

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **LINEAR RECURRENCES OF ORDER TWO**

S. CHOWLA, MARGUERITE ELIZABETH DUNTON AND DONALD JOHN LEWIS

# LINEAR RECURRENCES OF ORDER TWO

S. CHOWLA, M. DUNTON AND D. J. LEWIS

1. **Introduction.** A sequence of rational integers  $\{f(n)\}$  satisfying a relation

$$f(n+k) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i f(n+k-i), \quad A_k \neq 0,$$

where the  $A_i$  are rational integers, is called an *integral linear recurrence of order  $k$* . Given such a linear recurrence and an integer  $c$ , one would like to know for what  $n$  does  $f(n) = c$ ? In a very few particular instances (e.g. see [2], [6]) this question has been answered, but in general the question is very difficult. A less exacting problem is the determination of upper and lower bounds on the number,  $M(c)$ , of distinct  $n$  for which  $f(n) = c$ . We shall call  $M(c)$  the multiplicity of  $c$  in the recurrence.

Much work has been done by C. L. Siegel [4], K. Mahler [3], Morgan Ward [9], [10], [11] and others concerning the multiplicity of 0 and the pattern of the appearance of 0 in the recurrence. Quite often from information on the multiplicity of 0 in one recurrence one can infer a bound on the multiplicity of all integers in another recurrence. However, as much of the information available concerning the zeros of a recurrence is for recurrences satisfying special conditions on the  $A_i$ , one cannot always ascertain in this way whether  $M(c)$  is bounded.

Define the *multiplicity of a recurrence* as the least upper bound of the  $M(c)$ , as  $c$  ranges over the integers; and say that the multiplicity of the recurrence is *strictly infinite* if for some integer  $c$ ,  $M(c)$  is infinite. We are interested in examining the following questions:

(I) When is the multiplicity of a recurrence finite? When infinite?

(II) If the multiplicity of a recurrence is finite, what is it or at least what is an upper bound for it?

(III) Can the multiplicity of a recurrence be infinite and not strictly infinite?

Here, we confine our attention to recurrences of order 2. In the direction of the above questions, there is a conjecture that for a recurrence of order 2 either the multiplicity is strictly infinite or it is bounded above by 5. We are unable to resolve this conjecture, but we do obtain reasonably satisfactory answers to the questions for all recurrences of order 2 having  $(A_1, A_2) = 1$ .

---

Received August 5, 1960. Part of this work was done while the authors received support from the National Science Foundation.

To simplify notation, we set

$$(1) \quad f(n+2) = Af(n+1) - Bf(n), \quad B \neq 0, \quad f(0) = a, \quad f(1) = b,$$

The case  $a = b = 0$  is trivial, hence we assume that not both  $a$  and  $b$  are 0. Set

$$(2) \quad \Delta = A^2 - 4B,$$

$$(3) \quad U = \frac{1}{2}A, \quad A = \frac{1}{4}\Delta, \quad W = \frac{1}{2}(2b - aA) = b - aU.$$

We also assume

$$(4) \quad (a, b) = 1.$$

Clearly, this assumption does not affect the multiplicity of the recurrence.

The equation,  $z^2 - Az + B = 0$ , will be called the *companion equation* of the recurrence.

If  $p$  is rational prime and  $M$  is a  $p$ -adic number,  $\|M\|_p$  will denote the exponential  $p$ -adic valuation of  $M$ , i.e.  $\|M\|_p =$  maximum integer  $k$  such that  $p^k \mid M$ .

The principal result we obtain is the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *The multiplicity of a linear recurrence of order 2, with  $(A, B) = 1$ , is either strictly infinite or it is bounded by a computable integer  $M(A, B, a, b)$ . If the multiplicity is strictly infinite and the recurrence contains at least two distinct integers then the ratio of the roots of the companion equation is a root of unity.*

More specifically, we prove:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\Delta \geq 0$ , the multiplicity of the recurrence is either strictly infinite or it does not exceed 3.*

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\Delta < 0$  and if there is a prime  $p$  such that*

$$\|A\|_p = \lambda \geq \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 2 & \text{if } p = 3, \text{ while } \|U\|_p = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

*then the multiplicity of the recurrence is less than  $p^\lambda$ .*

Under additional conditions on  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  one can obtain a smaller upper bound on the multiplicity of the recurrence than  $p^\lambda$ . Some of these special results are indicated in the course of the proof of Theorem 3. The proof of Theorem 3 uses the  $p$ -adic method of Skolem as exemplified in [5], [6].

One of us, in another paper, uses these results on the multiplicity

of recurrences to obtain specific bounds on the number of integral solutions  $x, y$  of the equation  $x^2 + 7M^2 = N^y$ .

**2. Some basic Formulas.** Set  $\alpha = (1/2)(A + \Delta^{1/2}) = U + \Delta^{1/2}$ ,  $\beta = (1/2)(A - \Delta^{1/2}) = U - \Delta^{1/2}$ ; then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the roots of the companion equation. Also  $\alpha + \beta = A$ ,  $\alpha\beta = B$ ; thus  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are non-zero complex numbers. Set  $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)x^n$ ; then  $y(1 - Ax + Bx^2) = a + (b - aA)x$ .

