[\rho(X - E:(J \cap J_k)) - d].$$

Since $E \in \mathscr{D}(x)$ and $K \cap J_k \to x$, $\lim_k \rho(E:(K \cap J_k)) = d$. Since $J \cap J_k \to x$, $\lim_k \rho(X - E:(J \cap J_k)) = 1 - d \leq d$. Therefore there exist integers N_k and N_k such that for all $k > N_1$, $\rho(E:(K \cap J_k)) - d < \varepsilon/2$ and for all $k > N_2$, $\rho(X - E:(J \cap J_k)) - d < \varepsilon/2$. Thus for all $k > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$

$$ho(A:J_{\scriptscriptstyle k})-d<rac{arepsilon}{2}
ho(K:J_{\scriptscriptstyle k})+rac{arepsilon}{2}
ho(J:J_{\scriptscriptstyle k})=rac{arepsilon}{2}$$
 .

Therefore $\limsup_k \rho(A:J_k) < d + \varepsilon/2$ and we have by way of equation (1) that $\bar{D}_x(A) < d + \varepsilon$. Since ε was arbitrary, $\bar{D}_x(A) \leq d$ which completes the proof of the lemma.

THEOREM 1. The class $\mathcal{M}(x)$ of \bar{D}_x -measurable sets is the class of all sets whose density exists at x and has the value 0 or 1.

Proof. First suppose $E \in \mathscr{M}(x)$ and $D_x(E) = d$. Let $J = \{z : x - 1 \le z < x\}$, $K = \{z : x \le z \le x + 1\}$. Define the set A by $A = (E \cap K) \cup (J - E)$. By Lemma 5, $\bar{D}_x(A) = \max\{1 - d, d\}$ and by Lemma 4, $\bar{D}_x(A \cap E) = \bar{D}_x(E \cap K) = d$ and $\bar{D}_x(A - E) = \bar{D}_x(J - E) = 1 - d$. Since $E \in \mathscr{M}(x)$

$$1 = d + 1 - d = \bar{D}_x(A \cap E) + \bar{D}_x(A - E) = \bar{D}_x(A) = \max\{1 - d, d\}$$
 .

Therefore d=0 or 1.

Next let E be a set whose density at x is zero or one. Let A be any Lebesgue measurable set and suppose $D_x(E)=0$. Since \bar{D}_x is monotone, $\bar{D}_x(A\cap E) \leq D_x(E)=0$ and hence $\bar{D}_x(A\cap E)=0$. Since \bar{D}_x is an outer measure

$$ar{D}_{x}(A-E) \geq ar{D}_{x}(A) - ar{D}_{x}(E) = ar{D}_{x}(A)$$
 ,

and since \bar{D}_x is monotone $\bar{D}_x(A) \geq \bar{D}_x(A-E)$. Therefore $\bar{D}_x(A) = \bar{D}_x(A\cap E) + \bar{D}_x(A-E)$ and E is in $\mathscr{M}(x)$. In case $D_x(E) = 1$ the above argument with E replaced by X-E gives the desired result.

2. Suppose that Z represents an F_{σ} set of measure zero. Define

the upper Lebesgue density of a measurable set E or Z by

$$\bar{D}_z(E) = \sup \{ \bar{D}_x(E) : x \in Z \}$$

and the lower Lebesgue density of E or Z by

$$D_z(E) = \inf \{D(E) : x \in Z\}$$
.

If $\overline{D}_z(E) = \underline{D}_z(E)$ we will say that the Lebesgue density of E on Z, denoted by $D_z(E)$, exists and has the common value of $\overline{D}_z(E)$ and $D_z(E)$. It is clear that if the density of E exists on Z then the density exists at every point of Z and has the same value at each point. In [2] it was shown that for any number d such that 0 < d < 1, there exists a set E such that $D_z(E) = d$. Thus if $\mathscr{D}(Z)$ denotes the class of all sets whose density on Z exists, D_z is a set function which maps $\mathscr{D}(Z)$ onto the closed unit interval. It is clear that D_z will have the same properties as D_x where x is any point in Z.