If  $\Delta = 0$ , then  $A = 2M$ ,  $B = M^2$  and

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{n-1} \{n(b - aM) + aM\} x^n,$$

i.e.  $f(n) = M^{n-1} \{n(b - aM) + aM\}$ . If  $M = 1$  and  $b = aM$ , then  $\alpha = \beta = M$ , and the multiplicity is strictly infinite. In all other cases  $\{f(n)\}$  is strictly monotonic for  $n \geq 1$  and the multiplicity is 1 or 2 as  $a \neq b$  or  $a = b$ .

Henceforth we assume that  $\Delta \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{a + (2W)\Delta^{-(1/2)}}{1 - \alpha x} + \frac{a - (2W)\Delta^{-(1/2)}}{1 - \beta x} \right\}.$$

Define

$$(5) \quad s(n) = \alpha^n + \beta^n; \quad t(n) = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta) = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)\Delta^{-(1/2)}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} s(0) = 2, \quad s(1) = A, \quad s(n+2) = As(n+1) - Bs(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \\ t(0) = 0, \quad t(1) = 1, \quad t(n+2) = At(n+1) - Bt(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sequences  $\{s(n)\}$  and  $\{t(n)\}$  are recurrences satisfying the functional relation (1). Set

$$(7) \quad S(n) = \frac{1}{2} s(n), \quad T(n) = t(n)$$

then

$$(8) \quad \alpha^n = S(n) + T(n)\Delta^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

$$(9) \quad S(n+1) = US(n) + \Delta T(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

$$(10) \quad T(n+1) = S(n) + UT(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

$$(11) \quad f(n) = aS(n) + WT(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

$$(12) \quad f(n) = X\alpha^n + Y\beta^n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad \text{where}$$

$$X = \frac{1}{2} a + W\Delta^{-(1/2)} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \frac{1}{2} a - W\Delta^{-(1/2)}.$$

Any function of the form  $F(n) = V\bar{\alpha}^n + Z\bar{\beta}^n$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  are conjugate algebraic integers in a quadratic extension field over the rational

field, satisfies a linear relation of order 2. Now  $\alpha^m$  and  $\beta^m$  are algebraic integers in  $Q(\Delta^{1/2})$  and so satisfy a quadratic equation  $z^2 - A_m z + B_m = 0$ , with  $A_m$  and  $B_m$  being rational integers. It follows that the sequence  $\{f(0), f(m), \dots, f(mk), \dots\}$  satisfies a linear recurrence of order 2 with coefficients  $A_m$  and  $B_m$ . The same conclusion holds for any sequence  $\{f(n_i)\}$ , where the  $\{n_i\}$  are an arithmetic progression of difference  $m$ . For later information we observe that if  $A_1 = A$  and  $B_1 = B$  then  $A_2 = A^2 - 2B$  and  $B_2 = B^2$ .

Suppose that we have a recurrence of order 2, say  $\{f(n)\}$ , and suppose that  $f(m) = f(m + q) = 0$ , with  $q \neq 0$ . In this situation the sub-recurrence  $\{f(m + nq)\}$  is a sequence of zeros. Furthermore the system of equations  $X\alpha^m + Y\beta^m = 0$ ,  $X\alpha^{m+q} + Y\beta^{m+q} = 0$  has a non-trivial solution for  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $Q(\Delta^{1/2})$  and hence  $\alpha^m \beta^{m+q} - \beta^m \alpha^{m+q} = 0$ , i.e.  $(\alpha/\beta)^q = 1$ .

Conversely if  $\alpha/\beta$  is a root of unity, either  $M(0) = 0$  or  $M(0) = \infty$  and there exists an  $r$  such that  $f(n) = \alpha^n Y \{(\beta/\alpha)^n - (\beta/\alpha)^r\}$ . Also if  $|\alpha| \neq 1$ , i.e.  $B \neq \pm 1$ , and  $\alpha/\beta$  is a root of unity then 0 is the only integer that can have infinite multiplicity in the recurrence.

Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent of  $n$ , when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are roots of unity, the number of values appearing in the recurrence must be finite. Summarizing, we have

**THEOREM 4.** *If in a recurrence of order 2,  $M(0) \geq 2$  then  $M(0) = \infty$  and the ratio of the roots of the companion equation is a root of unity. If the roots of the companion equation are roots of unity the recurrence consists of only a finite number of integers, each appearing infinitely often.*

**3. Proof of Theorem 2.** In view of previous remarks we may suppose  $\Delta > 0$ . Then  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

If  $\alpha = -\beta$  then  $A = 0$  and the multiplicity of the recurrence is 1 when  $B \neq -1$  and is strictly infinite when  $B = -1$ . In the latter case  $\alpha = \pm 1$ . If one of  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  has absolute value 1 and the other does not, the multiplicity of the recurrence is at most 2. For the remainder of this proof we suppose  $1 \neq |\alpha| \neq |\beta| \neq 1$ .

Set  $g(z) = |X||\alpha|^z - |Y||\beta|^z$  and  $h(z) = |X||\alpha|^z + |Y||\beta|^z$ . Since  $\Delta > 0$ , we have  $X$  and  $Y$  are real and  $X^2 + Y^2 > 0$ , hence  $g(z)$  and  $h(z)$  are non-constant functions. For if one of them were a constant function then either  $X = Y = 0$  or one of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  has absolute value 1.