LEMMA 7. \bar{D}_z is a finitely subadditive outer measure defined on the class \mathscr{M} .

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from the monotoniety and subadditivity of \bar{D}_x and the definition of \bar{D}_z .

Let $\mathscr{M}(Z)$ denote the class of all sets E such that $E \in \mathscr{M}$ and for every $A \in \mathscr{M}$, $\bar{D}_z(A) = \bar{D}_z(A \cap E) + \bar{D}_z(A - E)$. Then $\mathscr{M}(Z)$ is an algebra and the restriction of \bar{D}_z to $\mathscr{M}(Z)$ is a finitely additive measure.

LEMMA 8. $\mathcal{M}(Z)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{D}(Z)$.

Proof. Let $E \in \mathcal{M}(Z)$. The real line X is in \mathcal{M} so we have

$$1 = \bar{D}_z(X) = \bar{D}_z(E) + \bar{D}_z(X - E) \ge \sup \{\bar{D}_x(E) + \bar{D}_x(X - E) : x \in Z\}$$

 \mathbf{a} nd

$$\bar{D}_x(E) + \bar{D}_x(X - E) \leq 1$$

for all $x \in Z$. But for any $x \in Z$, \bar{D}_x is subadditive so that $\bar{D}_x((E) + \bar{D}_x(X - E) \ge 1$. Therefore $\bar{D}_x(E) + \bar{D}_x(X - E) = 1$ for all $x \in Z$ and by Lemma 1, the density of E exists at every point of Z. Hence $D_x(E) + \bar{D}_x(X - E) = 1$ for all x in Z and

$$egin{aligned} & ar{D}_{m{z}}(E) + ar{D}_{m{z}}(X-E) \geq \inf \left\{ ar{D}(E) + ar{D}_{m{z}}(E) : x \in Z \right\} \ &= 1 = ar{D}_{m{z}}(E) + ar{D}_{m{z}}(X-E) \; . \end{aligned}$$

Since \bar{D}_z if finite, $\underline{D}_z(E) \geq \bar{D}_z(E)$ and it follows that $E \in \mathcal{D}(Z)$.

Theorem 2. The class of all \bar{D}_z -measurable sets is the class of

all sets from $\mathcal{D}(Z)$ which are mapped onto 0 or 1 by D_z .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{E : E \in D(Z) \text{ and } D_z(E) = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$. If $E \in \mathcal{K}$ we may show that $E \in \mathcal{M}(Z)$ exactly as was done in Theorem 1.

Suppose $E\in \mathcal{M}(Z)$. By Lemma 8, $E\in \mathcal{D}(Z)$ and hence $D_z(E)=D_z(E)=d$ for all $x\in Z$. Let x_1 be any point in Z and let $J=\{z:z< x_1\}$, $K=\{z:z\geq x_1\}$. Define the set A by $A=(J-E)\cup (E\cap K)$. Then by Lemmas 4 and 5, $\bar{D}_{x_1}(A)=\max\{d,1-d\}$, $\bar{D}_{x_1}(A\cap E)=d$, and $\bar{D}_{x_1}(A-E)=1-d$. Since $A\in \mathcal{M}$ and $E\in \mathcal{M}(Z)$,

$$\sup \{\bar{D}_x(A): x \in Z\} = \sup \{\bar{D}_x(A \cap E) + \bar{D}_x(A - E): x \in Z\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists an $x_2 \in Z$ such that

$$ar{D}_{x_2}(A) + \varepsilon > \sup \{ar{D}_x(A \cap E) + ar{D}_x(A - E) : x \in Z\}$$

 $\geq ar{D}_{x_1}(A \cap E) + ar{D}_{x_1}(A - E) = 1$.