Both  $g(z)$  and  $h(z)$  have continuous derivatives. As  $g'(z) = 0$  for at most one value of  $z$ , for any given  $c$ ,  $g(z) = c$  for at most two values of  $z$ . Furthermore for  $z \geq 0$  either  $g(z)$  is monotonic or  $g(z)$  does not assume both negative and positive values; hence there is at most one  $z$  and one  $w$  such that  $z \geq 0$ ,  $w \geq 0$  and  $c = g(z) = \pm g(w)$ . Clearly  $h(z)$  is a strictly increasing function.

We have

- (i) If  $XY \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ , then  $f(n) = \text{sgn}(X)h(n)$ .
- (ii) If  $XY < 0, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ , then  $f(n) = \text{sgn}(X)g(n)$ .
- (iii) If  $XY \geq 0, \alpha \leq 0, \beta \leq 0$ , then  $f(n) = (-1)^n \text{sgn}(X)h(n)$ .
- (iv) If  $XY < 0, \alpha \leq 0, \beta \leq 0$ , then  $f(n) = (-1)^n \text{sgn}(X)h(n)$ .
- (v) If  $XY \geq 0, \alpha\beta < 0$ , then  $f(2n) = \text{sgn}(X)h(2n)$  and

$$f(2n + 1) = \text{sgn}(X) \text{sgn}(\alpha)g(2n + 1) .$$

- (vi) If  $XY < 0, \alpha\beta < 0$ , then  $f(2n) = \text{sgn}(X)g(2n)$  and

$$f(2n + 1) = \text{sgn}(X) \text{sgn}(\alpha)h(2n + 1) .$$

Thus, it is easily seen that the multiplicity of the recurrence cannot exceed 3. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

It is possible to give a second elementary proof of Theorem 2 using a theorem due to M. F. Smiley. Let  $\{F(n)\}$  denote a linear recurrence of order 3 satisfying the relation

$$F(n + 3) = A_1F(n + 2) - A_2F(n + 1) + A_3F(n) ,$$

where the  $A_i$  are real numbers. Let  $u, v$  and  $w$  denote the roots of the companion equation.  $z^3 - A_1z^2 + A_2z - A_3 = 0$ . Smiley [7] proved: *If  $u, v$  and  $w$  are non-zero real numbers with distinct absolute values then the multiplicity of 0 in the recurrence  $\{F(n)\}$  is at most 3.*

Given the recurrence (1) and any integer  $c$ , consider the sequence

$$F(n) = f(n) - c = X^n + Y^n - c1^n .$$

This sequence satisfies the relation

$$F(n + 3) = (A + 1)F(n + 2) - (A + B)F(n + 1) + BF(n) ,$$

and the companion equation has  $\alpha, \beta$  and 1 as roots and they are real if  $\Delta > 0$ . If  $1 \neq |\alpha| \neq |\beta| \neq 1$ , it follows from the quoted theorem of Smiley that  $F(n) = 0$ , or  $f(n) = c$ , for at most 3 values of  $n$ . The excluded cases are dealt with as in the earlier argument.

**4. Construction of  $p$ -adic series.** In this and the next two sections we assume the hypothesis of Theorem 3.

If  $E$  is a  $p$ -adic unit and  $t$  is a positive integer, we let  $\text{ord}_t(E)$  denote the smallest positive integer  $k$  such that  $E^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p^t}$ . Clearly  $\text{ord}_t(E)$  is a divisor of  $\varphi(p^t)$  and hence  $\|\text{ord}_t(E)\|_p < t$ . If  $p = 2$  and  $t \geq 3$  then  $\|\text{ord}_t(E)\|_2 \leq t - 2$ .

We set  $K = \text{ord}_\lambda(U)$ ; and if  $p \geq 5$  we set  $H = \text{ord}_1(U)$ . It follows that  $U^K = 1 + \lambda G$  and  $U^H = 1 + pF$ , where  $G$  and  $F$  are  $p$ -adic integers. Also  $\|H\|_p = 0$ , while  $\|K\|_p \leq \lambda - 1 - \rho$ , where  $\rho = \|2\|_p$ .

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^H &= (U + A^{1/2})^H = \sum_{i=1}^H \binom{H}{i} U^{H-i} A^{(1/2)i} \\
 (13) \quad &= \left\{ U^H + \binom{H}{2} U^{H-2} A + \dots \right\} + A^{1/2} \left\{ HU^{H-1} + \binom{H}{3} U^{H-3} A + \dots \right\} \\
 &= 1 + pD + A^{1/2}E;
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E$  is a  $p$ -adic unit and  $D$  is a  $p$ -adic integer. Similarly

$$(14) \quad \alpha^K = 1 + Ab + A^{1/2}a,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are  $p$ -adic integers and where

$$\|a\|_p = \|K\|_p = \mu \leq \lambda - 1 - \rho,$$

and

$$b \equiv G + \binom{K}{2} U^{K-2} \pmod{p}.$$

If  $\mu > \rho$  then  $p^{1+\rho} | K$  and hence  $\left\| \binom{K}{2} \right\|_p > 0$  while  $\|G\|_p = 0$ ; for if  $p | G$  then  $U^K \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{1+\lambda}}$  and hence  $U^{(K/p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\lambda}$  contrary to the definition of  $K$ . We set  $\nu = \|b\|_p$ ; and it follows that if  $\mu > \rho$  then  $\nu = 0$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^{Hn} &= (1 + pD + A^{1/2}E)^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (pD + A^{1/2}E)^i \\
 &= S(Hn) + T(Hn)A^{1/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(Hn) &= 1 + npD + \binom{n}{2} (p^2D^2 + AE^2) + \dots \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} D_i^*,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(Hn) &= nE + \binom{n}{2} 2pDE + \dots \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} E_i^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

The  $D_i^*$  and  $E_i^*$  are  $p$ -adic integers independent of  $n$ , and

$$\|D_i^*\|_p \geq [(i + 1)/2]; \quad \|E_i^*\|_p \geq [i/2], \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

By induction, using (9) and (10), we obtain

$$(15) \quad S(Hn + r) = L_r + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (L_r D_i^* + K_r E_i^*), \quad (0 \leq r < H),$$

$$(16) \quad T(Hn + r) = K_r + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (L_r E_i^* + K_r D_i^*), \quad (0 \leq r < H),$$

where

$$(17) \quad L_r \equiv U^r \pmod{p^\lambda} \text{ and } K_r \equiv r U^{r-1} \pmod{p^\lambda}.$$

Let

$$(18) \quad J_r = aL_r + WK_r, \quad I_r = aAK_r + WL_r.$$

Then by (11) we obtain

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} f(Hn + r) &= J_r + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (D_i^* J_r + E_i^* I_r), & (0 \leq r < H), \\ &= J_r + \sum_{i=1}^n n(n-1) \cdots (n-i+1) M_i^*, & (0 \leq r < H), \end{aligned}$$

where the  $M_i^*$  are  $p$ -adic numbers independent of  $n$ .

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{Kn} &= (1 + Ab + A^{1/2}a)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (Ab + A^{1/2}a)^i \\ &= S(Kn) + T(Kn)A^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S(Kn) &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} D_i, \\ T(Kn) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} E_i, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} D_i &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{i}{2j} b^{i-2j} A^{i-j} a^{2j}, \\ E_i &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{i}{2j+1} b^{i-2j-1} A^{i-j-1} a^{2j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$(21) \quad D_1 = ba, \quad E_1 = a, \quad D_2 = b^2 A^2 + Aa^2, \quad E_2 = 2abA.$$

Let  $\chi = \min(2\nu + \lambda, 2\mu)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_i\|_p &\geq [(i+1)/2]\lambda + [i/2]\chi, \\ \|E_i\|_p &\geq [i/2]\lambda + [(i-1)/2]\chi + \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Again using (11) we obtain



$$\begin{aligned}
 (22) \quad f(nK + r) &= J_r + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (D_i J_r + E_i I_r) \\
 &= J_r + \sum_{i=1}^n n(n-1) \cdots (n-i+1) M_i, \quad (0 \leq r < K).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $D_i$  and  $E_i$  are independent of  $n$  so are the  $M_i$ .

**5. Properties of the the  $p$ -adic series.** Let  $1 \leq v = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j p^j$ , where the  $b_j$  are rational integers such that  $0 \leq b_j < p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|v!\|_p &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [v/p^i] = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=i}^r b_j p^{j-i} = \sum_{j=1}^r b_j \sum_{i=1}^j p^{i-1} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^r b_j (p^j - 1)/(p - 1) = \left( v - \sum_{j=0}^r b_j \right) / (p - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(p, e, k) &= ke - \|(2k + 1)!\|_p, \\
 G\#(p, e, k) &= (k - 1)e - \|(2k)!\|_p, \\
 H(p, e, k) &= \begin{cases} ke - 2k(p - 1)^{-1} & \text{if } p \geq 3, \\ ke - 2k + 1 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $p$  is odd and  $2k = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j p^j$  then  $\sum_{j=0}^r b_j p^j \geq 2$ ; hence for  $p$  odd,

$$G(p, e, k) \geq ke - 2k/(p - 1) = H(p, e, k)$$

and  $G\#(p, e, k) \geq (k - 1)e - 2(k - 1)/(p - 1) = H(p, e, k - 1)$ . While for  $p = 2$ ,  $G(2, e, k) = e + G\#(2, e, k)$  and  $G(2, e, k) \geq H(2, e, k)$ .

If  $p \geq 5$  and  $e \geq 1$  then  $(p - 1)e - 2 \geq (p - 3)e$ ; while if  $p = 3$  and  $e \geq 2$  then  $(p - 1)e - 2 \geq (p - 2)e = e$ . Hence

- (i) If  $p \geq 7$  and  $e \geq 1$  then  $H(p, e, k) > e$  for  $k \geq 2$ .
- (ii) If  $e \geq 1$  then  $H(5, e, 2) = 2e - 1 \geq e$  and  $H(5, e, k) > e$  for  $k \geq 3$ .
- (iii) If  $e \geq 2$  then  $H(3, e, 2) = 2(e - 1) \geq 2$  and  $H(3, e, k) > e$  for  $k \geq 3$ .
- (iv) If  $e \geq 3$  then  $H(2, e, k) \geq k + 1$  if  $k \geq 1$  and in particular  $H(2, e, k) > e$  if  $k \geq e$ .
- (v)  $H(p, \lambda, k) > 0$  for all primes  $p$  and all positive  $k$ .