Suppose $x_2 < x_1$. Then $\bar{D}_{x_2}(A) = D_{x_2}(X - E)$ and $1 - d + \varepsilon > 1$. Since ε was arbitrary and $1 - d \le 1$ we have 1 - d = 1 and d = 0.

Suppose $x_2 > x_1$. Then $\bar{D}_{x_2}(A) = D_{x_2}(E)$ and $d + \varepsilon > 1$. Since ε was arbitrary and $d \leq 1$ we have d = 1.

Suppose $x_2 = x_1$. Then $\bar{D}_{x_2}(A) = \max\{d, 1 - d\}$, and $\max\{d, 1 - d\} + \varepsilon > 1$. Since ε was arbitrary $\max\{d, 1 - d\} \ge 1$. But both d and 1 - d do not exceed 1 so that d = 0 or 1.

Therefore E is in \mathcal{K} and we have $\mathcal{M}(Z) = \mathcal{K}$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. P. R. Halmos, Measure Theory, Princeton, New Jersey, (1950).
- 2. N. F. G. Martin, A note on metric density of sets of real numbers, to be published in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 11 (1960), 344-347.
- 3. S. Saks, Theory of the integral, Trans. by L. C. Young, Warsaw, (1937).

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY AND UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS Stanford University Stanford, California

F. H. Brownell University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

D. DERRY

H. L. ROYDEN

E. G. STRAUS

T. M. CHERRY

M. OHTSUKA

E. SPANIER

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal,
but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 11, No. 2 December, 1961

Richard Arens, The analytic-functional calculus in commutative topological	395
algebras	405
Michel L. Balinski, On the graph structure of convex polyhedra in	431
2	435
	433
Cecil Edmund Burgess, Collections and sequences of continua in the plane.	447
II	44/
<u> </u>	455
Lester Eli Dubins, On plane curves with curvature	471
A. M. Duguid, Feasible flows and possible connections	483
Lincoln Kearney Durst, Exceptional real Lucas sequences	489
Gertrude I. Heller, On certain non-linear opeartors and partial differential	
1	495
	531
Wu-Chung Hsiang and Wu-Yi Hsiang, Those abelian groups characterized	
	547
Bert Hubbard, Bounds for eigenvalues of the free and fixed membrane by	
3 33	559
30	591
Richard Eugene Isaac, Some generalizations of Doeblin's	
	603
decompositiondecomposition	603 609
decomposition John Rolfe Isbell, Uniform neighborhood retracts	603 609
decomposition	609
decomposition	
decomposition	609 649
decomposition	609
decomposition	609 649
decomposition	609649661
decomposition	609649661679699
decomposition	609649661679699705
decomposition	609649661679699705715
decomposition	609649661679699705
John Rolfe Isbell, Uniform neighborhood retracts Jack Carl Kiefer, On large deviations of the empiric D. F. of vector chance variables and a law of the iterated logarithm Marvin Isadore Knopp, Construction of a class of modular functions and forms. II Gunter Lumer and R. S. Phillips, Dissipative operators in a Banach space Nathaniel F. G. Martin, Lebesgue density as a set function Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem Lucien W. Neustadt, The moment problem and weak convergence in L ²	609649661679699705715
John Rolfe Isbell, Uniform neighborhood retracts Jack Carl Kiefer, On large deviations of the empiric D. F. of vector chance variables and a law of the iterated logarithm Marvin Isadore Knopp, Construction of a class of modular functions and forms. II Gunter Lumer and R. S. Phillips, Dissipative operators in a Banach space Nathaniel F. G. Martin, Lebesgue density as a set function. Shu-Teh Chen Moy, Generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem. Lucien W. Neustadt, The moment problem and weak convergence in L ² Kenneth Allen Ross, The structure of certain measure algebras. James F. Smith and P. P. Saworotnow, On some classes of scalar-product algebras	609 649 661 679 699 705 715 723