We say that the subrecurrence  $\{f(nK + r)\}$  has property  $P_a$  if there exists a positive integer  $q$  and polynomial  $Q(n)$  of degree  $d$  over the  $p$ -adic integers having some coefficient other than the constant term which is not divisible by  $p^q$  and such that all the polynomials

$$f(nK + r) - Q(n)$$

are polynomials whose coefficients are divisible by  $p^q$ .

Suppose  $\|W\|_p = 0$ ; then  $\|I_r\|_p = 0$  for all  $r$ . If  $p \geq 5$  then  $\|M_1^*\|_p = 0$  while  $\|M_i^*\|_p \geq H(p, 1, [i/2]) > 0$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Hence each of

the subrecurrences  $\{f(nH + r)\}$ ,  $(r = 0, 1, \dots, H - 1)$  have property  $P_1$ . If  $p = 2$  or  $3$  then  $\|M_1\|_p = \mu < \lambda - \rho$ , while  $\|M_2\|_p \geq \lambda - \rho$  and  $\|M_i\|_p \geq \mu + [i/2]\lambda - \|i!\|_p \geq \mu + H(p, \lambda, [i/2]) > \mu$  for  $i \geq 3$ . So in this case each of the subrecurrences  $\{f(nK + r)\}$ ,  $(r = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1)$  have property  $P_1$ .

Since  $(a, b) = 1$  and  $\|U\|_p = 0$ , if  $\|W\|_p > 0$  then  $\|a\|_p = 0$  and hence  $\|J_r\|_p = 0$  for all  $r$ . Set  $\tau = \|W\|_p$  then  $\|I_r\|_p \geq \min(\lambda, \tau)$ . For the remainder of this section we assume that  $\tau > 0$ .

It is impossible that for some  $r$ ,  $M_1 = M_2 = 0$ . For suppose such were the case, then we have that

$$bAJ_r + aI_r = 0, \quad (b^2A^2 + Aa^2)J_r + 2abAI_r = 0.$$

Multiplying the first equation by  $2bA$  and subtracting from the second gives  $(a^2 - b^2A)AJ_r = 0$ . Now  $A \neq 0$  and  $J_r$  being a  $p$ -adic unit is non-zero, therefore  $a^2 = b^2A$ ; as  $a \neq 0$ , it follows that  $b \neq 0$ . Then

$$a^2I_r^2 = b^2AI_r^2 = b^2A^2J_r^2,$$

and so  $I_r^2 = AJ_r^2$  yielding  $(a^2A - W^2)(L_r^2 - K_r^2A) = 0$ . As  $L_r$  is a  $p$ -adic unit the second factor is non-zero and hence  $a^2A = W^2$ , contrary to the assumption that  $A < 0$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \leq \tau$  and so  $\|I_r\|_p \geq \lambda$ . If  $\lambda \leq 2(\mu - \nu)$  then  $\mu + \rho < \lambda \leq 2\mu$ ; hence  $\mu > \rho$  and  $\nu = 0$  and thus  $\chi = \lambda$ . It follows that  $\|M_1\|_p = \lambda$ ,  $\|M_i\|_p \geq \{(i + 1)/2\} + [i/2]\lambda - \|i!\|_p > \lambda$  for  $i \geq 2$ ; and hence the recurrences  $\{f(nK + r)\}$  have property  $P_1$ . If  $\lambda > 2(\mu - \nu)$  then  $\chi = 2\mu$  and  $\|M_2\|_p = 2\mu + \lambda - \rho$  while  $\|M_i\|_p \geq \lambda + 2\mu + H(p, \lambda, [i/2]) > \lambda + 2\mu$  for  $i \geq 3$ ; hence the subrecurrences  $\{f(nK + r)\}$  have property  $P_2$ .

Suppose  $1 \leq \tau < \lambda$ , then  $\|I_r\|_p = \tau$ . If  $\mu = 0$  then  $\|M_1\|_p = \tau < \lambda$ ,  $\|M_2\|_p = \lambda - \rho$ ,  $\|M_i\|_p \geq \tau + H(p, \lambda, [i/2]) > \tau$  for  $i \geq 3$ ; hence the subrecurrences  $\{f(nK + r)\}$  have property  $P_2$ . If  $p = 2$  and  $\mu = \rho = 1$ , then  $\chi = 2$  and  $\|M_2\|_p = \lambda + 1$ , while  $\|M_i\|_p > \lambda + 1$  for  $i \geq 3$ ; hence the subrecurrences once again have property  $P_2$ .

Now suppose that  $1 \leq \tau < \lambda$  and  $\mu > \rho$ ; then  $\nu = 0$  and since  $\lambda < \mu + \rho$  we have  $\chi \geq 2 + 2\rho$  and  $\|M_i\|_p > \lambda$  for  $i \geq 2$ . If  $\|M_1\|_p \leq \lambda$  for  $i \geq 2$  then the  $\{f(nK + r)\}$  have property  $P_1$ . If  $\|M_1\|_p > \lambda$  then  $\mu + \tau = \lambda$  and  $\|M_i\|_p \geq 2\lambda + H(p, 2 + 2\rho, [i/2]) > 2\lambda$  for  $i \geq 3$ . If  $\|M_2\|_p \leq 2\lambda$ , then  $\{f(nK + r)\}$  have property  $P_2$ . If  $\|M_1\|_p > \lambda$  and  $\|M_2\|_p > 2\lambda$  then since  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are not both zero,  $1 \leq \gamma = \min(\|M_1\|_p - \lambda, \|M_2\|_p - 2\lambda)$  exists. Also in this case

$$\|a^2 - b^2A\|_p \geq \lambda + \gamma,$$

hence  $2\mu = \lambda$ , and  $\mu = \tau$ . One easily computes that  $I_r^2 \equiv AJ_r^2 \pmod{p^{\lambda+\gamma}}$ , and  $I_r a + J_r Ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lambda+\gamma}}$ . Combining these facts and relation (20) we obtain

$$(k!)M_k \equiv (J_r a + I_r b)(2^{k-1} b^{k-1} A^{k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k\lambda+\gamma}}$$

for  $k \geq 3$ . Now

$$k\lambda + \gamma - \|k!\|_p \geq 2\lambda + \gamma + H(p, \lambda, [k/2]) > 2\lambda + \gamma,$$

for  $k \geq 3$ . Thus it follows that the subrecurrences have property  $P_2$ .

If a recurrence has property  $P_1$  it certainly possesses property  $P_2$ . Thus we have proved:

*Assuming the hypothesis of Theorem 3 the subrecurrences  $\{f(nK+r)\}$  have property  $P_1$  when  $\|W\|_p = 0$  and they have property  $P_2$  when  $\|W\|_p > 0$ .*

**6. Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $x$  be an indeterminate and consider the polynomial

$$F_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n M_i x(x-1) \cdots (x-i+1),$$

i.e.  $F_{n,r}(x)$  is the polynomial obtained by replacing the  $n$  in the expansion (22) for  $f(nK+r)$  by  $x$ . If the subrecurrence  $\{f(nK+r)\}$  has property  $P_a$ , then for each integer  $c$ ,

$$F_{n,r}(x) - c \equiv Q_{n,r,c}(x) \pmod{p^a}$$

where  $Q_{n,r,c}(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $d$  with  $p$ -adic integer coefficients not all of which are divisible by  $p^a$ . By a Theorem of Strassman [6], [8], the polynomial equation  $F_{n,r}(x) - c = 0$  has at most  $d$   $p$ -adic integer solutions, hence at most  $d$  rational integer solutions.

Since the  $M_i$  are independent of  $n$ , when  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$F_{n+j,r}(x) = F_{n,r}(x) + (x-n)H_{n,j,r}(x),$$

where  $H_{n,j,r}(x)$  is a polynomial with  $p$ -adic integer coefficients. Thus if  $F_{n,r}(n) = c$  then  $F_{n+j,r}(n) = c$  for all  $j \geq 0$ .

If  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_a < n_{a+1}$  are positive integers such that  $f(n_i K + r) = c$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, d+1$ ), then  $F_{n_{a+1},r}(x) = c$  has  $d+1$  rational integer solutions, contrary to the Theorem of Strassman. Thus if the subrecurrence  $\{f(nK+r)\}$  has property  $P_a$  then the multiplicity of that subrecurrence is at most  $d$ . Hence, if for each  $r$ , the subrecurrences have property  $P_a$  then the multiplicity of the recurrence  $\{f(n)\}$  is at most  $Kd$ .

When  $\|W\|_p = \tau > 0$ , we have seen that  $a$  is a  $p$ -adic unit and so  $f(nK+r) \equiv aU^r \pmod{p^\varepsilon}$  for every  $r$ , here  $\varepsilon = \min(\tau, \lambda)$ . Let  $\gamma = \max(\rho, \varepsilon - 1)$ . If

$$(23) \quad f(nK+r) = f(mK+s) = c \text{ for } K > r \geq s \geq 0,$$

then  $U^{r-s} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^s}$ ; consequently  $K \mid (r-s)p^{\lambda-1-\gamma}$ , and thus either  $r = s$  or  $K > r \geq s + Kp^{\gamma+1-\lambda} > s \geq 0$ . Hence if  $\tau \geq \lambda$  and (23) holds then  $r = s$ . While if (23) holds and  $1 \leq \tau < \lambda$  then  $c$  is a unit and can appear in at most  $p^{\lambda-\gamma-1}$  subrecurrences. Thus we have—*If all the subrecurrences  $\{f(nK+r)\}$  have the property  $P_1$  the multiplicity of  $\{f(n)\}$  is at most  $K < p^\lambda$ , while if some of the subrecurrences have property  $P_2$  and not  $P_1$  then  $\|W\|_p = \tau > 0$  and the multiplicity of the recurrence  $\{f(n)\}$  is at most  $2p^{\lambda-\gamma-1} < 2p^{\lambda-1-\rho} < p^\lambda$ .* This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

We have also shown:

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $A^2 - 4B < 0$  and there exists a prime  $p \geq 5$  such that  $\|A^2 - 4B\|_p > 0$ ,  $\|A\|_p = 0$ ,  $\|2b - aA\|_p = 0$  then the multiplicity of the recurrence (1) is at most  $H < p - 1$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $A^2 - 4B < 0$  and there is a prime  $p \geq 5$  such that  $\|A^2 - 4B\|_p > 0$ ,  $\|A\|_p = 0$  then the multiplicity of the recurrence  $\{T(n)\}$  defined in (7) is at most  $p - 1$ .*

In specific cases we can compute the  $H$  and the  $K$  and often obtain a bound on the multiplicity which is lower than  $p - 1$  or  $p^\lambda$ , e.g. if  $A = 1$ ,  $B = 2$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$  then  $A^2 - 4B = 7$  and  $K = 3$ ; in this case the multiplicity is exactly 3, see [6].

Futhermore, we have shown:

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $A^2 - 4B < 0$  and if there exists a prime  $p$  such that*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}(2b - aA) \right\|_p \geq \left\| \frac{1}{4}(A^2 - 4B) \right\|_p = \lambda \geq \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 2 & \text{if } p = 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

and  $\|(1/2)A\|_p = 0$ , then the multiplicity of the recurrence  $\{f(n)\}$  defined by (1) is at most 2.

The result of Miss P. Chowla [1] is a special case of the above theorem.

### 7. Some special results.

**THEOREM 7.** *If there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $p$  divides  $A$  and  $p$  does not divide  $B$  then the multiplicity of a recurrence  $\{f(n)\}$  defined by (1) is finite and is bounded by a function depending on  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $a$  and  $b$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that the subrecurrences  $\{f(2n)\}$  and  $\{f(2n+1)\}$  satisfy the relation

$$f(2n + 4 + i) = A_2 f(2n + 2 + i) - B_2 f(2n + 1), \quad i = 0 \text{ or } 1,$$

where  $A_2 = A^2 - 2B$ ,  $B_2 = B^2$  and  $\Delta_2 = A^2 \Delta$ . If  $p$  is an odd prime divisor of  $A$  which does not divide  $B$  then  $\| \Delta_2 \|_p \geq 2 \| A \|_p \geq 2$  and  $\| A_2 \|_p = 0$ . If 2 divides  $A$  and does not divide  $B$  then  $\Delta = 4(U^2 - B)$  and  $\| A_2 \|_2 = 1$  while  $\| \Delta_2 \|_2 \geq \| \Delta \|_2 + 2 \| A \|_2 \geq 5$ . Thus in either case we can apply Theorem 3 to obtain a bound on the multiplicity of the recurrences  $\{f(2n)\}$  and  $\{f(2n + 1)\}$ , and hence of  $\{f(n)\}$ , in terms of  $A, B, a$ , and  $b$ .

**THEOREM 8.** *If there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $0 < 2 \| A \|_p < \| B \|_p$  then the multiplicity of a recurrence  $\{f(n)\}$  defined by (1) is at most 2 and only a finite number of integers have a multiplicity of 2.*

*Proof.* Assuming the hypothesis, one of the following is true.

(i) There exists an integer  $N$  such that either

$$\| f(N) \|_p = \| A \|_p + \| f(N - 1) \|_p$$

or

$$\| f(N) \|_p = \| B \|_p + \| f(N - 2) \|_p$$

and

$$\| f(n) \|_p > \| A \|_p + \| f(n - 1) \|_p = \| B \|_p + \| f(n - 2) \|_p$$

for  $2 \leq n < N$ .

(ii)  $\| f(n) \|_p > \| A \|_p + \| f(n - 1) \|_p = \| B \|_p + \| f(n - 2) \|_p$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

In the first case

$$\| f(n) \|_p = \begin{cases} n\{\| B \|_p - \| A \|_p\} + \| a \|_p & \text{for } 2 \leq n < N \\ (n - N) \| A \|_p + \| f(N) \|_p & \text{for } n \geq N. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $f(m) = f(q)$  implies  $0 \leq m < N \leq q$  and hence the multiplicity is at most 2 and only a finite number of integers have multiplicity 2.

In the second case  $\| f(n) \|_p = n\{\| B \|_p - \| A \|_p\} + \| a \|_p$ , for all  $n$ , and hence the multiplicity is one.

**8. Proof of Theorem 1.** We assume that  $\{f(n)\}$  is a recurrence defined by (1) with  $(A, B) = 1$ . In view of Theorem 2 we need only consider the case where  $\Delta < 0$ . If  $|A| \neq 1$ , Theorem 7 assures us of the existence of the desired bound. If  $|A| = 1$ , then  $\Delta = 1 - 4B = -3^a C$ , where  $a$  is non negative integer and  $C$  is an odd positive integer prime to 3. If  $C > 1$  or if  $a \geq 2$ , Theorem 3 provides the desired bound. Now  $\Delta \neq -1$ , hence the only unresolved case is when  $A = \pm 1$  and  $\Delta = -3$ , whence  $B = 1$ . The roots of the companion equation are

primitive cube roots of unity when  $A = 1$  and are primitive sixth roots of unity when  $A = -1$ . In each case only a finite number of values appear in the recurrence  $\{f(n)\}$  and hence the multiplicity is strictly infinite.

**9. A conjecture.** We conjecture that the assumption in Theorem 1 that  $(A, B) = 1$  is unnecessary. When  $(A, B) = D > 1$ , it is easily seen that  $D^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  is a factor of  $f(n)$  and hence the multiplicity is never strictly infinite. The theorems and methods described in the preceding sections enable one to show that most recurrences have finite multiplicity, nevertheless they do not appear to be adequate to prove the conjecture.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Chowla, *A class of diophantine equations*, Proc. National Acad. Science (U. S. A.), **45** (1959), 569-560.
2. P. Chowla, S. Chowla, M. Dunton and D. J. Lewis, *Some diophantine equations in quadratic number fields*, Det. Kong. Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Fordhandling, **31** (1958), Nr. 39, 181-183.
3. K. Mahler, *Eine arithmetische Eigenschaft der Taylor-koeffizienten rationaler Funktionen*, Proc. Amsterdam Acad., **38** (1935) 50-60.
4. C. L. Siegel, *Über die Koeffizienten in der Taylorschen Entwicklung rationaler Funktionen*, Tohoku Jul., **20** (1921), 26-31.
5. Th. Skolem, *Ein Verfahren zur Behandlung gewisser exponentialer Gleichungen und diophantischer Gleichungen*, 8de Skand. Mat. Kongr. Forh., Stockholm, (1934), 163-188.
6. Th. Skolem, S. Chowla, and D. J. Lewis, *The diophantine equation  $2^{n+2} - 7 = x^2$  and related problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1959), 663-669.
7. M. F. Smiley, *On the zeros of a cubic recurrence*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **63** (1956), 171-172.
8. R. Strassman, *Über den Wertevorrat von Potenzreihen im Gebiet der  $p$ -adischen Zahlen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **159** (1928), 13-28.
9. Morgan Ward, *Note on an arithmetical property of recurring series*, Math. Zeitschr., **39** (1934), 211-214.
10. ———, *On the vanishing of the sum of the  $N$ -th powers of the roots of a cubic equation*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **41** (1934), 313-316.
11. ———, *On the number of vanishing terms in an integral cubic recurrence*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **62** (1955), 155-160.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO  
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.



Errett Albert Bishop, <i>A generalization of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem</i> .....	777
Hugh D. Brunk, <i>Best fit to a random variable by a random variable measurable with respect to a <math>\sigma</math>-lattice</i> .....	785
D. S. Carter, <i>Existence of a class of steady plane gravity flows</i> .....	803
Frank Sydney Cater, <i>On the theory of spatial invariants</i> .....	821
S. Chowla, Marguerite Elizabeth Dunton and Donald John Lewis, <i>Linear recurrences of order two</i> .....	833
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>The second conjugate space of a Banach algebra as an algebra</i> .....	847
William J. Coles, <i>Wirtinger-type integral inequalities</i> .....	871
Shaul Foguel, <i>Strongly continuous Markov processes</i> .....	879
David James Foulis, <i>Conditions for the modularity of an orthomodular lattice</i> .....	889
Jerzy Górski, <i>The Sochocki-Plemelj formula for the functions of two complex variables</i> .....	897
John Walker Gray, <i>Extensions of sheaves of associative algebras by non-trivial kernels</i> .....	909
Maurice Hanan, <i>Oscillation criteria for third-order linear differential equations</i> .....	919
Haim Hanani and Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, <i>Some characterizations of a class of unavoidable compact sets in the game of Banach and Mazur</i> .....	945
John Grover Harvey, III, <i>Complete holomorphs</i> .....	961
Joseph Hersch, <i>Physical interpretation and strengthening of M. Protter's method for vibrating nonhomogeneous membranes; its analogue for Schrödinger's equation</i> .....	971
James Grady Horne, Jr., <i>Real commutative semigroups on the plane</i> .....	981
Nai-Chao Hsu, <i>The group of automorphisms of the holomorph of a group</i> .....	999
F. Burton Jones, <i>The cyclic connectivity of plane continua</i> .....	1013
John Arnold Kalman, <i>Continuity and convexity of projections and barycentric coordinates in convex polyhedra</i> .....	1017
Samuel Karlin, Frank Proschan and Richard Eugene Barlow, <i>Moment inequalities of Pólya frequency functions</i> .....	1023
Tilla Weinstein, <i>Imbedding compact Riemann surfaces in 3-space</i> .....	1035
Azriel Lévy and Robert Lawson Vaught, <i>Principles of partial reflection in the set theories of Zermelo and Ackermann</i> .....	1045
Donald John Lewis, <i>Two classes of Diophantine equations</i> .....	1063
Daniel C. Lewis, <i>Reversible transformations</i> .....	1077
Gerald Otis Losey and Hans Schneider, <i>Group membership in rings and semigroups</i> .....	1089
M. N. Mikhail and M. Nassif, <i>On the difference and sum of basic sets of polynomials</i> .....	1099
Alex I. Rosenberg and Daniel Zelinsky, <i>Automorphisms of separable algebras</i> .....	1109
Robert Steinberg, <i>Automorphisms of classical Lie algebras</i> .....	1119
Ju-Kwei Wang, <i>Multipliers of commutative Banach algebras</i> .....	1131
Neal Zierler, <i>Axioms for non-relativistic quantum mechanics</i> .....	1151